

D R A F T  
FOR DISCUSSION ONLY

**Covenants Not to Compete Act**  
**[Tentative new name: Noncompete Agreement Act]**

---

Uniform Law Commission

---

April 28, 2021 Drafting Committee Video Conference



Copyright © 2021  
National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws

---

*This draft, including the proposed statutory language and any comments or reporter's notes, has not been reviewed or approved by the Uniform Law Commission or the drafting committee. It does not necessarily reflect the views of the Uniform Law Commission, its commissioners, the drafting committee, or the committee's members or reporter.*

April 23, 2021

## Covenants Not to Compete Act

The committee appointed by and representing the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in preparing this act consists of the following individuals:

|                    |                                   |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Richard T. Cassidy | Vermont, <i>Co-Chair</i>          |
| H. Clayton Walker  | South Carolina, <i>Vice-Chair</i> |
| Vincent P. Cardi   | West Virginia                     |
| Paul W. Chaiken    | Maine                             |
| Anne E. Hartnett   | Delaware                          |
| Joanne B. Huelsman | Wisconsin                         |
| Peter F. Langrock  | Vermont                           |
| Gene N. Lebrun     | South Dakota                      |
| David C. McBride   | Delaware                          |
| Mark H. Ramsey     | Oklahoma                          |
| Kenneth M. Rosen   | Alabama                           |
| Keith A Rowley     | Nevada                            |
| Justin L. Vigdor   | New York                          |
| Steven L. Willborn | Nebraska                          |
| Joan Zeldon        | District of Columbia              |
| William W. Barrett | Indiana, <i>Division Chair</i>    |
| Carl H. Lisman     | Vermont, <i>President</i>         |

### Other Participants

|                   |  |
|-------------------|--|
| Stewart J. Schwab | New York, <i>Reporter</i>                              |
| Stephen Y. Chow   | Massachusetts, <i>American Bar Association Advisor</i> |
| Joanne M. Pepperl | Nebraska, <i>Style Liaison</i>                         |
| Tim Schnabel      | Illinois, <i>Executive Director</i>                    |

Copies of this act may be obtained from:

Uniform Law Commission  
111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010  
Chicago, Illinois 60602  
(312) 450-6600  
[www.uniformlaws.org](http://www.uniformlaws.org)

# Covenants Not to Compete Act

## Table of Contents

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Section 1. Title.....  | 1  |
| Section 2. Definitions.....  | 1  |
| Section 3. Scope.....  | 5  |
| Section 4. Notice Requirements.....  | 5  |
| Section 5. Worker Not Subject to Restrictive Employment Agreement.....                 | 6  |
| Section 6. Requirements for Noncompete Agreement.....                                  | 8  |
| Section 7. Requirements for Less Restrictive Agreement .....                           | 9  |
| Section 8. Waivable and Nonwaivable Provision.....                                     | 10 |
| Section 9. Enforcement and Remedy.....   | 10 |
| Section 10. Healthcare Provider.....   | 11 |
| Section 11. Choice of Law and Forum .....  | 12 |
| Section 12. Uniformity of Application and Construction .....                           | 12 |
| Section 13. Relation to Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act..... | 12 |
| Section 14. Saving Provision .....   | 13 |
| Section 15. Severability .....   | 13 |
| Section 16. Repeals; Conforming Amendments .....                                       | 13 |
| Section 17. Effective Date .....   | 13 |

1 **Covenants Not to Compete Act**

2 **Section 1. Title**

3 This [act] may be cited as the Covenants Not to Compete Act. [Tentative new name:  
4 Noncompete Agreement Act.]

5 **Section 2. Definitions**

6 In this [act]:

7 (1) “Apprentice” means an individual who is in an apprenticeship program  
8 registrable under [state or] federal law to learn a skilled occupation.

9 (2) “Confidentiality agreement” means an agreement that expressly prohibits the  
10 worker from disclosing or using information, and is not entered into as a condition of settlement  
11 or other resolution of an employment dispute.

