DRAFT

FOR APPROVAL

UNIFORM CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

MEETING IN ITS ONE-HUNDRED-AND-TWENTY-SIXTH YEAR SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA JULY 14 - JULY 20, 2017

UNIFORM CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT

Copyright © 2017 By NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

The ideas and conclusions set forth in this draft, including the proposed statutory language and any comments or reporter's notes, have not been passed upon by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws or the Drafting Committee. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Conference and its Commissioners and the Drafting Committee and its Members and Reporter. Proposed statutory language may not be used to ascertain the intent or meaning of any promulgated final statutory proposal.

DRAFTING COMMITTEE ON UNIFORM CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT

The Committee appointed by and representing the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in preparing this Act consists of the following individuals:

ROBERT J. TENNESSEN, 2522 Thomas Ave. S., Minneapolis, MN 55405, Chair

W. MICHAEL DUNN, 62 Ridge Rd. Concord, NH 03301

MARK F. GLASER, 54 State St., 6th Floor, Albany, NY 12207

ERIC HOUGLAND, Texas Legislative Council, P.O. Box 12128, Austin, TX 78711-2128

JAMES C. KENNEDY, Massachusetts House of Representatives, State House, Room 139, Boston, MA 02133

THEODORE C. KRAMER, 42 Park Pl., Brattleboro, VT 05301

JOHN J. MCAVOY, 3110 Brandywine St. NW, Washington, DC 20008

LOUISE M. NADEAU, Connecticut General Assembly, Legislative Office Bldg., Room 5500, Hartford, CT 06106-1591

ANNE H. REIGLE, Court of Common Pleas, Kent County Courthouse, 38 The Green, Dover, DE 19901-3602

JACOB T. RODENBIKER, 2037 Rose Creek Blvd S., Fargo, ND 58104-6878

MICHAEL S. SCHWOYER, Pennsylvania House of Representatives, Room 423, Main Capitol Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120

BRANDON C. SHAFFER, 1154 Twin Peaks Cir., Longmont, CO 80503

SAMUEL A. THUMMA, Arizona Court of Appeals, State Courts Bldg., 1501 W. Washington St., Phoenix, AZ 85007

RUSSELL G. WALKER, P.O. Box 2422, Jamestown, NC 27282

STEVEN L. CHANENSON, Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law, 299 N. Spring Mill Rd., Villanova, PA 19085, *Reporter*

JORDAN M. HYATT, Drexel University, Department of Criminology & Justice Studies, 3141 Chestnut St., Philadelphia, PA 19104, *Associate Reporter*

EX OFFICIO

RICHARD T. CASSIDY, 1233 Shelburne Rd., Suite D5, South Burlington, VT 05403-7753, President

H. LANE KNEEDLER, Office of the Attorney General of Virginia, 202 N. 9th St., Richmond, VA 23219, *Division Chair*

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ADVISORS

STEVE SALTZBURG, George Washington University Law School, 2000 H St. NW, Washington, DC 20052-0026, *ABA Advisor*

MICHAEL AISENBERG, 7515 Colshire Dr., MS T320, McLean, VA 22102-7538, ABA Section Advisor

STEPHANIE DOMITROVICH, 140 W. 6th St., Room 223, Erie, PA 16501-1030, ABA Section Advisor

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

LIZA KARSAI, 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010, Chicago, IL 60602, Executive Director

Copies of this Act may be obtained from:

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010 Chicago, Illinois 60602 312/450-6600 www.uniformlaws.org

UNIFORM CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[ARTICLE] 1 GENERAL PROVISIONS

	SHORT TITLE.	
	DEFINITIONS	
	APPLICABILITY	
	PUBLIC RECORD.	
SECTION 105.	DISSEMINATION LOG.	3
	[ARTICLE] 2	
DUTI	ES AND AUTHORITY OF CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE AGENCY	
SECTION 201.	COLLECTION AND SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION TO CENTRAL	
	TORY	
	COLLECTION OF BIOMETRIC INFORMATION.	
	CORRECTION OF INACCURATE INFORMATION.	
SECTION 204.	DISSEMINATION OF CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD INFORMATION.	8
	[ARTICLE] 3	
	DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF CENTRAL REPOSITORY	
	ROLE OF CENTRAL REPOSITORY	. 10
	DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION TO PERSON OTHER THAN	
	T	
	DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION TO SUBJECT	
	DISSEMINATION LOG.	
	CORRECTION OF INACCURATE INFORMATION.	
	DUTIES OF CENTRAL REPOSITORY.	. 13
	DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION FOR STATISTICAL AND	
	RCH PURPOSES	
	PUBLIC INFORMATION.	
SECTION 309.	TRAINING.	. 14
	[ARTICLE] 4	
	MISTAKEN IDENTITY PREVENTION REGISTRY	
SECTION 401.	CREATION AND MAINTENANCE OF REGISTRY	. 16
	INFORMATION INCLUDED IN REGISTRY.	
	CERTIFICATION.	
SECTION 404.	DISCLOSURE OF REGISTRY INFORMATION.	. 17
	MISTAKEN IDENTITY MATCH.	
SECTION 406.	LIMITATION ON USE OF REGISTRY INFORMATION	. 18

SECTION 407. REMOVAL OF INFORMATION FROM REGISTRY	19
[ARTICLE] 5	
SUBJECT'S RIGHT TO CORRECT CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD INFORM	ATION
SECTION 501. RIGHT OF ACCESS AND REVIEW	20
SECTION 502. CHALLENGE OF ACCURACY	
SECTION 503. ACTION ON CHALLENGE	20
SECTION 504. CORRECTION OF RECORDS	21
[ARTICLE] 6	
SYSTEMS SECURITY AND AUDITS	
SECTION 601. SECURITY REQUIREMENTS FOR CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE AG	ENCIES
AND CENTRAL REPOSITORY	
SECTION 602. MANDATORY REPRESENTATIVE AUDIT	22
[ARTICLE] 7	
ENFORCEMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION	
SECTION 701. SANCTIONS AND REMEDIES	25
SECTION 702. DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF RESPONSIBLE AGENCY	26
[ARTICLE] 8	
MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS	
SECTION 801. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION	27
[SECTION 802. SEVERABILITY.]	27
SECTION 803. REPEALS; CONFORMING AMENDMENTS	28
SECTION 804. EFFECTIVE DATE	28

