DRAFT

FOR DISCUSSION ONLY

IMPLEMENTATION OF HAGUE CONVENTION ON PROTECTION OF CHILDREN

(Revisions to the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act)

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS

ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

MEETING IN ITS ONE-HUNDRED-AND-TWENTY-FIRST YEAR NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE JULY 13 - JULY 19, 2012

IMPLEMENTATION OF HAGUE CONVENTION ON PROTECTION OF CHILDREN

(Revisions to the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act)

WITH PREFATORY NOTE AND COMMENTS

Copyright ©2012
By
NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS
ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

The ideas and conclusions set forth in this draft, including the proposed statutory language and any comments or reporter's notes, have not been passed upon by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws or the Drafting Committee. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Conference and its Commissioners and the Drafting Committee and its Members and Reporter. Proposed statutory language may not be used to ascertain the intent or meaning of any promulgated final statutory proposal.

DRAFTING COMMITTEE ON IMPLEMENTATION OF HAGUE CONVENTION ON PROTECTION OF CHILDREN

The Committee appointed by and representing the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in preparing this draft consists of the following individuals:

BATTLE R. ROBINSON, 104 W. Market St., Georgetown, DE 19947, Chair

STEVEN G. FROST, 111 W. Monroe St., Chicago, IL 60603-4080

JESS O. HALE, General Assembly of Tennessee, Office of Legal Services, G-18 War Memorial Bldg., Nashville, TN 37243-0059

THOMAS S. HEMMENDINGER, 362 Broadway, Providence, RI 02909-1434

LYLE W. HILLYARD, 595 S. Riverwoods Pkwy., Suite 100, Logan, UT 84321

H. KATHLEEN PATCHEL, Indiana University School of Law-Indianapolis, 5715 E. 56th St., Indianapolis, IN 46226

KAREN E. POWELL, Montana Tax Appeal Board, P.O. Box 200138, MT 59620

SUZANNE REYNOLDS, Wake Forest University School of Law, Campus Box 7206, 1834 Wake Forest Rd., Winston-Salem, NC 27109

HARRY L. TINDALL, 1300 Post Oak Blvd., Suite 1550, Houston, TX 77056-3081

ROBERT G. SPECTOR, University of Oklahoma College of Law, 300 Timberdell Rd., Norman, OK 73019, *Reporter*

EX OFFICIO

MICHAEL HOUGHTON, P.O. Box 1347, 1201 N. Market St., 18th Floor, Wilmington, DE 19899, *President*

PAMELA WINSTON BERTANI, 832 Texas St., Fairfield, CA 94533, Division Chair

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ADVISOR

STEPHANIE DOMITROVICH, Erie County Courthouse, 140 W. 6th St., Room 223, Erie, PA 16501-1030, *ABA Advisor*

RONALD W. NELSON, 11900 W. 87th St. Pkwy, Suite 117, Lenexa, KS 66215-4517, ABA Section Advisor

DAVID B. STARKS, 425 Pike St., Suite 500, Seattle, WA 98101-2334, ABA Section Advisor

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

JOHN A. SEBERT, 111 N. Wabash, Suite 1010, Chicago, IL 60602, Executive Director

Copies of this Act may be obtained from:

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS
ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS
111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010
Chicago, IL 60602
312/450-6600
www.uniformlaws.org

IMPLEMENTATION OF HAGUE CONVENTION ON PROTECTION OF CHILDREN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Prefatory Note.	1
	[ARTICLE] 1.
	GENERAL PROVISIONS
SECTION 101.	SHORT TITLE
	DEFINITIONS
	PROCEEDINGS GOVERNED BY OTHER LAW9
SECTION 104.	APPLICATION TO INDIAN TRIBES
SECTION 105.	INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION OF [ACT]10
	EFFECT OF CHILD-CUSTODY DETERMINATION
	PRIORITY11
SECTION 108.	NOTICE TO PERSONS OUTSIDE STATE
SECTION 109.	APPEARANCE AND LIMITED IMMUNITY
	COMMUNICATION BETWEEN COURTS13
SECTION 111.	TAKING TESTIMONY IN ANOTHER STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY. 14
SECTION 112.	COOPERATION BETWEEN COURTS; PRESERVATION OF RECORDS. 14
	[ARTICLE] 2
	JURISDICTION
SECTION 201	INITIAL CHILD-CUSTODY JURISDICTION
	EXCLUSIVE, CONTINUING JURISDICTION
	JURISDICTION TO MODIFY DETERMINATION
	TEMPORARY EMERGENCY JURISDICTION
	NOTICE; OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD; JOINDER
	SIMULTANEOUS PROCEEDINGS
	INCONVENIENT FORUM
	JURISDICTION DECLINED BY REASON OF CONDUCT
	INFORMATION TO BE SUBMITTED TO COURT
	APPEARANCE OF PARTIES AND CHILD
SECTION 211.	FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS
	[ARTICLE] 3
	ENFORCEMENT
	DEFINITIONS
	ENFORCEMENT UNDER HAGUE <u>ABDUCTION</u> CONVENTION 26
	DUTY TO ENFORCE
	TEMPORARY VISITATION
	REGISTRATION OF CHILD-CUSTODY DETERMINATION
	ENFORCEMENT OF REGISTERED DETERMINATION
	SIMULTANEOUS PROCEEDINGS
	EXPEDITED ENFORCEMENT OF CHILD-CUSTODY
	MINATION
	SERVICE OF PETITION AND ORDER
SECTION 310.	HEARING AND ORDER33

SECTION 311. WARRANT TO TAKE PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF CHILD	34
SECTION 312. COSTS, FEES, AND EXPENSES	35
SECTION 313. RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT	
SECTION 314. APPEALS	35
SECTION 315. ROLE OF [PROSECUTOR OR PUBLIC OFFICIAL]	36
SECTION 316. ROLE OF [LAW ENFORCEMENT]	
SECTION 317. COSTS AND EXPENSES	
[ARTICLE] 4	
PROCEEDINGS UNDER CONVENTION	27
SECTION 401. SCOPE.	
[SECTION 402. APPLICABILITY OF OTHER SECTIONS OF ACT]	
SECTION 403. HABITUAL RESIDENCE.	39
SECTION 404. MEASURE OF PROTECTION: JURISDICTION	40
SECTION 405. SIMULTANEOUS PROCEEDINGS	
SECTION 406. JURISDICTION IN CASE OF WRONGFUL REMOVAL OR RETENTION	
OF CHILD.	
SECTION 407. TRANSFER OF JURISDICTION	
SECTION 408. MEASURE OF PROTECTION: TEMPORARY JURISDICTION	46
SECTION 409. DURATION OF MEASURE	
SECTION 410. CONFLICT OF LAWS	48
SECTION 411. CONFLICT OF LAWS RULES REGARDING PARENTAL	
<u>RESPONSIBILITY</u>	49
SECTION 412. DUTY TO RECOGNIZE MEASURE OF PROTECTION ORDERED IN A	
CONVENTION COUNTRY	51
SECTION 413. REGISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF CONVENTION MEASURE	53
SECTION 414. COOPERATION WITH CONVENTION COUNTRY	53
SECTION 415. SUITABILITY TO EXERCISE VISITATION	54
[ARTICLE] 4 <u>5</u>	
MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS	
SECTION 401 501. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION	54
SECTION 502. RELATION TO ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES IN GLOBAL AND	
NATIONAL COMMERCE ACT	
SECTION 405 503. TRANSITIONAL PROVISION	55
[SECTION 402 504. SEVERABILITY]	
SECTION 403 505. EFFECTIVE DATE	55

IMPLEMENTATION OF HAGUE CONVENTION ON PROTECTION OF CHILDREN

Prefatory Note

I. FROM THE UCCJA TO THE UCCJEA

In 1997 the Uniform Law Commission revisited the problem of the interstate child when it promulgated the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (UCCJEA) as a replacement for the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act (UCCJA). The UCCJA was adopted as law in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Virgin Islands. A number of adoptions, however, significantly departed from the original text. In addition, almost thirty years of litigation since the promulgation of the UCCJA produced substantial inconsistency in interpretation by state courts. As a result, the goals of the UCCJA were rendered unobtainable in many cases.

In 1980, the federal government enacted the Parental Kidnaping Prevention Act (PKPA) to address the interstate custody jurisdiction and enforcement problems that continued to exist after the adoption of the UCCJA. The PKPA mandates that state authorities give full faith and credit to other states' custody determinations, so long as those determinations were made in conformity with the provisions of the PKPA. The PKPA provisions regarding bases for jurisdiction, restrictions on modifications, preclusion of simultaneous proceedings, and notice requirements were similar to those in the UCCJA. There were, however, some significant differences.

As documented in an extensive study by the American Bar Association's Center on Children and the Law, Obstacles to the Recovery and Return of Parentally Abducted Children (1993) (Obstacles Study), inconsistency of interpretation of the UCCJA and the technicalities of applying the PKPA, resulted in a loss of uniformity among the states. The Obstacles Study suggested a number of amendments which would eliminate the inconsistent state interpretations and harmonize the UCCJA with the PKPA.

The UCCJEA revisions of the jurisdictional provisions of the UCCJA eliminated the inconsistent state interpretations and can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Home state priority. Rather than four concurrent bases of jurisdiction, the UCCJEA prioritized home state jurisdiction over all other bases thereby conforming the UCCJEA to the PKPA.
- 2. Clarification of emergency jurisdiction. This jurisdictional basis was clarified to make it clear that it provided jurisdiction only on a temporary basis and was specifically made applicable to state domestic violence protective order cases.
- 3. Exclusive continuing jurisdiction for the state that entered the decree. The UCCJEA made it explicit that the state that made the original custody determination retained exclusive continuing jurisdiction over the custody determination so long as that state remained the residence of a parent, the child, or a person acting as a parent.

- 4. Specification of what custody proceedings are covered. These provisions extended the coverage of the UCCJEA to all cases, except adoptions, where a child custody determination was made. This eliminated the substantial ambiguity of the UCCJA concerning which proceeding were covered.
- 5. Role of "Best Interests." The UCCJEA eliminated the term "best interests" in order to clearly distinguish between the jurisdictional standards and the substantive standards relating to custody of and visitation with children.

The UCCJEA also enacted specific provisions on the enforcement of custody determinations for interstate cases. First, there is a simple procedure for registering a custody determination in another state. This allows a party to know in advance whether that state will recognize the party's custody determination. This is extremely important in estimating the risk of the child's non-return when the child is sent on visitation to another state.

Second, the Act provides a swift remedy along the lines of habeas corpus. Time is extremely important in visitation and custody cases. If visitation rights cannot be enforced quickly, they often cannot be enforced at all. This is particularly true if there is a limited time within which visitation can be exercised such as may be the case when one parent has been granted visitation during the winter or spring holiday period. Without speedy consideration and resolution of the enforcement of such visitation rights, the ability to visit may be lost entirely. Similarly, a custodial parent must be able to obtain prompt enforcement when the noncustodial parent refuses to return a child at the end of authorized visitation, particularly when a summer visitation extension will infringe on the school year. A swift enforcement mechanism is desirable for violations of both custody and visitation provisions.

Third, the enforcing court will be able to utilize an extraordinary remedy. If the enforcing court is concerned that the parent, who has physical custody of the child, will flee or harm the child, a warrant to take physical possession of the child is available.

Finally, there is a role for public authorities, such as prosecutors, in the enforcement process. Their involvement will encourage the parties to abide by the terms of the custody determination. If the parties know that public authorities and law enforcement officers are available to help in securing compliance with custody determinations, the parties may be deterred from interfering with the exercise of rights established by court order.

II.

