DRAFT

FOR DISCUSSION ONLY

CIVIL REMEDIES FOR UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF INTIMATE IMAGES ACT

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

March 26, 2018 Interim Draft

Copyright © 2018
By
NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS
ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

The ideas and conclusions set forth in this draft, including the proposed statutory language and any comments or reporter's notes, have not been passed upon by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws or the Drafting Committee. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Conference and its Commissioners and the Drafting Committee and its Members and Reporter. Proposed statutory language may not be used to ascertain the intent or meaning of any promulgated final statutory proposal.

DRAFTING COMMITTEE ON CIVIL REMEDIES FOR UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF INTIMATE IMAGES ACT

The Committee appointed by and representing the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in preparing this Act consists of the following individuals:

- VINCENT P. CARDI, West Virginia University College of Law, P.O. Box 6130, 101 Law Center Dr., Morgantown, WV 26506, *Chair*
- LOUISE M. NADEAU, Connecticut General Assembly, Legislative Office Bldg., Room 5500 Hartford, CT 06106-1591, *Vice Chair*
- MARTIN D. CARR, 2200 21st St., Suite 201, Sacramento, CA 95818
- JENNIFER S. CLARK, State Capitol, 600 East Blvd., Bismarck, ND 58505-0360
- AMY M. ELLIOTT, Office of Attorney General, 15th Floor, Strawberry Square, Harrisburg, PA 17120
- LORIE FOWLKE, 3549 N. University Ave., Yorktown Bldg., Suite 275, Provo, UT 84604-4487
- PETER F. LANGROCK, P.O. Drawer 351, Middlebury, VT 05753-0351
- CLAIRE LEVY, 789 Sherman St., Suite 300, Denver, CO 80203-3531
- FRANK E. PEREZ, 300 Mexico Blvd., P.O. Box 3490, Brownsville, TX 78520
- MICHELE L. TIMMONS, 6869 W, 82nd St., Bloomington, MN 55438-1264
- MARY ANNE FRANKS, University of Miami School of Law, 1311 Miller Rd, Office G385, Coral Gables, FL 33146-2300, *Reporter*

EX OFFICIO

- ANITA RAMASASTRY, University of Washington School of Law, William H. Gates Hall, Box 353020, Seattle, WA 98195-3020, *President*
- JULIET M. MORINGIELLO, Widener University Commonwealth Law School, 3800 Vartan Way, Harrisburg, PA 17110-9742, *Division Chair*

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ADVISORS

JEFFREY M. ALLEN, Graves & Allen, 1300 Clay St., Suite 600, Oakland, CA 94612-1427, ABA Advisor

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

LIZA KARSAI, 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010, Chicago, IL 60602, Executive Director

Copies of this act may be obtained from:

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS
ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS
111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010
Chicago, IL 60602
312/450-6600
www.uniformlaws.org

CIVIL REMEDIES FOR UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF INTIMATE IMAGES ACT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFATORY NOTE	1
SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.	3
SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS.	3
SECTION 3. CIVIL ACTION.	4
SECTION 4. EXCEPTIONS TO LIABILITY.	5
SECTION 5. PLAINTIFFS' PRIVACY.	8
SECTION 6. REMEDIES	9
SECTION 7. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.	10
SECTION 8. LIMITATIONS.	10
SECTION 9. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION	10
[SECTION 10. SEVERABILITY.]	11
SECTION 11. REPEALS; CONFORMING AMENDMENTS	11
SECTION 12. EFFECTIVE DATE.	11

CIVIL REMEDIES FOR UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF INTIMATE IMAGES ACT

2 3

1

4

5 6

16

27

22

32 33 34 PREFATORY NOTE

This is a partial draft of the Prefatory Note. The reporter will update commentary as the project proceeds.]