1	UNIFORM CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT
2	[ARTICLE] 1
3	GENERAL PROVISIONS
4	SECTION 101. SHORT TITLE. This [act] may be cited as the Criminal Records
5	Accuracy Act.
6	SECTION 102. DEFINITIONS. In this [act]:
7	(1) "Accurate criminal history record information" means criminal history record
8	information that correctly reflects all reportable events relating to a subject.
9	(2) "Administration of criminal justice" means detection, apprehension, detention,
10	pretrial release, post-trial release, prosecution, adjudication, correctional supervision, or
11	rehabilitation of an accused individual or criminal offender. The term includes criminal
12	identification activities and the collection, maintenance, storage, and dissemination of criminal
13	history record information.
14	(3) "Biometric information" means a unique attribute of an individual used for
15	identification, including fingerprints.
16	(4) "Central repository" means the entity operated by the [applicable entity] to collect,
17	maintain, store, and disseminate criminal history record information.
18	(5) "Contributing justice agency" means a court, political subdivision or agent of a
19	political subdivision, or governing entity of the state authorized to engage in the administration
20	of criminal justice. The term does not include the central repository.
21	(6) "Criminal history record information" means information collected, maintained,
22	stored, or disseminated by a contributing justice agency, a repository, or the central repository
23	consisting of identifiable descriptions of a subject, including biometric information, and

1	notations of a reportable event. The term does not include non-criminal history record
2	information.
3	(7) "Dissemination" means oral, written, or electronic transmission or other disclosure of
4	criminal history record information to a person other than the central repository.
5	(8) "Non-criminal history record information" means information collected as a result of
6	an inquiry about an activity, habit, practice, possession, association, or financial status of an
7	individual, collected to anticipate, prevent, monitor, investigate, or prosecute criminal activity.
8	(9) "Person" means an individual, estate, business or nonprofit entity, public corporation,
9	government or governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality, or other legal entity.
10	(10) "Reportable event" means any of the following occurrences relating to a felony,
11	gross misdemeanor, or misdemeanor criminal offense, but not a [noncriminal offense,] [summary
12	offense,] [petty offense,] traffic violation[, or offense under the [juvenile law]]:
13	(A) arrest resulting in booking into a detention facility or collection of biometric
14	information;
15	(B) disposition after an arrest without initiation of a criminal proceeding;
16	(C) initiation of a criminal proceeding;
17	(D) disposition of a criminal proceeding, including diversion, dismissal, indefinite
18	postponement, acquittal, guilty plea, conviction, sentencing, and modification, reversal, and
19	revocation of the disposition;
20	(E) commitment to or release from a place of detention or custodial supervision;
21	(F) commencement or conclusion of noncustodial supervision;
22	(G) completion of a sentence;
23	(H) expungement, sealing, or setting-aside of criminal history record information;

1	(I) grant of clemency, including pardon or commutation, or restoration of rights;
2	and
3	(J) finding of mental incompetence by a court of this state at any stage of a
4	criminal proceeding.
5	(11) "Repository" means an entity operated by a contributing justice agency which
6	collects, maintains, stores, or disseminates criminal history record information.
7	(12) "State" means a state of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the
8	United States Virgin Islands, or any other territory or insular possession subject to the
9	jurisdiction of the United States. The term includes a federally recognized Indian tribe.
10	(13) "Subject" means the individual to whom the substance of criminal history record
11	information refers.
12	SECTION 103. APPLICABILITY. This [act] applies to the central repository, each
13	repository and contributing justice agency in this state, and each subject about whom criminal
14	history record information is collected, maintained, stored, or disseminated in this state.
15	SECTION 104. PUBLIC RECORD. In this [act], a court docket, court file, and
16	information contained in a docket or file, are public records unless otherwise provided by law or
17	ordered by a court.
18	SECTION 105. DISSEMINATION LOG. A dissemination log shall list each request
19	for, and dissemination of, criminal history record information. The log shall be separate from
20	non-criminal history record information and criminal history record information itself, and shall
21	include the:
22	(1) information requested;
23	(2) information disseminated;

- 1 (3) person making the request and its associated address;
- 2 (4) person effectuating the dissemination;
- 3 (5) date of the request and of the dissemination; and
- 4 (6) purpose for which the information was requested or disseminated.

Legislative Note: Role of courts. Courts are included in the definition of a "contributing justice agency" in Section 102(5) because their participation is crucial to the success of any effort to promote the accuracy of criminal records. Concerns have been raised, however, about separation of powers principles. The inclusion of courts in this definition means that, pursuant to later provisions of the act, courts will be required to submit information about reportable events to the central repository. If an adopting state is concerned about including courts, it is possible to add language either exempting the courts or allowing the courts themselves to optout later. Statutory language authorizing a judicial opt-out could take this form: "The [state Supreme Court], or a judicial entity authorized to act on its behalf, may remove the courts of this state from this sub-section under its rulemaking authority." The drafters, however, strongly urge that courts remain within the ambit of the contributing justice agency definition to the extent constitutionally permissible. Including courts as contributing justice agencies will materially enhance the accuracy of criminal history record information.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Responsible agency or individual. The discussion note on contributing justice agency, infra, introduces the concept of a "responsible agency or individual." This phrase is used in various places in the comments and in brackets in the text of the act itself. The drafters intend it to mean the appropriate state agency or individual charged with certain responsibilities under this act. It is most commonly designed to signal a situation when a senior criminal justice policy maker – either in the form of a responsible agency or individual – needs to adopt a regulation or make a decision that is best served by honoring the principle of checks-and-balances. That is, the regulations should be adopted by an entity or individual outside of the day-to-day operation of the criminal history system. The central repository is a perfectly appropriate entity to create general operational rules and no one is impugning the integrity of the individuals within the criminal history system. This is simply a structural point. In some states, the "responsible agency or individual" may be the Attorney General or the Office of the Attorney General. In other states, it will be a different actor or entity. It need not be filled by the same person or agency each time it is used. That is a decision best left to the adopting state. The phrase "responsible agency or individual," allows for each state to fill this position appropriately in light of its own constitutional structure and political landscape. Ideally, in the states that use the Attorney General position as the chief law enforcement officer and "minister of justice," the Attorney General is best suited to fulfill these duties.

363738

39

40

41

42

Public records. Section 104 is designed to ensure that this act is not interpreted as limiting access to court records. It provides that information in court dockets and files not under seal, etc. remain public records to the extent provided by existing law. The drafters encourage adopting states to examine their public records acts to determine whether conforming revisions are required.

1 Comment

2 3

Principles. This [act] is premised on three principles:

 (1)

information.
(2) Subjects are entitled to have the information kept about them under this [act] be

Society at large has a vital interest in the accuracy of criminal history record

accurate criminal history record information.