12 (3) “Electronic” means relating to technology having electrical, digital, magnetic,  
13 wireless, optical, electromagnetic, or similar capabilities.

14 (4) “Employer” means an individual or entity who hires or contracts with a  
15 worker.

16 (5) “Entity”:

17 (A) means:

18 (i) a business corporation;

19 (ii) a nonprofit corporation;

20 (iii) a general partnership, including a limited liability partnership;

21 (iv) a limited partnership, including a limited liability limited

22 partnership;

23 (v) a limited liability company;

1 [(vi) a general cooperative associate;]  
2 (vii) a limited cooperative association;  
3 (viii) an unincorporated nonprofit association;  
4 (ix) a statutory trust, a business trust, or common-law business-  
5 trust; or  
6 (x) any other person that has:  
7 (I) a legal existence separate from any interest holder of  
8 that person; or  
9 (II) the power to acquire an interest in real property in its  
10 own name; and  
11 (B) does not include:  
12 (i) an individual;  
13 (ii) a trust with a predominately donative purpose or a charitable  
14 trust;  
15 (iii) an association or relationship that is not listed in subparagraph  
16 (A) and is not a partnership under the rules stated in Section 3-202(c) or a similar provision of  
17 the law of another jurisdiction;  
18 (iv) a decedent's estate; or  
19 (v) a government or governmental subdivision, agency, or  
20 instrumentality.  
21 (6) "Forfeiture-for-competition agreement" means an agreement that by its terms  
22 or its manner of enforcement imposes adverse financial consequences on a worker for working  
23 elsewhere.

1 (7) “Intern” means an individual who performs uncompensated service to earn  
2 credit awarded by an educational institution, learn a trade or occupation, or gain work  
3 experience.

4 (8) “Less restrictive agreement” means an agreement that limits but does not  
5 expressly prohibit, except for a no-business agreement, the worker from some work, including a  
6 confidentiality agreement, a forfeiture-for-competition agreement, a no-business agreement, a  
7 no-recruit agreement, and a non-solicit agreement.

8 (9) “Noncompete agreement” means an agreement that expressly prohibits the  
9 worker from some work.

10 (10) “No-business agreement” means an agreement that expressly prohibits the  
11 worker from working for a client or customer of the employer.

12 (11) “No-recruit agreement” means an agreement that expressly prohibits the  
13 worker from hiring or recruiting another worker of the employer.

14 (12) “No-solicit agreement” means an agreement that expressly prohibits the  
15 worker from soliciting a client or customer of the employer.

16 (13) “Record” means information:

17 (A) inscribed on a tangible medium; or

18 (B) stored in an electronic or other medium and retrievable in perceivable  
19 form.

20 (14) “Restrictive employment agreement” means an agreement, whether alone or  
21 part of an agreement between an employer and worker or potential worker, that prohibits or  
22 requires an action after the work relationship ends or a sale of business is consummated,  
23 including a noncompete agreement and a less restrictive agreement.

1 (15) “Sale of business” means sale or merger of an entity or its subdivision or  
2 substantially all of the operating assets or ownership interest of an entity.

3 (16) “Sign” means, with present intent to authenticate or adopt a record:

4 (A) execute or adopt a tangible symbol; or

5 (B) attach to or logically associate with the record an electronic symbol,  
6 sound, or process.

7 (17) “Special training” means instruction, teaching, or other education received by  
8 a worker from a source other than the employer that is designed to enhance the ability of the  
9 worker to perform the worker’s work, is not normally received by other workers, and is a  
10 significant cost to the employer.

11 (18) “Stated rate of pay” means the definite and agreed upon annual  
12 compensation, including wage, salary, professional fee, and other amount received as  
13 compensation for personal service actually rendered, including the fair market value of all  
14 remuneration paid in any medium other than cash. The term does not include a healthcare  
15 benefit, severance pay, retirement benefit, expense reimbursement, amount paid by a person that  
16 represents a distribution of earnings and profit rather than as compensation for personal service,  
17 or anticipated but indeterminable compensation such as tips, bonuses, and commissions.