The Civil Remedies for Unauthorized Disclosure of Intimate Images Act addresses a growing form of abuse that causes immediate and in many cases irreversible harm. According to a nationally representative 2017 study conducted by the Cyber Civil Rights Initiative, more than 1 in 8 American adult social media users has been victimized or threatened with the unauthorized distribution of private, sexually explicit images or videos, and over 1 in 20 adult social media users have engaged in such distribution.1

A single intimate image can quickly dominate the first several pages of search engine results for the victim's name, as well as being emailed or otherwise exhibited to the victim's family, employers, co-workers, and peers. Victims have been fired from their jobs, expelled from their schools, and forced to move from their homes. They have been threatened with sexual assault, stalked, and harassed. Victims have developed post-traumatic stress disorders, depression, anxiety, agoraphobia, and difficulty maintaining intimate relationships. Some victims have committed suicide²

Intimate images include footage obtained by hidden cameras, consensually exchanged images within a confidential relationship, stolen photos, and recordings of sexual assaults. There are many motives for unauthorized disclosure, including discouraging domestic violence victims from reporting abuse; punishing former intimate partners for exiting the relationship; further humiliating or extorting sexual assault victims; or profiting from voyeuristic "entertainment." The Internet has greatly facilitated the rise of nonconsensual pornography, as dedicated "revenge" porn" sites and other forums openly solicit private intimate images and expose them to millions of viewers, while allowing the posters themselves to hide in the shadows. Some victims' private intimate images have been published on over 10,000 websites, in addition to being widely distributed through social media, blogs, emails, and texts.

¹ The study recruited 3,044 adults using a stratified sampling technique in the form of a Facebook poll shown to equal numbers of men and women in each of the 50 states in the United States. The number of subjects polled in each state was proportional to the representation of each state in the total population of the nation. The study addressed all nonconsensual, sexually explicit disclosures. Asia A. Eaton et al., 2017 Nationwide Online Study of Nonconsensual Porn Victimization and Perpetration: A Summary Report, CYBER C.R. INITIATIVE 11 (June 12, 2017), https://www.cybercivilrights.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/CCRI-2017-Research-Report.pdf ² See Danielle Keats Citron & Mary Anne Franks, Criminalizing Revenge Porn, 49 Wake Forest L. Rev. 345 (2014).

The key features of this Act are (1. Creating a cause of action for the unauthorized disclosure of private, intimate images; (2. Prescribing remedies for the depicted individual, including actual damages, reasonable attorney's fees, punitive damages, and disgorgement of profit made by the wrongful act; and (3. Allowing depicted individuals to protect their identity in court proceedings.

The Act applies only to images created under circumstances in which the depicted individual had a reasonable expectation of privacy. It also exempts disclosures that are made in the ordinary course of law enforcement; legal proceedings; or medical education or treatment; are made in the reasonable reporting or investigation of unlawful conduct, or unsolicited and unwelcome conduct; are matters of public concern or public interest; or are reasonably intended to assist the depicted individual. The Act notes that the liability for providers and users of interactive computer services for content provided by another party as restricted by federal law.

The majority of states have passed criminal legislation addressing the problem within the last few years, but such legislation does not generally compensate victims for the harm they have suffered. Only a dozen or so states have enacted specific civil legislation to address the problem. What is more, the criminal and civil laws that have been passed by the several states differ considerably in their definitions, scope, form, remedies, and constitutionality. This lack of uniformity creates confusion and inefficiency, especially given the frequently "borderless" nature of the wrongful act.

This Act provides a clear and comprehensive definition of the abuse that is broad enough to protect the right to intimate privacy and narrow enough to respect the First Amendment right to freedom of speech.

1 2	CIVIL REMEDIES FOR UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF INTIMATE IMAGES ACT
3	SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. This [act] may be cited as the Civil Remedies for
4	Unauthorized Disclosure of Intimate Images Act.
5	SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS. In this [act]:
6	(1) "Consent" means affirmative, conscious, and voluntary authorization by an individual
7	who is capable of giving authorization.
8	(2) "Depicted individual" means an individual whose body or portion thereof is shown in
9	an intimate image.
10	(3) "Disclose" means to transfer, publish, or distribute to one or more persons.
11	(4) "Identifiable" means that an individual is identifiable from an image itself or from the
12	image and identifying characteristics displayed in connection with the image.
13	(5) "Identifying characteristic" means information that may be used to identify a depicted
14	individual.
15	(6) "Individual" means a human being.
16	(7) "Intimate image" means a photograph, film, or video, or other visual depiction that a
17	reasonable person would believe is an actual photograph, film, or video, which shows:
18	(A) the genitals, pubic area, anus, or female post-pubescent nipple of the depicted
19	individual; or
20	(B) the depicted individual engaging in sexual conduct.
21	(8) "Person" means an individual, estate, business or nonprofit entity, public corporation,
22	government or governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality, or other legal entity.
23	(9) "Sexual conduct" means:
24	(A) masturbation;

1	(B) genital, anal, or oral sex;
2	(C) sexual penetration with an object;
3	(D) bestiality; or
4	(E) the transfer of semen onto the depicted individual.
5	Comment
6 7 8 9 10	The definition of consent as "affirmative, conscious, and voluntary authorization" is meant to exclude the possibility of implied, tacit, or coerced consent. While consent need not be in writing, it cannot be inferred from silence or lack of protest, nor can it be obtained through coercion.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Consent is also disclosure-specific. For example, consent to disclose an intimate image to an intimate partner is not consent to disclose to the general public. "There is an obvious and substantial difference between the disclosure of private facts to an individual-a disclosure that is selective and based on a judgment as to whether knowledge by that person would be felt to be objectionable-and the disclosure of the same facts to the public at large." <i>Virgil v. Time, Inc.</i> , 527 F.2d 1122, 1126–27 (9th Cir. 1975). When the nature or scope of consent is ambiguous, it is the responsibility of the would-be discloser to obtain clarification before disclosing.
18 19 20	Identifying characteristics can include the depicted individual's face, birthmarks, tattoos, or other physical identifiers.
21 22 23 24	The specification of "individual" is used to distinguish from the broader definition of "person," which can include non-human entities and people who are deceased.
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	The definition of "intimate image" is limited to images of individuals that are actual visual representations, or virtually indistinguishable from such actual visual representations, of individuals. It does not include paintings, drawings, or other figurative representations of an individual. Images that are not or would not be mistaken for actual representations of an individual do not impose the severe privacy harm that is the focus of this act. Also, such representations enjoy extensive First Amendment protection. See <i>Hustler v. Falwell</i> (485 U.S. 46 (1988).
33 34	The definition of disclosure includes providing a URL to a website that features the intimate image.
35 36	SECTION 3. CIVIL ACTION.
37	(a) Except as otherwise provided in Section 4, a depicted individual who has been
38	harmed by the disclosure or threatened disclosure of an intimate image of the individual has a

1	cause of action against a person that intentionally disclosed or threatened to disclose the intimate
2	image of the individual if the person knew or had reckless disregard for whether:
3	(1) the individual did not consent to the disclosure that is the subject of the action:
4	(2) the intimate image
5	(A) was created or obtained under circumstances in which the depicted
6	individual had a reasonable expectation of privacy
7	(B) was made accessible through theft, bribery, extortion, fraud, false
8	pretenses, voyeurism, or exceeding authorized access to property or to an account, message, file,
9	device, or resource; or
10	(3) the depicted individual is identifiable.
11	(b) Consent by a depicted individual to the creation of an intimate image, or previous
12	confidential disclosure of the image by the depicted individual does not establish by itself that
13	the depicted individual had no reasonable expectation of privacy.
14	(c) An individual who does not consent to the sexual act or the viewing of the body parts
15	depicted in the intimate image, such as in a sexual assault, retains a reasonable expectation of
16	privacy even if the individual is in a public place.
17 18 19	Legislative Note: States should consult appropriate state law for specific definitions of "voyeurism."
20	Comment
21 22	It is the intent of the Committee that the question whether a cause of action under this act survives the death of the depicted individual should be left to the states.
23 24	SECTION 4. EXCEPTIONS TO LIABILITY.
25	(a) A person is not liable under Section 3 if the disclosure of or threat to disclose the
26	intimate image is:

1	(1) made in good faith in the ordinary course of:
2	(A) law enforcement;
3	(B) legal proceedings; or
4	(D) medical education or treatment; or
5	(2) made in good faith in the reasonable reporting or investigation of
6	(A) unlawful conduct; or
7	(B) unsolicited and unwelcome conduct; or
8	(3) a matter of public concern or public interest; or
9	(4) reasonably intended to assist the depicted individual.
10	(b) A parent, guardian, legal custodian of a minor, or primary caretaker is not liable under
11	Section 3 if the disclosure of an intimate image of the minor is
12	(1) not prohibited by law, and
13	(2) not made for the purpose of sexual arousal, gratification, humiliation,
14	degradation, or monetary or commercial gain.
15	(c) That a depicted individual is a public figure by itself does not establish that the
16	disclosure of an intimate image is a matter of public concern or public interest.
17	Comment
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A disclosure under the act can only give rise to liability if, first, the act of disclosure is intentional, as opposed to accidental, and the individual is identifiable either from the image itself or an identifying characteristic displayed in connection with the image. A person is not liable under the act unless he knows or recklessly disregards the fact that the individual has not consented to the disclosure, that the image was created or obtained under circumstances in which the depicted individual had a reasonable expectation of privacy.
25 26	The following examples can help illustrate the scope and applicability of this act.
27 28 29	Example 1. A gives B an identifiable, sexually explicit image of herself during their intimate relationship with the understanding that it would remain private. After A and B end their relationship. B uploads the image of A to a "revenge porn" website without A's consent.

2 3

Because B knows that A did not consent to the disclosure, knows that A has a reasonable expectation of privacy with regard to the image, and knows that A is identifiable in the image, B is likely liable under the act.

Example 2. B finds an identifiable, sexually explicit image of A on a mainstream adult pornographic website. B forwards the link to the website to C without A's consent. B is likely not liable under the act, as it will be difficult for A to show that B knew or recklessly disregarded the fact that A had a reasonable expectation of privacy, given the fact that A's image was displayed on a mainstream pornographic website.

Example 3. B finds an identifiable, sexually explicit image of A on a "revenge porn" website that clearly indicates that the material displayed on the site is private and disclosed without consent by the individuals depicted. B forwards the link to the website to C without A's consent. B is likely liable under the act, as it would appear that B either knew or recklessly disregarded the fact that A had a reasonable expectation of privacy based on the clear advertising of the "revenge porn" website.

Example 4. B finds an identifiable, sexually explicit image of A on a "revenge porn" website. B forwards the link to A to alert her that her image appears on the site so that A can take steps to remove it. B is not liable because B's actions are reasonably intended to assist A.

The burden of proving an exemption under subsection (c) should be on the defendant.

The act's "public concern" exception allows for the possibility that a disclosure of a private, sexually explicit image might be a matter of public concern. In Dun & Bradstreet, Inc. v. Greenmoss Builders, Inc., the Supreme Court distinguished between speech on "matters of public concern" and "matters of purely private concern," noting that it is the former that is "at the heart of the First Amendment's protection" while "speech on matters of purely private concern is of less First Amendment concern." 472 U.S. 749, 758–59 (1985) (quoting First Nat'l Bank of Bos. v. Bellotti, 435 U.S. 765, 776 (1978)). In City of San Diego v. Roe, the Court stated that the distribution of homemade sexually explicit material "does not qualify as a matter of public concern under any view." 543 U.S. 77, 84 (2004) (per curiam). In Snyder v. Phelps, the Supreme Court reaffirmed this longstanding principle that while speech on public matters deserves rigorous protection in order to prevent the stifling of debate essential to democratic selfgovernance, speech about purely private matters receives less vigorous protection because the threat of liability would not risk chilling the "meaningful dialogue of ideas." The Court pointed to San Diego v. Roe to make the point that homemade sexual imagery exemplified the sort of "purely private matters" that deserve less heightened protection under the First Amendment, and that the prohibition of unauthorized disclosure of such material poses "no threat to the free and robust debate of public issues; there is no potential interference with a meaningful dialogue of ideas." Snyder, 562 U.S. at 452 (quotation omitted).

The "publication of private facts" tort also provides some helpful elaboration of the concept. The tort is widely accepted by the majority of courts as compliant with the First Amendment, although the Supreme Court has yet to rule explicitly on the constitutionality of this tort with regard to matters not of public record. According to the Restatement (Second) of Torts

nitations, press and elings of
plaintiff in
he action
nd
ifying
fendant a
nd other
rivacy of a
y of
remedies.

1 **SECTION 6. REMEDIES.** 2 (a) In an action under this [act], a court may award a prevailing plaintiff: 3 (1) (A)(i) economic and noneconomic damages [, subject to the limitation 4 specified in [insert citation to statute that limits recoverable noneconomic damages]] and (ii) 5 punitive damages [if economic or noneconomic damages are awarded under this paragraph,] 6 subject to the limitation specified in [insert citation of statute that limits recoverable punitive 7 damages]; or 8 (B) if no damages are awarded under paragraph (A), [statutory 9 damages][nominal damages] in the amount of \$10,000 against each defendant found liable; 10 (2) an amount equal to the profit made by the defendant from the disclosure of the 11 intimate image; 12 (3) reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and (4) additional relief, including injunctive relief. 13 14 (b) This [act] does not affect a right or remedy available under law of this state other 15 than this [act]. 16 Comment 17 Many victims are deterred from initiating legal action both by the psychological toll and the financial cost of litigation. According to attorneys who deal with these cases, a typical 18 19 "revenge porn" case can cost between \$10,000 and \$60,000 and involve an average of 500 hours 20 of labor on the part of the victim. Many victims will already be in financial straits due to loss of employment, therapy, relocation expenses, or other typical harms that flow from the exposure of 21 22 private intimate imagery. As many defendants will be judgment proof, there are few incentives 23 for victims to risk financial ruin along with the potential for increased exposure of their private material. Providing reasonable attorney's fees and costs to prevailing plaintiffs will encourage 24

9

some victims who could not otherwise sustain the financial burden of litigation to bring claims.

The possibility of statutory damages provides an opportunity for victims to recover for harms

they have suffered without being forced to testify in intimate detail about those harms.

25

26

27

28

1	SECTION 7. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS. An action under this [act] may be
2	brought not later than [] years after the cause of action accrues [as provided in section [cite
3	statute providing statute of limitations for similar civil cause of action]].
4 5 6 7	Legislative Note: Insert a reference to the state's existing statute of limitations for a negligence action; computer tort, or other claim to incorporate corresponding discovery and tolling provisions.
8	Comment
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The nature of the Internet can complicate the determination of the appropriate length of the statute of limitations for the unauthorized disclosure of intimate images. For example, a plaintiff might reasonably decide, upon discovering that such a disclosure was made, not to file an action if the disclosure does not appear at the time to have a wide audience. Years later, however, if the image goes "viral" or appears to be about to do so (e.g., because the plaintiff is about to embark on a political campaign or has achieved recent celebrity status), she may wish to initiate an action.
17	SECTION 8. LIMITATIONS.
18	(a) This [act] may not be construed to alter or conflict with 47 U.S.C. Section 230.
19	(b) This [act] may not be construed to alter or conflict with the law of this state on
20	sovereign immunity.
21	Comment
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	This section responds to the specific language of the Communications Decency Act and is intended to avoid preemption of state law under that federal legislation. According to CDA Section 230(c)(1), "No provider or user of an interactive computer service shall be treated as the publisher or speaker of any information provided by another information content provider." CDA 230(c)(2) prohibits holding providers or users of interactive computer services civilly liable on the basis of good faith restrictions in accessing objectionable material or for making information about the technical means of restricting access to such material. CDA 230(e)(3) provides that "[n]o cause of action may be brought and no liability may be imposed under any State or local law that is inconsistent with this section." SECTION 9. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION. In
33	applying and construing this uniform act, consideration must be given to the need to promote
34	uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.

1	[SECTION 10. SEVERABILITY. If any provision of this [act] or its application to any
2	person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or
3	applications of this [act] which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application,
4	and to this end the provisions of this [act] are severable.]
5 6 7	Legislative Note: Include this section only if this state lacks a general severability statute or a decision by the highest court of this state stating a general rule of severability.
8	SECTION 11. REPEALS; CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.
9	(a)
10	(b)
11	(c)
12	SECTION 12. EFFECTIVE DATE. This [act] takes effect