(3) The government has an obligation to collect, maintain, store, and disseminate accurate criminal history record information.

Defined terms, not substance. The style rules of the Uniform Law Commission call for consolidation of definitions for terms that recur throughout an act into a single section early in the act. A definition for a term that appears in only one section should be located in that section. Provisions that state a definition must be definitional only, with the substance pertaining to the term addressed separately.

Administration of criminal justice. The definition of "administration of criminal justice" is largely based upon the language found in 28 C.F.R. §20.3.

Biometric information. The definition of "biometric information" is designed to allow the act to adapt automatically as technology evolves. Right now, fingerprints are the gold standard for ensuring that a particular person is linked to arrest and disposition information. That may change and the definition should be able to accommodate such changes. In light of its characteristics, DNA information falls within the scope of biometric information, though this is not made explicit in the text.

Central repository. The definition of "central repository" leaves to the adopting state the decision whether this is a police function, often through the state police, or an independent function. Given the central repository's coordinating role, the definition does require the central repository to be operationally independent from contributing justice agencies or other repositories, although it can exist within the structure of an agency, such as the state police, that also contains a separate repository.

 Contributing justice agency. The definition of "contributing justice agency" is intentionally broad. The goal is to widely distribute the duty to provide information on "reportable events" – such as arrests, charges, and dispositions of all types – to the central repository. This also allows for the collection and inclusion of reportable event and biometric information throughout the process of adjudication and punishment, thereby allowing for multiple opportunities to collect data and resolve issues. The term includes an organized state or municipal police department, sheriff's department, local detention facility or department, county, regional or state correctional facility or department, probation agency, office of Attorney General, district or prosecuting attorney, court with criminal jurisdiction, parole board, pardon board, and any agency or sub-unit designated as a contributing justice agency by the responsible agency or individual.

Non-criminal history record information. This definition clarifies that information may be held by a contributing justice agency that is not deemed to be criminal history record information.

Reportable event. The definition of a "reportable event" is designed to capture all of the significant moments in the life of a criminal case that future actors in the criminal justice system would want to know about that defendant and that case. Though the nomenclature may vary by jurisdiction, these are almost universal in their presence and importance.

Reportable Event – Disposition. The definition of disposition is designed to be inclusive yet manageable. If more detail is desired, adopting states could include the current definition found at 28 CFR § 20.3(i), which provides:

Disposition means information disclosing that criminal proceedings have been concluded and the nature of the termination, including information disclosing that the police have elected not to refer a matter to a prosecutor or that a prosecutor has elected not to commence criminal proceedings; or disclosing that proceedings have been indefinitely postponed and the reason for such postponement. Dispositions shall include, but shall not be limited to, acquittal, acquittal by reason of insanity, acquittal by reason of mental incompetence, case continued without finding, charge dismissed, charge dismissed due to insanity, charge dismissed due to mental incompetency, charge still pending due to insanity, charge still pending due to mental incompetency, guilty plea, nolle prosequi, no paper, nolo contendere plea, convicted, youthful offender determination, deceased, deferred disposition, dismissed-civil action, found insane, found mentally incompetent, pardoned, probation before conviction, sentence commuted, adjudication withheld, mistrial-defendant discharged, executive clemency, placed on probation, paroled, or released from correction supervision.

http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2002-title28-vol1/pdf/CFR-2002-title28-vol1-sec20-3.pdf.

Dissemination logs. The drafters anticipate that the public will have access to dissemination logs in a fashion consistent with existing public records laws in the jurisdiction.

repository.

[ARTICLE] 2

DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE AGENCY

SECTION 201. COLLECTION AND SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION TO

CENTRAL REPOSITORY. Each contributing justice agency that has custody of, or control, authority, or jurisdiction over, an individual for an occurrence that is a reportable event shall collect, maintain, and store in its repository information on the reportable event, and not later than [five] days after the agency receives the information, submit the information to the central

SECTION 202. COLLECTION OF BIOMETRIC INFORMATION.

- (a) Each contributing justice agency that has custody of, or control, authority, or jurisdiction over, an individual for an occurrence that is a reportable event shall determine or, under the circumstances, cause to be determined whether biometric information about the individual was collected and submitted to the central repository for the occurrence. If the contributing justice agency is a court, the contributing justice agency representing the state before the court shall make the determination.
- (b) If a contributing justice agency determines under subsection (a) that biometric information was not previously submitted to the central repository, the individual shall permit collection of biometric information. The agency shall, using any legal procedure available to it including a court order if authorized, collect or, under the circumstances, cause to be collected any previously uncollected biometric information, and not later than [five] days after collection, submit the information or cause it to be submitted to the central repository.

SECTION 203. CORRECTION OF INACCURATE INFORMATION.

- (a) A contributing justice agency shall maintain accurate criminal history record information in its repository in compliance with rules prescribed by the central repository.
- (b) A contributing justice agency shall, not later than [14] days after discovery that it possesses inaccurate criminal history record information in its repository:
- 19 (1) correct its own records;

- (2) notify all persons, including the central repository, that submitted or received the inaccurate information for a criminal justice purpose of the inaccuracy and the required correction; and
- 23 (3) on request of the subject:

1	(A) disseminate a notice about the inaccuracy and the required correction
2	to any person identified by the subject that received the inaccurate information for a non-criminal
3	justice purpose within the previous five years; and
4	(B) provide to the subject at no cost one official, corrected copy of the
5	accurate information.
6	SECTION 204. DISSEMINATION OF CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD
7	INFORMATION.
8	(a) A contributing justice agency may disseminate criminal history record information
9	only as provided in this [act] or by law other than this [act].
10	(b) A contributing justice agency may disseminate criminal history record information to
11	another contributing justice agency on request of the other agency in connection with the
12	requesting agency's duties.
13	SECTION 205. DISSEMINATION LOG OF CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE
14	AGENCY. A contributing justice agency shall create, maintain, and store a dissemination log,
15	in the manner and form directed by the [responsible agency or individual]. A dissemination of
16	criminal history record information shall be entered in the dissemination log not later than [14]
17	days after the information is disseminated. The agency shall maintain an entry in the log [as long
18	as it maintains the associated information].
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Legislative Note: Responsible agency or individual. As discussed supra, the phrase "responsible agency or individual" is used in various places in the comments and in brackets in the text of the act itself, including in this article. The drafters intend it to mean the appropriate state agency or individual charged with certain responsibilities under this act. It is most commonly designed to signal a situation when a senior criminal justice policy maker — either in the form of a responsible agency or individual — needs to adopt a regulation or make a decision that is best served by honoring the principle of checks-and-balances. That is, the regulations should be adopted by an entity or individual outside of the day-to-day operation of the criminal history system. The central repository is a perfectly appropriate entity to create general operational rules and no one is impugning the integrity of the individuals within the criminal

history system. This is simply a structural point. In some states, the "responsible agency or individual" may be the Attorney General or the Office of the Attorney General. In other states, it will be a different actor or entity. It need not be filled by the same person or agency each time it is used. That is a decision best left to the adopting state. The phrase "responsible agency or individual," allows for each state to fill this position appropriately in light of its own constitutional structure and political landscape. Ideally, in the states that use the Attorney General position as the chief law enforcement officer and "minister of justice," the Attorney General is best suited to fulfill these duties.