18 (19) “Trade secrets” are as defined by the [cite to Uniform Trade Secrets Act].

19 (20) “Volunteer” means an individual who, of the individual's own free will,  
20 provides services without any financial gain.

21 (21) “Work” means providing service to an employer.

22 (22) “Worker” means an individual works. The term includes an employee,  
23 independent contractor, partner, intern, volunteer, and apprentice. The term does not include a

1 member of a board of directors or governing board, investor, or vendor of goods.

2 **Section 3. Scope**

3 (a) This [act] applies to a restrictive employment agreement. To the extent a restrictive  
4 employment agreement is part of an agreement, the restrictive employment agreement is subject  
5 to this [act], and the rest of the agreement is not affected by this [act].

6 (b) This [act] supersedes the statutory and common law of a restrictive employment  
7 agreement but does not affect:

8 (1) the common law of contract;

9 (2) the common law of agency;

10 (3) any law or regulation that restricts an attorney's ability to enter into a  
11 restrictive employment agreement; and

12 (4) [cite to other state law that prohibits or limits enforceability of a restrictive  
13 employment agreement.]

14 **Legislative Note:** *The state should identify pre-existing statutes that prohibit or limit the*  
15 *enforceability of a specific type of restrictive employment agreement that are consistent with this*  
16 *[act] and can remain in force. [To the Drafting Committee only: For example, many states, such*  
17 *as Arizona, Connecticut, and Illinois, prohibit the use of noncompete agreements in the*  
18 *broadcasting industry.]*

19  
20 **Section 4. Notice Requirements**

21 A restrictive employment agreement is prohibited and unenforceable unless:

22 (a) a copy of the proposed agreement is provided in a record to:

23 (1) a prospective worker before the worker's acceptance of employment or  
24 10 business days before the commencement of employment, whichever is earlier; or

25 (2) a current worker who receives a material increase in earned income  
26 before the worker's acceptance of a change in job status or responsibilities or 10 business days

1 before the increase, whichever is earlier;

2 (b) in conjunction with a copy of the proposed agreement, the employer:

3 (1) notifies the worker in a record of the worker's right to consult with an  
4 attorney prior to signing the agreement; and

5 (2) gives the following notice in a record:

6 [the Reporter is currently drafting a form notice that will be included in the third draft]

7 (c) the proposed agreement and the agreement clearly specify the information,  
8 type of work, or competitive activity that is restricted or prohibited after the work relationship  
9 ends.

10 (d) the agreement is in a record separately signed by the worker and an agent of  
11 the employer and a copy of the agreement is given to the worker promptly after signing.

12 (e) the employer gives a copy of the agreement to the worker within [10] business  
13 days of a worker's request in a record for a copy, unless the employer when acting in good faith  
14 is unable to provide the record within [10] business days and the worker is not prejudiced by the  
15 delay.

16 **Comment**

17  
18 The separately signed requirement is included for those situations where the restrictive  
19 employment agreement is part of a larger work agreement. In this situation, the worker and agent  
20 of the employer are specifically required to sign the restrictive employment agreement on its own  
21 whether or not they sign the larger work agreement.

22  
23 **Section 5. Worker Not Subject to Restrictive Employment Agreement**

24 A restrictive employment agreement, except for a confidentiality agreement, is prohibited  
25 and unenforceable unless:

26 (a) the worker has, when accepting the restrictive employment agreement and  
27 throughout employment, a stated rate of pay greater than the annual mean wage of an employee

1 in [State] as determined by [State Department of Labor] [U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of  
2 Labor Statistics];

3 (b) The worker voluntarily quits without good cause attributable to the employer  
4 or is terminated for individual performance-related cause; and

5 (c) The worker is at least 18 years of age and is not an intern, volunteer, or  
6 apprentice when the agreement is signed.