THE 1996 HAGUE CONVENTION ON JURISDICTION, APPLICABLE LAW, RECOGNITION, ENFORCEMENT AND CO-OPERATION IN RESPECT OF PARENTAL RESPONSIBILITY AND MEASURES FOR THE PROTECTION OF CHILDREN

At the same time that the Uniform Law Commission was revising the UCCJA, the Hague Conference on Private International law was revising the 1961 Convention on the Protection of Minors. That Convention was adopted by a number of European States and was utilized to recognize custody determinations. However, no common law country ratified the convention. The Hague Conference decided that a revised convention on jurisdiction and judgments with

regard to minors might attract more countries as signatories. This resulted in the 1996 Convention which established international standards for jurisdiction, choice of law, and enforcement of judgments in cases regarding measures taken for the protection of minors.

There are significant differences between the UCCJEA and the 1996 Convention. However, the purposes of the two are very similar. They are both designed to allocate judicial competence to decide cases involving child custody and visitation. Both documents provide for enforcement of custody and visitation determinations of other states or countries. The differences are in the details of how this is to be accomplished.

There is a large part of the 1996 Convention that is devoted to country to country cooperation. There is a small role for a national central authority in carrying out the cooperation provisions of the Convention. Most of the cooperation provisions are ultimately directed to the "competent authority" which would be the appropriate entity under local law for carrying out the particular function referred to in the 1996 Convention. This means that the central authority in the United States will delegate these functions to the local authority. These cooperation problems will be addressed in the federal implementing legislation. Therefore it is not necessary to address the particular cooperation aspects contained in Chapter V of the 1996 Convention in this revision to the UCCJEA.

III. THE INTERNATIONAL CUSTODY CASE

The international child custody case, like the international child support case, has always been the marginal case in the multi-state system. However, with increasing globalization, the international case has been assuming more importance. The international case was dealt with in both the UCCJA and the UCCJEA.

A. THE UCCJA

Section 23 of the UCCJA provided that the general policies of that Act applied to foreign country custody determinations. Foreign custody determinations were to be recognized and enforced if they were made consistently with the UCCJA and there was reasonable notice and opportunity to be heard. There were two types of issues that arose under this section. The first was whether a United States court would defer to a foreign tribunal when that tribunal would have jurisdiction under the UCCJA and the case was filed first in that tribunal. The second issue was whether a state of the United States would recognize, under this section, a custody determination made by a foreign tribunal.

On the first issue, the UCCJA was ambiguous and only required application of the "general policies" of the Act. Frequently courts in the United States would apply the same jurisdictional principles to international cases that they would apply in interstate cases. For example, in Superior Court v. Plas, 202 Cal.Rptr. 490 (Cal. Ct. App. 1984), the mother filed for custody when she had only been in California with her child for four months. The child was born in France and was raised and lived there with his family until shortly before the California hearing. The court determined that California lacked jurisdiction to hear the case and, even if it

had jurisdiction, it should have deferred to France as the most convenient forum. However, not all states followed the same practice. For example, the Oregon Court of Appeals in Horiba v. Horiba, 950 P.2d 340 (Or. Ct. App. 1997), refused to defer to a pending Japanese proceeding since Japan was not a "state" under the definition of "state" in the UCCJA.

With respect to the second issue, most American states enforced foreign custody orders if made consistently with the jurisdictional standards of the UCCJA and reasonable notice and opportunity to be heard were afforded all participants. However, Missouri, New Mexico and Ohio refused to enact §23 of the UCCJA. Indiana formerly had a provision which seemed to affirmatively require the state to not recognize and enforce a foreign custody order. These provisions undermined the UCCJA principles of recognition and enforcement of custody determinations by countries with appropriate jurisdiction under the UCCJA and created obstacles to the return of children that were illegally abducted.

B. THE UCCJEA

Section 105(a) of the UCCJEA provides that a foreign country will be treated as if it is a state of the United States for the purposes of applying Articles I and II of the UCCJEA. This means that the scope and cooperation principles of Article I as well as the jurisdiction provisions of Article II apply to foreign countries in the same way that they apply to states of United States. Thus communication between a tribunal of the United States and a tribunal in a foreign country is mandatory in cases concerning emergency jurisdiction under Section 204 and simultaneous proceedings under Section 206. Otherwise tribunals in the United States may communicate with tribunals in foreign countries whenever it would be appropriate to communicate with tribunals in the United States under Section 110.

Section 105(b) requires tribunals in the United States to recognize foreign custody determinations if the facts and circumstances of the case indicate that the foreign custody determination was made in substantial conformity with the jurisdictional provisions of the UCCJEA. However, as indicated in Section105(c) a United States court is given the discretion not to apply the UCCJEA if the child custody law of a foreign country violates fundamental principles of human rights. The language of the section was taken from the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction. The drafting committee of the UCCJEA did not attempt to define what aspects of a foreign custody law would violate fundamental principles of human rights. The committee considered a hypothetical case where the foreign custody law awarded custody of children automatically to the father. When asked to decide whether such a provision violated fundamental principles of human rights, the committee, along with the advisors and observers, could not agree. Therefore the application of that provision was left to the courts to determine on a case by case basis.

Application of Section105 does not seem to have presented much of a problem for courts since the enactment of the UCCJEA. In particular it does not appear that enforcement has been denied on the basis of a violation of fundamental principles of human rights. The effect of Section 105 is to ensure that all foreign custody determinations that a made in conformity with UCCJEA jurisdictional standards are enforced in the United States. Ratification of 1996

Convention is not necessary for enforcement of foreign custody decrees; ratification it is necessary in order for United States custody determinations to be enforced in other countries.

IV. THIS REVISION

The purpose of this revision to the UCCJEA is to amend the act to incorporate the 1996 Hague Convention on the Protection of Minors. The United States has signed the Convention and the revision of this Act will constitute part of the implementing legislation. The rest of the Convention will be implemented at the federal level.

1	IMPLEMENTATION OF HAGUE CONVENTION ON PROTECTION OF CHILDREN
2	[ARTICLE] 1.
3	GENERAL PROVISIONS
4	SECTION 101. SHORT TITLE. This [Act] [act] may be cited as the Uniform
5	Child-Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act
6	SECTION 102. DEFINITIONS. In this [Act] [act]:
7	(1) "Abandoned" means left without provision for reasonable and necessary care or
8	supervision.
9	(2) "Authority" means the judicial or administrative entity authorized by a foreign country
10	to order a measure of protection with regard to a child.
11	(2)(3) "Child" means an individual who has not attained 18 years of age.
12	(3)(4) "Child-custody determination" means a judgment, decree, or other order of a court
13	providing for the legal custody, physical custody, or visitation with respect to a child. The term
14	includes a permanent, temporary, or initial, order and a modification order. The term does not
15	include an order relating to property of the child, child support or other monetary obligation of an
16	individual.
17	(4)(5) "Child-custody proceeding" means a proceeding in which legal custody, physical
18	custody, or visitation with respect to a child is an issue. The term includes a proceeding for
19	divorce, separation, neglect, abuse, dependency, guardianship, paternity, termination of parental
20	rights, and protection from domestic violence, in which the issue may appear. The term does not
21	include a proceeding involving juvenile delinquency, contractual emancipation, or enforcement
22	under [Article] 3.
23	(5)(6) "Commencement" means the filing of the first pleading in a proceeding.

1	(7) "Convention" means the Convention on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law, Recognition,
2	Enforcement and Co-operation in Respect of Parental Responsibility and Measures for the
3	Protection of Children, concluded at The Hague on 19 October, 1996.
4	(8) "Convention country" means a country, including a political subdivision thereof, other
5	than the United States, in which the convention is in force with respect to the United States.
6	(6)(9) "Court" means an entity authorized under the law of a State state to establish,
7	enforce, or modify a child-custody determination.
8	(10) "Foreign country" means a country, including a political subdivision thereof, other
9	than the United States.
10	(7)(11) "Home State state" means the State state in which a child lived with a parent or a
11	person acting as a parent for at least six consecutive months immediately before the
12	commencement of a child-custody proceeding. In the case of a child less than six months of age,
13	the term means the State state in which the child lived from birth with any of the persons
14	mentioned. A period of temporary absence of any of the mentioned persons is part of the period.
15	(8)(12) "Initial determination" means the first child-custody determination concerning a
16	particular child.
17	(9)(13) "Issuing court" means the court that makes a child-custody determination for
18	which enforcement is sought under this [Act] [act].
19	(10)(14) "Issuing State state" means the State state in which a child-custody
20	determination is made.
21	(15) "Measure of protection" means a decision on a matter covered by [Article] 4 made
22	by an authority in a foreign country or a court in this state with regard to a child. The term
23	includes a permanent, temporary, or initial measure and a modification. [The term does not

1	include an order relating to property of the child, child support, or other monetary obligation of
2	an individual.]
3	(11)(16) "Modification" means a child-custody determination or measure of protection
4	that changes, replaces, supersedes, or is otherwise made after a previous determination or
5	measure concerning the same child, whether or not it is made by the court or authority that made
6	the previous determination <u>or measure</u> .
7	(17) "Nonconvention country" means a country, including a political subdivision thereof,
8	other than the United States, in which the convention is not in force with respect to the United
9	States.
10	(18) "Parental responsibility" means the rights, powers and obligations of a parent,
11	guardian, or other legal representative in relation to the person of the child.
12	(12)(19) "Person" means an individual, corporation, business trust, estate, trust,
13	partnership, limited liability company, association, joint venture, <u>public corporation</u> , government
14	or governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality, public corporation; or any other legal or
15	commercial entity.
16	(13)(20) "Person acting as a parent" means a person, other than a parent, who:
17	(A) has physical custody of the child or has had physical custody for a period of
18	six consecutive months, including any temporary absence, within one year immediately before
19	the commencement of a child-custody proceeding; and
20	(B) has been awarded legal custody by a court or claims a right to legal custody
21	under the law of this State.
22	(14)(21) "Physical custody" means the physical care and supervision of a child.
23	(15)(22) "State" means a State state of the United States, the District of Columbia,

1	Puerto Rico, the United States Virgin Islands, or any territory or insular possession subject to the
2	jurisdiction of the United States.
3	[(16)(23) "Tribe" means an Indian tribe or band, or Alaskan Native village, which is
4	recognized by federal law or formally acknowledged by a State state.]
5	(17)(24) "Warrant" means an order issued by a court authorizing law enforcement
6	officers to take physical custody of a child.
7	Comment
8 9	Related to the convention: Art. 1(2).
10	The term "authority" is used in connection with cases arising under the convention. Just
11	as it is a "court" that makes a child custody determination under Articles 1-3, so it is an
12	"authority" that makes a "measure" under the convention. The term is broader than "court" in
13	that it includes administrative authorities that, under foreign, law may take a measure of
14 15	protection with regard to a child.
16	Subsection (15) defines the term "measure of protection," or "measure." The term is used
17	most often in Article 4, but does arise in the other articles. The term "custody determination"
18	which is used with regard to United States orders in the first three articles is inappropriate in
19	relationship to a discussion of the 1996 Convention because the convention covers much more
20	than custody determinations. The convention does not itself provide a definition of the term.
21	The term "measure of protection" is defined functionally as a decision regarding a matter covered
22	by Article 4. That means that it is within the scope of Article 4 in Section 401. The second and
23	third sentences of the definition may be redundant given the scope section and therefore could
24	perhaps be eliminated, although many members of the drafting committee wished to include the
25	second sentence of the definition in the text of the definition section and therefore I have
26	bracketed only the third sentence.
27	orachered only the time sentence.
28	The term "parental responsibility" is taken fairly directly from Article 1(2) of the
29	convention. The term is purposely broad in the convention and therefore questions regarding
30	whether a particular issue is to be interpreted as coming within the concept of parental
31	responsibility ought to be resolved in favor of inclusion. See the Explanatory Report at ¶18.
32	
33	SECTION 103. PROCEEDINGS GOVERNED BY OTHER LAW. This [Act] [act]
34	does not govern an adoption proceeding or a proceeding pertaining to the authorization of
35	emergency medical care for a child.