Maintaining dissemination logs. The drafters prefer that dissemination logs be maintained as long as the associated criminal history record information is maintained. Recognizing, however, that existing records retention provisions in certain jurisdictions may already speak to this general issue, the language specifying duration of record maintenance is placed in brackets.

Comment

Mandatory duty to collect biometric information. Widely distributing a mandatory duty to collect biometric information is vital to the effectiveness of the act. Biometric information, in the form of fingerprints, is currently the gold standard for positive identification for law enforcement purposes. If this information is not used to link an arrest to a charge to a disposition, significant inaccuracies may and do result. In most cases, close matches using name and date of birth are the alternative. This is a clear and common source of inaccuracies – both in the failure to link related reportable events and in the misidentification of the subject. The act puts the primary responsibility for this task on the arresting contributing justice agency, which will typically be a police department. The lack of collection on the "front line" of processing is a significant impediment to the accuracy of criminal records. In some jurisdictions, this appears to be unrelated to funding for equipment, and instead turns on the enforcement of mandatory collection procedures. See, e.g., Jeffrey Benzing, Fingerprint Hearing: Best and Worst Pa. Counties Have Same Equipment, available at http://www.pennlive.com/midstate/index.ssf/2014/07/fingerprint hearing best and w.html (July 23, 2014).

Collection of Biometric Information. Section 202 requires individuals to permit the collection of their biometric information if it is determined at any point after the occurrence of a reportable event that biometric information for that individual is missing. Not only does this section put the obligation on individuals to provide biometric information, it makes that obligation enforceable by court order. The drafters believe that this approach may avoid potential separation-of-powers issues that could have arisen in some jurisdictions if the act directed courts to obtain this information directly. This section is designed to include, inter alia, when an individual, who has not been arrested, is charged by complaint, information, or indictment and appears in court pursuant to summons. The drafters encourage judges in these situations to make providing biometric information a condition of any pretrial release.

Duty of other contributing justice agencies. If the arresting agency fails to obtain fingerprints, the act provides a backstop by requiring other, typically chronologically downstream, actors in the contributing justice system to fingerprint the subject. The drafters believe that this "belt-and-suspenders approach" is warranted given the wide variation of fingerprint compliance rates between and within states. For example, the drafters learned that in one large state, there are tens of thousands – if not hundreds of thousands – of essentially orphaned files in the central repository because disposition information cannot be definitively linked by fingerprint to a subject. Furthermore, in that state, the state prison receiving center felt the need to install a Livescan machine (a common biometric data collection station) because of the number of sentenced offenders arriving without fingerprints in the system.

Duty to report reportable events. The act requires contributing justice agencies to submit information on reportable events – such as arrests, charges, convictions, sentences, commitments, etc. – with which they were involved to the central repository. If followed, this mandate should go a long way towards solving the problem of missing dispositions. The act takes a "belt-and-suspenders approach" by putting this responsibility broadly on multiple actors, even if that means some duplicative reporting to the central repository. Given the large and growing use of electronic records, this should not be burdensome on the contributing justice agencies and may help to reduce inaccuracies.

Duty to correct. The act requires a contributing justice agency (and in a later provision the central repository) that learns of inaccurate criminal history record information – regardless of how it learns of it – to fix it in its own records and to pass along the corrected information to whomever it has provided the inaccurate information. That latter process is facilitated by the maintenance of dissemination logs. Thoroughly tracking the information will allow for more effective correction, as well as providing essential process data for the audit, discussed *infra*.

Accuracy remains the overriding goal of the act. Of course, procedures relating to the mechanics of how criminal history record information will be corrected and in what form are left to the state and its central repository.

Authority to disseminate and duty to log. The act allows contributing justice agencies to disseminate criminal history record information to other contributing justice agencies and to the subject of the information upon request, and requires those agencies to keep track of those disseminations. The central repository, as part of its duties, will set reasonable standards and procedures for this process, ensuring a degree of uniformity in the requesting and dissemination processes.

[ARTICLE] 3

DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF CENTRAL REPOSITORY

SECTION 301. ROLE OF CENTRAL REPOSITORY.

(a) The central repository shall collect, maintain, store, and disseminate criminal history

- 1 record information reported to it under this [act].
- 2 (b) The central repository shall collect, maintain, store, and disseminate accurate criminal
 3 history record information in compliance with regulations adopted by the [responsible agency or
 4 individual].
 - (c) The central repository shall prescribe rules and procedures to resolve conflicts and discover missing data for accurate criminal history record information.
- 7 (d) The central repository may disseminate criminal history record information only as
 8 required or permitted by this [act] or by other law.

THAN SUBJECT. When disseminating criminal history record information for a non-criminal justice purpose to a person other than the subject, the central repository shall comply with the

SECTION 302. DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION TO PERSON OTHER

12 following procedures:

5

6

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

- (1) Before the central repository disseminates the information, it shall determine whether the information contains a disposition after an arrest without the filing of a formal criminal charge, or a disposition of a formal criminal charge for every arrest or charge. If the information does not contain a disposition, the central repository shall make a good-faith effort to determine the disposition of the arrest or charge, and if it determines the disposition, add that disposition to:
 - (A) the relevant records maintained by the central repository; and
- 19 (B) the report or summary to be disseminated.
 - (2) After the good faith-effort under paragraph (1) and before the central repository disseminates the information, it shall remove from the report or summary to be disseminated the notation of an arrest, charge, indictment or other information relating to the initiation of criminal proceedings if:

I	(A) 18 months have elapsed after the date of arrest;
2	(B) no conviction has occurred or can be identified; and
3	(C) no proceedings are pending that may result in a conviction.
4	(3) Not later than [five] days after the central repository disseminates the information, it
5	shall send the same information to the subject, based on the contact information provided by the
6	person requesting the information.
7	SECTION 303. DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION TO SUBJECT.
8	(a) The central repository shall disseminate criminal history record information to the
9	subject on request of the subject, after verification of the requester's identity and authority.
10	(b) If the central repository, in response to a request under subsection (a), identifies no
11	criminal history record information about the subject, it shall notify the subject that no
12	information concerning the subject exists and the date of its search.
13	(c) The central repository shall disseminate the criminal history record information or
14	notify the subject that no such information exists not later than [14] days after a request is
15	submitted under subsection (a).
16	(d) Criminal history record information disseminated under this section shall include a
17	prominent notification that it is provided solely for review by the subject and may not be reliable
18	or current for another use.
19	SECTION 304. DISSEMINATION LOG. The central repository shall create,
20	maintain, and store a dissemination log. A dissemination shall be entered in the log not later than
21	[14] days after information is disseminated. The central repository shall maintain an entry in the
22	log [as long as it maintains the associated information].

1	SECTION 305. CORRECTION OF INACCURATE INFORMATION. The central
2	repository shall not later than [14] days after discovery that it possesses inaccurate criminal
3	history record information:
4	(1) correct its own records;
5	(2) notify all persons that submitted or received the inaccurate information for a criminal
6	justice purpose of the inaccuracy and the required correction;
7	(3) on request of the subject:
8	(A) disseminate a notice about the inaccuracy and the required correction to any
9	person identified by the subject that received the inaccurate information for a non-criminal
10	justice purpose within the previous five years; and
11	(B) provide to the subject at no cost one official corrected copy of the accurate
12	information.
13	SECTION 306. DUTIES OF CENTRAL REPOSITORY. The central repository
14	shall ensure that the collection, maintenance, storage, and dissemination of criminal history
15	record information is accurate. The central repository shall:
16	(1) prescribe rules for the manner and form in which a contributing justice agency shall
17	collect, store, maintain, and submit information to the central repository on a reportable event,
18	including standards for biometric information, and ensure that multiple items of information for
19	the same subject are linked appropriately;
20	(2) prescribe rules and forms for reporting, exchanging, and challenging the accuracy of
21	information under this [act]; and
22	(3) prescribe rules necessary to carry out its duties under this [act].

1	SECTION 307. DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION FOR STATISTICAL
2	AND RESEARCH PURPOSES. The central repository may disseminate criminal history
3	record information for statistical or research purposes if the information does not disclose the
4	identity of any individual directly or indirectly. Subsequent dissemination and use of the
5	information under this section is subject to rules prescribed by the central repository.
6	SECTION 308. PUBLIC INFORMATION. The central repository shall inform the
7	public about the existence, usage, and accessibility of criminal history record information
8	maintained by the central repository and other repositories. The central repository shall inform
9	the public, at least annually, concerning:
10	(1) the quantity and general nature of the criminal history record information collected,
11	stored, maintained, and disseminated in the state;
12	(2) the number of corrections to criminal history record information made by repositories
13	and the central repository;
14	(3) results of the audits under Section 602 and the status of any remediation; and
15	(4) requirements and forms for access, review, and correction of criminal history record
16	information in repositories and the central repository.
17	SECTION 309. TRAINING.
18	(a) The central repository shall provide regular training to contributing justice agencies
19	about submitting information on a reportable event and the importance of the information to both
20	society and the criminal justice system.
21	(b) The central repository shall identify contributing justice agencies and repositories that
22	do not meet minimum standards under this [act] and provide them remedial training.
23 24	Legislative Note: Responsible agency or individual. As discussed supra, the phrase "responsible agency or individual" is used in various places in the comments and in brackets in

the text of the act itself, including in this article. The drafters intend it to mean the appropriate state agency or individual charged with certain responsibilities under this act. It is most commonly designed to signal a situation when a senior criminal justice policy maker – either in the form of a responsible agency or individual – needs to adopt a regulation or make a decision that is best served by honoring the principle of checks-and-balances. That is, the regulations should be adopted by an entity or individual outside of the day-to-day operation of the criminal history system. The central repository is a perfectly appropriate entity to create general operational rules and no one is impugning the integrity of the individuals within the criminal history system. This is simply a structural point. In some states, the "responsible agency or individual" may be the Attorney General or the Office of the Attorney General. In other states, it will be a different actor or entity. It need not be filled by the same person or agency each time it is used. That is a decision best left to the adopting state. The phrase "responsible agency or individual," allows for each state to fill this position appropriately in light of its own constitutional structure and political landscape. Ideally, in the states that use the Attorney General position as the chief law enforcement officer and "minister of justice," the Attorney General is best suited to fulfill these duties.

2 3

Maintaining dissemination logs. The drafters prefer that dissemination logs be maintained as long as the associated criminal history record information is maintained. Recognizing, however, that existing records retention provisions in certain jurisdictions may already speak to this general issue, the language specifying duration of record maintenance is placed in brackets.

Comment

Role of the central repository. The central repository is the hub into and out of which criminal history record information will flow. It serves this role, as it does in many jurisdictions, for both intra-state and inter-state purposes. There are duty of accuracy, logging, and correction provisions that are similar to the ones provided for contributing justice agencies. The central repository is also the primary contact for other states and the federal system, allowing it to serve as a clearing house for the management of the universe of criminal history record information that may be fed into the databases held within that jurisdiction.

Verification of identify and authorization. Verification of identity may include biometric information pursuant to implementing regulations under this act.

 Role in employment and related checks. The central repository's primary function is to act as the hub for criminal history record information used for contributing justice agency purposes. There is, of course, a growing use of this information for purposes of government-mandated and voluntary employment, licensing, etc. Accuracy concerns are heightened in this context in part because there is no related adversarial proceeding before a neutral magistrate. Inspired in part by efforts in California that many consider successful, this section requires the central repository to make a good faith effort to ensure that disposition information is connected to arrests and charges. See. Cal. Code. Regs. tit. 11, § 720 (2017). Senator Grassley and others introduced the Sentencing Reform and Corrections Act of 2015 ("SRCA") on October 1, 2015. Although Congress did not pass the SRCA, it is worth noting that SRCA's Section 213, which

was entitled "Ensuring Accuracy of Federal Criminal Records," provided that certain arrests without disposition information that were more than two years old could not be disseminated. *Cf.* Idaho Code Ann. § 67-3008(2)(iv)("A record of an arrest that does not contain a disposition after twelve (12) months from the date of arrest may only be disseminated by the department to criminal justice agencies, to the subject of the record, or to a person requesting the criminal history information with a signed release from the subject of the record.").