### 7 **Comment**

8  
9 Subsection (a) is a core part of the act. It prohibits and makes unenforceable a restrictive  
10 employment agreement (other than a confidentiality agreement) unless the worker has an annual  
11 rate of pay above the threshold amount. The state annual mean wage has several desirable  
12 features for being this threshold figure. First, it automatically adjusts for inflation. Second, the  
13 figure is easily accessible. The U.S. Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics tracks this  
14 number on a state-by-state basis and updates its database yearly.<sup>1</sup> Thus, even if a state does not  
15 collect or publish its own annual wage data, it can refer to an easily accessible federal source.  
16 Third, the figure varies by state, reflecting the particular economic status of each state. Fourth,  
17 the figure is not based on an arbitrary multiple of some other statistics. Fifth, the figure is a core  
18 aspect of the labor market rather than tangentially related.

19  
20 Other possible thresholds lack one or more of these characteristics. For example, a fixed  
21 dollar amount does not adjust to inflation and, unless each state separately picks a number, it is  
22 not tailored to local labor conditions. A multiple of the minimum wage does not change readily  
23 with inflation and requires an arbitrary multiple to be meaningful. A threshold based on the  
24 poverty level requires an arbitrary multiple and the base number is not directly related to the  
25 labor market.

26  
27 A major feature of the annual mean wage threshold is that it roughly corresponds to  
28 workers whose restrictive covenants would typically be unenforceable on common-law trade-  
29 secrets criteria anyway. It thus adds clarity and certainty to the question of enforceability without  
30 greatly altering the validity of a restrictive agreement for which the employer has a legitimate  
31 interest. Few workers making less than the annual mean wage have meaningful access to trade  
32 secrets. In 2020, the annual mean wage nationwide was \$56,310, ranging from \$41,600 in  
33 Mississippi to \$70,010 in Massachusetts (with greater ranges in U.S. territories). Workers  
34 making more than the annual mean wage typically have a college degree, while those making  
35 less than the annual mean wage have less education. Having a college degree, in turn, makes it  
36 twice as likely the worker has a trade secret.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oesrcst.htm>.

<sup>2</sup> For details see, U.S. Dep't of Treasury Office of Economic Policy, Non-Compete Contracts: Economic Effects and Policy Implications 4, <https://www.treasury.gov/resource-center/economic-policy/Documents/UST%20Non-competes%20Report.pdf> (Mar. 2016); Elka Torpey, *Education Pays*, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics,

1 While empirical data are somewhat less clear for customer relationships than trade  
2 secrets, the annual mean wage threshold likely gives a rough correspondence with an  
3 unenforceable interest in customer relationships as well. A worker making less than the average  
4 mean wage rarely has enough star power or is engaged in a near-permanent customer  
5 relationship such that the customer will follow the worker to a new employer. Higher-paid  
6 customer representatives may have such power, and thus the employer is more likely to have a  
7 protectable interest in the customer relationships enjoyed by a worker paid more than the annual  
8 mean wage.

9  
10 Subsection (a) uses “stated rate of pay” (as defined in Section 2(18)) as the figure to  
11 compare to the annual mean wage, rather than all earnings or amount earned in the prior year.  
12 This figure is used to add clarity at the moment of contracting. Both worker and employer should  
13 know the definite amount the worker will be making, based on the rate of pay and the expected  
14 hours, and thus should be able to easily determine whether it is more or less than the annual  
15 mean wage. Annual earnings, particularly when they depend on commissions, bonuses, or  
16 premium pay, would be much less certain at the time of hiring, and thus create ambiguity in  
17 enforcement at this critical time in the employment relationship.

18  
19 This subsection requires that the stated rate of pay must remain above the annual mean  
20 wage throughout the employment relationship, as well as at the initial acceptance of the  
21 restrictive agreement. For example, if the stated rate of pay barely exceeds the annual mean wage  
22 at acceptance and does not rise as much over the year as the annual mean wage, the restrictive  
23 agreement may become prohibited and unenforceable over time.

