D R A F T FOR DISCUSSION ONLY

UNIFORM MONEY-SERVICES BUSINESS ACT

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS
ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

MEETING IN ITS ONE-HUNDRED-AND-EIGHTH YEAR DENVER, COLORADO

JULY 23 – 30, 1999

UNIFORM MONEY-SERVICES BUSINESS ACT

WITH PREFATORY NOTE AND REPORTER'S NOTES

Copyright© 1999 By NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

The ideas and conclusions set forth in this draft, including the proposed statutory language and any comments or reporter's notes, have not been passed upon by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws or the Drafting Committee. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Conference and its Commissioners and the Drafting

Committee and its Members and Reporters. Proposed statutory language may not be used to ascertain the intent or meaning of any promulgated final statutory proposal.

DRAFTING COMMITTEE ON UNIFORM MONEY-SERVICES BUSINESS ACT

TOM BOLT, Corporate Place, Royal Dane Mall, St. Thomas, VI 00802-6410, Chair DAVID A. GIBSON, P.O. Box 1767, 40 Park Place, Brattleboro, VT 05302

MICHAEL HOUGHTON, P.O. Box 1347, 18th Floor, 1201 N. Market Street, Wilmington, DE 19899

L. GENE LEMON, 1136 W. Butler Drive, Phoenix, AZ 85021-4428

SANDRA S. STERN, 509 Madison Avenue, Suite 612, New York, NY 10022

KEN H. TAKAYAMA, Legislative Reference Bureau, State Capitol, Room 446, Honolulu, HI 96813

ANITA RAMASASTRY, University of Washington, School of Law, Condon Hall, 1100 N.E. Campus Parkway, Seattle, WA 98105-6617, Reporter

EX OFFICIO

GENE N. LEBRUN, P.O. Box 8250, 9th Floor, 909 Joseph Street, Rapid City, SD 57709, *President* SCOTT N. HEIDEPRIEM, 431 N. Phillips Avenue, Suite 400, Sioux Falls, SD 57104, *Division Chair*

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ADVISOR

DAVID S. WILLENZIK, 643 Magazine Street, New Orleans, LA 70130-3405, Advisor

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

FRED H. MILLER, University of Oklahoma, College of Law, 300 Timberdell Road, Norman, OK 73019, Executive Director
 WILLIAM J. PIERCE, 1505 Roxbury Road, Ann Arbor, MI, 48104, Executive Director, Emeritus

Copies of this Act may be obtained from:

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS 211 E. Ontario Street, Suite 1300

Chicago, Illinois 60611 312/915-0195

UNIFORM MONEY-SERVICES BUSINESS ACT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLE 1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

SECTION 101. S	HORT TITLE	21
SECTION 102. D	DEFINITIONS	21
SECTION 103. S	UPERVISORY POWERS OF [SUPERINTENDENT]	29
SECTION 104. E	XCLUSIONS	29
SECTION 105. L	ICENSE REQUIRED	32
	ARTICLE 2. LICENSING OF MONEY TRANSMITTERS	
	PPLICATION FOR LICENSE	
	ECURITY	
	SSUANCE OF LICENSE	
	ENEWAL OF LICENSE	
	EES	
	TET WORTH	
SECTION 207. P.	AYMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION	43
	ARTICLE 3. LICENSING OF CHECK CASHERS	
SECTION 301. A	PPLICATION FOR LICENSE	44
SECTION 302. IS	SSUANCE OF LICENSE	45
SECTION 303. R	ENEWAL OF LICENSE	47
SECTION 304. F	EES	48
	ARTICLE 4. LICENSING OF CURRENCY EXCHANGERS	
SECTION 401 A		40
	PPLICATION FOR LICENSE	
SECTION 402. IS	PPLICATION FOR LICENSE	50
SECTION 402. IS SECTION 403. R	PPLICATION FOR LICENSE SSUANCE OF LICENSE ENEWAL OF LICENSE	50 52
SECTION 402. IS SECTION 403. R	PPLICATION FOR LICENSE	50 52
SECTION 402. IS SECTION 403. R	PPLICATION FOR LICENSE SSUANCE OF LICENSE ENEWAL OF LICENSE EES	50 52
SECTION 402. IS SECTION 403. R	PPLICATION FOR LICENSE SSUANCE OF LICENSE ENEWAL OF LICENSE	50 52
SECTION 402. IS SECTION 403. R SECTION 404. F	PPLICATION FOR LICENSE SSUANCE OF LICENSE ENEWAL OF LICENSE EES	50 52 53
SECTION 402. IS SECTION 403. R SECTION 404. F. SECTION 501. R	PPLICATION FOR LICENSE SSUANCE OF LICENSE ENEWAL OF LICENSE EES ARTICLE 5. AUTHORIZED DELEGATES	50 52 53
SECTION 402. IS SECTION 403. R SECTION 404. F. SECTION 501. R SECTION 502. Section 502. Section 502. Section 503.	ARTICLE 5. AUTHORIZED DELEGATES ELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LICENSES APPLICATION FOR LICENSE ENEMAL OF LICENSE ENEWAL OF LICENSE ARTICLE 5. AUTHORIZED DELEGATES	50 52 53 54 55
SECTION 402. IS SECTION 403. R SECTION 404. F. SECTION 501. R SECTION 502. Section 502. Section 502. Section 503.	ARTICLE 5. AUTHORIZED DELEGATES ELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LICENSEES AND AUTHORIZED DELEGATES COPE OF AUTHORIZED DELEGATEY	50 52 53 54 55
SECTION 402. IS SECTION 403. R SECTION 404. F. SECTION 501. R SECTION 502. Section 502. Section 502. Section 503.	ARTICLE 5. AUTHORIZED DELEGATES ELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LICENSEES AND AUTHORIZED DELEGATES COPE OF AUTHORIZED DELEGATEY	50 52 53 54 55
SECTION 402. IS SECTION 403. R SECTION 404. F. SECTION 501. R SECTION 502. S SECTION 503. U	ARTICLE 6. EXAMINATIONS; REPORTS AND OTHER RECORDS	50 52 53 54 55 55
SECTION 402. IS SECTION 403. R SECTION 404. F. SECTION 501. R SECTION 502. SECTION 503. U	ARTICLE 5. AUTHORIZED DELEGATES COPE OF AUTHORIZED DELEGATES COPE OF AUTHORIZED DELEGATES COPE OF AUTHORIZED DELEGATES COPE OF AUTHORIZED DELEGATES ARTICLE 6. EXAMINATIONS; REPORTS AND OTHER RECORDS CUTHORITY TO CONDUCT EXAMINATIONS	50 52 53 54 55 55
SECTION 402. IS SECTION 403. R SECTION 404. F SECTION 501. R SECTION 502. S SECTION 503. U	ARTICLE 5. AUTHORIZED DELEGATES COPE OF AUTHORIZED DELEGATES COPE OF AUTHORIZED DELEGATES ARTICLE 5. ACTIVITY NAUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES ARTICLE 6. EXAMINATIONS; REPORTS AND OTHER RECORDS OUTHORITY TO CONDUCT EXAMINATIONS OUNT EXAMINATIONS	50 52 53 54 55 55 56 57
SECTION 402. IS SECTION 403. R SECTION 404. F. SECTION 501. R SECTION 502. S SECTION 503. U SECTION 601. A SECTION 602. JO SECTION 603. R	PPLICATION FOR LICENSE SSUANCE OF LICENSE ENEWAL OF LICENSE EES ARTICLE 5. AUTHORIZED DELEGATES ELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LICENSEES AND AUTHORIZED DELEGATES COPE OF AUTHORIZED DELEGATE'S ACTIVITY INAUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES ARTICLE 6. EXAMINATIONS; REPORTS AND OTHER RECORDS UTHORITY TO CONDUCT EXAMINATIONS OINT EXAMINATIONS EPORTS	50 52 53 54 55 55 55
SECTION 402. IS SECTION 403. R SECTION 404. F. SECTION 501. R SECTION 502. S SECTION 503. U SECTION 601. A SECTION 602. JO SECTION 603. R SECTION 604. C	PPLICATION FOR LICENSE SSUANCE OF LICENSE ENEWAL OF LICENSE EES ARTICLE 5. AUTHORIZED DELEGATES ELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LICENSEES AND AUTHORIZED DELEGATES COPE OF AUTHORIZED DELEGATE'S ACTIVITY INAUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES ARTICLE 6. EXAMINATIONS; REPORTS AND OTHER RECORDS JUTHORITY TO CONDUCT EXAMINATIONS OINT EXAMINATIONS EPORTS CHANGE OF CONTROL	50 52 53 54 55 55 56 57 58 59
SECTION 402. IS SECTION 403. R SECTION 404. F. SECTION 501. R SECTION 502. S SECTION 503. U SECTION 601. A SECTION 602. JO SECTION 603. R SECTION 604. C SECTION 605. B	PPLICATION FOR LICENSE SSUANCE OF LICENSE ENEWAL OF LICENSE EES ARTICLE 5. AUTHORIZED DELEGATES ELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LICENSEES AND AUTHORIZED DELEGATES COPE OF AUTHORIZED DELEGATE'S ACTIVITY INAUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES ARTICLE 6. EXAMINATIONS; REPORTS AND OTHER RECORDS UTHORITY TO CONDUCT EXAMINATIONS OINT EXAMINATIONS EPORTS HANGE OF CONTROL OOKS, ACCOUNTS, DOCUMENTS, AND OTHER RECORDS	50 52 53 54 55 55 55 56 57 58 59 62
SECTION 402. IS SECTION 403. R SECTION 404. F. SECTION 501. R SECTION 502. S SECTION 503. U SECTION 601. A SECTION 602. JO SECTION 603. R SECTION 604. C SECTION 605. B SECTION 606. M	PPLICATION FOR LICENSE SSUANCE OF LICENSE ENEWAL OF LICENSE EES ARTICLE 5. AUTHORIZED DELEGATES ELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LICENSEES AND AUTHORIZED DELEGATES COPE OF AUTHORIZED DELEGATE'S ACTIVITY INAUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES ARTICLE 6. EXAMINATIONS; REPORTS AND OTHER RECORDS JUTHORITY TO CONDUCT EXAMINATIONS OINT EXAMINATIONS EPORTS CHANGE OF CONTROL	50 52 53 54 55 55 56 57 58 59 62 63

ARTICLE 7. PERMISSIBLE INVESTMENTS

SECTION 701. MAINTENANCE OF PERMISSIBLE INVESTMENTS	
SECTION 702. TYPES OF PERMISSIBLE INVESTMENTS	68
ARTICLE 8. ENFORCEMENT	
SECTION 801. ORDERS TO CEASE AND DESIST; POWERS OF SUSPENSION AND	
REVOCATION	
SECTION 802. AUTHORIZED DELEGATES; ORDERS TO CEASE AND DESIST	75
SECTION 803. TEMPORARY ORDERS TO CEASE AND DESIST	76
SECTION 804. CONSENT ORDERS	77
SECTION 805. CIVIL PENALTIES	
SECTION 806. CRIMINAL PENALTIES	79
ARTICLE 9. ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES	
SECTION 901. ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES	90
SECTION 901. ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES SECTION 902. HEARINGS	
SECTION 902. HEARINGS	80
ARTICLE 10. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS	
SECTION 1001. APPOINTMENT OF [SUPERINTENDENT] AS AGENT FOR SERVICE	
OF PROCESS	82
SECTION 1002. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION	82
SECTION 1003. SEVERABILITY CLAUSE	83
SECTION 1004. EFFECTIVE DATE	
SECTION 1005. SAVINGS AND TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS	83

UNIFORM MONEY-SERVICES BUSINESS ACT

2	PREFATORY NOTE
3	1. History and Background
4	A. Background on Money-Services Businesses
5	(i) What is a Money-Services Business?
6 7 8 9 10	Money-services businesses ("MSBs") are non-bank entities that do not accept deposits like traditional banks or financial institutions. Rather, they provide alternative mechanisms for persons to make payments or to obtain currency or cash in exchange for payment instruments. MSBs engage in the following type of financial activities:
11	• money transmission (e.g., wire transfers);
12 13	 the sale of money orders and traveler's checks (also referred to as the sale of payment instruments);
14	check cashing; and
15	• foreign currency exchange.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	MSBs have also been referred to as non-bank financial institutions ("NBFIs") or nondepository providers of financial services ("NDPs"). The so-called "core" customers of MSBs are "unbanked" consumers, or persons that do not maintain formal relationships with banks/depository institutions. MSBs also are attractive to a growing range of customers because they offer a wide range of services under one roof (e.g., consumer financial services, travel-related services, postal and packaging services, etc). MSBs have often been associated with ethnic or immigrant communities in the United States as many of these communities use MSBs in order to send funds to relatives residing overseas. An MSB might be a large national company with offices and sales outlets nation-wide. An MSB might also be small business located in a corner shop in a local community.
27 28 29 30 31 32	As discussed below, The United States Department of the Treasury ("Treasury") has recently proposed including issuers/sellers of stored value within the definition of MSBs. This is also mirrored by recent state legislative efforts, which have treated new currency substitutes such as stored-value cards and electronic traveler's checks as "electronic payment instruments" subject to state regulation under existing money transmission statutes.

As of 1996, there were approximately 158,000 MSB outlets or sales locations throughout the United States that provided financial services involving approximately \$200 billion annually. Approximately eight large entities account for the majority of MSB activity conducted within the United States. This group is comprised of large firms with significant capitalization that are publicly traded on major securities exchanges. A far more numerous group of smaller enterprises compete with the eight larger firms. A smaller MSB might also be a travel agent or a grocery or liquor store. Smaller MSBs tend to operate in niche markets. For example, smaller companies often service a particular immigrant neighborhood with a certain metropolitan area.

(ii) Why have Various Types of MSBs been Grouped Together?

MSBs have been grouped together conceptually because (a) they provide an interrelated group of services to the unbanked population and (b) the range of services offered by MSBs have been identified as vulnerable to money laundering in recent years. As depository institutions have come under increased federal and state oversight with respect to money laundering, criminals have turned to MSBs as a means for transporting illegally obtained money or converting large cash proceeds into more easily portable payment instruments.²

The range of non-bank entities listed above were first grouped together with the passage of the federal Annunzio-Wylie Anti-Money Laundering Act of 1992, where the definition of "financial institution" for Bank Secrecy Act reporting purposes was expanded to include non-banks.³ MSBs have also been grouped together because many of these entities provide more than one of the services listed above. A customer may need a range of services. For example, a customer may take his paycheck to a check casher to have it converted into cash; he then may need

¹ Coopers & Lybrand, "Non Bank Financial Institutions: A Study of Five Sectors for the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network," Final Report (February 1990), at 2.

² The term "money laundering" refers to the need for criminals to somehow disguise the source and origin of illegally obtained cash and to inject it into the legitimate stream of commerce.

The federal Bank Secrecy Act, codified at 12 U.S.C. 1829(b), 12 U.S.C. 1951-1959 and 31 U.S.C. 5311-5330, authorizes the Secretary of the Treasury to issue regulations requiring financial institutions to keep records and file reports that are determined to have a high degree of usefulness in criminal, tax, and regulatory matters, and to implement counter-money laundering programs and compliance procedures. Regulations implementing Title II of the Bank Secrecy Act, codified at 31 U.S.C. 5311-5330, appear at 31 C.F.R. Part 103.

to purchase a money order to pay his bills; finally, he may need to send funds to relatives abroad via a wire transfer.

Most MSBs, however, have a primary function or business activity, from which they derive the majority of their revenue (e.g., check cashing or money transmission) but also offer secondary or ancillary services. Frequently, MSBs serve as authorized vendors or sales agents of another MSB as well (with respect to a secondary or ancillary activity). In particular, money transmitters and payment instrument sellers often rely on a distribution network of sales outlets in order to conduct their business. Very often check cashers or foreign currency exchangers will serve as sales representatives for money transmitters. Consequently, check cashers or currency exchangers will offer money transmission services or sell money orders and traveler's checks solely as contractors for money transmitters. In these notes and in the proposed Uniform Money-Services Business Act ("UMSBA") we refer to the sales outlets as "authorized delegates". For the sake of consistency, we will use the term "authorized delegate" in these notes when referring to the sales outlets.

(iii) MSBs and Money Laundering

There has been a growing concern about the role of MSBs with respect to money laundering. As banks have come under heightened regulation and supervision with respect to money laundering, criminals have had to turn to other types of financial institutions in order to transport their money easily and to convert larger amounts of physical currency into smaller more portable payment instruments. Of course, the majority of MSBs are law-abiding businesses that have compliance programs and that cooperate with regulatory and law enforcement officials to prevent and detect money laundering.