Duty to correct. The act requires central repository (and in an earlier provision contributing justice agencies) that learns of inaccurate criminal history record information – regardless of how it learns of it – to fix it in its own records and to pass along the corrected information to whomever it has provided the inaccurate information. That latter process is facilitated by the maintenance of dissemination logs. Thoroughly tracking the information will allow for more effective correction, as well as providing essential process data for the audit, discussed *infra*.

Accuracy remains the overriding goal of the act. Of course, procedures relating to the mechanics of how criminal history record information will be corrected and in what form are left to the state and its central repository.

Outreach to the public and contributing justice agencies. This section also assigns the central repository educative and supportive roles. It will try to raise public awareness about the importance of criminal history record information and how individuals can access their records to check for accuracy. It will also have the responsibility to train contributing justice agencies and focus on those agencies that are not reporting as required because those agencies present significant accuracy risks to the entire system. The act envisions a system of web-based postings, webinars and guidelines, though the central repository has the flexibility to conduct this outreach in the manner determined to be effective in that jurisdiction. This same system could be used to update the public on the audit results and subsequent remediation. Existing central repository websites could satisfy these requirements.

[ARTICLE] 4

MISTAKEN IDENTITY PREVENTION REGISTRY

SECTION 401. CREATION AND MAINTENANCE OF REGISTRY. The central

- repository shall create and maintain a mistaken identity prevention registry:
- 35 (1) designed to prevent:
- 36 (A) mistaken arrest and confusion of an individual with another individual when
- 37 criminal history record information is searched; and
 - (B) inaccurate creation or modification of criminal history record information;

1	and
2	(2) consisting of information voluntarily provided by:
3	(A) a victim of mistaken identity; or
4	(B) an individual whose name or other identifying characteristic is similar to that
5	of another individual who is the subject of a particular item of criminal history record
6	information.
7	SECTION 402. INFORMATION INCLUDED IN REGISTRY.
8	(a) The central repository shall prescribe rules and procedures for an individual to be
9	entered in the mistaken identity prevention registry. The rules and procedures shall include:
10	(1) submission by the individual of a request to be entered in the registry; and
11	(2) collection of biometric information from the individual.
12	(b) An individual who satisfies the requirements and procedures under subsection (a)
13	shall be entered in the mistaken identity prevention registry. An individual improperly denied
14	entry in the registry may seek relief under [the state administrative procedure act] as a contested
15	case.
16	SECTION 403. CERTIFICATION. Not later than [14] days after entering an
17	individual in the mistaken identity prevention registry, the central repository shall provide the
18	individual a certification that the individual is not the individual with a similar name or
19	identifying characteristics who is the subject of a particular item of criminal history record
20	information. The certification is prima facie evidence of the facts certified.
21	SECTION 404. DISCLOSURE OF REGISTRY INFORMATION.
22	(a) The central repository may not use or disclose information from the mistaken identity
23	prevention registry except as provided in this [article].

I	(b) The central repository shall disclose information from the mistaken identity
2	prevention registry to a contributing justice agency when there is reason to believe that
3	identifying information on a reportable event may be inaccurate or not associated with the
4	correct individual.
5	(c) The central repository may disclose information from the mistaken identity prevention
6	registry to a national mistaken identity prevention registry with a purpose and protections similar
7	to the registry created in this [article] if the national registry is created and maintained by the
8	Federal Bureau of Investigation.
9	SECTION 405. MISTAKEN IDENTITY MATCH. If a contributing justice agency
10	seeks to establish the identity of an individual and the individual presents a certification issued
11	under Section 403, the agency shall accept the certification as prima facie evidence of the
12	individual's identity unless the agency has a reasonable basis to doubt the individual's identity or
13	authenticity of the certification, in which case the agency shall contact the central repository to
14	verify its authenticity using the rules prescribed by the central repository.
15	SECTION 406. LIMITATION ON USE OF REGISTRY INFORMATION.
16	(a) A contributing justice agency may access or use information from the mistaken
17	identity prevention registry only to:
18	(1) identify accurately an individual about whom the agency has requested or
19	received registry information; or
20	(2) investigate, prosecute, or adjudicate an individual for an offense relating to
21	participating in the registry.
22	(b) If information in the registry is intentionally, recklessly or negligently accessed or

used for a purpose other than permitted under subsection (a):

1	(1) the information and any information acquired as a result of the improper
2	access shall be excluded from use in any criminal or civil action; and
3	(2) the central repository shall notify the individual whose information was
4	accessed improperly not later than [five] days after discovery of the access.
5	SECTION 407. REMOVAL OF INFORMATION FROM REGISTRY.
6	(a) The central repository shall prescribe rules regarding a request to remove information
7	from the mistaken identity prevention registry.
8	(b) Not later [14] days after receiving a request from an individual for removal of
9	information voluntarily submitted under Section 402(b), the central repository shall remove the
10	information from the registry.
11	Discussion Note
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Mistaken Identity Prevention Registry. Identification mistakes can lead to inaccurate criminal history record information and erroneous arrests. See, e.g., Stephanie Chen, Officer, You've Got the Wrong Person, cnn.com (Feb. 15, 2010), available at http://www.cnn.com/2010/CRIME/02/15/colorado.mistaken.identity.arrest/ ("A mistaken identity arrest occurs almost every day, said policing experts and officials at the National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers."); Christopher N. Osher, Wrongfully Jailed: Records Details More Than 500 Mistaken-Identity Arrests in Denver in Seven Years, www.denverpost.com (Jan. 7, 2012), available at http://www.denverpost.com/2012/01/07/wrongfully-jailed-records-detail-more-than-500-mistaken-identity-arrests-in-denver-in-seven-years/ . This article, which is inspired in part by a Minnesota provision, is designed to proactively help individuals who are the victim of identity theft, while also improving the accuracy of the criminal record system more broadly. It allows for these individuals to voluntarily provide information about themselves, including biometric information, to a restricted registry which would be used to verify whether a particular person truly is the subject of a reportable event. There are also analogies to the Voluntary Appeals File ("VAF") program associated with the FBI's National Instant Criminal Background Check System. See https://www.fbi.gov/about-us/cjis/nics and https://www.fbi.gov/about-us/cjis/nics and https://www.fbi.gov/about-us/cjis/nics and https://www.fbi.gov/about-us/cjis/nics and