## 24 **Section 6. Requirements for Noncompete Agreement**

25  
26 A noncompete agreement is prohibited and unenforceable unless:

27 (a) the agreement protects one or more of the following legitimate business  
28 interests:

29 (1) the sale of a business in which the worker is a substantial owner and  
30 consents to the sale;

31 (2) the employer’s trade secrets; or

32 (3) the employer’s current and ongoing customer relationships;

---

[https://www.bls.gov/careeroutlook/2019/data-on-display/education\\_pays.htm](https://www.bls.gov/careeroutlook/2019/data-on-display/education_pays.htm) (Feb. 2019); According to a 2016 Report on Non-Compete Contracts by the Treasury Department, workers with four-year degrees are twice as likely to possess trade secrets as those without four-year degrees. In 2018, the median annual earnings corresponding to educational attainment was approximately as follows: bachelor’s degree-\$62,000, associate’s degree-\$45,000, and less than an associate’s degree-\$40,000 or less. Generally in line with these statistics, the Treasury Department Report also showed that workers earning less than \$40,000 possess trade secrets at less than half the rate of their higher-earning counterparts.

1 (b) the agreement, at the time it is entered into and up until the time of  
2 enforcement, is reasonable and narrowly tailored in duration, geographical area, and scope of  
3 actual competition to further an interest of subsection (a), and the interest cannot be substantially  
4 protected by a less restrictive agreement;

5 (c) the agreement lasts no longer than:

6 (1) five years when furthering an interest under subsection (a)(1);

7 (2) one year when furthering an interest only under subsection (a)(2) or  
8 (a)(3).

9 **Section 7. Requirements for Less Restrictive Agreement**

10 A less restrictive agreement is prohibited and unenforceable unless the agreement is  
11 reasonable. An agreement is not reasonable, if in the case of:

12 (a) a confidentiality agreement, it prohibits the worker from using or disclosing:

13 (1) the worker's general training, knowledge, skill, or experience gained  
14 on the job or otherwise; or

15 (2) information that is;

16 (A) readily accessible to the relevant public; or

17 (B) not relevant to the employer's business;

18 (b) a forfeiture-for-competition agreement, the agreement:

19 (1) compensates the employer for more than the cost of special training or  
20 requires repayment for longer than two years after the training is completed;

21 (2) extends beyond a client or customer with whom the worker personally  
22 worked and was introduced to by the employer, requires payment of more than the annual net  
23 profit gained from servicing the client or customer, or lasts more than one year after termination;

1 (3) extends beyond diminution or delay of a retirement benefit during the  
2 period the worker is competing against the employer; or

3 (4) extends beyond forfeiture of profit sharing or other bonus  
4 compensation that has not yet been paid to the worker and would be paid to the worker during  
5 the period the worker is competing against the employer;

6 (c) a no-business agreement, it extends beyond a current and ongoing client or  
7 customer of the employer with whom the worker had personally worked or lasts longer than six  
8 months after termination;

9 (d) a no-recruit agreement, it extends beyond a fellow worker currently working  
10 for the employer with whom the worker had personally worked or lasts longer than six months  
11 after termination.

12 (e) a no-solicit agreement, it extends beyond a current and ongoing client or  
13 customer of the employer with whom the worker had personally worked or lasts longer than one  
14 year after termination.

15 **Section 8. Waivable and Nonwaivable Provision**

16 (a) Except as provided in subsection (b), a party to a restrictive employment agreement  
17 may not waive or stipulate to avoid a requirement of this [act].

18 (b) The worker may waive the time requirements of Section 4(a) when the worker's  
19 immediate service is needed to protect public health or avoid irreparable injury.

20 **Section 9. Enforcement and Remedy**

21 (a) A court may not modify a restrictive employment agreement that is facially  
22 unenforceable under this [act] to make it enforceable. An agreement that otherwise violates this  
23 [act] may be modified only to the minimum extent necessary to preserve the interest of the party

1 seeking enforcement and render the agreement enforceable. A court may reform an agreement so  
2 that it is consistent with the intent of the parties in conformity with the doctrine of reformation of  
3 contracts as it otherwise exists in this state or in the state whose law governs the contract.

4 (b) A court may remedy an actual or threatened breach of a valid agreement or a failure  
5 to comply with this [act] with injunctive relief, actual damages, legally enforceable liquidated  
6 damages specified in the agreement, and costs.