Customers often have different relationships with MSBs than customers do with depository institutions. Typically, a customer has an ongoing relationship with a financial institution at which it transacts business. This enables a bank to know its customer and to identify a pattern or behavior that may suggest illegal activity. By contrast, customers who utilize MSBs may do so because of the greater anonymity such services provide. One does not need to be an existing "customer" of an MSB as is the case with a bank. A customer can repeatedly use different MSBs to transact business.

Additionally, MSBs are not always subject to the same level of licensing, regulation and state oversight as are depository institutions. Money services are provided a bit differently than financial services in depository institutions. Many money transmitters and payment instrument sellers use networks of independent sales outlets as the sites where business is transacted. These sales outlets are

operated under contract with a money transmitter and hence are not directly supervised by the money transmitter. Thus, the role of the sales outlets with respect to money laundering has come under heightened scrutiny. State oversight of these sales outlets varies greatly, especially with respect to law enforcement.

Various studies have confirmed the increasing role of MSBs in money laundering. As early as 1991, the Treasury and the Financial Action Task Force (an intergovernmental task force) identified MSBs as vehicles for money laundering. A 1994 study conducted by the National Association of Attorneys General confirmed that MSBs (in particular currency exchange houses) were utilized in the Southwestern United States by drug traffickers who needed to transport and convert large quantities of illegally-derived currency.

How do MSBs serve as vehicles for money laundering? In some instances MSBs may assist clients to evade federally mandated reporting requirements with respect to currency transactions in excess of a certain dollar amount. For example, corrupt delegates of money transmitters might accept illicit funds from a customer and then transfer the funds overseas in smaller amounts in order to evade certain currency reporting requirements. As the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network ("FinCEN") of Treasury has noted:

Most often, the traffickers bring the agents [of money transmitters] large amounts of currency which need to be returned to a drug source country. The agents create invoices that make it appear as if the money had been brought in by a number of different senders, in amounts below the recordkeeping and reporting thresholds. These corrupt agents also provide the money transmitters with lists of recipient names in the foreign countries for each remittance, again using a different name for each remittance. In this way, each time it appears as if there were a number of smaller, unrelated remittances instead of one remittance, in excess of \$3,000, that would trigger the recordkeeping rules . . . or in excess of \$10,000 which would trigger the filing of a Currency Transaction Report.⁴

In the fall of 1996, several east-coast money transmitters were indicted in a federal sting operation for accepting funds that were allegedly drug proceeds. The El Dorado Task Force ("Task Force"), formed in 1992, is a joint federal, state and local effort involving the Federal Customs Service, the Internal Revenue Service, the Secret Service, the New York Police Department, and the New York State Banking Department. The Task Force targeted industries that facilitate money laundering. In 1996, the Task Force monitored the activities of money transmitters in New York City engaged in funds transfer to Colombia. Based on their investigations, the

⁴ See Special Currency Transaction Reporting Requirements for Money Transmitters, 62 Fed. Reg. 27,909 (May 21, 1997), at 27,912.

federal government was able to obtain the first ever guilty pleas from a licensed money transmitter, Vigor Remittance Corporation, in July 1996.

The work of the Task Force also enabled the federal government to initiate a geographic targeting order ("GTO") against 22 money transmitters in New York City and their 3,200 licensed delegates. Under federal law, the government can require a group of financial institutions within a limited geographic range to comply with special recordkeeping requirements upon a showing that there is a legitimate need for the recordkeeping. The Task Force's previous investigation proved a basis for a GTO directed at transmitters involved in funds transfer to Colombia. In August 1996, a GTO was put in place requiring the 22 transmitters to report information about cash transfers to Colombia greater than \$750. According to statements made by Under Secretary of Treasury Raymond Kelly, the volume of money transferred to Colombia has dropped by 30 percent as a result of the GTO. Many customers may have stopped using the money transmitters to remit illegal funds because of the heightened federal reporting and surveillance.

Additionally, MSBs serve as another "layer" in a chain of funds transfer. In other words, a customer may use an MSB in order to mask his or her identity. A currency exchange house, for example, might accept cash from a customer, which it will then deposit in its own bank account at a commercial banking institution. The origin or source of the funds would be "disguised" because the bank will attribute ownership to the currency exchange business. Similarly, a wire transfer service may accept funds from a customer and wire them through its own commercial bank for a small commission. Again the source of funds is masked.

The use by money launderers of money orders, whether issued by the United States Postal Service or by private companies, is also well documented. The ease with which money orders can be redeemed or negotiated makes them attractive tools for money launderers. Money orders are negotiable, may be made out to "cash," and operate as a cash substitute. Traveler's checks also raise issues similar to money orders. The requirement that traveler's checks be countersigned on issuance at the time they are negotiated makes them more difficult to abuse. However, the counter-signature requirement can be evaded by a sales agent and may have less force abroad than in the United States. Consequently, traveler's checks are often purchased in bulk and used to transport large amounts of illegal currency overseas.

(iv) Existing State and Federal Regulation of MSBs

Federal and state regulators and law enforcement authorities have traditionally relied on currency transaction reports ("CTRs") as a means of detecting money laundering in financial institutions and in MSBs. Pursuant to the Federal

Bank Secrecy Act (which is a federal anti-money laundering recordkeeping statute), financial institutions are required to report currency transactions equal to or greater than \$10,000 to Treasury. For example, if a bank customer deposits \$10,000 into his or her bank account, the bank is obligated to file a CTR.⁵ The CTR indicates the name of the depositor as well as the nature of the transaction (as a way of trying to track down customers who may be money launderers). Additionally, financial institutions are also required to retain records for transactions in excess of \$3,000.⁶

MSBs are technically obligated to file CTRs and to also keep records for transactions greater than \$3,000. The lack of consistent and coherent federal and state oversight of MSBs, however, makes it more difficult to enforce this obligation and for regulators to act on information that they receive. Additionally, many money launderers avoid triggering the CTR or recordkeeping requirements of the Bank Secrecy Act by structuring their transactions into several smaller transactions below the reporting thresholds of \$10,000 or \$3,000. As noted above, in some instances, MSBs may actively assist the customer to evade reporting requirements (as was the case with transmitters monitored under Operation El Dorado). Some MSBs may be unaware, furthermore, that they possess such an affirmative obligation. Also, unlike banks, which are licensed and regulated more closely at either a state or a federal level, MSBs are subject to a varying degree of state regulation (especially with respect to the role of authorized delegates).

At present, depository institutions are also required to file suspicious activity reports ("SARs") on transactions that suggest money laundering or other illegal/fraudulent activity on the part of a customer. Unlike currency reporting requirements, which are triggered by the dollar value of transactions, SARs are designed to detect unusual patterns or activities that may involve money laundering or financial crime. At present, MSBs are not obligated to complete SARs. Treasury initiated proposed rulemaking in May 1997 that would create a SAR form for money transmitters and payment instrument sellers. To date, however, these proposed regulations have not been promulgated.⁷

⁵ 31 C.F.R. 103.22(a) imposes a general reporting and recordkeeping threshold of \$10,000 for domestic financial institutions.

⁶ 31 C.F.R. 103.33.

On May 21, 1997, FinCEN proposed rules on the following subjects: (1) registration of MSBs; (2) suspicious transaction reporting by money transmitters and issuers, sellers, and redeemers of money orders and traveler's checks; and (3) currency transaction reporting by money transmitters of overseas transmissions of \$750 or more. To date, FinCEN has not promulgated the final MSB rules.

Direct oversight of MSBs occurs at the state level through state licensing laws. State licensing, regulation and oversight of MSBs varies greatly from State to State. The sale of payment instruments is the most heavily regulated activity with more than 40 States having some form of law which regulates the sale of checks and other payment instruments. Currency exchange is the least regulated with approximately eight States having a form of regulation of this activity. The existing state laws vary in terms of detail and the actual requirements imposed on MSBs, the type of enforcement mechanisms and records available to regulators, and the nature of penalties for non-compliance with relevant state laws. Some of the common elements of existing state law include:

- licensing and registration of MSBs;
- requirements for both delegates of an MSB and the MSB itself flowing from the relationship between the delegates and the MSB;
- bonding, collateral and net worth requirements;
- examination of MSBs;

- recordkeeping requirements;
- reporting requirements;
- enforcement powers; and
- civil and/or criminal penalties.

In 1994, Congress enacted the Money Laundering Suppression Act of 1994 ("MLSA") (P.L. 103-225). The MLSA recommended that States enact uniform laws to regulate MSBs. The MLSA urged States to enact uniform laws to "license and regulate" MSBs including "businesses which provide check cashing, currency exchange or money transmitting or remittance services, or issue or redeem money orders, traveler's checks and other similar instruments." Congress specifically requested that the States develop uniform legislation under the auspices of NCCUSL or the American Law Institute. Congress recommended that a proposed Uniform Act would include:

- licensing requirements for MSBs;
- licensing standards for MSBs that focus on:
- R the business records and capital adequacy of the MSB; and

- 1 R the competence and experience of the directors and officers of the MSB;
- reporting requirements concerning disclosure of fees for services offered to consumers;
 - procedures to comply with federal currency transaction reporting requirements; and
 - criminal penalties for the operation of an MSB business without a license.

Section 407 of the MLSA also called for the States to enact civil or criminal penalties for MSBs who fail to comply with the currency reporting requirements of the federal Bank Secrecy Act.

B. Conference Activities to Date

Based on the recommendations contained in the MLSA, the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws ("NCCUSL" or "Conference") Committee on Scope and Program approved the creation of a study committee ("Study Committee") on January 7, 1995, to assess the need for a Uniform Act on money-services businesses or MSBs. At the time that the Study Committee was commissioned, MSBs were referred to as NDPs. Judge Willoughby was appointed the Chairperson of the Study Committee.

The Study Committee solicited the views of state and federal regulators, state prosecutors, and MSB industry associations as part of its review. The majority of those who responded recommended that the Conference undertake such a project. On July 16, 1996, the Executive Committee of the Conference approved a drafting committee ("Drafting Committee") for the creation of an Act (the "Act" or "Proposed Act") related to "nonbank and non-broker dealer providers of financial services." The Act was described as the Nondepository Providers of Financial Services Act ("NDP Act"). The project was approved conditional upon the Conference receiving outside funding for its work. At the Annual Meeting in San Antonio, Texas, in October 1996, Conference President Bion Gregory appointed Commissioner Tom Bolt, United States Virgin Islands, as Chair of the Drafting Committee and Anita Ramasastry, Assistant Professor of Law, University of Washington School of Law, as Reporter.

The Drafting Committee was asked to prepare a memorandum that discussed the potential scope of a proposed Act and also the relationship between MSBs and money laundering. Chairman Bolt submitted the memorandum to the Executive Committee of the NCCUSL on January 13, 1997. In January 1997, the Executive Committee approved of the scope of the Drafting Committee's work with

respect to the Act. The Executive Committee noted that the Act was to be a state anti-money laundering act that focused to the extent necessary on licensing of MSBs. In January, the Drafting Committee submitted a proposed list of Observers and Advisors to President Gregory. The list of Observers and Advisors was formally approved and includes representatives from the federal government, state regulatory bodies, state law enforcement, industry associations and academia. Subsequent to the creation of a Drafting Committee, the Conference received a commitment for funding from the Treasury.

The Drafting Committee has met four times. The Drafting Committee held its first meeting in Washington D.C. in October 1997. At the initial meeting, the Drafting Committee heard statements from regulators, industry representatives, and other interested parties. On the basis of the oral testimony and a discussion and review of existing state law and model legislative provisions, the Drafting Committee prepared a first draft of the Act. The Drafting Committee discussed the creation of a statute that would combine a basket of licensing, recordkeeping and enforcement provisions as a means of MSB oversight and to achieve the prevention and detection of money laundering. In essence, the Committee discussed the use of a "safety and soundness" and licensing regime as a means for creating regulatory oversight of both the entry and continued activities of MSBs within the States. The first draft contemplated a unitary licensing system for all MSBs – including money transmitters, payment instrument sellers, and check cashers, currency exchangers, and sellers/issuers of stored value.

In March 1998, the Drafting Committee met in Baltimore, Maryland, and reviewed the first draft of the Act. During the second meeting, it became evident that the scope of the licensing provisions with respect to various types of MSBs would have to be carefully considered. While MSBs are interrelated because they offer linked services, each line of business does not pose the same type of safety and soundness concerns.

During its second meeting, the Drafting Committee listened to commentary from industry Observers on the subject of whether check cashers and currency exchangers should be subject to the same type of licensing requirements as money transmitters (which include payment instrument sellers and issuers/sellers of stored value). The Committee determined that check cashers and currency exchangers did not pose the same safety and soundness concerns as money transmitters and therefore should not be subject to the same type of bonding, net worth and permissible investment requirements that would be created for money transmitters. The Committee decided to create a separate licensing system for check cashers and currency exchangers.

The Drafting Committee also discussed the issue of stored value and electronic currency. The consensus of the Committee was that these new forms of currency substitutes should be included within the definition of MSB to the extent that they are sold or issued by entities who are not subject to supervision by a federal or state banking regulator. The definition of "stored value" and the treatment of stored value and electronic currency under the Act is another issue on which the Drafting Committee has continued to focus. The Drafting Committee has requested input from academics, industry representatives, the American Bar Association Task Force on Stored Value, and the Subcommittee on Electronic Financial Services of the Committee of Law in Cyberspace to provide guidance on stored value and related issues.

In October 1998, the Drafting Committee held its third meeting in Arlington, Virginia. The Committee decided to create a separate licensing system for check cashers and currency exchangers. The Committee made further refinements to its licensing provisions. Specifically, check cashers and currency exchangers would have to be licensed only if they were not authorized delegates/sales outlets for money transmitters. The Act already requires a money transmitter to report the identity of any check casher or currency exchanger who acts as a delegate to the relevant state regulator. Check cashers or currency exchangers who serve as delegates will therefore appear on the regulator's "radar screen".

The Drafting Committee voted to change the name of the Act from the Nondepository Providers of Financial Services Act to the Money-Services Business Act. The Drafting Committee recommended the name change because the Committee felt that the term MSBs more aptly describes the group of organizations that are to be regulated under the Act – namely money transmitters, payment instrument sellers, stored-value providers, check cashers, and currency exchangers. The Conference Executive Committee subsequently approved this name change in January 1999 and designated the Act as the Uniform Money-Services Business Act ("UMSBA").

The other major issue that was discussed at the third meeting was the type of "permissible" investments in which money transmitters would be allowed to invest. Industry Observers discussed the types of investment categories that are typically included in state statutes. The Drafting Committee raised concerns about the safety or risk level of the some of the investments and decided to examine the issue further.

The Committee held its fourth meeting in March 1999 in Bethesda, Maryland. At this meeting, the Committee further discussed the scope of the licensing provisions and decided to create distinct and separate parts of the UMSBA for licensing of check cashers and currency exchangers. By making the licensing segments separate and easily divisible, States will be able to choose which licensing

1	provisions they wish to implement as part of an MSB licensing structure.
2	Permissible investments were also discussed and the Drafting Committee decided
3	upon a modified permissible investment provision in the UMSBA. The Reporter has
4	subsequently prepared a fourth draft of the UMSBA for the Conference's Annual
5	Meeting.
_	
6	2. Citation and Style Notes
7	Unless otherwise noted, references in this draft are to the following sources:
8	"Model Act Regulating Money Transmitters" – Non-Bank Funds
9	Transmitter Group Model Act Regulating Money Transmitters.
	Transmitter Group Woder Net Regulating Wolley Transmitters.
10	• "Arizona Money Transmitter Act" – Arizona Revised Statutes, Title 6,
11	Banks and Financial Institutions, Chapter 12, Transmitters of Money.
	, 1
12	• "Florida Money Transmitter's Code" – Florida Money Transmitters' Code.
13	 "President's Commission Act" – President's Commission on Model State
14	Drug Laws Model Money Transmitter Licensing and Regulation Act.
. ~	
15	"MTRA Outline" – Money Transmitters' Regulators Association Model The Control of the Contr
16	Legislation Outline.
17	3. Goals and Objectives
18	Several major goals that the Act seeks to achieve are the following:
10	several major godes that the free seems to define to the following.
19	 encompassing all money-services businesses within a single legislative
20	framework (keeping in mind the differences between various money-service
21	business activities);
	*
22	 providing a strong safety and soundness law that will provide regulators with
23	a means of assessing whether a certain money-services business should be
24	permitted to engage in business within a State (and ensuring consistent
25	standards across the country);
2.5	
26	• creating strong licensing mechanisms which will deter businesses that engage
27	in money laundering and illegal activity from conducting business in a State:

• strengthening enforcement and supervisory powers that will permit regulators and attorneys general to take appropriate action in the event of suspected money laundering or other related violations of law; and

- including new means of money transmission such as stored value and electronic currency within a statuary framework to the extent appropriate.
- reducing regulatory costs and eliminating duplicative costs for money services businesses through the: (1) authorization of joint supervisory examinations (among States) and (2) the coordination of Bank Secrecy Act and suspicious transaction reporting between federal and state authorities.