1	SECTION 104. APPLICATION TO INDIAN TRIBES.
2	(a) A child-custody proceeding that pertains to an Indian child as defined in the Indian
3	Child Welfare Act, 25 U.S.C. § 1901 et seq., is not subject to this [Act] [act] to the extent that it
4	is governed by the Indian Child Welfare Act.
5	[(b) A court of this State state shall treat a tribe as if it were a State state of the United
6	States for the purpose of applying [Articles] 1 and 2.]
7	[(c) A child-custody determination made by a tribe under factual circumstances in
8	substantial conformity with the jurisdictional standards of this [Act] [act] must be recognized and
9	enforced under [Article] 3.]
10	SECTION 105. INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION OF [ACT]. Except as
11	otherwise provided in [Article] 4 the following rules apply:
12	(1)(a) A court of this State state shall treat a foreign country as if it were a State state of
13	the United States for the purpose of applying [Articles] 1 and 2.
14	(2)(b) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (c) paragraph (4), a child-custody
15	determination made in a foreign nonconvention country under factual circumstances in
16	substantial conformity with the jurisdictional standards of this [Act] [Article] 2 must be
17	recognized and enforced under [Article] 3.
18	(3) A court of this state shall apply [Article] 4 in proceedings concerning convention
19	countries.
20	(4)(e) A court of this State state need not apply this [Act] [act] if the child custody law of
21	a foreign country violates fundamental principles of human rights.
22	Comment
23	This version of Section 105 distinguishes between convention and nonconvention

countries. Nonconvention countries continue to be regarded as if they were states of the United States.

The original comments to this section note that the term "child custody determination" should be interpreted to cover custody as well analogous decisions made under the 1996 Convention.

Subparagraph (3) directs an attorney to apply article 4 to cases involving convention countries. This seems an appropriate place to put a directional text since attorneys will probably look at this section whenever they have a case with international connections. Distinguishing between convention and nonconvention countries in this section meant that some of the sections in article 1 had to be tweaked to add the phrase "or foreign country." Without the addition of this phrase the sections in article 1 could be interpreted to apply to states, including nonconvention countries, but not to convention countries since there are no comparable provisions in article 4. However, there is a question as to whether the incorporation of earlier sections by reference in Section 402 makes the "tweaking" superfluous. Or, whether the "tweaking" makes Section 402 superfluous.

Subsection (4) restates the provision currently in the UCCJEA. It applies to "foreign countries" which includes both convention and nonconvention countries. It is functional equivalent of Article 23 (2)(d) which allows a state to refuse to recognize and enforce a measure under the convention if the measure is manifestly contrary to public policy taking into account the best interests of the child. If the child custody law violates fundamental principles of human rights, it is undoubtedly not in the child's best interests. Therefore, it does not appear necessary to have a separate public policy defense in applying the article 3 enforcement proceedings to convention countries.

custody determination made by a court of this State state that had jurisdiction under this [Act] [act] binds all persons who have a person that has been served in accordance with the laws law of this State state, or has been notified in accordance with Section 108 or who have has submitted to the jurisdiction of the court, and who have has been given an opportunity to be heard. As to those persons, the The determination is conclusive as to all decided issues of law and fact except

SECTION 106. EFFECT OF CHILD-CUSTODY DETERMINATION. A child-

to the extent the determination is modified.

SECTION 107. PRIORITY. If a question of existence or exercise of jurisdiction under this [Act] [act] is raised in a child-custody proceeding, the question, upon request of a party, must be given priority on the calendar and handled expeditiously.

SECTION 108. NOTICE TO PERSONS OUTSIDE STATE.

- (a) Notice required for the exercise of jurisdiction when a person is outside this State state may be given in a manner prescribed by the law of this State state for service of process or by the law of the State state or foreign country in which the service is made. Notice must be given in a manner reasonably calculated to give actual notice but may be by publication if other means are not effective.
- (b) Proof of service may be made in the manner prescribed by the law of this State state or by the law of the State state or foreign country in which the service is made.
- (c) Notice is not required for the exercise of jurisdiction with respect to a person who submits to the jurisdiction of the court.

11 Comment

When the original UCCJEA was drafted there was no requirement that international service of process comply, when required, with the Hague Convention on Service of Process Abroad or other treaties concerning the service of process, although most courts have added that requirement. Rather the section merely indicated that service was valid if accomplished according to the law of this state or the law of the state where service took place. In those cases where the Service Convention is applicable it is the law of both this state and the foreign country and therefore must be complied with.

SECTION 109. APPEARANCE AND LIMITED IMMUNITY.

- (a) A party to a child-custody proceeding, including a modification proceeding, or a petitioner or respondent in a proceeding to enforce or register a child-custody determination, is not subject to personal jurisdiction in this State state for another proceeding or purpose solely by reason of having participated, or of having been physically present for the purpose of participating, in the proceeding.
- (b) A person who is subject to personal jurisdiction in this <u>State</u> on a basis other than physical presence is not immune from service of process in this <u>State</u> <u>state</u>. A party present

in this State state who is subject to the jurisdiction of another State state or foreign country is not
immune from service of process allowable under the laws of that State state or foreign country.
(c) The immunity granted by subsection (a) does not extend to civil litigation based on
acts unrelated to the participation in a proceeding under this [Act] [act] committed by an
individual while present in this State state.
SECTION 110. COMMUNICATION BETWEEN COURTS.
(a) For the purposes of this section, "record" means information that is inscribed on a
tangible medium or that is stored in an electronic or other medium and is retrievable in
perceivable form.
(a)(b) A court of this State state may communicate with a court in another State state or
foreign country concerning a proceeding arising under this [Act] [act].
(b)(c) The court may allow the parties to participate in the communication. If the parties
are not able to participate in the communication, they must be given the opportunity to present
facts and legal arguments before a decision on jurisdiction is made.
(c)(d) Communication between courts on schedules, calendars, court records, and similar
matters may occur without informing the parties. A record need not be made of the
communication.
(d)(e) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (e)(d), a record must be made of a
communication under this section. The parties must be informed promptly of the communication
and granted access to the record.
(e) For the purposes of this section, "record" means information that is inscribed on a
tangible medium or that is stored in an electronic or other medium and is retrievable in
perceivable form.

1 SECTION 111. TAKING TESTIMONY IN ANOTHER STATE OR FOREIGN 2 **COUNTRY.** 3 (a) In addition to other procedures available to a party, a party to a child-custody 4 proceeding may offer testimony of witnesses who are located in another State state or foreign 5 country, including testimony of the parties and the child, by deposition or other means allowable 6 in this State state for testimony taken in another State state or foreign country. The court on its 7 own motion may order that the testimony of a person be taken in another State state or foreign 8 country and may prescribe the manner in which and the terms upon which the testimony is taken. 9 (b) A court of this State state may permit an individual residing in another State state or 10 foreign country to be deposed or to testify by telephone, audiovisual means, or other electronic 11 means before a designated court or at another location in that State state or foreign country. A 12 court of this State state shall cooperate with courts of other States or foreign countries in 13 designating an appropriate location for the deposition or testimony. 14 (c) Documentary evidence transmitted from another State or foreign country to a 15 court of this State state by technological means that do not produce an original writing may not be excluded from evidence on an objection based on the means of transmission. 16 17 SECTION 112. COOPERATION BETWEEN COURTS; PRESERVATION OF 18 RECORDS. 19 (a) A court of this State state may request the appropriate court of another State state or 20 foreign country to: 21 (1) hold an evidentiary hearing;

14

(2) order a person to produce or give evidence pursuant to procedures of that State

22

23

state or foreign country;

1	(3) order that an evaluation be made with respect to the custody of a child
2	involved in a pending proceeding;
3	(4) forward to the court of this State state a certified copy of the transcript of the
4	record of the hearing, the evidence otherwise presented, and any evaluation prepared in
5	compliance with the request; and
6	(5) order a party to a child-custody proceeding or any person having physical
7	custody of the child to appear in the proceeding with or without the child.
8	(b) Upon On request of a court of another State state or foreign country, a court of this
9	State state may hold a hearing or enter an order described in subsection (a).
10	(c) Travel and other necessary and reasonable expenses incurred under subsections (a)
11	and (b) may be assessed against the parties according to the law of this State state.
12	(d) A court of this State state shall preserve the pleadings, orders, decrees, records of
13	hearings, evaluations, and other pertinent records with respect to a child-custody proceeding until
14	the child attains 18 years of age. Upon On appropriate request by a court or law enforcement
15	official of another State state or foreign country, the court shall forward a certified copy of those
16	records.
17	[ARTICLE] 2
18	JURISDICTION.
19	SECTION 201. INITIAL CHILD-CUSTODY JURISDICTION.
20	(a) Except as otherwise provided in Section 204, a court of this State has
21	jurisdiction to make an initial child-custody determination only if:
22	(1) this State state is the home State state of the child on the date of the
23	commencement of the proceeding, or was the home State state of the child within six months

1	before the commencement of the proceeding and the child is absent from this State state but a
2	parent or person acting as a parent continues to live in this State state;
3	(2) a court of another State state does not have jurisdiction under paragraph (1), or
4	a court of the home State state of the child has declined to exercise jurisdiction on the ground that
5	this State state is the more appropriate forum under Section 207 or 208, and:
6	(A) the child and the child's parents, or the child and at least one parent or
7	a person acting as a parent, have a significant connection with this State state other than mere
8	physical presence; and
9	(B) substantial evidence is available in this State state concerning the
10	child's care, protection, training, and personal relationships;
11	(3) all courts having jurisdiction under paragraph (1) or (2) have declined to
12	exercise jurisdiction on the ground that a court of this State state is the more appropriate forum to
13	determine the custody of the child under Section 207 or 208; or
14	(4) no court of any other State state would have jurisdiction under the criteria
15	specified in paragraph (1), (2), or (3).
16	(b) Subsection (a) is the exclusive jurisdictional basis for making a child-custody
17	determination by a court of this State state.
18	(c) Physical presence of, or personal jurisdiction over, a party or a child is not necessary
19	or sufficient to make a child-custody determination.
20	SECTION 202. EXCLUSIVE, CONTINUING JURISDICTION.
21	(a) Except as otherwise provided in Section 204, a court of this State state which has
22	made a child-custody determination consistent with Section 201 or 203 has exclusive, continuing
23	jurisdiction over the determination until:

1	(1) a court of this State state determines that neither the child, nor the child and
2	one parent, nor the child and a person acting as a parent have a significant connection with this
3	State state and that substantial evidence is no longer available in this State state concerning the
4	child's care, protection, training, and personal relationships; or
5	(2) a court of this State state or a court of another State state determines that the
6	child, the child's parents, and any person acting as a parent do not presently reside in this State
7	state.
8	(b) A court of this State state which has made a child-custody determination and does not
9	have exclusive, continuing jurisdiction under this section may modify that determination only if
10	it has jurisdiction to make an initial determination under Section 201.
11	SECTION 203. JURISDICTION TO MODIFY DETERMINATION. Except as
12	otherwise provided in Section 204, a court of this State state may not modify a child-custody
13	determination made by a court of another State state unless a court of this State state has
14	jurisdiction to make an initial determination under Section 201(a)(1) or (2) and:
15	(1) the court of the other State state determines it no longer has exclusive, continuing
16	jurisdiction under Section 202 or that a court of this State state would be a more convenient
17	forum under Section 207; or
18	(2) a court of this State state or a court of the other State state determines that the child,
19	the child's parents, and any person acting as a parent do not presently reside in the other State
20	state.
21	SECTION 204. TEMPORARY EMERGENCY JURISDICTION. Except as
22	otherwise provided in Section 408, the following rules apply:
23	(a)(1) A court of this State state has temporary emergency jurisdiction if the child is

present in this <u>State</u> and the child has been abandoned or it is necessary in an emergency to protect the child because the child, or a sibling or parent of the child, is subjected to or threatened with mistreatment or abuse.