7 (c) A court may award reasonable attorney's fees, actual and liquidated damages, and  
8 costs to a party that successfully challenges the enforceability of an agreement.

9 (d) A worker or employer, including an employer of the worker who is not party to the  
10 agreement, may seek a declaratory judgment that the agreement is unenforceable.

11 (e) The party seeking to enforce an agreement has the burden of proof on every element  
12 in any proceeding.

13 (f) An employer that requests that a worker sign an agreement that the employer knows  
14 or reasonably should know is unenforceable under this [act] commits a civil violation.

15 (g) The [Attorney General and Department of Labor] have a cause of action against an  
16 employer to enforce subsection (f), for which a fine of not more than \$[5,000] may be adjudged  
17 for each worker so requested.

18 (h) A worker or other employer not a party to the agreement has a cause of action to  
19 enforce subsection (f), for which a court may award liquidated damages of \$[5,000] for each  
20 violation.

21 **Section 10. Healthcare Provider**

22 A noncompete agreement, no-business agreement, no-recruitment agreement, or non-  
23 solicitation agreement is unenforceable against a physician or other healthcare provider as

1 defined by [state regulation of healthcare providers].

2 **Comment**

3  
4 This section makes the listed agreements unenforceable against a healthcare provider  
5 even when ancillary to a sale of business. This is similar to the regulation of the equivalent  
6 lawyer agreements, which generally are not enforceable even ancillary to a sale of business. Just  
7 as the Rules of Professional Conduct allow for the enforceability of some forfeiture-for-  
8 competition agreements against lawyers, however, this section allows for the enforceability of  
9 forfeiture-for-competition agreements against a healthcare provider, so long as the agreement  
10 meets the other requirements of this [act].

11  
12 **Section 11. Choice of Law and Forum**

13 A choice of law or forum provision is prohibited and unenforceable if it has the effect of  
14 causing a dispute arising out of a restrictive employment agreement to not be governed by the  
15 law of, or decided by a court or arbitrator in, the state where the worker works for the employer  
16 or, if the work relationship has ended, the state in which the worker worked at the time of  
17 termination.

18 **Section 12. Uniformity of Application and Construction**

19 In applying and construing this uniform act, a court shall consider the promotion of  
20 uniformity of the law among jurisdictions that enact it.

21 **Section 13. Relation to Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act**

22 This [act] modifies, limits, or supersedes the Electronic Signatures in Global and National  
23 Commerce Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 7001 et seq.[, as amended], but does not modify, limit, or  
24 supersede 15 U.S.C. Section 7001(c), or authorize electronic delivery of any of the notices  
25 described in 15 U.S.C. Section 7003(b).

26 **Legislative Note:** *It is the intent of this act to incorporate future amendments to the cited federal*  
27 *law. In a state in which the constitution or other law does not permit incorporation of future*  
28 *amendments when a federal statute is incorporated into state law, the phrase “as amended”*  
29 *should be omitted. The phrase also should be omitted in a state in which, in the absence of a*  
30 *legislative declaration, future amendments are incorporated into state law.*

31

1           **Section 14. Saving Provision**

2           Section 4 (e) and Section 5 of this [act] apply to every restrictive employment agreement  
3 regardless of the date in which it was entered. Otherwise, this [act] does not affect the validity  
4 of a restrictive employment agreement in effect before [the effective date of this [act]].

5           **Section 15. Severability**

6           If a provision of this [act] or its application to a worker or employer is held invalid, the  
7 invalidity does not affect another provision or application that can be given effect without the  
8 invalid provision.

9           ***Legislative Note:** Include this section only if the state lacks a general severability statute  
10 or a decision by the highest court of the state adopting a general rule of severability.*

11

12           **Section 16. Repeals; Conforming Amendments**

13           (a) . . .

14           (b) . . .

15           ***Legislative Note:** The state should examine its statutes to determine whether conforming  
16 revisions are required by provisions of this act relating to { }. See Section { }.*

17

18           **Section 17. Effective Date**

19           This [act] takes effect . . . .