There are many reasons why a Uniform Act is desirable. First, uniformity will create a level playing field with respect to the entry of money services businesses into various States. Uniform licensing, reporting and enforcement provisions for money-services business will serve as a much large deterrent to money laundering than will a host of varying state laws.

Additionally, while many States have laws that deal with the sale of payment instruments, state regulation of money transmission, check cashers and currency exchangers is extremely varied. Moreover, only a few States have attempted to create statutory frameworks which tie together the various types of money services businesses in a way that assists regulators and attorneys general in terms of law enforcement and the prevention and detection of money laundering. Finally, the Act provides a unique opportunity for States to take a consistent approach to the licensing and regulation of stored value and other forms of emerging electronic currency products. A uniform and consistent approach will provide less of a barrier to competition and growth in these new sectors.

In some States, the Act will replace existing licensing laws for money transmitters and potentially check cashers. For the vast majority of States, the Act will provide new provisions for dealing with currency exchangers (which are virtually unregulated at the state level). The Act is not designed, however, to repeal existing consumer protection laws. To the extent that States have existing check casher law that merges licensing with regulation of consumer fees, the Act is structured to allow States to choose which licensing provisions they wish to adopt. Thus, a State could decide not to incorporate the check cashing provisions as part of its new statutory framework. Alternatively, States might choose to use the Act as a basic framework that it can supplement with additional consumer-related provisions. A State might, for example, choose to supplement the Act's check cashing licensing provisions, with its own requirements concerning consumer issues such as fee disclosure and fee setting. For most States, the Act will provide a new approach to the treatment of stored value and electronic currency at the state level.

4. Principal Issues in the Draft

As noted above, three principal issues have evolved over the course of the Drafting Committee's previous meetings: (1) the scope of the licensing provisions in the UMSBA; (2) the treatment of stored value within the UMSBA; and (3) the categories of permissible investments available to money transmitters.

A. Scope of the Licensing Provisions in the UMSBA

The UMSBA currently has two separate licensing regimes. The first is for money transmitters (consisting of money transmitters, payment instrument sellers, and certain types of stored-value providers). The second is for check cashers and currency exchangers.

As stated above, the UMSBA is a state safety and soundness law that creates licensing provisions for various types of MSBs. Licensing is potentially a crucial element in money laundering prevention. Proper licensing mechanisms will help States to identify MSB operations that may be operated for illegitimate purposes and to prevent them from conducting business in their States. Additionally, licensing is one method whereby States can monitor the operations of these businesses on an ongoing basis. Licensing will ensure better compliance with existing laws, especially if obligations are accompanied by appropriate enforcement mechanisms

The Drafting Committee is aware of the potential implementation problems that may be created by licensing requirements. State Banking Departments have frequently been the administrative bodies vested with MSB oversight. The Drafting Committee has attempted to keep regulatory burdens to a minimum and focused on the types of provisions that would bolster the state regulatory and enforcement mechanisms. There are, however, potential benefits to be gained from a uniform statute. Uniform law would simplify MSB compliance efforts with respect to transacting business in multiple jurisdictions. The diverse nature of state law makes compliance difficult for some MSBs. The UMSBA would also facilitate and enhance enforcement of existing money laundering and MSB provisions.

Because the UMSBA is a state licensing statute which also has safety and soundness elements, the Drafting Committee has considered the extent to which all MSBs should (or should not) be subject to the same requirements with respect to safety and soundness of the business entrants. Requirements related to capital adequacy, permissible investments, net worth and bonding are all tied to the safety and soundness of a business. The reason for the requirements is to prevent a business from becoming insolvent with customers having outstanding payment obligations (e.g., money orders that have not been redeemed).

The September 1998 Draft included a separate licensing regime for two distinct groups of MSBs. The first group was money transmitters, payment instrument sellers, and stored-value providers (these entities are still grouped together but are labeled more broadly as "money transmitters" for ease of definition). The second group was check cashers and currency exchangers. The Drafting Committee concluded that check cashers and currency exchangers do not pose the same type of safety and soundness concerns for state regulators as other types of MSBs because they do not accept funds from consumers for obligations that might remain unpaid. Rather, both check cashers and currency exchangers immediately provide customers with funds as part of their services. Thus, there is no risk that customers may lose their money (as with the purchase of a money order that might not be redeemed on a future date). Therefore, the Drafting Committee decided that check cashers and currency exchangers should be subject to different types of reporting and recordkeeping requirements and should be exempt from bond requirements.

 Check cashers and currency exchangers were still included within the Act (albeit in a different manner) because (1) there was some indication that the activity of currency exchange itself (as contrasted to check cashing) is vulnerable to money laundering; and (2) the role of many check cashers and currency exchangers as authorized delegates of money transmitters means that they are potential vehicles for money laundering with respect to money transmission and the sale of money orders and traveler's checks.

During the October 1998 Drafting Committee meeting, the Drafting Committee voted to further narrow the extent to which check cashers and currency exchangers are subject to licensing requirements. In the third draft, check cashers and currency exchangers were required to obtain a license ONLY IF they were not authorized delegates of money transmitters, payment instruments sellers, or stored-value providers. Committee members observed that check cashers and currency exchangers who act as authorized delegates would already be identified (for law enforcement purposes) as part of the information supplied to the state regulator by the principal licensee. Additionally, the UMSBA permits the state regulator to take enforcement actions against both licensees and authorized delegates. Check cashers and currency exchangers are subject to anti-money laundering provisions of the Act if they are **either** (1) authorized delegates or (2) licensed separately under the provisions for check cashers and currency exchangers.

The UMSBA does not deal with issues such as consumer rate and fee regulation for check cashing. This is because the scope of the Act as approved by Scope and Program concerns only safety and soundness as that relates to the prevention of money laundering. The Drafting Committee was not directed to address consumer issues. The UMSBA is not meant to replace or supplant existing

consumer protection laws relating to check cashing. Instead, the UMSBA is meant to coexist with existing state consumer protection laws. Additionally, the licensing provisions for check cashing are separable to the extent that States have existing laws that combine licensing provisions with consumer protection provisions.

As the drafting process continues, the Drafting Committee will continue to examine the scope of the separate licensing segments of the Act and also to refine the requirements and obligations that flow from each of the separate licensing sections.

B. Treatment of Stored Value

The Drafting Committee continues to focus on the issue of how new payment technologies such as stored value should be treated within the UMSBA.

Stored-value products are a recent innovation in payment systems technology. Stored-value products possess certain basic characteristics. According to the Federal Reserve, stored-value products share three attributes: "(i) [a] card or other device electronically stores or provides access to a specified amount of funds selected by the holder of the device and available for making payments to others; (ii) the device is the only means of routine access to the funds; and (iii) the issue does not record the funds associated with the device as an account in the name of (or credited to) the holder."

Stored-value cards are also known as "smart" cards, prepaid cards, or value-added cards. These cards maintain on a computer chip a stored value of funds available to be used by a person for various types of retail transactions. A balance is recorded on the card which is debited at a point-of-sale terminal when a consumer or individual makes a purchase. Typically, a consumer will pay a bank or other provider money in exchange for a card that is loaded with value. The consumer uses the card rather than paper currency to purchase good and services. Merchants who accept smart cards can transfer the value of accumulated credits to their bank accounts. A smart card is not typically used for transactions over the Internet. Several new services, however, provide for remote payments to be made by electronic currency that is stored on the hard drive of a person's computer.

When discussing stored value, commentators refer to "closed" and "open" systems. A closed system is one where the stored-value card can be used only for goods and services provided directly by the card issuer. For example, a university

⁸ Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Report to Congress on the Application of the Electronic Funds Transfer Act to Electronic Stored Value Products (March 1997), at 1.

may issue a stored-value card which students may use to purchase books, cafeteria food, and fares on the university's bus system. An "open" system is one where the issuer is not the provider of goods and services but is perhaps part of a group of issuers whose stored-value cards are accepted as a form of payment by a host of merchants.

The transfer of stored value may provide an opportunity for money laundering. The amount of value that can be retained on a stored-value card will, of course, affect whether people will use these products for money laundering. If one can store a large amount of value on a card that is highly portable and transferable, this will increase the likelihood of money laundering. Stored value and electronic currency may give people the ability to move money globally without using banks as intermediaries. Theoretically, funds can be transferred to jurisdictions with less stringent money laundering laws via a stored-value instrument or over the computer. A smart card encoded with a large amount of electronic money can be slipped into a person's pocket and taken anywhere in the world.

Several States have begun to include stored value within their existing money transmission law. Connecticut, for example, has defined stored value as a form of "electronic payment instrument." This term would also include electronic traveler's checks. Other States, such as Texas, have included stored-value providers by interpretation. West Virginia defines "currency transmission" or "money transmission" to include "the transmission of funds through the issuance and sale of stored-value cards which are intended for general acceptance and use in commercial or consumer transactions." ¹⁰

The physical bulk of cash has always presented problems to the money launderer; it is not uncommon for money to be abandoned simply because it could not be moved quickly enough. E-money reduces the need for currency smuggling. Instead of a single shipping container or many false-bottomed suitcases, vast amounts of money could be transmitted instantaneously and securely with a few keystrokes.

Annex at 7.

⁹ The Financial Action Task Force, an intergovernmental body created initially by the G-7 nations, was formed to develop and promote policies to combat money laundering. In the annex to its 1996 annual report on Typologies of Money Laundering, which addressed "Issues Concerning New Payment Technologies," FATF states:

¹⁰ See WV ST. Section 32A-2-1(6).

At the October 1998 meeting, the Drafting Committee affirmed its decision to include stored-value products and stored-value providers within the scope of the Act. Drafting Committee members saw the use of stored value as a means of payment as similar to money transmission. Therefore, to the extent possible, the Drafting Committee recommended including stored value within existing definitions of payment instruments. The Committee has made the following decisions with respect to stored value:

- stored value is defined as a form of electronic payment instrument and thus
 the sale of a stored-value instrument constitutes the sale of a payment
 instrument for purposes of UMSBA;
- stored-value issuers, sellers and redeemers are treated as payment instrument sellers; payment instrument sellers, in turn, are subsumed under the definition of money transmitters, thereby triggering the Article 2 licensing provisions of the UMSBA;
- closed-end stored-value instruments such as phone cards or metro cards are not included within the definition of stored-value instrument; and
- stored-value issuers that are subject to supervision and oversight by a federal
 or state banking agency are also exempt from the licensing provisions of the
 Act.
- The Drafting Committee has formed a subgroup comprised of Commissioners and Observers to provide further recommendations on these issues.

In addition to stored value there are some electronic currency systems that use the Internet as a means of transfer of payments. Some of these systems require that an account be held by the customer at a financial institution through which the value "clears" or is transferred. Other systems use digital value or tokens. A customer purchases the tokens or values and then stores them on a computer for future use. Stored-value cards are used primarily for point-of-sale transactions. Electronic value that is stored on a personal computer would be used for sales where a buyer is at a location remote from the seller.

A customer may purchase electronic currency from a bank or other provider. For example, a bank may issue digital "coins" that possess unique serial numbers that identify a specific amount of monetary value. When the user requests his or her electronic currency, the bank will debit his or her account and credit a cash liability on the bank's books. The user will then store the electronic coins on a computer. A user will spend coins from the account by transferring the coin to a merchant. Unlike physical delivery, delivery will occur via the computer. The merchant can

then send the coins back to the issuing bank for credit. While the Committee has discussed electronic currency generally, the Committee has not specifically addressed whether such transmissions of value over the Internet would constitute money transmission. Existing electronic currency providers merely transmit electronic "value" which is purchased with funds from a customer's bank account or charged to a credit card. In either case, there is a financial institution involved in the purchase and redemption of the electronic value.

5. Permissible Investments

State money transmitter statutes include lists of "permissible" investments. Money transmitters are required to maintain investments at all times that are equal to the market value of the aggregate face amount of all funds transmitted and outstanding payment instruments issued or sold by the money transmitter and all of its authorized delegates. Typically, permissible investments have included the following:

• cash;

- certificates of deposit of a financial institution (either domestic or foreign);
- banker's acceptances eligible for purchase by member banks of the Federal Reserve System;
 - an investment bearing a rating of one of the highest grades as defined by a nationally recognized rating service of such securities;
 - investment securities that are obligations of the United States, its agencies or instrumentalities, or investments in obligations that are guaranteed fully as to principal and interest by the United States, or investments in any obligations of any State, municipality, or any political subdivision thereof;
 - shares in a money market mutual fund;
 - a demand borrowing agreement or agreements made to a corporation or a subsidiary of a corporation whose capital stock is listed on a national exchange; and
 - receivables that are due to a money transmitter from its authorized delegates unless they are past due or doubtful of collection.
 - The Model Act Regulating Money Transmitters, the MTRA Model Legislation Outline, and other state money transmitter statutes include lists similar to

the one described above, with minor variations. There are no caps or restrictions on the amounts or percentage of overall permissible investments that a money transmitter can make in any one category. For example, it would be possible under many existing state statutes for a money transmitter to satisfy its permissible investment requirements solely through demand borrowing agreements with a parent or subsidiary corporation.

At the October 1998 drafting meeting, the Drafting Committee expressed some concerns about the types of permissible investments that have been included in model legislation as well as in existing state money transmission statutes. As stated in this Prefatory Note, money transmitters have to maintain investments that are equal to the aggregate face amount of all their outstanding funds transfers and payment instrument obligations (on a dollar for dollar basis). The Drafting Committee observed that certain investments appear more risky than others – especially in the absence of any limitations or caps on percentage of the licensee's portfolio invested in any of these items.

At the October 1998 Drafting Committee meeting, Committee members expressed disagreement with the list of permissible investments contained in the second draft. The items that certain Committee members identified as posing a higher risk were:

- shares in money market mutual funds, interest-bearing bills or notes or bonds, debentures on stock traded on any national securities exchange or on a national over-the-counter-market, or mutual funds primarily composed of one or more investments of those items;
- demand borrowing agreements made to a corporation or a subsidiary of a corporation whose capital stock is listed on a national exchange; and
- receivables that are due to a licensee from its authorized delegate pursuant to a contract provided that they are not past due or doubtful of collection.

The Committee thought that the of restrictions on the amount that a money transmitter could invest in any of these categories was problematic. Industry Observers pointed out that these types of permissible investments were typically contained in existing state law. The same Observers emphasized that it is important for many licensees to have diverse portfolios, which include the type of investments listed above.

With respect to the inclusion of receivables from delegates, industry representatives explained that the inclusion had become a necessity due to the use of automated money order dispensers. Typically, money orders are sold at sales

outlets through automated dispensers. The automated dispenser immediately records the sale of the money order and notifies the money transmitter. This real-time "notification" immediately triggers the obligation of a money transmitter to retain permissible investments for the money order sold on a dollar for dollar basis. However, while the obligation to maintain investments is triggered at the time of sale, there is a lag of time until the sales outlet actually remits funds to the money transmitter. For the time period between sale and remittance of the funds that the sales outlet has received, the money transmitter needs to treat those "receivables" as part of its permissible investment portfolio. Previously, authorized delegates had notified a money transmitter of the number of money orders sold at the same time that it remitted a check for the funds received.

 The current version of the UMSBA reflects an attempt to impose some restrictions on the type and quantity of permissible investment that a money transmitter is allowed to make. The list of investments mirrors the list contained in the second draft. The main difference, however, is that the current provisions in the Act limit the aggregate amount of each of these contested categories of investments to 20 percent of the licensee's total permissible investments. Additionally, a licensee may not invest in more than 10 percent of any one entity whose investments fall into these categories. The revised section on permissible investments is an attempt to balance the concerns about the safety of the investments made by licensees with the needs of money services businesses to have diverse investment opportunities and to include receivables among the categories permitted.