1 law enforcement during the identity verification process. It is up to the individual state to 2 determine requirements and procedures, but the drafters encourage states to consult with law 3 enforcement and look to NLETS as a potential partner. See http://www.nlets.org. 4 5 [ARTICLE] 5 6 SUBJECT'S RIGHT TO CORRECT CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD INFORMATION 7 SECTION 501. RIGHT OF ACCESS AND REVIEW. A subject may access and 8 review the subject's criminal history record information collected, maintained, or stored by a 9 repository or the central repository. The repository or central repository shall permit access not 10 later than [five] days after submission of a proper request and, if appropriate, verification of the 11 requester's identity and authority. 12 **SECTION 502. CHALLENGE OF ACCURACY.** A subject may challenge and seek correction of criminal history record information by sending the repository or central repository 13 14 maintaining the information a challenge specifying the item of information alleged to be 15 inaccurate and providing correct information. 16 SECTION 503. ACTION ON CHALLENGE. 17 (a) Not later than [40] days after receipt of a challenge under this [article], a repository or 18 the central repository shall review and act on the challenge unless the director of the repository 19 or central repository certifies, and notifies the subject, that there is good cause for a 20 nonrenewable [21]-day extension. 21 (b) If the repository or central repository does not act within the time provided in 22 subsection (a), the challenge is deemed sustained. 23 (c) [The state administrative procedure act] governs administrative and judicial review of 24 an action by a repository or the central repository on a challenge under this [article].

1	SECTION 504. CORRECTION OF RECORDS. If a challenge under this [article] is
2	sustained, a repository or the central repository, not later than [five] days after the challenge is
3	sustained, shall:
4	(1) correct its own records;
5	(2) notify all persons that submitted or received the inaccurate information for a criminal
6	justice purpose of the inaccuracy and the required correction; and
7	(3) on request of the subject:
8	(A) disseminate a notice about the inaccuracy and the required correction to any
9	person identified by the subject that received the inaccurate information for a non-criminal
10	justice purpose within the previous five years; and
11	(B) provide the subject at no cost one official, corrected copy of the accurate
12	criminal history record information.
13	Discussion Note
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Access, review, challenge, correction and appeal. This article provides subjects rights to access and challenge the criminal history record information about them for the explicit purpose of ensuring that all of the criminal history record information managed by the state, regardless of its source, is correct and up-to-date. While section 503(c) defers to the state's administrative procedure act, the drafters anticipate that the government will have the burden of proving the accuracy of the challenged information by a preponderance of the evidence in an administrative review.
22 23 24	Accuracy remains the overriding goal of the act. Of course, procedures relating to the mechanics of how criminal history record information will be corrected and in what form are left to the state and its central repository.

1 [ARTICLE] 6 2 SYSTEMS SECURITY AND AUDITS 3 SECTION 601. SECURITY REQUIREMENTS FOR CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE 4 **AGENCIES AND CENTRAL REPOSITORY.** A contributing justice agency, repository, and 5 the central repository, in compliance with regulations adopted by the [responsible agency or 6 individual], shall ensure the confidentiality and security of the criminal history record 7 information it collects, maintains, stores, and disseminates. The agency or repository shall: 8 (1) prescribe rules and procedures to reasonably protect each location where 9 information is stored or maintained from loss or damage; 10 (2) ensure that only authorized persons have access to the information; 11 (3) select, supervise, and train persons authorized to have access to the 12 information; 13 (4) if computerized data processing is used, ensure that the equipment maintaining 14 the information meets computer security standards promulgated by the [responsible agency or 15 individual]; and 16 (5) maintain an index of all data breaches, which shall be made available on 17 request to the [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, 18 or integrity] and the [responsible agency or individual]. 19 SECTION 602. MANDATORY REPRESENTATIVE AUDIT. 20 (a) The [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, 21 audit, or integrity] shall ensure that an audit of the central repository and a representative sample 22 of all repositories is conducted at least every [three] years. The audits may be done in 23 conjunction with another audit.

1	(b) An audit under this section shall assess the integrity of each computerized system and
2	database and each physical location storing criminal history record information for compliance
3	with this [act].
4	(c) An audit under this section of the central repository, shall:
5	(1) evaluate the operational practices of the central repository to assess
6	consistency, efficiency, and security; and
7	(2) assess any data breach in the central repository and response to the breach.
8	(d) An audit under this section of a repository shall:
9	(1) collect data from a representative sample of all criminal history record
10	information stored at the repository to assess consistency, efficiency, and security;
11	(2) determine the number of missing reportable events and amount and nature of
12	missing biometric information in the samples, in part by examining public records of the courts
13	of this state;
14	(3) assess any data breach in the repository and response to the breach;
15	(4) be representative of the overall status of the system of criminal history record
16	information management and ensure that selection of repositories audited is random and in
17	accordance with best practices; and
18	(5) review the plans, policies, and procedures of a representative sample
19	comprising at least [five] percent of all police and sheriff's departments and [10] percent of all
20	[judicial districts] for compliance with this [act].
21	(e) The repository or central repository shall give the [senior elected or appointed official
22	responsible for governmental oversight, audit, or integrity] access to the records, reports, listings
23	and information required to conduct an audit under this section. All officers, employees, and

- 1 contractors of the state or a political subdivision of the state with relevant information shall
- 2 cooperate with the [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight,
- audit, or integrity] and provide information requested for an audit.
- 4 (f) The [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight,
- 5 audit, or integrity] shall prepare and make available a public report containing the results of the
- 6 audits under this section and a list of any deficiencies and recommendations for correction of
- 7 deficiencies.

9

- 8 Legislative Note: Responsible agency or individual. As discussed supra, the phrase
 - "responsible agency or individual" is used in various places in the comments and in brackets in
- 10 the text of the act itself, including in this article. The drafters intend it to mean the appropriate
- state agency or individual charged with certain responsibilities under this act. It is most
- 12 commonly designed to signal a situation when a senior criminal justice policy maker either in
- 13 the form of a responsible agency or individual needs to adopt a regulation or make a decision
- 14 that is best served by honoring the principle of checks-and-balances. That is, the regulations
- should be adopted by an entity or individual outside of the day-to-day operation of the criminal
- 16 history system. The central repository is a perfectly appropriate entity to create general
- 17 operational rules and no one is impugning the integrity of the individuals within the criminal
- 18 history system. This is simply a structural point. In some states, the "responsible agency or
- 19 individual" may be the Attorney General or the Office of the Attorney General. In other states, it
- 20 will be a different actor or entity. It need not be filled by the same person or agency each time it
- 21 is used. That is a decision best left to the adopting state. The phrase "responsible agency or
- 22 individual," allows for each state to fill this position appropriately in light of its own
- 22 individual, allows for each state to fitt this position appropriately in light of its own
- constitutional structure and political landscape. Ideally, in the states that use the Attorney
 General position as the chief law enforcement officer and "minister of justice," the Attorney
- 25 General is best suited to fulfill these duties.