(b)(2) If there is no previous child-custody determination that is entitled to be enforced under this [Act] [act] and a child-custody proceeding has not been commenced in a court of a State state having jurisdiction under Sections 201 through 203, a child-custody determination made under this section remains in effect until an order is obtained from a court of a State state having jurisdiction under Sections 201 through 203. If a child-custody proceeding has not been or is not commenced in a court of a State state having jurisdiction under Sections 201 through 203, a child-custody determination made under this section becomes a final determination, if it so provides and this State state becomes the home State state of the child.

(c)(3) If there is a previous child-custody determination that is entitled to be enforced under this [Act] [act], or a child-custody proceeding has been commenced in a court of a State state having jurisdiction under Sections 201 through 203, any order issued by a court of this State under this section must specify in the order a period that the court considers adequate to allow the person seeking an order to obtain an order from the State state having jurisdiction under Sections 201 through 203. The order issued in this State state remains in effect until an order is obtained from the other State state within the period specified or the period expires.

(d)(4) A court of this State state which has been asked to make a child-custody determination under this section, upon on being informed that a child-custody proceeding has been commenced in, or a child-custody determination has been made by, a court of a State state having jurisdiction under Sections 201 through 203, shall immediately communicate with the other court. A court of this State state which is exercising jurisdiction pursuant to Sections 201

through 203, upon on being informed that a child-custody proceeding has been commenced in, or a child-custody determination has been made by, a court of another State state under a statute similar to this section shall immediately communicate with the court of that State state to resolve the emergency, protect the safety of the parties and the child, and determine a period for the duration of the temporary order.

Comment

Emergency orders involving foreign countries, whether or not they are convention countries, are covered under Article 4 in section 408 and are not covered in this section.

SECTION 205. NOTICE; OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD; JOINDER.

- (a) Before a child-custody determination is made under this [Act] [act], notice and an opportunity to be heard in accordance with the standards of Section 108 must be given to all persons entitled to notice under the law of this State state as in child-custody proceedings between residents of this State state, any parent whose parental rights have not been previously terminated, and any person having physical custody of the child.
- (b) This [Act] [act] does not govern the enforceability of a child-custody determination made without notice or an opportunity to be heard.
- (c) The obligation to join a party and the right to intervene as a party in a child-custody proceeding under this [Act] [act] are governed by the law of this State state as in child-custody proceedings between residents of this State state.

SECTION 206. SIMULTANEOUS PROCEEDINGS.

(a) Except as otherwise provided in Section 204, a court of this State state may not exercise its jurisdiction under this [article] if, at the time of the commencement of the proceeding, a proceeding concerning the custody of the child has been commenced in a court of another State state having jurisdiction substantially in conformity with this [Act] article, unless

the proceeding has been terminated or is stayed by the court of the other State because a
court of this State state is a more convenient forum under Section 207.

- (b) Except as otherwise provided in Section 204, a court of this State state, before hearing a child-custody proceeding, shall examine the court documents and other information supplied by the parties pursuant to Section 209. If the court determines that a child-custody proceeding has been commenced in a court in another State state having jurisdiction substantially in accordance with this [Act] [article], the court of this State state shall stay its proceeding and communicate with the court of the other State state. If the court of the State state having jurisdiction substantially in accordance with this [Act] [article] does not determine that the court of this State state is a more appropriate forum, the court of this State state shall dismiss the proceeding.
- (c) In a proceeding to modify a child-custody determination, a court of this State state shall determine whether a proceeding to enforce the determination has been commenced in another State state. If a proceeding to enforce a child-custody determination has been commenced in another State state, the court may:
- (1) stay the proceeding for modification pending the entry of an order of a court of the other State state enforcing, staying, denying, or dismissing the proceeding for enforcement;
 - (2) enjoin the parties from continuing with the proceeding for enforcement; or
 - (3) proceed with the modification under conditions it considers appropriate.

SECTION 207. INCONVENIENT FORUM. Except as otherwise provided in [Article] 4, the following rules apply:

(a)(1) A court of this State state which has jurisdiction under this [Act] [article] to make a child-custody determination may decline to exercise its jurisdiction at any time if it determines

1	that it is an inconvenient forum under the circumstances and that a court of another State state is
2	a more appropriate forum. The issue of inconvenient forum may be raised upon motion of a
3	party, the court's own motion, or request of another court.
4	(b)(2) Before determining whether it is an inconvenient forum, a court of this State state
5	shall consider whether it is appropriate for a court of another State state to exercise jurisdiction.
6	For this purpose, the court shall allow the parties to submit information and shall consider all
7	relevant factors, including:
8	(1)(A) whether domestic violence has occurred and is likely to continue in the
9	future and which State state could best protect the parties and the child;
10	(2)(B) the length of time the child has resided outside this State state;
11	(3)(C) the distance between the court in this State state and the court in the State
12	state that would assume jurisdiction;
13	(4)(D) the relative financial circumstances of the parties;
14	(5)(E) any agreement of the parties as to which State state should assume
15	jurisdiction;
16	(6)(F) the nature and location of the evidence required to resolve the pending
17	litigation, including testimony of the child;
18	(7)(G) the ability of the court of each State state to decide the issue expeditiously
19	and the procedures necessary to present the evidence; and
20	(8)(H) the familiarity of the court of each State state with the facts and issues in
21	the pending litigation.
22	(c)(3) If a court of this State state determines that it is an inconvenient forum and that a
23	court of another State is a more appropriate forum, it shall stay the proceedings upon

1	condition that a child-custody proceeding be promptly commenced in another designated State
2	state and may impose any other condition the court considers just and proper.
3	(d)(4) A court of this State state may decline to exercise its jurisdiction under this [Act]
4	[article] if a child-custody determination is incidental to an action for divorce or another
5	proceeding while still retaining jurisdiction over the divorce or other proceeding.
6	Comment
7 8 9	Convenient forum, or transfer of jurisdiction, involving foreign countries, whether or not it is a convention country, is partially covered in Article 4 in Section 407.
10	SECTION 208. JURISDICTION DECLINED BY REASON OF CONDUCT.
11	(a) Except as otherwise provided in Section 204 [or by other law of this State state], if a
12	court of this State state has jurisdiction under this [Act] [act] because a person seeking to invoke
13	its jurisdiction has engaged in unjustifiable conduct, the court shall decline to exercise its
14	jurisdiction unless:
15	(1) the parents and all persons acting as parents have acquiesced in the exercise of
16	jurisdiction;
17	(2) a court of the State state otherwise having jurisdiction under Sections 201
18	through 203 determines that this State state is a more appropriate forum under Section 207; or
19	(3) no court of any other State state would have jurisdiction under the criteria
20	specified in Sections 201 through 203.
21	(b) If a court of this State state declines to exercise its jurisdiction pursuant to subsection
22	(a), it may fashion an appropriate remedy to ensure the safety of the child and prevent a repetition
23	of the unjustifiable conduct, including staying the proceeding until a child-custody proceeding is
24	commenced in a court having jurisdiction under Sections 201 through 203.

(c) If a court dismisses a petition or stays a proceeding because it declines to exercise its

jurisdiction pursuant to subsection (a), it shall assess against the party seeking to invoke its jurisdiction necessary and reasonable expenses including costs, communication expenses, attorney's fees, investigative fees, expenses for witnesses, travel expenses, and child care during the course of the proceedings, unless the party from whom which fees are sought establishes that the assessment would be clearly inappropriate. The court may not assess fees, costs, or expenses against this State state unless authorized by law other than this [Act] [act].

SECTION 209. INFORMATION TO BE SUBMITTED TO COURT.

- (a) [Subject to [local law providing for the confidentiality of procedures, addresses, and other identifying information], in] [In] a child-custody proceeding, each party, in its first pleading or in an attached affidavit, shall give information, if reasonably ascertainable, under oath as to the child's present address or whereabouts, the places where the child has lived during the last five years, and the names and present addresses of the persons name and present address of each person having physical custody with whom the child has lived during that period. The pleading or affidavit must state whether the party:
- (1) has participated, as a party or witness or in any other capacity, in any other proceeding concerning the custody of or visitation with the child and, if so, identify the court, the case number, and the date of the child-custody determination, if any;
- (2) knows of any proceeding that could affect the current proceeding, including proceedings for enforcement and proceedings relating to domestic violence, protective orders, termination of parental rights, and adoptions and, if so, identify the court, the case number, and the nature of the proceeding; and
- (3) knows the names and addresses of any person not a party to the proceeding who has having physical custody of the child or claims rights of legal custody or physical custody

of, or visitation with, the child and, if so, the names and addresses of those persons.

- (b) If the information required by subsection (a) is not furnished, the court, upon on motion of a party or its own motion, may stay the proceeding until the information is furnished.
- (c) If the declaration as to any of the items described in subsection (a)(1) through (3) (a) is in the affirmative, the declarant shall give additional information under oath as required by the court. The court may examine the parties under oath as to details of the information furnished and other matters pertinent to the court's jurisdiction and the disposition of the case.
- (d) Each party has a continuing duty to inform the court of any proceeding in this or any other State state that could affect the current proceeding.
- [(e) If a party alleges in an affidavit or a pleading under oath that the health, safety, or liberty of a party or child would be jeopardized by disclosure of identifying information, the information must be sealed and may not be disclosed to the other party or the public unless the court orders the disclosure to be made after a hearing in which the court takes into consideration the health, safety, or liberty of the party or child and determines that the disclosure is in the interest of justice.]

SECTION 210. APPEARANCE OF PARTIES AND CHILD.

- (a) In a child-custody proceeding in this State state, the court may order a party to the proceeding who that is in this State state to appear before the court in person with or without the child. The court may order any person who that is in this State state and who has physical custody or control of the child to appear in person with the child.
- (b) If a party to a child-custody proceeding whose presence is desired by the court is outside this State state, the court may order that a notice given pursuant to Section 108 include a statement directing the party to appear in person with or without the child and informing the

1	party that failure to appear may result in a decision adverse to the party.
2	(c) The court may enter any orders necessary to ensure the safety of the child and of any
3	person ordered to appear under this section.
4	(d) If a party to a child-custody proceeding who is outside this State state is directed to
5	appear under subsection (b) or desires to appear personally before the court with or without the
6	child, the court may require another party to pay reasonable and necessary travel and other
7	expenses of the party so appearing and of the child.
8	SECTION 211. FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS.
9	(a) If requested by a party, a court of this state that makes a child-custody determination
10	or which orders a measure of protection under this [act] shall include in the determination or
11	measure the court's findings and conclusions on the following:
12	(1) the basis for the exercise of jurisdiction by the court;
13	(2) the manner in which notice and opportunity to be heard were given to each
14	person entitled to notice of the proceeding;
15	(3) the opportunity for the child to be heard or the reasons why the child was not
16	heard; and
17	(4) the habitual residence of the child.
18	(b) A child-custody determination or measure of protection made under this [act] may be
19	amended to include the findings and conclusions described in subsection (a).
20	Comment
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Related to Convention: Article 24. The drafting committee determined that this section should be placed at the end of Article 2. It is meant to help those parents who contemplate foreign enforcement of a United States custody determination or measure of protection. It is important that a court not only make the conclusions set out in this section, but also the findings of fact underlying those conclusions. This is because Article 24 of the convention requires that the requested state is bound by the findings of fact upon which another convention state based its

jurisdiction. These findings and conclusions will be extremely helpful to an American parent seeking to enforce abroad a measure taken by a United States court. Subsection (b) makes it clear that a child custody determination or a measure of protection can be amended to include the findings and conclusions without the risk of the amendments being called a modification. [ARTICLE] 3 ENFORCEMENT. **SECTION 301. DEFINITIONS.** In this [article]: (1) "Petitioner" means a person who that seeks enforcement of an order for return of a child under the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction or enforcement of a child-custody determination, or measure of protection issued by a foreign country. (2) "Respondent" means a person against whom which a proceeding has been commenced for enforcement of an order for return of a child under the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, or enforcement of a child-custody determination, or a measure of protection issued by a foreign country. **Comment** The drafting committee determined that the registration and enforcement provisions of article 3 ought to apply to the registration and enforcement of a measure of protection taken by a convention country. This necessitated some minor tweaks to some of the sections of article 3. In this section the term petitioner and respondent has been further defined to include those persons seeking enforcement or against whom enforcement is sought of a measure of protection from a convention country. SECTION 302. ENFORCEMENT UNDER HAGUE ABDUCTION **CONVENTION.** Under this [article], a court of this State state may enforce an order for the

1 2

3

5

6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

2324

25

26

2728

29

30

31

return of the a child made under the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International

Child Abduction as if it were a child-custody determination.

