

-----Original Message-----

From: Jaeger, Al A. [mailto:ajaeger@nd.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, March 04, 2009 2:35 PM

To: Fry, Patricia B. (Emeritus)

Cc: Feist, Mary B.

Subject: Uniform Law on Notarial Acts comments from Rep Klemin

Pat,

This is very unfortunate. Rep Klemin had forwarded his comments to me that he forwarded to the ULC on February 23. From our viewpoint, he addressed many of our concerns here in North Dakota, which greatly pleased us.

For myself, if these changes are not incorporated into the uniform draft, I will certainly do everything that I can to make sure they are amended into any uniform notary law introduced here in North Dakota. Having them in our law has allowed us to be much better administrators over notaries and the various laws related to notary duties.

In fact, we have legislation working through right now to tweak our existing law to make it even better.

SB 2322 can be viewed at

<http://www.legis.nd.gov/assembly/61-2009/bill-index/bi2322.html>

Our current laws can be viewed at <http://www.nd.gov/sos/notaryserv/>

Al Jaeger

Direct # (701) 328-3670

E-mail direct: ajaeger@nd.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Klemin, Lawrence R.

Sent: Wednesday, March 04, 2009 10:51 AM
To: Patricia Fry
Cc: Leang Sou; John Sebert
Subject: RE: ULONA comments

Pat:

I'm sorry to hear that the committee didn't get an opportunity to see my written comments. I did send an email to ULC in Chicago on Feb. 23 before the meeting, with a copy to you, with my request to give the comments to the committee, so I'm disappointed that didn't happen. As you can see from my comments, I think the draft could use some additional sections. I wish I could have been there in person, but was not able to be there due to the Legislature being in session.

In retrospect, I suppose I should have sent my comments to everyone else myself instead of relying on ULC to do it. I would appreciate it if you could do this now, or have the Chicago office do it as I originally requested. My written comments are attached again. Thank you.

Larry Klemin

-----Original Message-----

From: Patricia Fry [mailto:fryp@socket.net]
Sent: Tuesday, March 03, 2009 6:27 PM
To: Klemin, Lawrence R.
Subject: ULONA comments

Larry: Unfortunately I did not receive your comments before the drafting committee meeting. I'm working mostly from home now so plan to contact me at fryp@socket.net or 573 4471761.

Do you want me to ask Chicago to circulate your comments to the entire

committee or to the entire observer list. I've already forwarded them to Art Gaudio. I haven't read through them all yet, but did see your comments about "jurat." The subject was not raised during our meeting so the idea has not been vetted, but I certainly understand your thought.

I will circulate notes from the meeting within the week, relying on Art's notes. I can tell you I thought we had a really good meeting. We had four of the vendors demonstrate at the meeting and, with the questions being asked, that took all of Friday morning. I think we all found it helpful. After that we spent Friday afternoon on matters relating to notarizing electronic records and then managed to get through the rest of the draft on Saturday. The committee voted to go forward in July with a "unified" version, to keep the journal and education provisions even though we assume they will be subject to a lot of comment from the floor, etc. I thought everything went quite well. There will be more detail in my memo. I expect we will probably have an email exchange prior to completion of the annual meeting draft about the idea of an online database - a subject that was not without controversy, that we assume would cause a fiscal note in many states, and that caused some to have privacy concerns. Otherwise I think we are in good shape for Art to craft the next draft.

I am sorry you could not join us but know you are tied up in the spring with the legislative session. Especially when you get our notes, but at any time, please get in touch if you have any questions. Pat