262728

29

30

31

32

33

34

Senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, or integrity. The act envisions that the senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, or integrity is an individual outside of the day-to-day operation of the criminal history record information system who is qualified to conduct these audits. While the "responsible agency or individual" concept can be filled in different ways and need not be the same at all points in this act, the drafters believe that the auditing role in this article deserves different language. Depending on existing state structures, potential appropriate individuals to fill this role might be the Attorney General, the Auditor General, the Ombudsperson, or the Inspector General. Of course, adopting states are free to designate others as they see fit.

35 36

38

37 Comment

Data Security. In an effort ensure accuracy, the act requires that basic security measures

be in place given the sensitive nature of criminal history record information. These provisions of the act should be more than satisfied by compliance with current federal regulations.

Mandatory audits. This article provides for mandatory and representative audits. Audits are essential to understanding how the criminal history record information structure is actually working and to prevent the automatic replication of systematic errors. If policy makers do not understand the nature and prevalence of the inaccuracies, they cannot address them effectively. The auditing process will also facilitate the identification of agencies or departments failing to meet their obligations under the act. While the central repository and other parties have a range of formal options to remediate these deficiencies, the public distribution of the results of the audit results alone may serve to increase system-wide compliance.

[ARTICLE] 7

ENFORCEMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION

SECTION 701. SANCTIONS AND REMEDIES.

- (a) An individual or contributing justice agency that violates this [act], in addition to other remedies provided by this [act] or by other law, may:
- (1) be denied access specified criminal history record information, specified repositories, and the central repository for a time determined by the [responsible agency or individual];
 - (2) be subject to a civil penalty or other remedy under subsection (c); and
- (3) consistent with law of this state other than this [act], if the violator is a government employee, be administratively disciplined.
- (b) In addition to other available remedies, the [responsible agency or individual], central repository, or a subject, may bring an action concerning an item of criminal history record information to compel compliance with this [act] or enjoin the central repository, a repository, a contributing justice agency, or another person from violating this [act]. The court may award costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees to a subject who prevails in the action.
 - (c) In addition to other available remedies, a subject injured by an intentional or reckless

1 violation of this [act] or implementing regulations, may bring an action for actual damages. If 2 the court determines by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject was injured by an 3 intentional or reckless violation, the court shall award the greater of actual damages, or not less 4 than \$[500] per violation up to a maximum of \$[2,000] per action, in addition to the costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees. 5 6 SECTION 702. DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF RESPONSIBLE AGENCY. 7 (a) The [responsible agency or individual] shall adopt regulations necessary to implement 8 this [act]. The regulations shall include a provision that: 9 (1) governs the security, accuracy, dissemination and review of, and individual 10 access to, criminal history record information; [and] 11 (2) ensures that electronic data, including biometric information, are stored in a 12 manner that reflects best practices for personally identifiable information; and 13 (3) sets a reasonable maximum fee for the cost of disseminating criminal history 14 record information and provides an indigent subject at least one free annual access to the 15 subject's information. 16 (b) The [responsible agency or individual] may designate any governmental agency or 17 sub-unit, other than the central repository or a court, as a contributing justice agency. 18 (c) The [responsible agency or individual] may investigate any matter relating to the 19 administration and enforcement of this [act]. 20 (d) The [responsible agency or individual] may bring a civil action to enforce this [act]. 21 Legislative Note: Responsible agency or individual. As discussed supra, the phrase "responsible agency or individual" is used in various places in the comments and in brackets in 22 the text of the act itself, including in this article. The drafters intend it to mean the appropriate 23 24 state agency or individual charged with certain responsibilities under this act. It is most 25 commonly designed to signal a situation when a senior criminal justice policy maker – either in the form of a responsible agency or individual – needs to adopt a regulation or make a decision 26

that is best served by honoring the principle of checks-and-balances. That is, the regulations should be adopted by an entity or individual outside of the day-to-day operation of the criminal history system. The central repository is a perfectly appropriate entity to create general operational rules and no one is impugning the integrity of the individuals within the criminal history system. This is simply a structural point. In some states, the "responsible agency or individual" may be the Attorney General or the Office of the Attorney General. In other states, it will be a different actor or entity. It need not be filled by the same person or agency each time it is used. That is a decision best left to the adopting state. The phrase "responsible agency or individual," allows for each state to fill this position appropriately in light of its own constitutional structure and political landscape. Ideally, in the states that use the Attorney General position as the chief law enforcement officer and "minister of justice," the Attorney General is best suited to fulfill these duties.

14 Comment

Individual sanctions. This article provides for an array of sanctions against individuals who violate the act. It also allows both subjects and the relevant government official, again in brackets, to seek injunctive relief. The article affords a subject who is injured by a violation of the act a civil damages remedy with a modest minimum (\$500 per violation up to \$2,000 for related violations) and a fee-shifting provision on the theory that the true extent of actual damages may be difficult to prove. *Cf.* 18 U.S.C. § 2724(b). These sanctions are modeled after some existing provisions, and are limited in scope. All of this, of course, is also designed to serve as a clear and enforceable incentive to minimize inaccuracies.

Criminal sanctions. The drafters do not propose a criminal sanction, in part because they believe that existing criminal statutes cover behavior that warrants a response by the criminal justice system. While anyone can report a suspected criminal violation to the appropriate prosecutorial authorities, the drafters anticipate that the responsible agency or individual and the senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, or integrity will be particularly attentive to such circumstances. Of course, the act does not limit the prosecutorial power of any individual or entity to enforce existing law.

[ARTICLE] 8

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

SECTION 801. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION. In

applying and construing this uniform act, consideration shall be given to the need to promote

uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.

[SECTION 802. SEVERABILITY. If any provision of this [act] or its application to

any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or

1	applications of this [act] which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application,
2	and to this end the provisions of this [act] are severable.]
3 4	Legislative Note: Include this section only if this state lacks a general severability statute or a decision by the highest court of this state stating a general rule of severability.
5	SECTION 803. REPEALS; CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.
6	(a)
7	(b)
8	(c)
9	SECTION 804. EFFECTIVE DATE. This [act] takes effect