SECTION 303. DUTY TO ENFORCE.

- (a) A court of this State state shall recognize and enforce a child-custody determination of a court of another State state if the latter court exercised jurisdiction in substantial conformity with this [Act] [Article 2] or the determination was made under factual circumstances meeting the jurisdictional standards of this [Act] [Article 2] and the determination has not been modified in accordance with this [Act] [Article 2].
- (b) A court of this <u>State</u> state may utilize any remedy available under other law of this <u>State</u> state to enforce a child-custody determination made by a court of another <u>State</u> state. The remedies provided in this [article] are cumulative and do not affect the availability of other remedies to enforce a child-custody determination.

SECTION 304. TEMPORARY VISITATION.

- (a) A court of this State state which does not have jurisdiction to modify a child-custody determination, may issue a temporary order enforcing:
 - (1) a visitation schedule made by a court of another State state; or
- (2) the visitation provisions of a child-custody determination of another State state that does not provide for a specific visitation schedule.
- (b) If a court of this <u>State</u> <u>state</u> makes an order under subsection (a)(2), it shall specify in the order a period that it considers adequate to allow the petitioner to obtain an order from a court having jurisdiction under the criteria specified in [Article] 2. The order remains in effect until an order is obtained from the other court or the period expires.

SECTION 305. REGISTRATION OF CHILD-CUSTODY DETERMINATION.

(a) A child-custody determination issued by a court of another <u>State</u> may be registered in this <u>State</u> state, with or without a simultaneous request for enforcement, by sending

1	to [the appropriate court] in this State state:
2	(1) a letter or other document requesting registration;
3	(2) two copies, including one certified copy, of the determination sought to be
4	registered, and a statement under penalty of perjury that to the best of the knowledge and belief
5	of the person seeking registration the order has not been modified; and
6	(3) except as otherwise provided in Section 209, the name and address of the
7	person seeking registration and any parent or person acting as a parent who has been awarded
8	custody or visitation in the child-custody determination sought to be registered.
9	(b) On receipt of the documents required by subsection (a), the registering court shall:
10	(1) cause the determination to be filed as a foreign judgment, together with one
11	copy of any accompanying documents and information, regardless of their form; and
12	(2) serve notice upon the persons named pursuant to subsection (a)(3) and provide
13	them with an opportunity to contest the registration in accordance with this section.
14	(c) The notice required by subsection (b)(2) must state that:
15	(1) a registered determination is enforceable as of the date of the registration in
16	the same manner as a determination issued by a court of this State state;
17	(2) a hearing to contest the validity of the registered determination must be
18	requested within not later than 20 days after service of notice; and
19	(3) failure to contest the registration will result in confirmation of the child-
20	custody determination and preclude further contest of that determination with respect to any
21	matter that could have been asserted.
22	(d) A person seeking to contest the validity of a registered order must shall request a
23	hearing within not later than 20 days after service of the notice. At that hearing, the court shall

1	confirm the registered order unless the person contesting registration establishes that:
2	(1) the issuing court did not have jurisdiction under [Article] 2 this [act];
3	(2) the child-custody determination sought to be registered has been vacated,
4	stayed, or modified by a court having jurisdiction to do so under [Article] 2 [this act]; or
5	(3) the person contesting registration was entitled to notice, but notice was not
6	given in accordance with the standards of Section 108, in the proceedings before the court that
7	issued the order for which registration is sought.
8	(e) If a timely request for a hearing to contest the validity of the registration is not made,
9	the registration is confirmed as a matter of law and the person requesting registration and all
10	persons served must be notified of the confirmation.
11	(f) Confirmation of a registered order, whether by operation of law or after notice and
12	hearing, precludes further contest of the order with respect to any matter that could have been
13	asserted at the time of registration.
14	Comment
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Given that Section 414 directs a court to use the procedure of article 3 for recognition and enforcement of measures of protection from convention countries, the language of this section has not been amended to add "measure of protection" after the term "child-custody determination" or "order." Nor has this section been amended to add the term "convention country" after "state" in the text. However, in accordance with section 414 the section must be construed to include those terms.
22 23 24 25 26 27	The one amendment made to this section has been to broaden the jurisdiction terminology of subsection from "article 2" to "this act." The effect of this is that if registration is sought from a nonconvention country it is a defense that the issuing court did not have jurisdiction under article 2. If registration is sought of a measure of protection issued by a convention country, it is a defense that the issuing authority did not have jurisdiction under article 4.
28	SECTION 306. ENFORCEMENT OF REGISTERED DETERMINATION.

(a) A court of this State state may grant any relief normally available under the law of this State state to enforce a registered child-custody determination made by a court of another

State state or a registered measure of protection of an authority of a convention country.

(b) A court of this State state shall recognize and enforce, but may not modify, except in accordance with [Article] 2, a registered child-custody determination of a court of another State.

(c) A court of this state shall recognize and enforce, but may not modify except in accordance with [Article] 4, a registered measure of protection of an authority of a convention country.

Comment

 The changes to this section were necessitated by the reference in 414 to use the registration and enforcement proceedings of this article. Therefore some amendments were necessary to ensure that a registered measure of protection was treated the same a a registered custody-determination. This section is concerned with enforcement of registered measure and child-custody determination with the preclusive effect of section 305, while sections 303 and 412 contain a general obligation to recognize and enforce a measure of protection and child-custody determination.

enforcement under this [article] of a child-custody determination or a measure of protection is commenced in a court of this State state and the court determines that a proceeding to modify the a determination or measure is pending in a court of another State state, or before an authority in a foreign country, having jurisdiction to modify the determination or measure under [Article] 2 this [act], the enforcing court shall immediately communicate with the modifying court or authority. The proceeding for enforcement continues unless the enforcing court, after consultation with the modifying court or authority, stays or dismisses the proceeding.

25 Comment

This section has been amended to apply the simultaneous proceeding section on the relationship between the modification court and the enforcement court to measure of protection under the convention. This is not mandated by the convention, nor was it discussed by the drafting committee. However, the same considerations which lead to the original drafting of this section are present with regard to convention countries and well as nonconvention countries. This is an issue the drafting committee should consider at its next meeting.

1 2	SECTION 308. EXPEDITED ENFORCEMENT OF CHILD-CUSTODY
3	DETERMINATION.
4	(a) A petition under this [article] must be verified. Certified copies of all orders sought
5	to be enforced and of any order confirming registration must be attached to the petition. A copy
6	of a certified copy of an order may be attached instead of the original.
7	(b) A petition for enforcement of a child-custody determination must state:
8	(1) whether the court that issued the determination identified the jurisdictional
9	basis it relied upon in exercising jurisdiction and, if so, what the basis was;
10	(2) whether the determination for which enforcement is sought has been vacated,
11	stayed, or modified by a court whose decision must be enforced under this [Act] [act] and, if so,
12	identify the court, the case number, and the nature of the proceeding;
13	(3) whether any proceeding has been commenced that could affect the current
14	proceeding, including proceedings relating to domestic violence, protective orders, termination of
15	parental rights, and adoptions and, if so, identify the court, the case number, and the nature of the
16	proceeding;
17	(4) the present physical address of the child and the respondent, if known;
18	(5) whether relief in addition to the immediate physical custody of the child and
19	attorney's fees is sought, including a request for assistance from [law enforcement officials] and,
20	if so, the relief sought; and
21	(6) if the child-custody determination has been registered and confirmed under
22	Section 305, the date and place of registration.
23	(c) Upon On the filing of a petition, the court shall issue an order directing the
24	respondent to appear in person with or without the child at a hearing and may enter any order

1	necessary to ensure the safety of the parties and the child. The hearing must be held on the next
2	judicial day after service of the order unless that date is impossible. In that event, the court shall
3	hold the hearing on the first judicial day possible. The court may extend the date of hearing at
4	the request of the petitioner.
5	(d) An order issued under subsection (c) must state the time and place of the hearing and
6	advise the respondent that at the hearing the court will order that the petitioner may take
7	immediate physical custody of the child and the payment of fees, costs, and expenses under
8	Section 312, and may schedule a hearing to determine whether further relief is appropriate,
9	unless the respondent appears and establishes that:
10	(1) the child-custody determination has not been registered and confirmed under
11	Section 305 and that:
12	(A) the issuing court did not have jurisdiction under [Article] 2 this [act];
13	(B) the child-custody determination for which enforcement is sought has
14	been vacated, stayed, or modified by a court having jurisdiction to do so under [Article] 2 this
15	[act]; or
16	(C) the respondent was entitled to notice, but notice was not given in
17	accordance with the standards of Section 108, in the proceedings before the court that issued the
18	order for which enforcement is sought; or
19	(2) the child-custody determination for which enforcement is sought was
20	registered and confirmed under Section 304, but has been vacated, stayed, or modified by a court
21	of a State having jurisdiction to do so under [Article] 2 this [act].
22	SECTION 309. SERVICE OF PETITION AND ORDER. Except as otherwise
23	provided in Section 311, the petition and order must be served, by any method authorized [by the

1	law of this State state], upon respondent and any person who has physical custody of the child.
2	SECTION 310. HEARING AND ORDER.
3	(a) Unless the court issues a temporary emergency order pursuant to Section 204 or 408,
4	upon on a finding that a petitioner is entitled to immediate physical custody of the child, the court
5	shall order that the petitioner may take immediate physical custody of the child unless the
6	respondent establishes that:
7	(1) the child-custody determination has not been registered and confirmed under
8	Section 305 and that:
9	(A) the issuing court did not have jurisdiction under [Article] 2 this [act];
10	(B) the child-custody determination for which enforcement is sought has
11	been vacated, stayed, or modified by a court of a State state having jurisdiction to do so under
12	[Article] 2 this [act]; or
13	(C) the respondent was entitled to notice, but notice was not given in
14	accordance with the standards of Section 108, in the proceedings before the court that issued the
15	order for which enforcement is sought; or
16	(2) the child-custody determination for which enforcement is sought was
17	registered and confirmed under Section 305 but has been vacated, stayed, or modified by a court
18	of a State state having jurisdiction to do so under [Article] 2 this [act].
19	(b) The court shall award the fees, costs, and expenses authorized under Section 312 and
20	may grant additional relief, including a request for the assistance of [law enforcement officials],
21	and set a further hearing to determine whether additional relief is appropriate.
22	(c) If a party called to testify refuses to answer on the ground that the testimony may be
23	self-incriminating, the court may draw an adverse inference from the refusal.

1 (d) A privilege against disclosure of communications between spouses and a defense of 2 immunity based on the relationship of husband and wife or parent and child may not be invoked in a proceeding under this [article]. 3 4 SECTION 311. WARRANT TO TAKE PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF CHILD. 5 (a) Upon On the filing of a petition seeking enforcement of a child-custody 6 determination, the petitioner may file a verified application for the issuance of a warrant to take 7 physical custody of the child if the child is immediately likely to suffer serious physical harm or 8 be removed from this State state. 9 (b) If the court, upon the testimony of the petitioner or other witness, finds that the child 10 is imminently likely to suffer serious physical harm or be removed from this State state, it may 11 issue a warrant to take physical custody of the child. The petition must be heard on the next 12 judicial day after the warrant is executed unless that date is impossible. In that event, the court 13 shall hold the hearing on the first judicial day possible. The application for the warrant must 14 include the statements required by Section 308(b). 15 (c) A warrant to take physical custody of a child must: 16 (1) recite the facts upon which a conclusion of imminent serious physical harm or 17 removal from the jurisdiction is based; 18 (2) direct law enforcement officers to take physical custody of the child 19 immediately; and 20 (3) provide for the placement of the child pending final relief. 21 (d) The respondent must be served with the petition, warrant, and order immediately 22 after the child is taken into physical custody.

(e) A warrant to take physical custody of a child is enforceable throughout this State

23

- state. If the court finds on the basis of the testimony of the petitioner or other witness that a less
 intrusive remedy is not effective, it may authorize law enforcement officers to enter private
 property to take physical custody of the child. If required by exigent circumstances of the case,
 the court may authorize law enforcement officers to make a forcible entry at any hour.
 - (f) The court may impose conditions upon placement of a child to ensure the appearance of the child and the child's custodian.

SECTION 312. COSTS, FEES, AND EXPENSES.

- (a) The court shall award the prevailing party, including a State state, necessary and reasonable expenses incurred by or on behalf of the party, including costs, communication expenses, attorney's fees, investigative fees, expenses for witnesses, travel expenses, and child care during the course of the proceedings, unless the party from whom which fees or expenses are sought establishes that the award would be clearly inappropriate.
- (b) The court may not assess fees, costs, or expenses against a <u>State</u> unless authorized by law other than this <u>[Act]</u> [act].
- SECTION 313. RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT. A court of this State state shall accord full faith and credit to an order issued by another State state and consistent with this [Act] [act] which enforces a child-custody determination by a court of another State state unless the order has been vacated, stayed, or modified by a court having jurisdiction to do so under [Article] 2 this [act].
- **SECTION 314. APPEALS.** An appeal may be taken from a final order in a proceeding under this [article] in accordance with [expedited appellate procedures in other civil cases].

 Unless the court enters a temporary emergency order under Section 204 or 408, the enforcing court may not stay an order enforcing a child-custody determination pending appeal.

1	SECTION 315. ROLE OF [PROSECUTOR OR PUBLIC OFFICIAL].
2	(a) In a case arising under this [Act] [act] or involving the Hague Convention on the
3	Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, the [prosecutor or other appropriate public
4	official] may take any lawful action, including resort to a proceeding under this [article] or any
5	other available civil proceeding to locate a child, obtain the return of a child, or enforce a child-
6	custody determination if there is:
7	(1) an existing child-custody determination;
8	(2) a request to do so from a court in a pending child-custody proceeding;
9	(3) a reasonable belief that a criminal statute has been violated; or
10	(4) a reasonable belief that the child has been wrongfully removed or retained in
11	violation of the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction.
12	(b) A [prosecutor or appropriate public official] acting under this section acts on behalf
13	of the court and may not represent any party.
14	SECTION 316. ROLE OF [LAW ENFORCEMENT]. At the request of a [prosecutor
15	or other appropriate public official] acting under Section 315, a [law enforcement officer] may
16	take any lawful action reasonably necessary to locate a child or a party and assist [a prosecutor or
17	appropriate public official] with responsibilities under Section 315.
18	SECTION 317. COSTS AND EXPENSES. If the respondent is not the prevailing
19	party, the court may assess against the respondent all direct expenses and costs incurred by the
20	[prosecutor or other appropriate public official] and [law enforcement officers] under Section

315 or 316.

1	[ARTICLE] 4
2	PROCEEDINGS UNDER CONVENTION
3	Introductory Comment
4 5	This Article applies exclusively to cases that fall under the 1996 Hague Convention on
6	Jurisdiction, Applicable Law, Recognition, Enforcement and Co-Operation in Respect of
7	Parental Responsibility and Measures for the Protection of Children. It applies to cases between
8	states of the United States and foreign countries in which the Convention is in force. It also
9	applies to cases between states of the United States and foreign countries in which the
0	convention is not in force to the extent that the convention requires special treatment for such
1	countries. The Article has no application to cases between states of the United States.
2	SECTION 401. SCOPE.
3	SECTION 401. SCOPE.
4	(a) This [article] applies only to a proceeding in a court of this state involving a measure
5	of protection under this [article]. A measure of protection may involve:
6	(1) the attribution, exercise, termination, delegation, or restriction of parental
17	responsibility;
8	(2) rights of custody, including:
9	(A) rights relating to the care of the person of the child and,
20	(B) determining the place of residence of the child;
21	(3) rights of access or visitaton, including the right to take the child for a limited
22	period to a place other than the habitual residence of the child;
23	(4) guardianship of the person of the child and any similar relationship;
24	(5) the designation and function of a person having charge of the person of the
25	child, or representing or assisting the child;
26	(6) governmental supervision of an individual having charge of the person of the
27	child; and
98	(7) placement of the child in foster care institutional care or a similar

1	relationship.
2	(b) This [article] does not apply to:
3	(1) establishment or contest of a parent-child relationship;
4	(2) adoption, including preparatory measures, and the annulment or revocation of
5	an adoption;
6	(3) the name of a child;
7	(4) emancipation of a child;
8	(5) support or maintenance obligations with regard to a child;
9	(6) trusts or succession;
10	(7) public benefits, including social security;
11	(8) general governmental decisions regarding education or health;
12	(9) measures resulting from an offense committed by a child; [or]
13	(10) decisions on rights of asylum and immigration[; or
14	(11) property of a child].
15	Comment
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Related to the Convention: Arts. 3,4. This section on the scope of this article was requested by the Drafting Committee and follows the terms of the Convention. The term "proceeding in a court of this state" means that this article will apply to courts and not to measures that are governed by the convention that will have to be determined by administrative agencies and other governmental personnel. The federal implementing legislation will have to address those applications.
23 24 25 26 27	The scope of this article is a measure that is taken in relation to one of the subject matters covered in Article 3 of the Convention. As noted in the Explanatory Report, the list in article 3, and therefore in subsection (a) of this section, is opened-ended which is indicated by using the term "may include." Unlike subsection (a), subsection (b) concerning exclusions is a closed list. The subjects in subsection (b) are covered by law other than this act.
28 29 30 31	The terms "rights of custody" and "rights of access are taken from the 1980 Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction. They should be given the same definition in applying this convention as they are given in applying the 1980 convention.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Thus, for example, a ne exeat right would be treated as a right of custody under this convention just as it would under the 1980 convention. See Abbott v. Abbott, 130 S.Ct. 1983 (2010). The terms are particularly important in the application of section 407 and are broad enough to include most of the contemporary variations on word choice for custody. Thus parenting time, joint custody, and managing conservator are all terms used in various states to indicate who is entitled to make decisions concerning the child. If those decisions include rights relating to the care of the child, and, in particular, the right to choose the child's residence it becomes a right of custody under this convention.
10	[SECTION 402. APPLICABILITY OF OTHER SECTIONS OF ACT. Except for
11	sections 102, 105 through 112, 205, 206(c), 209, 210, 307, 309, and 312, through 317, [Articles]
12	1 through 3 do not apply to this [article]].
13	Comment
14 15	In accordance with the decision of the drafting committee, this article includes a section
16 17	indicating which provisions of Articles 1-3 of the UCCJEA are also applicable to this article.
18 19 20 21 22 23	Question for the drafting committee: Some of the earlier sections have been amended to make them applicable to "foreign countries," which would include convention countries. Given those amendments is it still necessary to retain this section. Or, does this section mean that the amendments to the prior sections are not necessary. The Style Committee would like to drafting committee to find a way to eliminate this section.
24	SECTION 403. HABITUAL RESIDENCE. A court of this state shall consider the
25	following in determining the habitual residence of a child:
26	(1) the length of time the child has been in a country, including whether this state is the
27	home state of the child;
28	(2) the social interactions of the child, including education, family relationships, peer
29	relationships, and language;
30	(3) the age and maturity of the child;
31	(4) whether the presence of the child in the country is time limited or open ended; and
32	(5) the intent of the parents of the child.
33	Comment

In accordance with the decision of the drafting committee, this is not a definition but rather a provision designed to give guidance to a court in making the determination of the habitual residence of the child. As determined by the Drafting Committee, the provision is also child centered, in that it focuses on the child and not on the child's parents. No determination is made as to when it would be appropriate to consider the parents' intent, however, it will obviously be more important with extremely young children. It should be noted that the 1996 Convention uses the term "habitual residence" in a different context than the 1980 Abduction Convention. In the 1980 Convention the determination that a child is habitually resident in the requesting country is necessary in order for the remedy of that Convention to be applicable and is part of the larger inquiry as to whether there has been a wrongful removal or retention of a child. The role of habitual residence in the 1996 Convention is to assess which country's authorities have jurisdiction to take measures of 14 protection and whether their decisions should be recognized by other contracting countries. Therefore the precedent that has developed under the 1980 Convention is not necessarily 16 applicable to the determination of habitual residence under this article. SECTION 404. MEASURE OF PROTECTION: JURISDICTION. Except as otherwise provided in Sections 408, a court of this state may order or modify a measure of protection only if: (1) the court has jurisdiction under Section 201 and the child is a habitual resident of the United States at the commencement of the proceeding; (2) the child is present in this state and: (A) the habitual residence of the child cannot be determined; (B) the child is a refugee; or (C) the child is internationally displaced due to disturbances in the country of the habitual residence of the child; or (3) an authority of a convention country with jurisdiction substantially in accord with paragraph (1) or (2) has decided to transfer jurisdiction to the court and the court decides that it is in the best interests of the child to assume jurisdiction.

1 2

3

4 5

6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

40

Comment

Related to Convention: Arts. 5,6,8, and 9. This section relates primarily to articles 5 and

6 of the Convention. A state can also have jurisdiction, apart from habitual residence, if the child is present in this state and has no habitual residence. However, the Practical Handbook indicates that this determination should be avoided if at all possible. Jurisdiction is also proper if the child is present in the state and is a refugee or is internationally displaced. Finally, this state has jurisdiction if the convention country that would otherwise have jurisdiction has decided to transfer jurisdiction to this state and this state has accepted the transfer.

1 2

SECTION 405. SIMULTANEOUS PROCEEDINGS.

(a) Except as otherwise provided in Section 408, a court of this state may not exercise its jurisdiction under Section 404, 406 or 407 if the court determines that at the time the proceeding commenced, a proceeding was pending before an authority of a convention country having jurisdiction concerning a similar measure of protection and the measure is under consideration unless the authority declines to exercise its jurisdiction in favor of the court.

(b) If a court of this state which has jurisdiction under Section 404, 406, or 407

determines that a proceeding has been commenced later in a convention country having jurisdiction concerning a similar measure of protection, the court may decline jurisdiction.