1 UNIFORM MONEY-SERVICES BUSINESS ACT

2	ARTICLE 1
3	GENERAL PROVISIONS
4	SECTION 101. SHORT TITLE. This [Act] may be cited as the Uniform
5	Money-Services Business Act.
6	SECTION 102. DEFINITIONS. In this [Act]:
7	(1) "Applicant" means a person filing an application for a license under this
8	[Act].
9	(2) "Authorized delegate" means a person designated by a licensee to
10	engage in a money-services business on behalf of the licensee.
11	(3) "Check casher" means a person that engages in the business of check
12	cashing and receives at least \$500 compensation for check cashing during any
13	30-day period.
14	(4) "Check cashing" means accepting a payment instrument in exchange for
15	money delivered to a presenter at the time and place of the presentation.
16	(5) "Control" means:
17	(A) ownership, control of, or the power to vote, directly or indirectly, 25
18	percent or more of a class of voting securities or voting interests of a licensee or
19	controlling person;

(B) controlling the election of a majority of directors, managers, trustees,
or other persons exercising managerial authority of a licensee or controlling person;
or

- (C) direct or indirect exercise of a controlling influence over a licensee or controlling person, if the [superintendent], after notice and opportunity for hearing, so determines.
 - (6) "Controlling person" means a person having control.
- (7) "Currency" means the coin and paper money of the United States, or of a foreign government, which is designated as legal tender and which circulates and is customarily used and accepted as a medium of exchange in the country. The term includes coin and paper money or a monetary unit of account established by an intergovernmental organization or by agreement between two or more governments which is customarily used and accepted as a medium of exchange in more than one country.
- (8) "Currency exchange" means exchanging money of one government for money of another government.
- (9) "Engage in the business" means engage for compensation more than 10 times in any calendar year in activities regulated under this [Act].
- (10) "Executive officer" means a licensee's president, chairman of the executive committee, chief financial officer, responsible individual, or other individual that performs similar functions.

- (11) "Financial institution" means a bank, credit union, savings and loan association, or other similar institution.
 - (12) "Key shareholder" means a person or group of persons, acting in concert, that owns 25 percent or more of a voting class of the securities or of the voting interest of an applicant or licensee.
- (13) "Licensee" means a person licensed under this [Act].

- (14) "Limited station" means a private premises where a check casher is authorized to engage in the business of check cashing solely for the employees of the particular employer or group of employers specified in its license application, for no more than two days of each week.
- (15) "Material litigation" means litigation that, according to generally accepted accounting principles, is considered significant to an applicant's or licensee's financial condition.
- (16) "Mobile location" means a vehicle or a movable facility where check cashing occurs.
- (17) "Money" means a medium of exchange that is authorized or adopted by a domestic or foreign government. The term includes a monetary unit of account established by an intergovernmental organization or by agreement between two or more governments.
- (18) "Money-services business" means a person that is licensed under this [Act] or engages in the business of money transmission, check cashing, or currency exchange.

(19) "Money transmission" means the sale or issuance of a payment instrument, or engaging in the business of receiving money for transmission, or the business of transmitting money within the United States or to locations outside the United States, by any means including transmission by payment instrument, wire, facsimile, and electronic transfer.

- (20) "Outstanding," with respect to a payment instrument, means a payment instrument issued by a licensee, which has been sold directly by the licensee; issued by a licensee, which has been sold by an authorized delegate of the licensee; or which has been reported to a licensee as having been sold but not yet paid by or for the licensee.
- (21) "Payment instrument" means a check, draft, money order, traveler's check in record form, stored-value instrument, or other instrument for the transmission or payment of money, whether or not negotiable, and in record form.

 The term does not include a credit card voucher, letter of credit, or instrument that is redeemable by the issuer in goods or services.
- (22) "Person" means an individual, corporation, business trust, estate, trust, partnership, limited liability company, association, joint venture, or any other legal or commercial entity. The term does not include a government, governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality, or public corporation.
- (23) "Record" means information that is inscribed on a tangible medium or that is stored in an electronic or other medium and is retrievable in perceivable form.

(24) "Remit" means to make direct payment of moneys to a licensee or its representative authorized to receive the moneys or to deposit moneys in a financial institution in an account specified by the licensee.

- (25) "Responsible individual" means an individual who is employed by a licensee and has principal active managerial authority over the money-services business of the licensee in this State.
- (26) "State" means a State of the United States, the District of Columbia,
 Puerto Rico, the United States Virgin Islands, or any territory or insular possession
 subject to the jurisdiction of the United States.
- (27) "Stored-value instrument" means a card or other tangible object for the transmission or payment of money or other value which contains a microprocessor chip, magnetic stripe, or other means for the storage of information, which is prefunded, and for which the value is decreased upon each use. The term does not include a card or other tangible object that is redeemable by the issuer in the issuer's goods and services.
- (28) "[Superintendent]" means the [state superintendent of banks or other senior state regulator charged with the regulation of money-services businesses].
- (29) "Traveler's check" means an instrument identified as a traveler's check on its face or commonly recognized as a traveler's check and issued in a specified denomination of currency with a provision for a specimen signature of the purchaser to be completed at the time of purchase and a countersignature of the purchaser to be completed at the time of negotiation.

(30) "Unsafe or unsound practice" means a practice or conduct that is contrary to generally accepted standards applicable to a money transmitter, or that is a violation of an order of the [superintendent] against a money transmitter if the practice, conduct, or violation creates the likelihood of material loss, insolvency, or dissipation of assets of the money-services business, or otherwise materially prejudices the interests of its customers.

Sources: Definitions in this Act have been mainly derived from the Model Act Regulating Money Transmitters, the President's Commission Act, the Arizona Code, and the Florida Money Transmitter's Code. Several definitions are new.

Reporter's Note

- 1. "Authorized delegate." The ability of a state superintendent to regulate the conduct of authorized delegates is of vital importance to the prevention and detection of money laundering. It is important, therefore, to clearly define the outlets through which a money-services business, primarily a money transmitter, conducts its business. The term "authorized delegate" was selected rather than "agent" to avoid confusion as to the nature of the legal relationship between a money transmitter and the sales outlets through which it transacts business. Sales outlets provide money transmission on behalf of a money transmitter on a contractual basis. Although the delegates are not defined as "agents" of a money transmitter, there are circumstances under which the superintendent may take enforcement action against a licensed money transmitter on the basis of actions of its delegates. The Act does impose some statutory obligations on the licensee with respect to the conduct of its delegates. Additionally, the superintendent has the authority to take action directly against the delegate as well. See Section 801.
- 2. "Check cashing." The definition of check cashing excludes businesses that may offer a small amount of check cashing services incidental to their primary business. Hotels, for example, which cash checks as a courtesy for their guests, fall into the excluded category. This definition was agreed upon at the October 1998 drafting meeting. The main difference in the new definition (as compared with many existing state definitions) is the method used to determine which businesses should be excluded because they cash checks as a service that is incidental to their primary business and which is also at a de minimis level. The exemption reflects an aggregate level of fees over a 30-day period, rather than relying on a daily level of business.

3. "Control." The definition of control is derived from the definition contained in the Federal Bank Holding Company Act, 12 U.S.C. Section 1842(a)(2). It was decided that the definition of control included in the September 1998 draft was too formalistic in that it required a bright line threshold of 25 percent or more ownership to trigger control. The Drafting Committee decided that the Federal Bank Holding Company Act provided a useful definition that did not relate solely to a threshold of share ownership. The current definition is more flexible and allows for a broader interpretation of the concept of control.

- 4. "Engage in the business." Because the Act is intended to apply only to those entities engaged in the money-services business as a commercial enterprise, the current definition was added. The definition of engage in the business is a modified version of the definition of "conduct the business" included in the President's Commission on Model State Drug Laws, Model Money Transmitter Licensing and Regulation Act ("President's Commission Act") Section 4(c); and the President's Commission on Model State Drug Laws, Model Financial Transaction Reporting Act Section 4(d). The commentary to the President's Commission Act states that ""[c]onduct the business' derives its meaning from federal tax law relating to deductions available to persons in the business of various profit-seeking pursuits. Its application to federal gambling law, 18 U.S.C. 1955, provides useful case law examples."
- 5. "Limited station." This definition refers to sites where check-cashing services are solely offered to employees of one or several employers. Specifically, employers have arranged with a check casher to provide check cashing in connection with payroll checks. It was necessary to define this type of location because check casher licensees are required to list all of their locations (including limited stations) on their license application and their renewal reports.
- 6. "Mobile location." Mobile locations are movable locations (normally motor vehicles such as vans) from which check cashing or currency-exchange services are provided to members of the public. This term is defined because check casher and currency exchanger licensees are required to report these locations on their license applications and subsequent renewal reports.
- 7. "Money-services business." As explained in the Prefatory Note, money-services business is used to define a group of entities that engage in any of the following activities: money transmission, sale of payment instruments (i.e., money orders or traveler's checks or stored-value instruments), check cashing and currency exchange. The definition focuses on the activities engaged in rather than the entity that engages in the activities. The Drafting Committee decided to use an activity-based definition because different money-services businesses may engage in one or more of these money-services business activities.

8. "Money transmission." Money transmission subsumes several activities or functions: the transmission of funds as well as the sale or issuance of payment instruments and the sale or issuance of stored-value instruments. Stored-value instruments, as defined in the Act, are treated as payment instruments. The grouping of funds transmission and the sale or issuance of payment instruments is consistent with existing state practice. The Drafting Committee has consolidated related functions to simplify the Act.

- 9. "Payment instrument." At the October 1998 meeting, the Drafting Committee affirmed its decision to include stored-value products and stored-value providers within the scope of the Act. Drafting Committee members determined that the use of stored value as a means of payment was similar to money transmission as a process. Therefore, to the extent possible, the Drafting Committee included stored value within existing definitions of money-services businesses. The Act follows the Connecticut approach and treats stored-value instruments (including electronic traveler's checks) as payment instruments.
- 10. "Stored-value instrument." At the October 1998 drafting meeting, the Committee decided that stored-value providers should be required to obtain licenses under the Act. At present, stored-value instruments are encompassed within the definition of payment instruments. In 1998, Connecticut enacted the Act Concerning Electronic Payment Instruments and Currency and Foreign Transactions Reporting. The Connecticut statute amended existing money-transmission law so those stored-value products (referred to as "electronic payment instruments") are treated as payment instruments. Furthermore, issuers of such payment instruments are subject to licensing and regulation in Connecticut. See CT. Legis. 98-192, cited in 1998 Conn. Legis. Serv. P.A. 98-192 (S.S.B. 230) (West 1998). The Committee will continue to review the definition of "stored value" in the Act. For example, there have been suggestions that such a payment substitute should not be defined in relation to an instrument but more broadly in terms of the actual concept of its "value."
- 11. "Unsafe or unsound practice." Under the Act, the superintendent possesses the authority to take action against a money transmitter or its authorized delegates in the event that the money transmitter engages in an unsafe or unsound practice. The term unsafe and unsound is a general concept that has been used in state and federal banking and financial law. Unsafe and unsound practices are ones that may pose financial risk to a financial institution. The Act provides a definition of unsafe and unsound that applies solely to money transmitters. Money transmitters who engage in unsafe or unsound activity may leave consumers with unredeemed money orders or uncollected funds transfers. The superintendent is able to take protective action in the event than a money transmitter engages in an

unsafe or unsound activity. This prevents the dissipation of licensee assets that should be used to fulfill obligations to customers.

The Drafting Committee determined that unsafe and unsound practices related solely to the risk of financial loss posed by the actions of the money transmitter. Currency exchangers and check cashers do not engage in an unsafe or unsound practice with respect to their check cashing or currency exchange activity because they provide their customers with funds immediately. To the extent that a check casher or currency exchanger dissipates its assets or becomes insolvent, it will typically have to cease business. However, this will not directly harm consumers, as they will not be left with unpaid obligations. Furthermore, if a check casher or currency exchanger engages in an unsafe activity with respect to money transmission this will not have any direct impact on or harm to individual consumers. This is because the check casher may only conduct money transmission as an authorized delegate. The money transmitter will remain liable to the holders of its money orders, even if an authorized delegate sells them. Because the money transmitter bears ultimate financial responsibility to customers, check cashers and currency exchangers are not considered to engage in unsafe or unsound practices.

SECTION 103. SUPERVISORY POWERS OF [SUPERINTENDENT].

- Consistent with this [Act] the [superintendent] shall adopt rules pursuant to the
- [administrative procedure act] necessary to achieve the purposes of this [Act].
- **Source:** New

1 2

- 22 Reporter's Note
- The State Superintendent for Banking or Banking Commissioner is usually the state regulator that supervises and regulates money-services businesses.
- **SECTION 104. EXCLUSIONS.** This [Act] does not apply to:
- 26 (1) the United States or a department, agency, or instrumentality thereof;
- 27 (2) the United States Postal Service;
- 28 (3) a State or a governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality thereof;

(4) a bank, bank holding company, thrift company, credit union, building and
loan association, savings and loan association, savings bank, mutual bank, an office
of an international banking corporation, a branch of a foreign bank, a corporation
organized pursuant to the Bank Services Act, or an Edge Act Agreement
Corporation organized under the laws of a State or the United States if the person
does not issue, sell, or provide payment instruments through an authorized delegate
that is not such a person;

- (5) electronic funds transfer of government benefits for a federal, state, [county], or governmental agency by a contractor on behalf of the United States or a department, agency, or instrumentality thereof, or a State or governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality thereof;
- (6) a board of trade designated as a contract market under the Commodity Exchange Act or a person that provides in the ordinary course of business clearance and settlement services for a board of trade to the extent of its operation as such a board of trade or for such a board of trade;
- (7) a person registered as a futures commission merchant under the federal commodities laws to the extent of its operation as such a merchant;
- (8) a person that provides clearance or settlement services pursuant to a registration as a clearing agency or an exemption from such registration granted under the federal securities laws to the extent of its operation as such a provider;
- (9) an operator of a payment system which provides processing, clearing, or settlement services, between or among persons excluded by this section or licensees,

1 in connection with wire transfers, credit-card transactions, debit-card transactions, 2 transactions involving stored-value instruments, automated clearing house transfers, 3 or similar funds transfers to the extent of its operation as such an operator; 4 (10) a person registered as a securities broker-dealer under the federal 5 securities laws to the extent of its operation as such a broker-dealer; or 6 (11) a person engaging in the business of issuing, selling, or redeeming 7 stored-value instruments subject to regulation, supervision, and examination by a 8 federal or state banking agency which does not issue, sell, or redeem stored-value 9 instruments to or from individuals. 10 Source: President's Commission Act Section 6 (with several modifications and 11 additions). 12 Reporter's Note 13 1. Exemptions are provided liberally to reduce the cost of the Act to a 14 minimum both in terms of administration and in terms of regulation. This list should 15 be modified to match a State's existing regulatory categories and terminology as appropriate. The entities listed in paragraphs (1) through (5) are exclusions 16 normally included in relevant state licensing statutes for money transmitters. 17 18 2. Many of the new exclusions apply to organizations that provide clearing and settlement services (which do involve the transmission of money). Clearing and 19 20 settlement often involves the transfer of funds from one bank account to another 21 (e.g., the debiting and crediting of accounts of various participants in a trading 22 system or credit card consortium) where funds are transferred from bank accounts 23 of a participant's financial institution. The clearing and settlement organizations 24 listed in the exemptions are already subject to supervision by other federal or other 25 state regulators. 26 3. The proposed exclusion involving boards of trade was submitted to the 27 Financial Crimes Enforcement Network of the United States Department of 28 Treasury by various clearing organizations that collectively represent several of the 29 largest commodity exchanges and commodities/options clearing organizations. In a 30 letter dated October 8, 1997, these organizations recommended that FinCEN change the proposed definition of money-services business to exclude regulated entities that 31

1 2	are already subject to regulation by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and the U.S. Commodities and Futures Trading Commission.
3 4 5	4. The proposed exclusion for broker-dealers arises from the fact that broker-dealers are already subject to Bank Secrecy Act Reporting requirements and are highly regulated by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	5. The proposed exclusion for stored-value issuers, sellers or redeemers relates only to those entities that are subject to oversight by a federal or state banking agency and that do not issue, sell or redeem stored value directly to individuals. Such entities would already be regulated from a safety and soundness standpoint by banking agencies and would not have direct obligations to individuals. Instead, such entities would sell stored value on a wholesale basis to other institutions.
13	SECTION 105. LICENSE REQUIRED.
14	(a) A person may not engage in a money-services business without:
15	(1) first obtaining a license under this [Act]; or
16	(2) becoming an authorized delegate with respect to that business.
17	(b) A person that is not licensed under this [Act] and is not an authorized
18	delegate of a licensee is engaged in a money-services business if the person
19	advertises, solicits, or holds itself out as a money-services business or engages in the
20	business.
21	(c) A person that engages in a money-services business only as an
22	authorized delegate of a licensee and acts solely within the scope of a contract
23	between the authorized delegate and the licensee is not required to be licensed under
24	[Article] 2, 3, or 4.
25	(d) A license is not transferable or assignable except as otherwise provided
26	by the [superintendent].

