DRAFT

FOR DISCUSSION ONLY

CERTIFICATION OF UNSWORN FOREIGN DECLARATIONS ACT

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS
ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

MEETING IN ITS ONE-HUNDRED-AND-SIXTEENTH YEAR PASADENA, CALIFORNIA JULY 27 - AUGUST 3, 2007

CERTIFICATION OF UNSWORN FOREIGN DECLARATIONS ACT

WITH PREFATORY NOTE AND PRELIMINARY COMMENTS

Copyright ©2007 By NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

The ideas and conclusions set forth in this draft, including the proposed statutory language and any comments or reporter's notes, have not been passed upon by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws or the Drafting Committee. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Conference and its Commissioners and the Drafting Committee and its Members and Reporter. Proposed statutory language may not be used to ascertain the intent or meaning of any promulgated final statutory proposal.

DRAFTING COMMITTEE ON CERTIFICATION OF UNSWORN FOREIGN DECLARATIONS ACT

The Committee appointed by and representing the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in drafting this Act consists of the following individuals:

KAREN ROBERTS WASHINGTON, 2929 Carlisle, Suite 250, Dallas, TX 75204, *Chair* JAMES M. CONCANNON, Washburn University School of Law, 1700 College Ave., Topeka, KS 66621

ROBERT H. CORNELL, 573 Arkansas, San Francisco, CA 94107

JOHN S. GILLIG, 120 West Todd St., Frankfort, KY 40601

KEVIN P.H. SUMIDA, 735 Bishop St., Suite 411, Honolulu, HI 96813

KERRY TRICHE, Louisiana State University, University Station, Paul M. Hebert Law Center, Louisiana State Law Institute, Room W-127, Baton Rouge, LA 70803-1016

JOSEPH A. COLQUITT, University of Alabama School of Law, Box 870382, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0382, *Reporter*

EX OFFICIO

HOWARD J. SWIBEL, 120 S. Riverside Plaza, Suite 1200, Chicago, IL 60606, *President* LANI LIU EWART, 1099 Alakea St., Suite 1800, Honolulu, HI 96813, *Division Chair*

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ADVISORS

GUY STANFORD LIPE, First City Tower, 1001 Fannin St., Suite 2500, Houston, TX 77002-6760, ABA Advisor

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

JOHN A. SEBERT, 211 E. Ontario St., Suite 1300, Chicago, IL 60611, Executive Director

Copies of this Act may be obtained from:
NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS
ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS
211 E. Ontario Street, Suite 1300
Chicago, Illinois 60611
312/915-0195
www.nccusl.org

CERTIFICATION OF UNSWORN FOREIGN DECLARATIONS ACT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFATORY NOTEPREFATORY NOTE	I
SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE	3
SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS. IN THIS [ACT]:	3
SECTION 3. USE OF UNSWORN DECLARATION GENERALLY	4
SECTION 4. REQUIRED MEDIUM	4
SECTION 5. WHEN UNSWORN DECLARATION MAY NOT BE USED	5
SECTION 6. FORM OF UNSWORN DECLARATION	5
SECTION 7. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION	5
SECTION 8. RELATION TO ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES IN GLOBAL AND	
NATIONAL COMMERCE ACT	6
SECTION 9. EFFECTIVE DATE	6
REPORTER'S NOTES & COMMENTARY	7

CERTIFICATION OF UNSWORN FOREIGN DECLARATIONS ACT

Prefatory Note

Declarations of persons abroad are routinely received in state and federal courts and agencies. Many of the declarations are affidavits and other documents sworn to by declarants before authorized officials in United States embassies and consulate offices. Federal and some state courts and agencies may receive unsworn foreign declarations. The United States Code (28 U.S.C. § 1746) authorizes the use of unsworn foreign declarations if they meet the requirements of the statute. Some 19 states have statutes of like nature, but these statutes are not uniform and some apply only in specific types of litigation, proceedings, or situations. Moreover, state courts that have addressed the issue generally hold that the federal statute is inapplicable to state actions.

In recent years, particularly after September 11, 2001, access to United States embassies and consulates has been more difficult because of closings or added security. Thus, obtaining appropriately sworn foreign declarations for court or agency use is much more difficult in the post-9/11 environment.

In its Report 110, the American Bar Association identified the pressing need for an alternative to sworn declarations in the form of a uniform act for state courts and agencies. This need was communicated to the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws, which decided to convene a drafting committee to address the issue. Commissioner Karen Roberts Washington was designated chair of the Drafting Committee. Professor Joseph A. Colquitt, University of Alabama School of Law, was named reporter for the project.

The Reporter, relying on both the ABA memorandum and a preliminary review of existing statutory and rules-based schemes, prepared an initial report for the committee's first meeting in Salt Lake City, UT, on April 20-22, 2007. This report outlined and discussed the existing unsworn declarations provisions, focusing primarily on the federal, California, Florida, Kansas, and Alaska statutes, identified issues to be considered by the committee, and suggested several statutes as initial models.

At the first meeting of the Drafting Committee in April, 2007, the Chair outlined the project. Although the committee had reviewed the reporter's initial memorandum, the Reporter reviewed for the committee selected examples of unsworn declarations acts. Thereafter, the committee discussed at length the need for such provisions, their content, and alternatives available for committee consideration. Through these discussions and a series of votes, the Chair and the committee informed the Reporter of the provisions which should be included in the proposed Act. During a recess of the committee meeting, the Reporter prepared an initial draft of the proposed Act, which was then circulated to the committee and other interested parties. The committee reconvened and discussed the draft of the proposed Act and how to proceed. It was decided that due to the pressing need for such a uniform law, the narrow scope of the proposal, and the committee consensus in support of the proposal, the draft should be edited for style and submitted for a first reading at the 2007 Annual Conference.

Following the first meeting, a draft of the proposal was submitted to the Style Committee, and added to the calendar for the Annual Meeting in Pasadena, CA, in July 2007. The Style Committee finished its first review of the Act in June 2007. The committee's suggestions have been incorporated into the current draft.

1	CERTIFICATION OF UNSWORN FOREIGN DECLARATIONS ACT		
2			
3	SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. This [act] may be cited as the Certification of Unsworn		
4	Foreign Declarations Act.		
5	SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS. In this [act]:		
6	(a) "Law" includes statutes, rules, regulations, ordinances, orders, and decisions of courts		
7	of record.		
8	(b) "Record" means information that is inscribed on a tangible medium or that is stored in		
9	an electronic or other medium and is retrievable in perceivable form.		
10	(c) "Sign" means, with present intent to authenticate or adopt a record:		
11	(A) to execute or adopt a tangible symbol; or		
12	(B) to attach to or logically associate with the record an electronic symbol, sound,		
13	or process.		
14	(d) "Sworn declaration" means a signed record given under oath. The term includes a		
15	sworn statement, verification, certificate, oath, and affidavit.		
16	(e) "Unsworn declaration" means a signed record, not given under oath, that complies		
17	with Section 5.		
18	Comment		
19 20 21 22	1. The definition of "law" is drafted in an open-ended manner to give it the widest possible application. The term is not ordinarily defined in uniform acts but in this context it is important that judges applying the act be in no doubt about its breadth.		
22 23 24 25 26 27	2. A "record" includes information that is in intangible form (e.g., electronically stored) as well as tangible form (e.g., written on paper). It is consistent with the Uniform Electronic Transactions Act and the federal Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act (15 U.S.C. § 7001 <i>et seq.</i>).		
28	3. The definition of "sign" is broad enough to cover any writing containing a traditional		

1 signature and any record containing an electronic signature. It is consistent with the Uniform 2 Electronic Transactions Act and the federal Electronic Signatures in Global and National 3 Commerce Act (15 U.S.C. § 7001 et seq.). 4 5 SECTION 3. USE OF UNSWORN DECLARATION GENERALLY. Except as 6 provided in Section 5 and subject to Section 6, if the law of this state requires or permits use of a 7 sworn declaration, an unsworn declaration may be used if the person making the declaration is 8 physically located outside the United States, Puerto Rico, the United States Virgin Islands, or 9 any territory or insular possession subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, and the 10 unsworn statement is made under penalty of perjury as provided by the law of this state. 11 **Comment** 12 13 The committee discussed two options: Limiting the Act to declarants in foreign 14 jurisdictions (i.e. outside the United States and its territories), and extending it to declarants 15 outside the state in which the unsworn declaration is to be used but within the United States (e.g., 16 a declarant in Michigan making an unsworn declaration for use in a Florida court or agency). 17 The committee decided that the Act should limited to declarants in foreign jurisdictions, subject 18 to expansion at some later time should the need arise. The reasons for this decision are that the 19 committee believes that limiting the Act to truly foreign-based declarants will enhance the 20 likelihood that states will adopt the provision in a timely manner, and that the Act, so limited, 21 meets the immediate needs expressed to the committee by the ABA committee that 22 recommended the project. 23 24 States that already have statutes that permit out-of-state declarants in the U.S. to make 25 unsworn declarations may be unlikely to modify their statutes to restrict the reach of those statutes. Their experiences with the broader statutes serve as a laboratory for future review of the 26 27 reach this Act. 28 29 **SECTION 4. REQUIRED MEDIUM.** If the law of this state requires that a sworn 30 declaration be presented in a particular medium, an unsworn declaration must be presented in 31 that medium. 32 **Comment** 33 34 Courts and agencies often restrict the medium in which pleadings, motions, and other 35 documents may be filed. This section recognizes that such a restriction is binding on a person

1 2	seeking to introduce a foreign unsworn declaration.			
3	SECTION 5. WHEN UNSWORN DECLARATION MAY NOT BE USED. An			
4	unsworn declaration may not be used in place of a required sworn declaration that is:			
5	(1) a deposition;			
6	(2) an oath of office;			
7	(3) an oath required to be given before a specified official other than a notary public;			
8	(4) to be recorded pursuant to [insert appropriate section of state's real estate law]; or			
9	(5) an oath required by [insert appropriate section of state's law relating to self-proved			
10	wills].			
11 12	Comment			
13 14 15 16 17	The use of unsworn declarations is not limited to litigation. Unsworn declarations value usable in civil, criminal, and regulatory proceedings and settings. However, there are ce contexts in which the committee concluded they should not be used, and these contexts are in this section.			
18	SECTION 6. FORM OF UNSWORN DECLARATION. An unsworn declaration			
19	under this [act] must be in substantially the following form:			
20	"I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of [insert name of enacting			
21	state] that the foregoing is true and correct, and that I am physically located outside the United			
22	States, Puerto Rico, the United States Virgin Islands, or any territory or insular possession			
23	subject to the jurisdiction of the United States. [date].			
24	[Signature]"			
25	SECTION 7. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION. In			
26	applying and construing this Uniform Act, consideration must be given to the need to promote			
27	uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.			

1	Comment
2 3 4 5	This section recites the importance of uniformity among the adopting states when applying and construing the act.
6	SECTION 8. RELATION TO ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES IN GLOBAL AND
7	NATIONAL COMMERCE ACT. This [act] modifies, limits, and supersedes the federal
8	Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act (15 U.S.C. Section 7001, et seq.)
9	but does not modify, limit, or supersede Section 101(c) of that act (15 U.S.C. Section 7001(c)) or
10	authorize electronic delivery of any of the notices described in Section 103(b) of that act (15
11	U.S.C. Section 7003(b)).
12	Comment
13 14 15 16	This section responds to the specific language of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act and is designed to avoid preemption of state law under that federal legislation.
17	SECTION 9. EFFECTIVE DATE. This [act] takes effect [date].
18	Comment
19 20	This act will become effective in the enacting jurisdiction on the designated date.

REPORTER'S NOTES & COMMENTARY

This draft comes after just one meeting of the drafting committee. At the initial meeting, the consensus of the committee and advisors in attendance was that the Act should be presented for its first reading at the Annual Meeting in Pasadena, California, in August, 2007. There are four principal reasons for this expedited process.

First, the committee was informed and believes that there is a pressing need for a uniform Act that will allow state courts and agencies to receive unsworn foreign declarations. By reading the Act at the 2007 Annual Meeting, it will be eligible for final approval in 2008.

Second, two acts—one federal and one state—provide splendid models for the committee to work from during the drafting process. These acts have been used in their respective systems for some time, and provide the committee with an experience base for the proposed Act. Additionally, a number of other states have non-uniform statutes that authorize the receipt of unsworn declarations. These non-uniform provisions demonstrate the need for an Act permitting the use of unsworn declarations as well as reinforce the need for uniformity.

Third, the uniform Act is rather concise and straightforward. There is no need for an extensive, rigorous drafting process. It is to be hoped that there will be plenty of time in the next year to polish and finalize the Act.

Fourth, the committee has been able to reach a consensus on the key issues that it has confronted to date, and it appears, although time will tell, that a consensus can reasonably be reached on other issues as the process continues.

The state statute which has served as a model for the uniform Act is CAL. CIV. PROC. CODE § 2015.5. The federal version of this statute is 28 U.S.C. § 1746. They state as follows:

CAL. CIV. PROC. CODE § 2015.5. Certification or Declaration Under Penalty of Perjury.

Whenever, under any law of this state or under any rule, regulation, order or requirement made pursuant to the law of this state, any matter is required or permitted to be supported, evidenced, established, or proved by the sworn statement, declaration, verification, certificate, oath, or affidavit, in writing of the person making the same (other than a deposition, or an oath of office, or an oath required to be taken before a specified official other than a notary public), such matter may with like force and effect be supported, evidenced, established or proved by the unsworn statement, declaration, verification, or certificate, in writing of such person which recites that it is certified or declared by him or her to be true under penalty of perjury, is subscribed by him or her, and (1), if executed within this state, states the date and place of execution, or (2), if executed at any place, within or without this state, states the date of execution and that it is so certified or declared under the laws of the State of California. The certification or declaration may be in substantially the following form:

(a) If executed within this state:

	r penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct"
(Date and Place)	(Signature)
(b) If executed at	ny place, within or without this state:
foregoing is true and cor	r penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the et":
(Date and Place)	(Signature)
28 U.S. CODE § 1746.	
requirement made pursus evidenced, established, of oath, or affidavit, in writt office, or an oath require matter may, with like for unsworn declaration, cer subscribed by him, as tru form:	by law of the United States or under any rule, regulation, order, or to law, any matter is required or permitted to be supported, proved by the sworn declaration, verification, certificate, statement, go of the person making the same (other than a deposition, or an oath of to be taken before a specified official other than a notary public), such and effect, be supported, evidenced, established, or proved by the ficate, verification, or statement, in writing of such person which is under penalty of perjury, and dated, in substantially the following ted without the United States: ``I declare (or certify, verify, or state) and the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true late).
and correct. Executed on (date). (Signature)".	
(2) If eye	ted within the United States, its territories, possessions, or
` ,	e (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the
foregoing is true and cor	ct. Executed on (date). (Signature)".
•	the Certification of Unsworn Foreign Declarations Act likely will berjury laws to impose the penalties of perjury on false unsworn