17 Comment

Related to the Convention, Art 13. Article 13 provides a lis pendens for situations where there is potentially conflicting jurisdiction. Its use was primarily thought to apply between the country of the child's habitual residence and the country where a divorce between the child's parents is pending. However, it has application to all potential jurisdictional conflicts that might arise under Articles 5-10 of the Convention. Therefore, it would apply when the child's habitual residence changes during the middle of a case.

Note that under subsection (a) there is a provision for a court of this state to continue the case if the court that first had jurisdiction declines in favor of this state. This declination of jurisdiction is on the basis of forum non conveniens and does not involve the transfer jurisdiction of Article 8-9 of the Convention. I have added a subsection (b) that authorizes a court of this state to decline jurisdiction in favor of the second to file country.

SECTION 406. JURISDICTION IN CASE OF WRONGFUL REMOVAL OR

RETENTION OF CHILD.

(a) A removal or retention of a child is wrongful if:

1	(1) it is in breach of a right of custody attributed to a person, either jointly or
2	solely, under the law of the country of the child's habitual residence immediately before the
3	removal or retention; and
4	(2) at the time of removal or retention, the right of custody was exercised, either
5	jointly or solely, or would have been exercised but for the removal or retention.
6	(b) In this section, a right of custody may arise by operation of law, a judicial or
7	administrative decision, or an agreement having legal effect under the law of the country of the
8	child's habitual residence immediately before a removal or retention.
9	(c) A court of this state which has jurisdiction under Section 404 continues to have
10	jurisdiction after a wrongful removal or retention of a child until the child acquires a new
11	habitual residence, and:
12	(1) each person with a right of custody has acquiesced in the removal or retention;
13	<u>or</u>
14	(2) the child resides in the country of the new habitual residence for at least one
15	year after the time that every person with a right of custody knew or should have known of the
16	whereabouts of the child, no request for the return of the child is pending before an authority of
17	the country of the new habitual residence or in a court of this state, and the child is settled in the
18	new environment.
19	(d) Except as otherwise provided in Section 408, a court of this state does not have
20	jurisdiction over a child whose habitual residence in this state is the result of a wrongful removal
21	or retention unless:
22	(1) each person with rights of custody has acquiesced in the removal or retention;
23	<u>or</u>

1 (2) the child resides in this state for at least one year after the time that every 2 person with a right of custody knew or should have known of the whereabouts of the child, no request for the return of the child is pending in a court of this state or before an authority of the 3 4 country of the former habitual residence of the child, and the child is settled in the new 5 environment. **Comment** 6 7 8 Relation to Convention, Art 7. The definition of wrongful removal or retention in this section does not specifically set out "institution or other body" as the term is used in the 9 Convention, That is because the definition of the term "person" in section 102 includes 10 11 "institution or other body" and therefore the terms would be redundant in this section. 12 13 The term "rights of custody" is also not defined in this section since it takes the definition 14 that is set out in section 401 on scope. The term "rights of custody" does not include access or visitation or access rights as defined in the same section. This section is designed to prevent 15 16 jurisdiction from transferring following a wrongful removal or retention as set out in the 1980 convention. Therefore, the terms of "rights of custody," exercise of custody," acclamitized', etc 17 18 will take on the same meaning here that they have in the 1980 convention. 19 20 This section presents both sides of Article 7. It confirms that a court of this state does not 21 lose its jurisdiction after a wrongful abduction unless the requirements of Article 7 are met. It 22 also provides that a court of this state does not obtain jurisdiction if the child's habitual residence 23 in this state is the result of a wrongful abduction unless the requirements of the article are met. 24 25 The reference to a petition for the return of the child pending in the court of this state or the new habitual residence is in line with the interpretation of the Permanent Bureau. See 26 27 Preliminary Document No. 4, Practical Handbook on the Operation of the 1996 Convention, available at http://www.hcch.net/upload/wop/abduct2011pd04e.pdf. It should be noted that a 28 custody determination made by the court of the state from which the child has been wrongfully 29 30 removed or retained while that state still has jurisdiction under this section must be recognized

31 32 33

SECTION 407. TRANSFER OF JURISDICTION.

and enforced under the section on recognition and enforcement.

3435

36

37

38

(a) If a court of this state has jurisdiction under Section 404(1) or (2) and determines that an authority in another convention country is in a better position to assess the best interests of the child, the court may:

(1) request that the authority assume jurisdiction over all or part of the case; or

1	(2) stay the case to allow the parties to request that the authority exercise
2	jurisdiction.
3	(b) A court of this state may communicate with the authority in the other convention
4	country concerning a request to transfer jurisdiction under subsection (a).
5	(c) Before determining whether jurisdiction should be transferred under subsection (a), a
6	court of this state shall allow the parties to submit information and shall consider all relevant
7	factors, including:
8	(1) whether domestic violence has occurred and is likely to continue in the future
9	and which convention country could best protect the parties and the child;
10	(2) the length of time the child has resided outside the United States;
11	(3) the distance between the court and the authority in the convention country
12	which would assume jurisdiction;
13	(4) the financial circumstances of the parties;
14	(5) any agreement of the parties concerning which convention country should
15	assume jurisdiction;
16	(6) the nature and location of evidence required to resolve the issues in the case,
17	including testimony of the child;
18	(7) the ability of the authorities of each convention country to present evidence
19	and decide the case expeditiously;
20	(8) the procedures available in each convention country necessary to present
21	evidence; and
22	(9) the familiarity of the authorities of each convention country with the facts and
23	issues in the proceeding.

1	(d) Jurisdiction may be transferred under this section only to an authority in a convention
2	country:
3	(1) of which the child is a national;
4	(2) that has jurisdiction over the divorce or annulment of the parents of the child;
5	<u>or</u>
6	(3) that has a substantial connection to the child.
7	(e) A court of this state may request or invite the parties to request the appropriate
8	authority of the convention country of the habitual residence of a child to transfer jurisdiction to
9	the court if the court believes it can better assess the best interests of the child if:
10	(1) the child is a national of the United States;
11	(2) a divorce or annulment proceeding concerning the parents of the child is
12	pending in this state; or
13	(3) this state has a substantial connection to the child.
14	(f) A court of this state may communicate with the authority of the convention country of
15	the habitual residence of the child with regard to a request under subsection (e).
16	(g) A court of this state may not assume jurisdiction following a request under subsection
17	(e) until the authority of the convention country of the habitual residence of the child decides to
18	transfer jurisdiction to the court.
19	Comment
20	
21	Relation to Convention, Arts 8,9.
22 23	Subsection (c) is material that is contained in Article 207. This section should provide
24	guidance to a court in determining whether it is appropriate to transfer jurisdiction in the same
25	way that 207 provides guidance in terms of whether a court should find that it is an inconvenient
26	forum. The factors of this section can also be used to determine whether another convention
27	country has a significant connection to the child. The convention does not provide a procedure
28	for determining when a court decides that another state should transfer or receive jurisdiction

Therefore it would not be inconsistent with the convention to add such a provision.

That part of article 8 of the convention that requires a court to determine whether it is in the best interests of the child for it to receive jurisdiction is covered in section 404(3).

 The transfer of the case under this Section does not effect a permanent transfer of jurisdiction. If the country of the habitual residence of the child transfers the case to another country, modification procedures would take place in the country of the child's habitual residence since there is no continuing jurisdiction under the convention.

SECTION 408. MEASURE OF PROTECTION: TEMPORARY JURISDICTION.

- (a) In an urgent situation a court of this state has temporary jurisdiction to order a measure of protection if necessary to protect a child present in this state, including when the child has been abandoned or if it is necessary to protect the child because the child, or a sibling or parent of the child, is subjected to or threatened with mistreatment or abuse.
- (b) A measure of protection ordered under subsection (a) regarding a child habitually resident in a convention country expires when an authority of the country with jurisdiction over the child orders a measure of protection with respect to the child.
- (c) An measure of protection ordered under subsection (a) regarding a child habitually resident in a nonconvention country expires when the court recognizes a measure ordered by the authority of the nonconvention country.

23 Comment

Related to Convention: Art. 11. This section tracks article 11 of the Convention. The scope of this section is wider than the scope of section 204. The term "urgent" covers more situations than the comparable term "emergency" as found in section 204. According to the Permanent Bureau this means that this section can be used to fill in the gaps of the 1980 Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction. Thus if a court of a country that is requested to return the child under the 1980 convention might decide to return the child only if the child is protected from the left behind parent on return. Or, it might decide to return the child only if the left behind parent provides certain undertaking with regard to financial commitments to child and the other parent. These orders are described as "urgent" and since they are properly taken under this section are entitled to enforcement in the country of the habitual residence of the child until modified. See the Practical Handbook, ¶6.11. Although United States courts have authority to issue provisional order under the International Child

Abductions Remedies Act,42 U.S.C. §11604, there is no basis for enforcement of these orders abroad except by this convention.

The expiration of the emergency order is as set out in Article 11. If the child's habitual residence is in a Convention country the order expires when the Convention country takes whatever measure is required by the situation. If the child's habitual residence is in a nonconvention country the emergency order expires when it is recognized by a court of this state. I think we need not concern ourselves with the language of Article 10(C) of "each contracting state" and "in the contracting state in question" since we are writing for this state and no other state. The implication of the language of the convention seems to require that the noncontracting state actually take a measure of protection since there must be something to be recognized in this state in order for the temporary order to come to an end.

SECTION 409. DURATION OF MEASURE. Except as otherwise provided in Section 408 the following rules apply:

(1) A measure of protection ordered by a court of this state with jurisdiction under Section 404, 406, or 407 remains in force even if a change of circumstances has eliminated the jurisdictional basis of the measure, until terminated, modified, or replaced by a measure of an authority of a convention country with jurisdiction or of the nonconvention country of the habitual residence of the child.

(2) A measure of protection ordered by an authority of a convention country with jurisdiction remains in force even if a change of circumstances has eliminated the jurisdictional basis of the measure, until terminated, modified, or replaced by a measure of a court of this state with jurisdiction under this [act], an authority of a convention country with jurisdiction, or the nonconvention country of the habitual residence of the child.

26 Comment

Related to Convention, Art 14, 23(2)(e). This is a familiar principle and is found in both UIFSA and the UCCJEA. An order made with appropriate jurisdiction continues to be enforceable even after the jurisdictional basis of the order disappears. The order is enforceable until modified by a court with appropriate jurisdiction under this article, or until a situation arises whereby another order can be recognized under the recognition sections. This would occur when the original order is modified by either a court with jurisdiction under this article or by an authority of the nonconvention state of the child's habitual residence.

This section implements Article 23(2)(e) which specifically sets out a rule allowing for 1 2 non-recognition of an order from a convention country if it is incompatible with a later order from the nonconvention country of the child's habitual residence. 3 4 5 **SECTION 410. CONFLICT OF LAWS.** 6 (a) In this section, "law" means the law in a state or foreign country other than its 7 conflict of laws rules. 8 (b) Except as otherwise provided in this section, in a proceeding under this [article], a 9 court of this state shall apply the law of this state. 10 (c) To protect a child, a court of this state may apply or take into consideration the law of 11 another country which has a substantial relationship to the case. 12 (d) If this state becomes the habitual residence of a child, the law of this state governs the 13 application in this state of a measure of protection taken in a convention country of the former 14 habitual residence of child. 15 (e) The law of the habitual residence of a child governs the exercise of parental 16 responsibility. 17 (f) A court of this state may refuse to apply the law designated by this section only if the court finds, after taking into account the best interests of the child, the law is contrary to the 18 19 public policy of this state. 20 Comment 21 22 Related to Convention, Arts 15, 17, 20, 21(1), 22. These articles introduce into United 23 States cases arising under the convention a new element: the question of the applicable law. In 24 the United States, as well as most other common law countries, allocation of competency between jurisdictions in child custody and visitation cases is handled by rules of jurisdiction and 25 26 recognition of judgments. Choice of law is not used. A court that has jurisdiction over a custody determination applies its own substantive law of custody, visitation, dependency, neglect, etc. 27 28 29 The normal rule, contained in subparagraph (b), is that a court that has jurisdiction under

the convention will apply its own law, which, given that the jurisdiction is very likely to be the

place of the child's habitual residence, will result in the application of the law of the child's

30 31 habitual residence.