Source: Model Act Regulating Money Transmitters Section 2 combined with
President's Commission Act Section 5. The restrictions on transfer or assignment of
a license come from California Financial Code Section 12219, which prohibits the
transfer of check selling licenses.

Reporter's Note

This section sets forth the overall licensing structure for money-services businesses created by the Act. All money-services businesses (including money transmitters as broadly defined, check cashers and currency exchangers) must either obtain a license or become an authorized delegate with respect to the type of money-service business it wishes to perform. Additionally, should a money-services business have neither a license nor status as an authorized delegate, the person is treated, for purposes of the Act, as if it is engaging in money-services business on its own behalf.

ARTICLE 2 1 LICENSING OF MONEY TRANSMITTERS 2 3 SECTION 201. APPLICATION FOR LICENSE. 4 (a) A person may not engage in the business of money transmission, or 5 advertise the person's engagement in money transmission without first obtaining a 6 license under this [article]. 7 (b) A person licensed under this [article] may also engage in check cashing 8 without obtaining a separate license under [Article] 3 and currency exchange 9 without obtaining a separate license under [Article] 4. 10 (c) A person applying for a license under this [article] must do so in writing, 11 under oath, and in a form prescribed by the [superintendent]. The application must 12 state or contain: 13 (1) the legal name and residential and business addresses of the applicant 14 and any fictitious or trade name used by the applicant in the conduct of its business; 15 (2) the applicant's material litigation for the last five years; 16 (3) a description of any money-services business previously or presently 17 engaged in by the applicant, and the business in which the applicant seeks to engage in this State; 18 19 (4) a list of the applicant's proposed authorized delegates, and the 20 locations in this State where the applicant and its authorized delegates propose to

engage in money transmission or other money-services business;

1	(5) a sample form of contract for authorized delegates, if applicable, and
2	a sample form of payment instrument, if applicable;
3	(6) the name and address of any clearing financial institutions through
4	which the applicant's payment instruments will be payable;
5	(7) a document confirming that the requirements for security and net
6	worth as set forth in Sections 202 and 206 have been or will be satisfied; and
7	(8) other information the [superintendent] reasonably requires with
8	respect to the applicant.
9	(d) If an applicant is a corporation, the applicant shall also provide:
10	(1) the date of the applicant's incorporation and State or country of
11	incorporation;
12	(2) a certificate of good standing from the State or country in which the
13	applicant is incorporated;
14	(3) a description of the corporate structure of the applicant, including
15	any parent or subsidiary of the applicant, and whether any parent or subsidiary is
16	publicly traded on a securities exchange;
17	(4) the legal and any fictitious name, business and residential addresses,
18	and employment, for the past five years, of each executive officer, director, and key
19	shareholder of the applicant;
20	(5) material litigation and criminal convictions for the past five years of
21	each executive officer and key shareholder of the applicant;

1	(6) a copy of the applicant's audited financial statements for the current
2	year and, if available, for the next preceding two years;
3	(7) a copy of the applicant's unconsolidated financial statements for the
4	current year, whether audited or not, and, if available, for the next preceding two
5	years;
6	(8) if the applicant is a publicly traded corporation, copies of all filings
7	made with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission within the year
8	next preceding the date of the filing of the application; and
9	(9) other information the [superintendent] reasonably requires.
10	(e) If the applicant is not a corporation, the applicant shall also provide:
11	(1) evidence that the applicant is qualified to do business in this State;
12	(2) the legal and any fictitious name, business and residential addresses,
13	personal financial statements, and employment for the last five years, for each
14	controlling person that is an individual and each responsible individual of the
15	applicant;
16	(3) material litigation and criminal convictions, for the last five years, of
17	each controlling person that is an individual and each responsible individual of the
18	applicant;
19	(4) a copy of the applicant's audited financial statements for the current
20	year, and, if available, for the next preceding two years; and
21	(5) other information the [superintendent] reasonably requires.

(f) The [superintendent] may waive a requirement of this section or permit

2 an applicant to submit substituted information in lieu of the required information.

Source: Arizona Money Transmitter Law Section 6-1203; President's Commission Act Section 7; Florida Money Transmitters' Code Section 560.205.

Reporter's Note

At the February 1998 drafting meeting, the Drafting Committee decided to create separate licensing provisions for money transmitters (which includes payment instrument sellers and stored-value issuers and sellers) as distinct from check cashers and currency exchangers. It was determined that check cashers and currency exchangers posed less safety and soundness concerns because customers who exchanged currency or cashed checks were provided with cash immediately.

As set forth in Articles 2, 3, and 4, separate licensing requirements are established for money transmitters and for check cashers/foreign currency exchangers. The superintendent's supervisory and enforcement powers, however, are the same for all money-services businesses. The licensing requirement promotes one of the main goals of the Act: to create an appropriate regulatory framework to deter and eliminate the use of money-services businesses as potential vehicles for money laundering. Only a handful of States have attempted to create a framework that links all money-services businesses together within a statute, while recognizing the differences inherent in the various activities concerned. Florida and Arizona, for example, are two States that have enacted statutes that have uniform enforcement and penalty provisions for all money-services businesses, while retaining separate licensing and recordkeeping provisions for each type of money-service activity. The Drafting Committee chose this approach because, for law enforcement purposes, the state superintendent and the Attorney General need general enforcement powers with respect to each of the different entities as a means of prevention and detection of money laundering. Therefore, the Act contains uniform enforcement provisions and different licensing requirements for each type of activity.

The licensing application is the first point at which the State may protect the public by prohibiting entry by those persons that would bring discredit on the industry, and the first source of information for investigators and regulators in the event that there is future misconduct by a licensee. The information requested from money-transmitter applicants in Section 201 is the type of information recommended by the Money Transmitters Regulators' Association in Section IV of the Model Legislation Outline and also in the Model Act Regulating Money Transmitters. The information concerning criminal convictions and employment histories, as well as the identity of executive officers, key shareholders, controlling persons and responsible individuals is designed to assist the superintendent in determining whether the

license applicant is a reputable business or whether there are any suggestions that the business might be used for illegal purposes. Additionally, information relating to the applicant's financial position (including information about net worth) is necessary in order to determine whether an applicant will be able to meet its obligations with respect to any obligations it might have (in connection with the sale of money orders, traveler's checks and stored value and funds transfer).

SECTION 202. SECURITY.

1 2

- (a) A surety bond, irrevocable letter of credit, or other similar security acceptable to the [superintendent], in the amount of [\$50,000] must accompany an application for a license.
- (b) If an applicant proposes to engage in the business at more than one location through authorized delegates or otherwise, the amount of the security is increased by [\$10,000] per location, not exceeding a total increase of [\$250,000]. The [superintendent] may, however, increase the amount of security required to a maximum of [\$500,000] upon the basis of the impaired financial condition of a licensee, as evidenced by net worth reduction, financial losses, or other relevant criteria.
- (c) A security must be in a form satisfactory to the [superintendent] and run to the State for the benefit of any claimant against the licensee to secure the faithful performance of the obligations of the licensee with respect to money transmission.
- (d) The aggregate liability on a surety bond may not exceed the principal sum of the bond. A claimant against a licensee may maintain an action directly on the bond or the [superintendent] may maintain an action on behalf of the claimant. The bond must be payable to any person injured by a wrongful act, omission,

default, fraud, or misrepresentation of a licensee or an authorized delegate or employee of the licensee in the conduct of its business as a licensee or to the State for the benefit of the [superintendent] and of the injured person. Only one bond is required of a licensee irrespective of the number of executive officers, directors, locations, employees, or authorized delegates of the licensee.

- (e) An irrevocable letter of credit must run to the State, for the benefit of the [superintendent] and any person injured by a wrongful act, omission, default, fraud, or misrepresentation of a licensee or an authorized delegate or employee of the licensee in the conduct of its business as a licensee. An irrevocable letter of credit may be drawn upon by sight drafts in amounts determined by the [superintendent] up to the aggregate amount of the irrevocable letter of credit.
- (f) A security must remain in effect until cancellation, which may occur only after 30 days' written notice to the [superintendent] of the intended cancellation.
- (g) A security must remain effective for as long as the [superintendent] specifies but at least five years after the licensee ceases to be a money-services business in this State. However, the [superintendent] may permit the amount of security to be reduced or eliminated before that time to the extent that the amount of the licensee's payment instruments outstanding in this State is reduced. The [superintendent] may permit a licensee to substitute another form of security acceptable to the [superintendent] for the security effective at the time the licensee ceases to be a money-services business in this State.

(h) In lieu of the security prescribed in this section, an applicant for a license or a licensee may deposit with the [superintendent] cash, or alternatives to cash acceptable to the [superintendent], in the amount of the required security. The principal amount of the deposit may be released to the applicant for a license or licensee only upon authorization in a record of the [superintendent] or on the order of a court of competent jurisdiction.

Source: Arizona Revised Statutes, Title 6, Banks and Financial Institutions,

Source: Arizona Revised Statutes, Title 6, Banks and Financial Institutions, Chapter 12 Transmitters of Money; A.R.S. Section 6-1205; President's Commission Act Section 8.

Reporter's Note

The bond and net worth requirements are safety and soundness measures designed to protect the public, but also to deter companies that have questionable solvency or business practices from entering the market. The bond requirement serves as a barrier to entry for financially unstable companies. Alternatives to the bond requirement, however, are provided in the form of cash or letters of credit. Licensees may also be permitted to deposit specified liquid assets in the amount of the bond. The Drafting Committee has attempted a balance between the goals of safety and soundness and of providing open access to businesses that wish to enter the money transmission market, recognizing that decisions as to the final dollar amounts will need to reflect the particular fiscal needs and concerns of different States.

SECTION 203. ISSUANCE OF LICENSE.

(a) Upon the filing of an application under this [article], the [superintendent] shall investigate the applicant's financial condition and responsibility, financial and business experience, character, and general fitness. The [superintendent] may conduct an on-site investigation of the applicant, the reasonable cost of which the applicant must bear. The [superintendent] may issue a license to an applicant under

1	this [article] if the [superintendent] finds that all of the following conditions have
2	been fulfilled:
3	(1) the applicant has complied with Sections 201 and 202;
4	(2) the competence, experience, character, and general fitness of the
5	executive officers, directors, and controlling persons indicate that it is in the interest
6	of the public to permit the applicant to engage in money transmission; and
7	(3) the applicant has paid the requisite application and license fees.
8	(b) The [superintendent] shall approve or deny an application for an original
9	license within 120 days after a complete application is filed. The [superintendent]
10	for a good cause may extend the period. The [superintendent] shall notify the
11	applicant of the date on which the application is determined to be complete. If the
12	application is not approved or denied within the period allowed for approval, the
13	application is deemed approved and the [superintendent] shall issue the license under
14	this [article] effective as of the first business day after expiration of the period.
15	(c) An applicant whose application is denied by the [superintendent] under
16	this [article] may appeal from the denial within 30 days after receipt of the notice of
17	the denial in a hearing before the [superintendent] pursuant to the [administrative
18	procedure act].
19 20	Source: Arizona Revised Statutes Section 6-1206(B); Tennessee Revised Code Section 45-7-210.
21	Reporter's Note
22 23 24	The Drafting Committee has previously inquired as to whether States have mandatory time frames in which the superintendent must respond to license applications. The Money Transmitters' Regulators Association ("MTRA") supplied

the Drafting Committee with sample statutory provisions that included mandatory time frames for response to a license application. Based on existing state practice, the Drafting Committee decided on a 120-day response period. The MTRA Model Legislation Outline recommends a 120-day time period. The extension for "good cause" comes from the Maine Act to Regulate Money Transmitters and Amend Consumer Credit Laws, 32 M.R.S.A. Section 6109(2).

SECTION 204. RENEWAL OF LICENSE.

- (a) A licensee under this [article] must apply for a renewal of its license and pay a renewal fee annually on the anniversary of the issuance of the license or, if that date is not a business day, on the first business day after that date.
- (b) A licensee under this [article] shall submit with the renewal fee a report, in a form prescribed by the [superintendent]. The [superintendent] shall send a copy of the form to each licensee under this [article] no later than [three months] immediately before the date for license renewal. The renewal report must state or contain:
- (1) a copy of the licensee's most recent audited annual financial statement or, if the licensee is a wholly owned subsidiary of another corporation, the most recent audited consolidated annual financial statement of the parent corporation or the licensee's most recent audited consolidated annual financial statement;
- (2) the number of payment instruments sold by the licensee in this State that have not been previously included on a renewal report, the monetary amount of those instruments, and the monetary amount of those instruments currently outstanding;

1	(3) a description of each material change in information submitted by the
2	licensee in its original license application which has not been previously reported to
3	the [superintendent] on any required report;
4	(4) a list of the licensee's permissible investments and a certification that
5	the licensee continues to maintain permissible investments according to the
6	requirements set forth in Sections 701 and 702; and
7	(5) a list of the locations in this State where the licensee or an authorized
8	delegate engages in money transmission or other money-services business.
9	(c) The [superintendent], in a record, shall notify a licensee under this
10	[article] that has not filed a renewal report or paid its renewal fee by the renewal
11	date, and has not been granted an extension of time to do so by the [superintendent]
12	that its license has been suspended. The licensee has 30 days after receipt of the
13	notice of suspension in which to file a renewal report and to pay the renewal fee plus
14	\$100 for each day thereafter the renewal form and application are not received by
15	the [superintendent].
16 17	Source: Model Act Regulating Money Transmitters Section 11 (with modifications).
18	Reporter's Note
19 20 21 22 23 24	The Drafting Committee decided that it was too cumbersome to have a hearing provision for failure to renew a license. The Drafting Committee decided that a preferable alternative was for the license to expire if not renewed in a timely fashion. The licensee, however, will have 30 days to cure its failure to renew its license. As part of the renewal process, Article 2 licensees are required to submit additional information to the superintendent as a means of appraising the safety and
25	soundness of the business.

1	SECTION 205. FEES.
2	(a) A nonrefundable application fee of [\$2,000] and a license fee of [\$2,000]
3	must accompany an application for a license under this [article]. The license fee
4	must be refunded if the application is denied.
5	(b) An annual renewal fee of [\$2,000] must accompany a license renewal
6	report.
7	(c) A nonrefundable fee of [\$2,000] must accompany an application for
8	change of control.
9	Source: President's Commission Act Section 8. Paragraphs (b) and (c) are new.
10	Reporter's Note
11 12 13	This section provides for an initial license application fee as well as for renewal fees and fees for applications for a change in control. This section leaves the final amount to be charged for each procedure to be determined by each State.
14	SECTION 206. NET WORTH. A licensee under this [article] shall maintain a
15	net worth in liquid assets of at least [\$100,000] plus [\$10,000] for each location at
16	which the licensee or an authorized delegate engages in the business, not to exceed
17	[\$500,000].
18	Source: President's Commission Act Section 8.
19	Reporter's Note
20 21 22 23 24 25	Net worth requirements, in combination with bonding/security and permissible investment requirements, are a means of ensuring that a money transmitter has sufficient resources to honor its obligations to customers. As stated in the Prefatory Note, only Article 2 licensees are subject to net worth requirements. Check cashers and currency exchangers provide funds immediately to customers; therefore there is no risk of non-payment. Net worth requirements are a means of

- screening an applicant, at the time of their initial entry into the money-services business, as to their ability to meet their obligations.
- 3 SECTION 207. PAYMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION. A
- 4 payment instrument sold by a licensee directly, or indirectly through an authorized
- 5 delegate, must bear the name of the licensee and a unique, consecutive number
- 6 clearly stamped or imprinted on the instrument.