However, the court in order to protect the child may, in exceptional cases, apply the law of another country which has a substantial connection to the fact pattern. This provision is likely to be little used in the United States. Since there will be no jurisdiction for the divorce court in the United States, the only concurrent jurisdiction will be urgency jurisdiction, or, possibly, presence jurisdiction. It is extremely unlikely that a court asked to decide a case in an emergency will have time to consider the law of another jurisdiction. Thus, practically all cases will be heard by the court of the child's habitual residence which will apply its own law. However, it is possible that there may be a case, albeit rare, where even though a court has jurisdiction as the place of the child's new habitual residence, the child, over the course of time, has had more connection with another country and therefore, although unlikely, the court of the child's new habitual residence may wish to apply the law of the child's previous habitual residence.

Subsection (d) draws a distinction between the existence of the measures and the method of application of the measure in a particular country when the child's habitual residence changes as required by Article 15(3). In other words, the distinction is the equivalent of the distinction between the law governing the validity of a contract and the performance of a contract. The substantive law governing, for example, visitation, is that of the forum. However, the conditions for carrying out the visitation arrangements are that of the child's new habitual residence. This is particularly apt, according to the explanatory report in those situations where the original determination was made by the child's habitual residence and then child's habitual residence changes. The explanatory report acknowledges that there is not a clear line between the establishment of a measure and the means of carrying out the measure and suggests that the line will have to be drawn on a case-by-case basis.

Subsection (e) distinguishes between the existence of parental responsibility and the exercise of parental responsibility. The applicable law governing the exercise of parental responsibility is that of the habitual residence of the child. This subsection does not fit easily in this section. Indeed the Style Committee recommended moving it to Section 411. However, that cannot be done due to the renvoi principle in 411(b) which, while applicable to the rules covered in that section is not applicable to the question of the exercise of parental responsibility. This rule could be made into a separate section or it could be left where it is currently located.

SECTION 411. CONFLICT OF LAWS RULES REGARDING PARENTAL

RESPONSIBILITY.

- (a) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (c), in this section, "law" means the law in force in a state or foreign country other than its conflict of laws rules.
- (b) If the law made applicable by this section is the law of a nonconvention country and if
 the conflict of laws rules of the nonconvention country would apply the law of another

1	nonconvention country, the law of the other nonconvention country is applicable. If the other
2	nonconvention country would not apply its own law, the law applicable is as provided in
3	subsection (c).
4	(c) In a proceeding under this [article], the following rules apply:
5	(1) Attribution or extinction of parental responsibility of a child by operation of
6	law, without the intervention of an authority, is governed by the law of the country of the habitual
7	residence of the child.
8	(2) Attribution or extinction of parental responsibility of a child by agreement or a
9	unilateral act, without the intervention of an authority, is governed by the law of the country of
10	the habitual residence of the child at the time the agreement or unilateral act takes effect.
11	(3) Attribution of parental responsibility of a child under the law of the country of
12	the habitual residence of the child continues even if the child acquires a new habitual residence.
13	(4) If a child acquires a new habitual residence, the law of the new habitual
14	residence determines the attribution of parental responsibility of the child by operation of law to
15	an individual who at the time of the acquisition of the new habitual residence did not have
16	parental responsibility.
17	(d) Parental responsibility established under subsection (d) may be terminated or
18	modified by a measure of protection ordered in accordance with this [article].
19	(e) A court of this state may refuse to apply the law designated by this section only if the
20	court finds, after taking into account the best interests of the child, the law is manifestly contrary
21	to the public policy of this state.
22	Comment
22 23 24	Related to convention, Arts 16, 18, 21(2), 22. The specific rules referred to in this section are for situations where a country may have rules which provide for rights of custody, or parental

responsibility, by operation of law, unilateral act, or agreement. Unlike anything else in the convention, the rules do not concern measures or decisions, but rather relationships created by local rules of law. The convention provides that these issues be determined by the law of the habitual residence of the child.

1 2

Subsection (c)(3) provides that the parental responsibility that comes about by operation of law, agreement or unilateral act continues even if the habitual residence of the child changes.

Subsection (c)(4) deals with the reverse situation. It provides that if parents who do not have parental responsibility under the law of the child's original habitual residence move to a state where parental responsibility by operation of law is applicable, the law of the new habitual residence applies.

Subsection (d) restates Article 18 that the parental responsibility established by this section may be modified by a measure under this article.

Subsection (b) deals with the renvoi problem, i.e. whether the reference to the law of a particular State is to that State's local law or whether the reference includes the conflict of law rules of the referred to State. Article 21 (2) contains a exception to the normal rule of referring only to local law for fact patterns that fall under Article 16. If the application of that article designates the law of a nonconvention country and if the choice-of-law rules of that state would dictate applying the law of another nonconvention country then the law of the second nonconvention country applies. If the second nonconvention country would not apply its own law then the convention requires that the applicable law be that set forth in the section.

SECTION 412. DUTY TO RECOGNIZE MEASURE OF PROTECTION

ORDERED IN A CONVENTION COUNTRY.

- (a) A court of this state shall recognize and enforce a measure of protection ordered by a an authority in convention country if:
- (1) the convention country exercised jurisdiction in substantial conformity with this [article] or the measure of protection was ordered under factual circumstances meeting the jurisdictional standards of this [article]; and
 - (2) the determination has not been modified in accordance with this [article].
 - (b) Even if a child is not habitually resident in the country, the recognition required by subsection (a) applies to a measure of protection ordered by an authority in a convention country that had jurisdiction over the divorce or annulment of the marriage of the parents of the child if:

1	(1) the law of the convention country so provides;
2	(2) one of the parents was habitually resident in the country;
3	(3) at least one of the parents had parental responsibility of the child at the time
4	the proceedings for divorce or annulment was commenced; and
5	(4) the jurisdiction of the authority that decided the divorce or annulment was
6	agreed to by the parents or any other person with parental responsibility.
7	Comment
8 9 10 11 12	Related to the Convention, Art. 10, 23(1). This section sets out in subsection (a) the basic rule of recognition. It requires recognition of child custody determinations made in another contracting country if the measure was decided in accordance with the jurisdictional provisions of this article. The operative language from Section 303 has been slightly rewritten but the duty to recognize is not lessened in this article.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Subsection (b) is a special case. The convention allows an authority having jurisdiction of the parent's divorce or annulment to also exercise jurisdiction over the child so long as one of the parents is habitually resident in the country, one parent has parental responsibility and the parties, as well as anyone else with parental responsibility, agree. This jurisdictional basis is not required under the convention and will not be a part of United States law. However, the convention does require recognition of custody determinations made in accordance with its jurisdictional standard. Therefore even though the United States does not have this jurisdictional basis to determine custody, the convention does require recognition of measures taken by the country that has jurisdiction over the divorce or annulment of the parents of the child.
24 25 26 27	The jurisdiction authorized under Article 10 for the authority having jurisdiction of the divorce or annulment ceases when the divorce or annulment proceedings end. There is no continuing jurisdiction under the convention. Therefore proceeding to modify the determination made by the divorce authority are governed by Section 404.
28 29 30 31 32	The convention requires recognition as a matter of law without the intervention of a court or other tribunal. Recognition "by operation of law" means that it is not necessary to commence proceedings for the measure to be recognized in the requested Convention state and for it to produce its effects there. An example set out by the Permanent Bureau in the Practical Handbook, and slightly modified, is as follows:
34 35 36 37 38	A family are habitually resident in Contracting State A. Following the breakdown of the parents' relationship, the court in Contracting State A, with the agreement of the father, grants the mother sole custody of the child. A year later, the mother lawfully moves with the child to Contracting State B. She wishes to enroll the children in school. Her sole custody of the child which will allow enrollment in

school will be recognized by operation of law in Contracting State B without her taking any further action. She will not have to apply to the judicial or administrative authorities in Contracting State B for recognition of the custody order.

I have eliminated the language "by operation of law." The example raised above does not fall within the ambit of court decisions. Since this Act is directed to courts, it seems to follow that it will deal with cases where recognition may be contested. Therefore the operation of law language would not apply and the registration procedure is as set out in Article 3.

SECTION 413. REGISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF CONVENTION

MEASURE.

- (a) A measure of protection that is entitled to be recognized and enforced under this [article] is recognized and enforced under Sections 305, 306, 308, and 310.
- (b) A court of this state is bound by the findings of fact on which the authority of the convention country based its jurisdiction.
- (c) A court of this state may use any remedy available under law of this state other than this act to enforce a measure of protection made by an authority of a convention country. The remedies provided in this [act] are cumulative and do not affect the availability of other remedies to enforce a measure.

21 Comment

Related to Convention: Arts. 23, 24, 25, 26, 28. This section requires that the standards and procedure for recognition and enforcement of measures of protection from convention countries will be subject to the requirements as recognition of child-custody determinations from other states and nonconvention countries. Under the convention this is possible because the defenses to recognition set out in Article 23(2) of the convention are permissive defenses and not mandatory defenses. Three of the defenses are already recognized by Article 3. They are lack of appropriate jurisdiction, failure to give notice, and incompatibility with a later measure that is entitled to be recognized. See also Section 409. A fourth defense is contained in Section 105(d) in that the measure violates fundamental principles of human rights. The remaining two defenses are that the child was not give an opportunity to be heard in violation of fundamental rules of procedure of the forum and that this state was not consulted before a child is placed in this state for a foreign child placing authority. These defenses are waived in this enactment.

SECTION 414. COOPERATION WITH CONVENTION COUNTRY. Before

1	placing a child in foster care, institutional care, or a similar situation in a convention country, a
2	court of this state shall:
3	(1) consult with the appropriate authority in the country; and
4	(2) obtain consent to the placement by the appropriate authority in the country.
5	SECTION 415. SUITABILITY TO EXERCISE VISITATION. A parent who is a
6	party to a case in this state who is seeking to obtain or maintain visitation and has asked an
7	authority of the convention country that is the residence of the parent to make a finding on the
8	suitability of the parent to exercise visitation, may request a court to stay the case pending receipt
9	of information regarding suitability. The court shall consider the information in making a
10	decision on visitation.
11	Comment
12	Related to the Convention, Arts 33, 35.
13 14	[ARTICLE] 4 <u>5</u>
15	MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS.
16	SECTION 401 501. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION.
17	In applying and construing this uniform act, consideration must be given to the need to promote
18	uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.
19	SECTION 502. RELATION TO ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES IN GLOBAL
20	AND NATIONAL COMMERCE ACT. This [act] modifies, limits, and supersedes the federal
21	Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 7001, et seq.,
22	but does not modify, limit, or supersede Section 101(c) of that act,15 U.S.C. Section 7001(c), or
23	authorize electronic delivery of any of the notices described in Section 103(b) of that act, 15
24	<u>U.S.C. Section 7003(b).</u>

SECTION 405 503. TRANSITIONAL PROVISION. A motion or other request for
relief made in a child-custody proceeding or to enforce a child-custody determination which was
commenced before the effective date of this [act] is governed by the law in effect at the time the
motion or other request was made.
[SECTION 402 504. SEVERABILITY. If any provision of this [act] or its application
to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or
applications of this [act] which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application,
and to this end the provisions of this [act] are severable.]
Legislative Note: Include this section only if this state lacks a general severability statute or a
decision by the highest court of this state stating a general rule of severability.
SECTION 403 505. EFFECTIVE DATE. This [Act] takes effect