1 **ARTICLE 3** LICENSING OF CHECK CASHERS 2 3 SECTION 301. APPLICATION FOR LICENSE. (a) A person that is not an authorized delegate of a licensee under [Article] 4 5 2 or that is not licensed under [Article] 2 or 4 may not engage in the business of 6 check cashing without first obtaining a license under this [article]. 7 (b) A person licensed under this [article] may not engage in money 8 transmission other than as an authorized delegate of a person licensed under 9 [Article] 2. 10 (c) A person licensed under this [article] may also engage in the business of 11 currency exchange without obtaining a separate license under [Article] 4. 12 (d) A person applying for a license under this [article] must do so in writing, 13 under oath, and in a form prescribed by the [superintendent]. The application must 14 state or contain: 15 (1) the legal name and residential and business addresses of the applicant, 16 if the applicant is an individual or, if the applicant is not an individual, the name of 17 each partner, executive officer, and director; 18 (2) the location of the principal office of the applicant; 19 (3) complete addresses of other locations in this State where the 20 applicant proposes to engage in check cashing, including all limited stations and 21 mobile locations;

1	(4) a description of the source of moneys to be used for check cashing;
2	and
3	(5) other information the [superintendent] reasonably requires with
4	respect to the applicant, but not more than the [superintendent] may require under
5	[Article] 2.
6 7	Source: Arizona Money Transmitter Law Section 6-1203; President's Commission Act Section 7; Florida Money Transmitters' Code Section 560.205.
8	Reporter's Note
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	At the February 1998 drafting meeting, the Drafting Committee decided that check cashers should be treated differently than money transmitters with respect to licensing, bonding and, in particular, net worth. Because check cashers and currency exchangers provide customers with funds immediately, they do not need the same type of bond or security requirements. Existing state law makes a distinction between check cashers and money transmitters with respect to information provided to superintendents (e.g., audited as contrasted to unaudited financial statements are requested and bond and net worth requirements are not imposed). In general, fewer States have check-cashing laws. The Drafting Committee decided to include separate licensing provisions in the Act as an alternative to a unified licensing system as contained in the February 1998 draft. A new provision has been added to require that check cashers provide superintendents with information about the source of their funds. Superintendents and law enforcement officials want to ensure that the cash used in such a business is not derived from money laundering or other illegal activity. For a general discussion
24 25 26 27 28	of the main differences between Article 2 and Articles 3 and 4 see the Reporter's Note to Section 201 (which also explains the rationale for separate licensing requirements for different types of money-services businesses). The Note to Section 201 also discusses the reasons why certain types of information are requested from applicants during the application process.
29	SECTION 302. ISSUANCE OF LICENSE.
30	(a) Upon the filing of an application under this [article], the [superintendent]
31	shall investigate the applicant's financial condition and responsibility, financial and

business experience, character, and general fitness. The [superintendent] may conduct an on-site investigation of the applicant, the reasonable cost of which the applicant must bear. The [superintendent] may issue a license to an applicant under this [article] if the [superintendent] finds that all of the following conditions have been fulfilled:

(1) the applicant has complied with Section 301;

- (2) the competence, experience, character, and general fitness of the executive officers, directors, and controlling persons indicate that it is in the interest of the public to permit the applicant to engage in the business of check cashing; and
 - (3) the applicant has paid the requisite application and license fees.
- (b) The [superintendent] shall approve or deny an application for an original license within 120 days after a complete application is filed. The [superintendent] for a good cause may extend the period. The [superintendent] shall notify the applicant of the date on which the application is determined to be complete. If the application is not approved or denied within the period allowed for approval, the application is deemed approved and the [superintendent] shall issue the license under this [article] effective as of the first business day after expiration of the period.
- (c) An applicant whose application is denied by the [superintendent] under this [article] may appeal from the denial within 30 days after receipt of the notice of the denial in a hearing before the [superintendent] pursuant to the [administrative procedure act].

1 **Source:** Arizona Revised Statutes Section 6-1206(B); Tennessee Revised Code 2 Section 45-7-210. 3 Reporter's Note See the Reporter's Note accompanying Section 202. 5 SECTION 303. RENEWAL OF LICENSE. 6 (a) A licensee under this [article] must apply biennially for a renewal of its 7 license and pay a renewal fee biennially on the anniversary of the issuance of the 8 license or, if that date is not a business day, on the first business day after that date. 9 (b) A licensee under this [article] shall submit with the renewal fee a report, 10 in a form prescribed by the [superintendent]. The [superintendent] shall send a copy 11 of the form to each licensee under this [article] no later than [three months] 12 immediately before the date for license renewal. The renewal report must state or 13 contain: (1) a description of each material change in information submitted by the 14 15 licensee in its original license application which has not been previously reported to 16 the [superintendent] on any required report; and 17 (2) a list of the locations in this State where the licensee or an authorized 18 delegate of the licensee engages in the business of check cashing, including limited 19 stations and mobile locations. 20 (c) The [superintendent], in a record, shall notify a licensee under this 21 [article] that has not filed a renewal report or paid its renewal fee by the renewal 22 date, and has not been granted an extension of time to do so by the [superintendent],

1	that its license has been suspended. The licensee has 30 days after receipt of the
2	notice of suspension in which to file a renewal report and to pay the renewal fee plus
3	\$100 for each day thereafter the renewal form and application are not received by
4	the [superintendent].
5 6	Source: Model Act Regulating Money Transmitters Section 11 (with modifications).
7	Reporter's Note
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	See the Reporter's Note accompanying Section 204. The Drafting Committee decided to require check cashers and currency exchangers to renew their licenses biennially rather than annually. Because check cashers and currency exchangers pose no safety and soundness concerns, the superintendent does not have a need to examine renewal reports on an annual basis for these businesses. The superintendent, however, will have the authority to conduct an on-site examination if the check casher or currency exchanger engages in money-laundering activity or violates a provision of the Act.
16	SECTION 304. FEES.
17	(a) A nonrefundable application fee of [\$2,000] and a license fee of [\$2,000]
18	must accompany an application for a license under this [article]. The license fee
19	must be refunded if the application is denied.
20	(b) A biennial renewal fee of [\$2,000] must accompany a license renewal
21	report.
22	(c) A nonrefundable fee of [\$2,000] must accompany an application for
23	change of control.
24	Source: President's Commission Act Section 8. Paragraphs (b) and (c) are new.
25	Reporter's Note
26	See the Reporter's Note accompanying Section 205.

1 **ARTICLE 4** LICENSING OF CURRENCY EXCHANGERS 2 3 SECTION 401. APPLICATION FOR LICENSE. (a) A person that is not an authorized delegate of a licensee under [Article] 4 5 2 or that is not licensed under [Article] 2 may not engage in the business of currency 6 exchange without first obtaining a license under this [article]. 7 (b) A person licensed under this [article] may not engage directly in money 8 transmission but the person may act as an authorized delegate of a person licensed 9 under [Article] 2. 10 (c) A person licensed under this [article] may also engage in the business of 11 check cashing without obtaining a separate license under [Article] 3. 12 (d) A person applying for a license under this [article] must do so in writing, 13 under oath, and in a form prescribed by the [superintendent]. The application must 14 state or contain: 15 (1) the legal name and residential and business addresses of the applicant, 16 if the applicant is an individual or, if the applicant is not an individual, the name of 17 each partner, executive officer, and director; 18 (2) the location of the principal office of the applicant; 19 (3) complete addresses of other locations in this State where the 20 applicant proposes to engage in currency exchange, including all limited stations and

21

mobile locations;

1	(4) a description of the source of moneys to be used for currency
2	exchange; and
3	(5) other information the [superintendent] reasonably requires with
4	respect to the applicant, but not more than the [superintendent] may require under
5	[Article] 2.
6	Reporter's Note
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	At the March 1999 drafting meeting, Observers noted that the Act should contain a different Article for the licensing of check cashers and currency exchangers. Although the provisions contained in Articles 3 and 4 are almost identical, the Drafting Committee thought that States should be presented the option to include less than all of the Articles in a money-services business licensing statute. Thus, each of the licensing parts of the Act is separable. As indicated in the Prefatory Note, at present, very few States have licensing requirements for currency exchangers. At the same time, the activity of currency exchange (exchanging larger amounts of one currency for smaller denominations in another, for example) has been identified by law enforcement officials as vulnerable to money laundering (as contrasted with check cashing). For a general discussion of the main differences between Article 2 and Articles 3 and 4 see the Reporter's Note to Section 201 (which also explains the rationale for separate licensing requirements for different types of money-services
21 22 23	businesses) and Section 301. The Note to Section 201 also discusses the reasons why certain types of information are requested from applicants during the application process.
24	SECTION 402. ISSUANCE OF LICENSE.
25	(a) Upon the filing of an application under this [article], the [superintendent]
26	shall investigate the applicant's financial condition and responsibility, financial and
27	business experience, character, and general fitness. The [superintendent] may
28	conduct an on-site investigation of the applicant, the reasonable cost of which by the

applicant must bear. The [superintendent] may issue a license to an applicant under

1	this [article] if the [superintendent] finds that all of the following conditions have
2	been fulfilled:
3	(1) the applicant has complied with Section 401;
4	(2) the competence, experience, character, and general fitness of the
5	executive officers, directors, and controlling persons indicate that it is in the interest
6	of the public to permit the applicant to engage in currency exchange; and
7	(3) the applicant has paid the requisite application and license fees.
8	(b) The [superintendent] shall approve or deny an application for an original
9	license within 120 days after a complete application is filed. The [superintendent]
10	for good cause may extend the period. The [superintendent] shall notify the
11	applicant of the date on which the application is determined to be complete. If the
12	application is not approved or denied within the period allowed for approval, the
13	application is deemed approved and the [superintendent] shall issue the license under
14	this [article] effective as of the first business day after expiration of the period.
15	(c) An applicant whose application is denied a license by the
16	[superintendent] under this [article] may appeal from the denial within 30 days after
17	receipt of the notice of the denial in a hearing before the [superintendent] pursuant
18	to the [administrative procedure act].
19 20	Source: Arizona Revised Statutes Section 6-1206(B); Tennessee Revised Code Section 45-7-210.
21	Reporter's Note
22	See the Reporter's Note accompanying Section 202.

SECTION 403. RENEWAL OF LICENSE.

(a) A licensee under this [article] must apply biennially for a renewal of its
license and pay a biennial renewal fee on the anniversary of the issuance of the
license or, if that date is not a business day, on the first business day after that date

- (b) A licensee under this [article] shall submit with the renewal fee a report, in a form prescribed by the [superintendent]. The [superintendent] shall send a copy of the form to each licensee under this [article] no later than [three months] immediately before the date for license renewal. The renewal report must state or contain:
- (1) a description of each material change in information submitted by the licensee in its original license application which has not been previously reported to the [superintendent] on any required report; and
- (2) a list of the locations in this State where the licensee or an authorized delegate of the licensee engages in currency exchange.
- (c) The [superintendent], in a record, shall notify a licensee under this [article] that has not filed a renewal report or paid its renewal fee by the renewal date, and has not been granted an extension of time to do so by the [superintendent], that its license has been suspended. The licensee has 30 days after receipt of the notice of suspension in which to file a renewal report and to pay the renewal fee plus \$100 for each day thereafter the renewal form and application are not received by the [superintendent].

2	Source: Model Act Regulating Money Transmitters Section 11 (with modifications).
3	Reporter's Note
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	See the Reporter's Note accompanying Section 204. The Drafting Committee decided to require check cashers and currency exchangers to renew their licenses biennially rather than annually. Because check cashers and currency exchangers pose no safety and soundness concerns, the superintendent does not have a need to examine renewal reports on an annual basis for these businesses. The superintendent, however, will have the authority to conduct an on-site examination if the check casher or currency exchanger engages in money-laundering activity or violates a provision of the Act.
12	SECTION 404. FEES.
13	(a) A nonrefundable application fee of [\$2,000] and a license fee of [\$2,000]
14	must accompany an application for a license under this [article]. The license fee
15	must be refunded if the application is denied.
16	(b) A biennial renewal fee of [\$2,000] must accompany a license renewal
17	report.
18	(c)A nonrefundable fee of [\$2,000] must accompany an application for
19	change of control.
20	Source: President's Commission Act Section 8. Paragraphs (b) and (c) are new.
21	Reporter's Note
22	See the Reporter's Note accompanying Section 205.

ARTICLE 5 1 2 **AUTHORIZED DELEGATES** 3 SECTION 501. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LICENSEES AND AUTHORIZED DELEGATES. 4 5 (a) A contract between a licensee and an authorized delegate must require 6 the authorized delegate to operate in full compliance with this [Act]. The licensee 7 shall furnish in a record to each authorized delegate policies and procedures 8 sufficient to permit compliance with this [Act]. 9 (b) An authorized delegate shall remit all money owing to the licensee in 10 accordance with the terms of the contract between the licensee and the delegate. 11 (c) Upon the suspension or revocation of a license or the failure of a 12 licensee to renew its license, the [superintendent] shall notify all authorized 13 delegates of the licensee whose names are on record with the [superintendent] of the 14 suspension, revocation, or failure to renew. On receipt of the notice, an authorized 15 delegate shall immediately cease to engage in the business as a delegate of the 16 licensee. 17 **Source:** President's Commission Act Section 10. 18 Reporter's Note 19 The sections included in Article 5 are meant to further delineate the nature of 20 the authorized delegate's relationship with the licensee and to further clarify the 21 delegate's responsibilities and obligations. Similarly, this section also sets forth 22 some of the general obligations that the licensee has with respect to providing the

delegate with a contract and making the delegate aware of relevant laws and rules.

1	SECTION 502. SCOPE OF AUTHORIZED DELEGATE'S ACTIVITY.
2	An authorized delegate may not intentionally engage in the business that is outside
3	the scope of activity permissible under the contract between the authorized delegate
4	and the licensee, except activity for which the authorized delegate is licensed under
5	[Article] 2, 3, or 4. An authorized delegate of a licensee holds in trust for the
6	benefit of the licensee all money net of fees received from money transmission.
7 8	Source: Model Act Regulating Money Transmitters Section 19 (with modifications).
9	Reporter's Note
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Similar to Section 501, Section 502 further provides that an authorized delegate is only authorized to perform those money services that it is authorized to perform pursuant to its contract with the licensee. To the extent that the delegate wishes to perform activities falling outside the scope of its contract, the delegate is required to obtain its own license under the Act. This section also imposes a trust for the benefit of the licensee for moneys received by the delegate from the sale of the licensee's products or services. The imposition of a trust is a safety and soundness measure designed to protect the funds that are paid by consumers to the delegate for the purchase of a money order or for transmission.
19	SECTION 503. UNAUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES. A person may not
20	engage in conduct as an authorized delegate of a person that is not licensed under
21	this [Act]. A person that engages in that conduct is engaging in the business to the
22	same extent as if the person were the principal.
23 24	Source: Arizona Money Transmitter Act Section 6-1218; President's Commission Act Section 10.
25	Reporter's Note
26 27	This section provides that an authorized delegate may only be a delegate for a licensee. Should the licensee lose its license, the delegate will be considered to act

- in its own capacity as if the delegate were a licensee itself. This section may trigger potential civil and criminal liability pursuant to Sections 805 and 806.

ARTICLE 6 1 2 **EXAMINATIONS; REPORTS AND OTHER RECORDS** 3 SECTION 601. AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT EXAMINATIONS. 4 (a) The [superintendent] may conduct an annual examination of a licensee 5 or of any of its authorized delegates upon 45 days' notice in a record to the licensee. 6 If the licensee or authorized delegate is engaging in an unsafe or unsound practice or 7 has violated or is violating this [Act] or a rule adopted or an order issued under this 8 [Act], the [superintendent] may examine the licensee or its authorized delegate 9 without having given notice. 10 (b) If the [superintendent] concludes that an on-site examination under 11 subsection (a) is necessary, the licensee shall pay all reasonably incurred costs of the 12 examination. If the [superintendent] determines, based on the licensee's financial 13 statements and previous conduct in this State, that an on-site examination is 14 unnecessary, the [superintendent] may waive the on-site examination. 15 **Source:** Model Act Regulating Money Transmitters Section 14 and Florida Money Transmitters' Code Section 560.118(1)(a). 16 17 Reporter's Note This section provides the superintendent with general authority to conduct 18 19 on-site supervisory exams of licensees and their authorized delegates. This 20 provision is essential to ensure the safety and soundness of licensees and enable the 21 superintendent to examine a licensee's books and records in the event that it is 22 suspected of money laundering or any other violation of the Act. Subsection (a) 23 permits the superintendent to examine a licensee or its delegates without advance 24 notice if the licensee is engaging in an unsafe or unsound practice or has violated the

Act. Previously, this section stated that the superintendent had to have a reason to

unsound practice. It was noted, however, that this is an ambiguous standard that

believe that the licensee or authorized delegate was engaging in an unsafe or

25

26

may hinder the superintendent's ability to examine licensees and delegates in a timely fashion (i.e., because licensee will be able to challenge the examination). Additionally, it was noted that superintendents have not abused this authority where it has been given to them by statute. Furthermore, some regulators have observed that resource constraints provide a natural check on abuse of examination authority.

Subsection (b) allows the superintendent to waive an annual on-site examination for licensees. It gives the superintendent flexibility in dealing with reputable licensees. For example, if a licensee has been licensed for several years, has maintained adequate financial resources, and has been cooperative with regulators, the superintendent may determine that annual examinations are not necessary. The waiver also conserves financial resources of the superintendent.

SECTION 602. JOINT EXAMINATIONS.

- (a) An on-site examination of books, accounts, documents, and other records listed in Section 605 may be conducted in conjunction with representatives of other state agencies or agencies of another State or of the federal government as determined by the [superintendent]. In lieu of an examination, the [superintendent] may accept the examination report of an agency of this State or of another State or of the federal government or a report prepared by an independent licensed or certified public accountant. A joint examination or an acceptance of an examination report is not a waiver of the [superintendent's] authority to conduct an examination as provided by law. A joint report or a report accepted under this subsection is an official report of the [superintendent] for all purposes.
- (b) Information obtained during an examination under this [Act] may be disclosed only as provided in Section 608.
- Source: Model Act Regulating Money Transmitters Section 14.

26 Reporter's Note

The use of joint examinations is an important feature of the Act that will reduce some of the increased costs that may be incurred as a result of licensing and regulation. Many States already engage in joint examinations of major moneyservices businesses or allow the submission of reports generated by another regulator in lieu of an on-site examination. This is another provision designed to conserve financial resources.

SECTION 603. REPORTS.

- (a) A licensee shall file with the [superintendent] within 45 days after the end of each fiscal quarter a current list of all authorized delegates, responsible individuals, and locations in this State. The licensee must state or include the name and street address of each location and authorized delegate.
- (b) A licensee shall file with the [superintendent] within one day after its occurrence a report of any of the following events:
- (1) the filing of a petition under the United States Bankruptcy Code for bankruptcy or reorganization by the licensee;
- (2) the commencement of a proceeding to revoke or suspend its license in a State or country in which the licensee engages in business or is licensed;
- (3) the cancellation, interruption, or nonrenewal of the licensee's bond, letter of credit; or other security;
- (4) an [indictment], prosecution, or conviction of the licensee or of an executive officer, director, or controlling person of a felony related to activities regulated under this [Act] or involving a violation of state or federal money laundering laws; or

1 (5) an [indictment], prosecution, or conviction of an authorized delegate 2 of a felony of which a licensee has knowledge related to activities regulated under 3 this [Act] or involving a violation of state or federal money laundering laws. 4 **Source:** President's Commission Act Section 13. 5 Reporter's Note 6 Reports are essential to the proper regulation of problem delegates or 7 licensees. Although on-site examinations are authorized, the reporting requirements 8 provide a cost efficient mechanism for superintendents and industry members alike. 9 Certain significant events must be reported immediately, including a money-10 laundering allegation against a delegate. The Drafting Committee, after consultation 11 with Observers, decided that quarterly reporting was only necessary with respect to 12 changes in authorized delegates. Furthermore, annual audited financial statements 13 are only required for Article 2 licensees (as this relates once again to the safety and 14 soundness of money transmitters and their financial solvency). All licensees are 15 required to file renewal reports pursuant to Articles 2, 3 and 4. 16 SECTION 604. CHANGE OF CONTROL. 17 (a) A person or group of persons that proposes to acquire control shall give 18 written notice to the [superintendent] and request approval of the acquisition and 19 also submit a nonrefundable fee of [\$2,000]. 20 (b) A licensee whose voting securities or voting interests are traded on an 21 organized securities exchange shall give the [superintendent] written notice of a 22 proposed change of control within [15] days after learning of the proposed change 23 of control.

an organized securities exchange shall give the [superintendent] written notice of a

(c) A licensee whose voting securities or voting interests are not traded on

24

proposed change of control at least 30 days before the date of the proposed change of control.

- (d) After review of the request for approval under subsection (a), the [superintendent] may require the licensee to provide additional information concerning the proposed controlling person or key shareholder of the licensee or controlling person. The additional information must be limited to the same type required of the licensee or controlling person as part of its original license or renewal application.
- (e) The [superintendent] shall approve a request for approval under subsection (a) if, after investigation, the [superintendent] determines that the person or group of persons requesting approval has the competence, experience, character, and general fitness to operate the licensee or controlling person in a lawful and proper manner and that the interests of the public will not be jeopardized by the change of control.
 - (f) This section does not apply to the following persons or transactions:
- (1) a registered dealer that acts as an underwriter or member of a selling group in a public offering of the voting securities or voting interests of a licensee or controlling person of a licensee;
- (2) a person that acts as a proxy for the sole purpose of voting at a designated meeting of the security holders or holders of voting interests of a licensee or controlling person of a licensee;

1	(3) a person that acquires control of a licensee or controlling person of a
2	licensee by devise or descent;
3	(4) a person that acquires control as a personal representative, custodian,
4	guardian, conservator, or trustee, or as an officer appointed by a court of competent
5	jurisdiction or by operation of law;
6	(5) a pledgee of a voting security or voting interest of a licensee or
7	controlling person that does not have the right, as pledgee to vote the security or
8	interest; or
9	(6) a person or transaction that the [superintendent] by rule or order
10	exempts in the public interest.
11	(g) Before filing a request for approval to acquire control, a person may
12	request in writing a determination from the [superintendent] as to whether the
13	person would be considered a controlling person upon consummation of a proposed
14	transaction. If the [superintendent] determines that the person would not be a
15	controlling person, the [superintendent] shall enter an order to that effect and the
16	proposed person and transaction is not subject to the requirements of subsections
17	(a) through (e).
18	Source: Florida Money Transmitters' Code Section 560.127 (with modifications).
19	Reporter's Note
20 21 22 23 24 25	Section 604 requires all persons who wish to acquire a controlling interest in a licensee (as broadly defined in Section 102) to apply for approval from the superintendent prior to obtaining control. The Drafting Committee determined that prior notification was essential for both safety and soundness reasons, as well as for the superintendent to properly assess the background of the persons who wish to acquire control (in order to evaluate whether such persons pose any risks in terms of

potential illegal activity). The superintendent retains discretion to request additional information from an applicant (e.g., personal financial information) that might assist the superintendent in evaluating the application.

The Committee and Observers debated the issue of whether the superintendent should require applicants to provide personal financial information under Section 604 about controlling persons, such as executive officers of the acquiring company. It was noted, however, that it should not be a mandatory requirement because many executive officers at larger publicly traded companies would object to such a requirement as an unnecessary invasion of privacy, since the financial well-being of the company would bear no connection to the officer's personal wealth. The superintendent retains the discretion to request such information for smaller entities where the superintendent needs more information to make an assessment of net worth and financial capability (i.e., individual proprietors who wish to acquire control of a money-services business).

SECTION 605. BOOKS, ACCOUNTS, DOCUMENTS, AND OTHER RECORDS.

- (a) A licensee shall maintain books, accounts, documents, and other records necessary to determine the licensee's compliance with this [Act]. At a minimum, a licensee shall maintain the following for three years:
 - (1) a record of each payment instrument sold;
- 21 (2) a record of each payment instrument cashed;
- 22 (3) a general ledger posted at least monthly containing all asset, liability, 23 capital, income, and expense accounts;
- 24 (4) bank statements and bank reconciliation records;
- 25 (5) records of outstanding payment instruments;
- 26 (6) records of each payment instrument paid within the three-year period;

1	(7) a list of the last known names and addresses of all of the licensee's
2	authorized delegates; and
3	(8) any other books, accounts, documents, and other records that may be
4	prescribed by the [superintendent] by rule.
5	(b) The items specified in subsection (a) may be maintained in paper,
6	photographic, electronic, or similar medium.
7	(c) Books, accounts, documents, and other records may be maintained
8	outside of this State if they are made accessible to the [superintendent] on seven
9	days' notice in a record.
10 11	Source: Model Act Regulating Money Transmitters Section 15 (with modifications).
12	Reporter's Note
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	This section combines the more general reporting provisions of the Florida Money Transmitters' Code Section 560.310 and the more detailed reporting requirements contained in Section 15 of the Model Act Regulating Money Transmitters. The Drafting Committee determined that the statutory prescription for recordkeeping should be a minimum and that additional books and records might be required by rule, if needed. Therefore, the current Section 605 is an amalgamation of two previous provisions. The reporting requirements contained in Section 605 pertain mainly to money transmitters (with respect to the sale of payment instruments). Most check cashing and currency exchange law simply states that the licensee must maintain books and records as required by rule. Both Committee members and Observers were in agreement with a three-year record retention period. The record retention period also reflects existing state practice.
25	SECTION 606. MONEY LAUNDERING REPORTS.
26	(a) A licensee shall file with the [attorney general] all reports required by
27	federal currency reporting, record keeping, and suspicious transaction reporting

requirements as set forth in 31 U.S.C Section 5311, 31 C.F.R. Part 103, and other federal and state laws pertaining to money laundering.

(b) The timely filing of a complete and accurate report required under

subsection (a) with the appropriate federal agency in compliance with the reporting requirements of subsection (a), unless the [superintendent] notifies the licensee that the [attorney general] has notified the [superintendent] that reports of this type are not being regularly and comprehensively transmitted by the federal agency to the [attorney general].

Source: Abbreviated version of Florida Money Transmitter Code Section 560.128 and President's Commission Model Financial Transaction Reporting Act Section 5 (Reports to the Attorney General).

12 Reporter's Note

Money-services businesses are required to file relevant reports required under federal or state law with respect to suspected money laundering. This provision is meant to achieve two purposes. First, it requires licensees and their authorized delegates to comply with federal and state anti-money-laundering reporting requirements. By making this requirement explicit in a state statute, money-services businesses will be put on notice of their reporting obligations. Second, the superintendent has a basis for taking enforcement actions against noncompliant licensees and delegates.

This section also permits licensees to comply with state reporting requirements by filing the appropriate federal anti-money-laundering reports, and thereby avoid duplicative filing. For most jurisdictions, federal data and reports are available through FinCEN's Gateway computer system. According to information the Drafting Committee received from the National Association of Attorneys General, seven States receive such data on a computer tape from FinCEN under a memorandum of understanding.

Approximately ten States require that a money-services business comply with all federal and state money-laundering and currency transaction reporting laws. State laws typically replicate the federal law and require that cash transactions in excess of \$10,000 be reported to a state authority, as well as to the U.S. Treasury. Most of the state reporting law does not specifically address money-services

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	States, including Colorado, Connecticut, Idaho, Indiana and Oklahoma, require financial institutions to file suspicious activity reports concurrently with Federal and state authorities. Arizona has its own suspicious activity form for financial institutions. Suspected money-laundering activities are reported to Arizona's Attorney General on a one-page form. Georgia provides that each financial institution must keep a record of currency transactions in excess of \$10,000 and that those reports must be filed with the State within 15 days of the transaction.
9	ELECTRONIC FILING OF RECORDS. The [superintendent], by rule, may
10	order that an application, report, or record that is required to be filed pursuant to
11	this [Act] be filed electronically.
12 13	Source: Proposed addition to Florida Money Transmitters' Code (new Section 560.120).
14	Reporter's Note
15 16 17 18 19 20	This provision is included in a series of proposed amendments to the Florida Money Transmitters' Code that have been drafted by the Florida State Department of Banking. These amendments have not been put before the Florida Legislature. At the October 1998 drafting meeting, there was a general sentiment that there needed to be some provision for the submission of records electronically, as well as in writing.
21	SECTION 608. CONFIDENTIALITY OF RECORDS.
22	(a) Except as otherwise provided in this [Act], the records of the
23	[superintendent] relating to licensees and authorized delegates are not public records
24	and are not open to inspection by the public. Neither the [superintendent], except as
25	otherwise provided in subsections (b) through (d), nor an employee of the
26	[superintendent] may disclose information obtained in the discharge of official duties
27	to a person not employed by the [name of appropriate state department or
28	regulatory agencyl.

1	(b) The [superintendent] may disclose confidential information pertaining to
2	a licensee and authorized delegate to:
3	(1) the attorney general of this State;
4	(2) a representative of a federal or state agency or a foreign country
5	having regulatory or supervisory authority over the activities of the licensee and
6	authorized delegate if the representative is permitted to and, upon request of the
7	[superintendent], discloses similar information respecting licensees and authorized
8	delegates under its regulation or supervision and who avers in writing under oath
9	that the representative will maintain the confidentiality of the information; and
10	(3) to a federal, state, or [county] grand jury in response to a lawful
11	subpoena.
12	(c) The [superintendent] may:
13	(1) disclose the fact of a licensee's filing of an application with the
14	[superintendent] under this [Act], give notice of a hearing, if any, regarding an
15	application, and announce the action taken on the application;
16	(2) disclose a final decision in connection with proceedings for the
17	suspension or revocation of a license issued under this [Act]; and
18	(3) for general statistical information, prepare and circulate a report
19	reflecting the assets and liabilities of licensees and authorized delegates, including
20	other information considered pertinent to the purpose of the report.
21	(d) This section does not preclude the disclosure of information admissible
22	in evidence in a civil or criminal action, suit, or proceeding brought by or at the

- 1 request of the [superintendent] to enforce or prosecute a violation of this [Act] or a
- 2 rule adopted or an order issued under this [Act].
- 3 **Source:** President's Commission Act Section 24 (with modifications).

ARTICLE 7 1 PERMISSIBLE INVESTMENTS 2 3 SECTION 701. MAINTENANCE OF PERMISSIBLE INVESTMENTS. 4 (a) A licensee shall maintain at all times permissible investments that have a 5 market value computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles 6 of not less than the aggregate amount of all of its outstanding payment instruments 7 issued or sold and money transmitted by the licensee or its authorized delegates. 8 (b) The [superintendent], with respect to any licensees, may limit the extent 9 to which a class of permissible investments may be considered a permissible 10 investment, except for money and certificates of deposit. The [superintendent] by 11 rule may prescribe or by order allow other types of investments that the 12 [superintendent] determines to have a safety substantially equivalent to other 13 permissible investments. 14 (c) Permissible investments, even if commingled with other assets of the 15 licensee, are held in trust for the benefit of the purchasers and holders of the 16 licensee's outstanding payment instruments in the event of bankruptcy of the 17 licensee. 18 Reporter's Note 19 Money transmitters are required to maintain a certain level of investments that are equal to the value of their outstanding obligations as a means of protecting 20 individual consumers. This is another safety and soundness requirement designed to 21 22 safeguard funds received from consumers.

SECTION 702. TYPES OF PERMISSIBLE INVESTMENTS.

23

(a) Except to the extent otherwise limited by the [superintendent] pursuant
to Section 701, the following investments are permissible under Section 701:

- (1) cash, a certificate of deposit, or other debt obligation of an insured depository institution, as defined in Section 3 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act [12 U.S.C. Section 1813];
- (2) a banker's acceptance or bill of exchange that is eligible for purchase by member banks of the Federal Reserve System;
- (3) an investment bearing a rating of one of the three highest grades as defined by a nationally recognized organization that rates securities;
- (4) an investment security that is an obligation of the United States or a department, agency, or instrumentality thereof; an investment in an obligation that is guaranteed fully as to principal and interest by the United States or an investment in an obligation of a State or a governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality thereof;
- (5) receivables that are due to a licensee from its authorized delegates pursuant to contracts which are not past due or doubtful of collection if the aggregate amount of investments in receivables under this paragraph does not exceed 20 percent of the total permissible investments of a licensee and a licensee does not have at one time investments in receivables under this paragraph in any one person aggregating more than 10 percent of the licensee's total permissible investments; and

(6) a share or a certificate issued by an open-end management investment company that is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Investment Company Act of 1940 [15 U.S.C. Sections 80a-1 et. seq.], and the portfolio of which is restricted by the management company's investment policy to investments specified in paragraphs (1) through (4).

- (b) The following investments are permissible under Section 701, but only to the extent specified:
- (1) an interest-bearing bill, note, bond, or debenture of a person whose shares are traded on a national securities exchange or on a national over-the-counter- market, if the aggregate investments under this paragraph do not exceed 20 percent of the total permissible investments of a licensee and a licensee does not at one time have investments under this paragraph in any one person aggregating more than 10 percent of the licensee's total permissible investments;
- (2) a share of a person traded on a national securities exchange or a national over-the-counter-market or a share or a certificate issued by an open-end management investment company that is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Investment Company Act of 1940, and the portfolio of which is restricted by the management company's investment policy to shares of a person traded on a national securities exchange or a national over-the-counter-market, if the aggregate investments under this paragraph does not exceed 20 percent of the total permissible investments of a licensee and a licensee do not at

1	one time have investments under this paragraph in any one person aggregating more
2	than 10 percent of the licensee's total permissible investments;
3	(3) a demand borrowing agreement made to a corporation or a
4	subsidiary of a corporation whose securities are traded on a national securities
5	exchange if the aggregate of the amount of principal and interest outstanding under
6	demand borrowing agreements under this paragraph does not exceed 20 percent of
7	the total permissible investments of a licensee and a licensee does not at one time
8	have principal and interest outstanding under demand borrowing agreements under
9	this paragraph with any one person aggregating more than 10 percent of the
10	licensee's total permissible investments; and
11	(4) any other investment the [superintendent] determines to be
12	permissible, to the extent specified by the [superintendent].
13	(c) The aggregate investments under subsection (b) may not exceed 50
14	percent of the total permissible investments of a licensee calculated in accordance
15	with Section 701.
16 17 18	Source: This is a new provision that works with some of the categories of permissible investments contained in the Model Act Regulating Money Transmitters Section 3.
19	Reporter's Note
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	At the October 1998 drafting meeting, the Drafting Committee expressed some concern about the types of permissible investments that have been included in model legislation, as well as in existing state money-transmission statutes. As stated in the Prefatory Note, money transmitters have to maintain investments that are equal to the aggregate face amount of all their outstanding funds transfers and payment instrument obligations (on a dollar for dollar basis). The Drafting Committee observed that certain investments appeared more risky than others –

especially in the absence of any limitations or caps on percentage of the licensee's portfolio invested in any of these items.

1 2

The items that the Committee identified as potentially problematic were:

- shares in a money-market mutual fund, interest-bearing bills or notes or bonds, debentures or stock traded on any national securities exchange or on a national over-the-counter-market, or mutual funds primarily composed of one or more investments as described in this section;
- a demand borrowing agreement made to a corporation or a subsidiary of a corporation whose capital stock is listed on a national exchange; and
- receivables that are due to a licensee from its authorized delegates pursuant to a contract which are not past due or doubtful of collection.

The Drafting Committee thought that these types of investments posed higher levels of risk to the licensee and ultimately to the public than was appropriate for money-services businesses. Industry Observers noted, however, that such investments were commonly included in state law. In fact, the MTRA outline lists such investments as permissible, though it states that loans should not exceed 10 percent of the net worth of a licensee, and the amount of such loans as a total percentage of permissible investments may be subject to legislation.

The current list of permissible investments is an attempt to balance the concerns of regulators for safety and soundness and of industry participants who have concerns about their ability to properly conduct business. The categories of investments listed in Section 702(b) permit the type of investments that had previously raised concerns. The main difference in the new Section 702(b) and current practice is that the aggregate cap on such investments is set at 20 percent of the licensee's portfolio. Additionally, the licensee may not invest in more than 10 percent of any one person with respect to these same investment categories. This balances the need to allow licensees to have flexible and diverse options for investment, but also limits the aggregate amount that a licensee can invest in these riskier categories.

Receivables, in particular, was one category that received considerable attention by members of the Committee. Industry Observers, however, explained that there was a practical reason for including receivables as a category of permissible investments. They noted that the practice of including receivables as permissible investments had become a necessity due to the use of automated moneyorder dispensers. Typically, money orders are sold at sales outlets through automated dispensers. The automated dispenser immediately records the sale of the

1 money order and notifies the money transmitter. This real-time "notification" 2 immediately triggers the obligation of a money transmitter to retain permissible investments for the money order sold on a dollar for dollar basis. However, while 3 4 the obligation to maintain investments is triggered at the time of sale, there is a lag of time until the sales outlet actually remits funds to the money transmitter. For the 5 time period between sale and remittance of the funds that the sales outlet has 6 received, the money transmitter needs to treat those "receivables" as part of its 7 8 permissible investment portfolio. Previously, authorized delegates had notified a 9 money transmitter of the number of money orders sold at the same time that it remitted a check for the funds received. 10

ARTICLE 8 1 2 **ENFORCEMENT** 3 SECTION 801. ORDERS TO CEASE AND DESIST; POWERS OF SUSPENSION AND REVOCATION. 4 5 (a) After notice and hearing, the [superintendent] may issue an order to 6 cease and desist, suspend or revoke a license, or order a licensee to revoke the 7 designation of an authorized delegate if: 8 (1) the licensee does not comply with this [Act] or a rule adopted or an 9 order issued under this [Act]; 10 (2) the licensee or authorized delegate of the licensee engages in fraud, 11 misrepresentation, deceit, or gross negligence; 12 (3) an authorized delegate violates the Bank Secrecy Act, a state or 13 federal anti-money-laundering statute, or a rule adopted or an order issued under 14 this [Act] as a result of the licensee's willful failure to supervise the authorized 15 delegate or as a result of the willful misconduct or willful blindness of the licensee; 16 (4) the licensee is insolvent or suspends payment of its obligations, or 17 makes an assignment for the benefit of its creditors; 18 (5) the licensee does not remove an authorized delegate after the [superintendent] issues and serves upon the licensee a final order setting forth a 19 20 finding that the authorized delegate has violated this [Act]; 21 (6) the competence, experience, character, or general fitness of the 22 licensee or authorized delegate or a controlling person of the licensee or authorized

- 1 delegate indicates that it is not in the public interest to permit the person to engage 2 in the money-services business; or 3 (7) the licensee engages in an unsafe or unsound practice. 4 (b) In determining whether a person is engaging in an unsafe or unsound 5 practice, the [superintendent] may consider the size and condition of the money 6 transmitter, the magnitude of the loss, the gravity of the violation of this [Act], and 7 the previous conduct of the person involved. 8 **Source:** Florida Money Transmitters' Code Section 560.11; President's 9 Commission Act Sections 11 and 12. 10 Reporter's Note 11 Section 801 sets forth the circumstances pursuant to which the 12 superintendent may take disciplinary actions against a licensee. This is an important mechanism for the prevention of money laundering. The issuance of a cease and 13 14 desist order and suspension and revocation of a license may only occur after a 15 hearing in accordance with the State's administrative procedure act. Licensee 16 violation of state money-laundering prohibitions is specified on the list. Section 801 17 also specifics the circumstances under which the superintendent may take action 18 against the licensee for the authorized delegate's conduct. Pursuant to Section 19 801(a)(3), the superintendent is authorized to take action against a licensee for a 20 delegate's violations of money-laundering prohibitions or any act done "as a result 21 of a course of a willful failure to supervise or of the willful misconduct or willful blindness of the licensee." A willful misconduct standard has been chosen because a 22 23 strict liability standard may result in consequences disproportionate to the social 24 harm involved from the delegate's activity. 25 Some States provide more detailed standards for when a cease and desist order becomes effective. The Texas Currency Exchange Transportation and 26 Transmission provisions of the Texas Finance Code provide that a cease and desist 27 28 order takes effect on issuance if the Banking Commissioner finds a threat of 29 immediate and irreparable harm to the license holder or the public. If no immediate
 - of a license or for revocation, suspension or restriction of a previously granted license. Florida, for example, lists a material misstatement of fact in an initial or renewal application, the loss of license in another jurisdiction (due to fraud or

30

31

32

33

34

or irreparable harm is found, the order is not effective before 10 days after the order

is received. Other state laws enumerate separate and specific grounds for the denial

1 2 3	dishonest dealing) and criminal convictions involving fraud or dishonest dealing as grounds for license denial, suspension or non-renewal. See Florida Money Transmitters' Code Section 560.114(2)(a)-(c).
4	SECTION 802. AUTHORIZED DELEGATES; ORDERS TO CEASE
5	AND DESIST.
6	(a) After notice and hearing, the [superintendent] may issue an order to
7	cease and desist against a licensee or its authorized delegate, including an order
8	requiring the licensee to cease engaging in the business through an authorized
9	delegate and to take appropriate affirmative action, if the [superintendent] finds that:
10	(1) the authorized delegate is violating this [Act] or a rule adopted or an
11	order issued under this [Act];
12	(2) the authorized delegate does not cooperate with an examination or
13	investigation by the [superintendent];
14	(3) the competence, experience, character, or general fitness of the
15	authorized delegate or a controlling person of the authorized delegate indicates that
16	it is not in the public interest to permit the person to engage in the money-services
17	business;
18	(4) the financial condition of the authorized delegate jeopardizes the
19	interests of the public in the conduct of the money-services business;
20	(5) the authorized delegate is engaging in an unsafe or unsound practice;
21	(6) the authorized delegate commits a felony.

(b) In determining whether a person is engaging in an unsafe or unsound practice, the [superintendent] may consider the size and condition of the money transmitter, the magnitude of the loss, the gravity of the violation of this [Act], and the previous conduct of the person involved.

Source: President's Commission Act Section 10 (with modifications).

Reporter's Note

Section 802 complements Section 801. Section 802 sets forth the circumstances pursuant to which the superintendent may take direct action against the authorized delegate. This is another important enforcement and regulatory tool for the prevention of money laundering. Because authorized delegates may be potential sites for money-laundering activity (due to a lesser degree of supervision and oversight and also the large number of delegates that may exist for a given licensee), the superintendent needs to have authority to take action against the delegate directly.

SECTION 803. TEMPORARY ORDERS TO CEASE AND DESIST.

- (a) If the [superintendent] determines that a violation of this [Act] or of a rule adopted or an order issued under this [Act] by a licensee or authorized delegate is likely to cause immediate and irreparable harm to the licensee, its customers, or the public as a result of the violation, or cause insolvency or significant dissipation of assets of the licensee, the [superintendent] may issue a temporary order requiring the licensee or authorized delegate to cease and desist from the violation. The order becomes effective upon service upon the licensee or authorized delegate.
- (b) A temporary order remains effective and enforceable pending the completion of an administrative proceeding pursuant to Section 801 or 802.

1	(c) Within 10 days after a licensee or an authorized delegate is served with a
2	temporary order to cease and desist, the licensee or authorized delegate may petition
3	the [appropriate court], for an injunction setting aside, limiting, or suspending the
4	enforcement, operation, or effectiveness of the temporary order pending the
5	completion of an administrative proceeding pursuant to Section 801 or 802.
6 7	Source: This new provision is loosely based on Section 8(c) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, 12 U.S.C. Section 1818(c).
8	Reporter's Note
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	There was some concern expressed at the October 1998 meeting that the Act did not provide the superintendent with sufficient authority to deal with exigent situations through the use of expedited procedures. Section 803 provides the superintendent with limited authority to issue temporary orders to cease and desist without prior notice and hearing procedures. The superintendent, however, must have a reasonable belief that the licensee or its authorized delegate is engaging in an unsafe or unsound activity or is violating a provision of the Act, before invoking temporary powers.
17	SECTION 804. CONSENT ORDERS. The [superintendent] may enter into a
18	consent order at any time with a person to resolve a matter arising under this [Act].
19	A consent order must be signed by the person that it is issued to or by the person's
20	authorized representative, and must indicate agreement with the terms contained in
21	the order. A consent order need not constitute an admission by a person that this
22	[Act] or a rule adopted or an order issued under this [Act] has been violated.
23	Source: Model Act Regulating Money Transmitters Section 24.
24	Reporter's Note
25 26 27	Section 804 gives the superintendent the ability to enter into a negotiated settlement with a money-services business with respect to alleged violations of the Act and potential disciplinary proceedings. The use of consent orders provides the

superintendent with a flexible means of achieving enforcement goals while minimizing the administrative and fiscal burden of lengthy administrative proceedings and hearings.

SECTION 805. CIVIL PENALTIES.

1 2

- (a) A person that intentionally violates this [Act] or a rule adopted or an order issued under this [Act] may be assessed a civil penalty by [the superintendent] in an amount equal to [\$1,000] per day plus the State's costs and expenses for the investigation and prosecution of the matter, including reasonable attorney's fees.
- (b) The [superintendent] may maintain an action in the [name of appropriate court or adjudicatory body] in the [county] in which a violation of this [Act] or of a rule adopted or an order issued under this [Act] is alleged to have occurred or in any other [county] in which venue is permitted under [reference to this State's venue statutes and rules] in the same manner as in other civil actions.

Source: Florida Money Transmitters' Code Section 560.117; President's Commission Act Section 23.

Reporter's Note

In addition to the ability to take disciplinary action against a money-services business or its delegates for violations of the Act, civil penalties provide another enforcement mechanisms aimed at deterring money laundering. As discussed at the first meeting of the Drafting Committee, civil penalties are preferred enforcement mechanisms due to the commercial nature of the Act. The current Section 805 was the second of two alternatives included in the February 1998 draft. The first alternative capped the maximum civil penalty at \$100 per day per violation. The same provision also allowed licensees an opportunity to cure their violations. The Drafting Committee decided that such a "cure" provision eliminated much of the effectiveness of the civil money penalty provision. The second alternative, which was retained in the Act, has been modified. Previously, it included a reference to a fine equal to the gross business engaged in connection with the violation. The Drafting Committee and Observers alike considered this too imprecise a formula. Instead, a civil money penalty of \$1,000 per day is included. Additionally, the Committee eliminated former Section 805(b), which included a separate fine of

\$1,000 per day for engaging in a money-services business without a license. It was decided that this was, by definition, a violation of the Act and therefore did not need to be the subject of a separate provision.

SECTION 806. CRIMINAL PENALTIES.

(a) A person that intentionally makes a false statement, misrepresentation.

- (a) A person that intentionally makes a false statement, misrepresentation, or false certification in an application, financial statement, book, document, account, customer receipt, report, or other record filed or required to be maintained under this [Act] or that intentionally makes a false entry or omits a material entry in such a record is guilty of a [reference to state classification] felony.
- (b) A person that refuses to permit a lawful examination or investigation by the [superintendent] is guilty of a [reference to state classification] felony.
- (c) A person that intentionally engages in any conduct for which a license is required under this [Act] without being licensed under this [Act] is guilty of a [reference to state classification] felony.
- **Source:** President's Commission Act Section 22. Subsection (e) was added from the Maine Act to Regulate Money Transmitters and Amend Consumer Credit Laws, 32 MRSA Section 6124(3).

18 Reporter's Note

General criminal penalties for all violations are typical of regulatory codes. False statements and other misrepresentations are at the core of the regulatory process and therefore are listed separately. Although the Drafting Committee expressed some concern about the inclusion of criminal penalties in a civil licensing statute, Observers who represented law enforcement emphasized the need for criminal penalties in connection with serious violations of the Act. The Committee supports the inclusion of those provisions in Section 806 because they relate to very serious, specific and tangible violations of the Act.

1	ARTICLE 9
2	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES
2	CECIPION 001 ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES AND 1 1114 A
3	SECTION 901. ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES. All administrative
4	proceedings under this [Act] must be conducted in accordance with [the state
5	administrative procedure act].
6 7	Source: Florida Money Transmitters' Code Section 560.108(2) (with modifications).
8	Reporter's Note
9 10 11	The Drafting Committee noted that the Act should generally conform to the Model State Administrative Procedure Act. MTRA members also expressed their position that the Act should conform to state administrative procedure laws.
12	SECTION 902. HEARINGS.
13	(a) Except as otherwise provided in Sections 204(c), 303(c), 403(c), and
14	803, the [superintendent] may not suspend or revoke a license, issue an order to
15	cease and desist, revoke the designation of an authorized delegate, or assess a civil
16	penalty without notice and a hearing. The [superintendent] shall also hold a hearing
17	when requested to do so by an applicant whose application for a license is denied.
18	(b) The [superintendent], in a record, shall give a licensee or an applicant at
19	least [10] days' notice of the time and place of a hearing, addressed to the licensee
20	or applicant at its last known address.
21	Source: President's Commission Act Section 12 (with modifications).
22	Reporter's Note
23 24 25	Except for the issuance of temporary orders pursuant to Section 803, the superintendent is required to provide notice and have a hearing before taking any disciplinary or enforcement actions against a licensee or its authorized delegates.

- 1 The President's Commission Act only refers to suspension, revocation and denial of
- licenses. Section 802 has been also been extended further to include cease and
- desist authority and the ability to assess civil penalties.

1	ARTICLE 10
2	MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS
3	SECTION 1001. APPOINTMENT OF [SUPERINTENDENT] AS AGENT
4	FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS.
5	(a) A licensee or a person that engages in the business without being
6	licensed under this [Act] is deemed to have:
7	(1) consented to the jurisdiction of the courts of this State for all actions,
8	suits, and proceedings arising under this [Act]; and
9	(2) appointed the [superintendent] as its lawful agent for the purpose of
10	accepting service of process in an action, suit, or proceeding arising under this
11	[Act].
12	(b) Within [three] business days after service of process upon the
13	[superintendent], the [superintendent] shall send by certified mail copies of all lawful
14	process accepted by the [superintendent] as a person's agent to the person at its last
15	known address. Service of process is complete [three] business days after the
16	[superintendent] deposits the copies of the process in the United States mail.
17	SECTION 1002. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND
18	CONSTRUCTION. In applying and construing this Uniform Act, consideration
19	must be given to the need to promote uniformity of the law with respect to its
20	subject matter among States that enact it.

1	SECTION 1003. SEVERABILITY CLAUSE. If any provision of this [Act]
2	or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does
3	not affect other provisions or applications of this [Act] which can be given effect
4	without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this
5	[Act] are severable.

- **SECTION 1004. EFFECTIVE DATE.** This [Act] takes effect on
- 7 SECTION 1005. SAVINGS AND TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS.