DRAFT

FOR DISCUSSION ONLY

UNIFORM MORTGAGE SATISFACTION ACT

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

For Drafting Committee Meeting November 7-9, 2003

WITH PREFATORY NOTE AND PRELIMINARY COMMENTS

September 24, 2003

Copyright © 2003 by NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

The ideas, concepts and conclusions set forth in this draft, including the proposed statutory language and any comments or reporter's notes, have not been reviewed, debated or approved by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws or the Drafting Committee. They do not reflect the views of the Conference and its Commissioners or the Drafting Committee and its Members and Reporter. Proposed statutory language may not be used to ascertain the intent or meaning of any promulgated final statutory proposal made by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws.

DRAFTING COMMITTEE ON UNIFORM MORTGAGE SATISFACTION ACT

EDWARD F. LOWRY, JR., 4200 N. 82nd St., Suite 2001, Scottsdale, AZ 85251, *Chair* ELLEN F. DYKE, 2125 Cabots Point Ln., Reston, VA 20191

ROBERT L. MCCURLEY, JR., Alabama Law Institute, P.O. Box 861425, Tuscaloosa, AL 35486, *Enactment Plan Coordinator*

NEAL OSSEN, 21 Oak St., Suite 201, Hartford, CT 06106

ELWAINE F. POMEROY, 1415 SW Topeka Blvd., Topeka, KS 66612-1818

REGINA R. QUINN, P.O. Box 17239, Jackson, MS 39217-0239

PATRICK A. RANDOLPH, University of Missouri - Kansas City, School of Law, 5100 Rockhill Rd., Kansas City, MO 64110

R. WILSON FREYERMUTH, University of Missouri-Columbia School of Law, 215 Hulston Hall, Columbia, MO 65211, *Reporter*

EX OFFICIO

FRED H. MILLER, University of Oklahoma, College of Law, 300 Timberdell Road, Norman, OK 73019, *President*

LANI LIU EWART, Suite 1800, Alii Pl., 1099 Alakea St., Honolulu, HI 96813, Division Chair

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ADVISOR

PATRICK MEARS, 200 Ottawa Ave. NW, Ste. 900, Grand Rapids, MI 49503-2393, American Bar Association Advisor

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

WILLIAM H. HENNING, University of Missouri-Columbia, School of Law, 313 Hulston Hall, Columbia, MO 65211, *Executive Director*

WILLIAM J. PIERCE, 1505 Roxbury Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48104, Executive Director Emeritus

Copies of this Act may be obtained from:

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

211 E. Ontario Street, Suite 1300

Chicago, Illinois 60611

312/915-0195

www.nccusl.org

UNIFORM MORTGAGE SATISFACTION ACT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLE 1	
DEFINITIONS AND GENERAL PROVISIONS	
SECTION 101. SHORT TITLE	8
SECTION 102. DEFINITIONS	
SECTION 103. MANNER OF GIVING NOTIFICATION.	. 15
SECTION 104. DAY OF PERFORMANCE	
ARTICLE 2	
SECURED CREDITOR TO RECORD SATISFACTION; LIABILITY FOR FAILURE	
SECTION 201. PAYOFF STATEMENT	
SECTION 202. SECURED CREDITOR TO RECORD SATISFACTION	. 21
SECTION 203. LIABILITY OF SECURED CREDITOR	
SECTION 204. REINSTATEMENT OF SECURITY INSTRUMENT; LIABILITY FOR	
ERRONEOUS REINSTATEMENT	. 26
ARTICLE 3	
SATISFACTION OF SECURITY INSTRUMENT BY AFFIDAVIT	
SECTION 301. AUTHORIZATION TO RECORD AFFIDAVIT OF SATISFACTION FO	
RESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY	
SECTION 302. AFFIDAVIT OF SATISFACTION	
SECTION 303. EFFECT OF AFFIDAVIT OF SATISFACTION	
SECTION 304. LIABILITY OF CLOSING AGENT.	. 33
ARTICLE 4	
AUTHORIZED SATISFACTION BY CLOSING AGENT	
SECTION 401. ISSUANCE AND EFFECT OF SATISFACTION STATEMENT FOR	2.5
RESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY	
SECTION 402. CERTIFICATE OF SATISFACTION.	
SECTION 403. EFFECT OF CERTIFICATE OF SATISFACTION	
SECTION 404. LIABILITY OF CLOSING AGENT	. 39
ADTICLE 5	
ARTICLE 5 MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS	
SECTION 501. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION	41
SECTION 501. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION SECTION 502. EFFECTIVE DATE	
SECTION 503 REPEALS	

UNIFORM MORTGAGE SATISFACTION ACT

2 Prefatory Note

Complete payment of a mortgage loan typically extinguishes the lien of the mortgage. Because no evidence of that extinguishment automatically appears on the public land records, however, the continued presence of the mortgage on the records creates a practical problem for the owner of the land described in the mortgage. For example, if the owner has contracted to sell the home to a buyer, the mortgagor cannot establish marketable title — and thus cannot compel the buyer to perform the contract — unless it can "clear" the existing mortgage document from the records. Likewise, even if the owner/mortgagor is merely refinancing its existing mortgage obligation with another lender, the mortgagor must be able to clear the existing mortgage from the public records in order to assure the new mortgage lender of its expected priority.

Traditionally, the mortgagor cleared its title by having the mortgagee execute and record a document evidencing satisfaction of the mortgage, or by having the mortgagee make a marginal notation of satisfaction on the page of the public records containing the mortgage. Decades ago, it was somewhat plausible to expect that title clearance could occur roughly contemporaneously with a sale or refinancing of mortgaged land. Historically, local thrift institutions held mortgage loans in their portfolios until maturity or prepayment. Thus, when making full payment, a mortgagor could simply go to the office where the mortgagee had originated the loan and obtain the necessary documentation almost immediately. Even if the mortgagee did not maintain the loan documents on-site, the mortgagee could typically have the documents available at closing if it had sufficient advance notice of the closing of a sale or refinancing transaction. Alternatively, in those jurisdictions where attorneys handled real estate closings, mortgagees would often send an executed release to the closing attorney, prior to closing, with instructions to record it only after making payment of the balance of the outstanding mortgage at closing.

Today, changes in the financial services industry have complicated the payoff, discharge, and release of mortgage instruments. Most originating mortgage lenders no longer retain mortgage loans in their portfolio, as local thrift institutions traditionally did. Instead, most originating lenders transfer mortgage loans on the secondary market, thereby facilitating the eventual securitization of mortgage loans and the issuance of mortgage-backed securities. When the originating lender sells a mortgage loan, it will typically transfer the loan documents to the new assignee or to a loan servicer (the party who collects loan payments from mortgagors on behalf of the party holding the mortgage debt), whose location may be hundreds or thousands of miles from the mortgagor and the mortgaged land. As this separation of mortgagor and mortgagee has become customary, it has influenced customary practices to the extent that today, one cannot feasibly expect the mortgagee to produce a recordable satisfaction contemporaneously with the closing of a sale or refinancing by the mortgagor. This geographical separation has contributed to an unfamiliarity or lack of trust between the distant assignee or loan servicer and a closing agent handling a sale or refinancing — making it less likely that the assignee or loan servicer would execute and deliver a release in anticipation of an expected closing. Further, the

geographical and bureaucratic separation of the mortgagor, the mortgagee and the servicer can often create practical delays in communication that may serve to extend the practical "gap" between the closing and the clearance of record title. This "gap" — which exists even when all parties are acting in good faith — can sometimes be further exacerbated by a servicer or holder's poor loan administration practices.

Furthermore, the mortgagor's ability to obtain the return of original loan documents and the necessary satisfaction documentation is often complicated by a mortgagor's uncertainty about the identity of the mortgagee or the location of the documents themselves. Over the past two decades, financial institutions have routinely merged or consolidated operations. By virtue of merger or consolidation, a residential mortgage previously held by a local bank in one state may now be held by a regional or national bank based in another state; however, if the loan is serviced by a third party, the mortgagor may be unaware of the identity or location of the current holder of the mortgage. Moreover, transfer of mortgage servicing sometimes occurs, and if the respective holders of a mortgage loan have transferred servicing responsibilities one or more times during a loan's term, this may increase the risk that the original loan documentation is mislocated. Finally, there is some concern that mortgage lenders, after having already collected full payment from a borrower, may feel a diminished compunction to act quickly to provide prompt service (*i.e.*, preparing and recording a satisfaction) for a now-"former" customer. These problems create additional "bureaucratic" delay for the mortgagor seeking to obtain the needed evidence of satisfaction to clear its title.

At present, solving these practical problems forces the parties to incur additional transaction costs. Most frequently, parties use title insurance to address these title-clearance risks. Consider a typical transaction in which Seller, who owns a home subject to a mortgage in favor of Bank One, has contracted to sell a home to Buyer, with the closing to occur on June 1. Buyer plans to complete the purchase using the proceeds of a mortgage loan from Bank Two, and Seller expects to use the sale proceeds to satisfy the mortgage loan to Bank One. Once the Bank One mortgage is paid off, Bank Two will have its expected priority for its mortgage lien. But until the Bank One mortgage is paid off and extinguished, Bank Two bears a risk that full payment of the Bank One mortgage will not occur — either because someone associated with the transaction misappropriated the funds or because of a dispute about the outstanding balance of the Bank One mortgage. Thus, as a condition of its obligation to make a mortgage loan to Buyer, Bank Two will insist upon a lender's title insurance policy that insures both the validity and priority of its mortgage against the home. In this way, Bank Two shifts to the title insurer the potential priority risk that Bank Two faces because Bank One has not yet released its mortgage of record.

Likewise, the Buyer in this transaction faces a similar risk with respect to the satisfaction of the Seller's mortgage. For example, if a closing agent misappropriates the closing proceeds and does not use them to satisfy the Bank One mortgage, Bank One will refuse to release its mortgage, and the Buyer's title will thereafter be subject to the liens of both the Bank One and Bank Two mortgages. As a result, a prudent Buyer should also obtain an owner's policy of title insurance that provides affirmative coverage against the risk that the Bank One mortgage is not

legally satisfied. Unfortunately, not all buyers obtain an owner's policy of title insurance, or appreciate the risks sufficiently to appreciate the need for title insurance protection against the nonrelease of the seller's mortgage.

The Mortgagee's Obligation to Record a Satisfaction: Timing and Consequences

At present, all 50 states have promulgated legislation that ostensibly requires a mortgagee to act promptly to provide title-clearing documentation following repayment of the mortgage debt. But because even the most conscientious of mortgagees will have some delay in responding to mortgagor requests, existing state statutes typically allow a mortgagee some "grace period" in which to record a satisfaction. Unfortunately, existing state laws vary widely from state to state, and many impose time deadlines (in some cases as few as 7-10 days) that push the bounds of practicality as applied to the modern mortgage loan; others permit grace periods (such as 90 days) that far exceed the time during which a reasonably conscientious mortgagee could act to record a satisfaction.

In addition, all 50 states permit an injured party to recover any actual damages caused by the mortgagee's failure to record a timely satisfaction, and most (but not all) states go further and impose a statutory civil penalty upon a noncompliant mortgagee. Theoretically, these sanctions should provide an economic incentive for the mortgagee to act promptly. Unfortunately, state laws vary dramatically, ranging from a proverbial "slap on the wrist" that provides no real economic incentive to the mortgagee (*e.g.*, fines as low as \$10 to \$25) to a draconian penalty that would typically bestow a significant windfall upon the aggrieved party (*e.g.*, a penalty equal to the lower of one-half of the mortgage debt or \$25,000 in South Carolina).

Finally, state laws differ substantially with respect to whether formal notification constitutes a precondition to the mortgagee's liability for actual damages and/or statutory damages. In some states, the mortgagee is liable only if it failed to record a timely satisfaction following a formal demand by the owner of the mortgaged land. In others, the mortgagor need not make any demand in order to trigger the mortgagee's potential liability.

This lack of uniformity is unfortunate, as it encourages national mortgage lenders to treat otherwise similarly-situated mortgagors differently. Perhaps not surprisingly, national mortgage lenders act to "prioritize" the process of providing satisfactions on a state-by-state basis, depending upon a state's grace period and applicable sanctions for noncompliance. As a result, national mortgage lenders tend to provide services first to customers in states with the most punitive statutes. In turn, this may prompt states to engage in a "race to the bottom" to increase the minimum sanction, in order to ensure preferential treatment of their own citizens. In fact, since 1989, at least eleven states have increased their minimum statutory damage for untimely satisfactions, and no states have reduced it during that same period.

The Act attempts to ensure that mortgagees and their servicers have an appropriate incentive to act promptly to clear a landowner's title. At the same time, however, the Act recognizes that

given the structure of today's mortgage market, conscientious mortgagees and their servicers need a realistic period of time to record a satisfaction of a mortgage. Further, the Act takes the view that the lender should not face liability without first receiving notice and an opportunity to cure its failure. As a result, the Act provides that if a mortgage lender has not recorded a satisfaction of the mortgage within __ days after receiving payment of the obligations secured by that mortgage, the owner of the mortgaged land may make written demand upon the mortgagee. If the mortgagee still fails to record a satisfaction within an additional 30 days thereafter, the Act permits the owner of the mortgaged land to recover statutory damages in addition to any actual damages incurred as a result of the mortgagee's failure.

The Payoff Statement

In most transactions, the mortgagor repays the mortgage debt prior to its originally scheduled maturity, when the mortgagor is either sells the land or refinances the outstanding mortgage debt. In these transactions, the mortgagor typically asks the mortgagee to issue a "payoff statement" that identifies the outstanding balance of the mortgage debt. The mortgagor (or, more typically, a closing agent facilitating the sale or refinancing) then uses the information contained in the payoff statement in order to remit the specified outstanding balance to the mortgagee.

If the payoff statement is accurate, payment of the stated balance legally satisfies the mortgage debt — which in turn triggers the mortgagee's obligation to record a satisfaction of the mortgage. If the payoff statement is incorrect, however, the effect of the mortgagor's payment is less clear. As a matter of law, the mortgagor generally must satisfy the full balance of the obligation (principal, interest, and any other costs or fees permitted under the terms of the loan documents) in order to extinguish the mortgage. If the amount specified in the payoff statement was too low, the mortgagor's payment would not satisfy the entire mortgage obligation.

Nevertheless, the parties to a sale or refinancing transaction typically rely upon the payoff statement in completing that transaction. For example, a person buying the mortgaged land from the mortgagor may choose to perform the contract believing that the mortgagee's receipt of the amount specified in the payoff statement will extinguish the mortgage debt. In this situation, the buyer will argue that the mortgagee should be equitably estopped from denying the accuracy of the payoff statement. If a court recognizes this estoppel theory, then the mortgagee can still collect the remaining balance of the debt from the mortgagor as a personal obligation, but cannot enforce the lien of the mortgage against the buyer who relied upon the payoff statement — in effect, estoppel would render the mortgage unenforceable against the buyer (or the buyer's mortgage lender).

Unfortunately, reliance upon estoppel theory is unpredictable because estoppel doctrine typically protects only reasonable reliance, and it is not always clear whether reliance upon a payoff statement is reasonable. In a few cases, doubt about the reasonableness of reliance may result from the magnitude of the error in a payoff statement. If a mistake in a payoff statement is

so large that a reasonable person in the buyer's position would question the statement's accuracy, payment of the mistaken amount will not permit the buyer to raise an estoppel against the mortgagee (whose mortgage will remain effective until the mortgage obligation is fully satisfied). More commonly, doubt about the reasonableness of reliance may result from language in the payoff statement that expressly qualifies the statement's reliability. For example, the payoff statement may state that it can be relied upon only by the mortgagor and not third parties, or it may state that it is subject to being corrected by the mortgagee for a specified period of time (which may extend beyond the scheduled closing date of a sale or refinancing transaction). Such express qualifications upon the reliability of a payoff statement may call into question the extent to which third parties may authoritatively rely upon such statements.

The Act clarifies the scope of the mortgagee's obligation to provide a payoff statement by stating this obligation in affirmative terms. The Act further provides that the recipient may rely upon the payoff statement for the purpose of determining the payment required to obtain a recorded satisfaction of the mortgage, so long as the recipient acts in good faith and neither knows nor has reason to know that the amount specified is incorrect. Upon timely payment of the amount specified in the payoff statement, the Act obligates the mortgagee to record a satisfaction of the mortgage, even if the stated payoff amount was inaccurate.

"Self-Help" Satisfaction

While each state has enacted legislation obligating mortgage lenders to record timely mortgage satisfactions, not all states have provided effective remedial mechanisms to permit the owner of the land to clear its title when the mortgagee simply fails to respond to a proper request. All states expressly or implicitly authorize the mortgager to bring a judicial action against the mortgage to obtain an order or declaration that the mortgage has been satisfied. Unfortunately, this remedy can be cumbersome and time-consuming, given the procedural delays and docket congestion attendant to civil litigation in many jurisdictions.

An increasing number of states have enacted statutes authorizing a nonjudicial or "self-help" satisfaction procedure. Under such a procedure, an agent who facilitated the closing of a sale or refinancing transaction can clear the title if the mortgagee fails to record a timely satisfaction. Typically, these statutes authorize the designated agent to execute and record an affidavit demonstrating that the mortgagee has received payment of the balance of the obligations as specified in a payoff statement, but has nevertheless failed to record a satisfaction (despite having also received notice and an opportunity to cure this failure). The recording of this affidavit then constitutes the statutory equivalent of a satisfaction of the mortgage.

Slightly more than one-half of the states have adopted a "self-help" procedure, but state statutes vary widely in both scope and specifics. For example, some statutes limit the availability of a "self-help" satisfaction based upon the mortgage amount or the type of mortgage; others contain no such limitations. State statues vary widely as to person(s) authorized to record an

affidavit of satisfaction. Some states permit only a licensed title insurer to perform this function; other states permit a licensed attorney to do so, and yet others permit both to file the necessary affidavit. A few states authorize such an affidavit to be filed by a refinancing lender or the lender for a buyer. Finally, state statutes also vary widely both as to the content of the affidavit and the duration of the grace period during which the mortgagee can either record a satisfaction on its own or object to the recording of a satisfaction.

The Act adopts a "self-help" satisfaction procedure. Under the Act, a "closing agent" (defined to include either a licensed attorney or a licensed title insurer) may prepare and record the necessary affidavit of satisfaction, but only after first giving notification to the mortgagee and giving the mortgagee 30 days to either record a satisfaction or raise an objection. In the event that the mortgagee raises a timely objection, the self-help procedure is unavailable. In the event that the mortgagee fails to raise a timely objection, the closing agent may record an affidavit of satisfaction, which affidavit constitutes a satisfaction of the mortgage.

Authorized Satisfaction by a Closing Agent

Theoretically, one could eliminate the "time gap" in obtaining a recorded satisfaction of a mortgage by authorizing the closing agent to record a satisfaction of the mortgage on behalf of the mortgagee. At least two practical problems arise, however. First, no agreement or other document typically used in real estate transactions presently authorizes a closing agent to act on the mortgagee's behalf to clear the mortgage of record. Second, under current state statutes governing real estate transactions, any such authorization would have to be in form sufficient to constitute a power of attorney.

The Joint Editorial Board for Real Property Acts has recently proposed that the issuance of a payoff statement should, as a matter of law, authorize a closing agent to record a satisfaction of the mortgage on the mortgagee's behalf, if that closing agent facilitates payment to the mortgagee of the amount specified in that statement. Similar legislation was introduced in the Illinois legislature in 2003.

Rather than treat all payoff statements as legal authority for a closing agent to execute and record a satisfaction on a mortgage lender's behalf, the Act instead provides mortgage lenders with an option. The mortgagee may either (a) issue a standard payoff statement, after which the mortgage lender would remain legally responsible to record a timely satisfaction, or (b) issue a "satisfaction" statement, which by its terms would authorize the closing agent to whom the statement was issued to record a satisfaction of the mortgage following payment in accordance with the terms of the statement. If a mortgage lender chose to issue a satisfaction statement, this would effectively relieve the mortgage lender of any further obligation to record a satisfaction of the mortgage — the responsibility to do so would shift at that point to the closing agent. The closing agent's certificate of satisfaction would constitute a legal satisfaction of the mortgage in favor of a person thereafter purchasing an interest in the land.

By permitting the lender to elect whether to issue a standard payoff statement or a satisfaction statement, the Act permits the parties to any particular sale or refinancing transaction to allocate responsibility for title clearance in whatever manner they choose — either leaving that burden with the original mortgagee (with whom it rests under current law) or shifting it to the closing agent.

In the event that the closing agent wrongly records a certificate of satisfaction, the closing agent is liable to the mortgagee for the injury it suffers as a result. For this reason, the Act limits the class of "closing agents" to licensed attorneys and title insurers, against who an injured mortgagee would have a reasonable prospect of recovery in the event a certificate of satisfaction was improper.

1	UNIFORM MORTGAGE SATISFACTION ACT
2	ARTICLE 1
3	DEFINITIONS AND GENERAL PROVISIONS
4	
5	SECTION 101. SHORT TITLE. This [act] may be cited as the Uniform Mortgage
6	Satisfaction Act.
7	
8	SECTION 102. DEFINITIONS. In this [act]:
9	(1) "Address for notification" means the address as identified in a document most
10	recently provided by the intended recipient of the notification to the person giving the
11	notification.
12	(2) "Closing agent" means a person authorized and licensed to transact the business of
13	insuring titles to interests in real property in this state or an attorney licensed to practice in this
14	state and in good standing.
15	(3) "Day" means calendar day.
16	(4) "Document" means information that is inscribed on a tangible medium or that is
17	stored on an electronic or other medium and is retrievable in perceivable form.
18	(5) "Good faith" means honesty in fact and the observance of reasonable commercial
19	standards of fair dealing.
20	(6) "Landowner" means a person that owns the real property described in a security
21	instrument.
22	(7) "Notification" means a document containing required information and signed by the

person required to provide the information.

- (8) "Payoff statement" means a statement of the amount of the unpaid balance of the secured obligations, containing at a minimum the information specified in Section 201(c).
- (9) "Person" means an individual, corporation, business trust, estate, trust, partnership, limited liability company, association, joint venture, public corporation, or government, governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality, or any other legal or commercial entity.
- (10) "Purchase" means taking by sale, mortgage, lien, security interest, gift, or any other voluntary transaction creating an interest in real property.
 - (11) "Purchaser means a person who takes by purchase.
- (12) "Record" means to submit a document complying with applicable legal standards, with required fees and taxes paid, to the appropriate governmental office under [the recording act of this state].
- (13) "Recording data" means the date and [book and page number] [document number] at which a document is recorded in the [office of the county recorder].
- (14) "Residential real property" means real property that, when a security instrument was entered into with respect to the property, was used or was intended by its owner to be used primarily for the personal, family, or household purposes of its owner and was improved, or was intended by its owner to be improved, by one to four dwelling units.
- (15) "Secured creditor" means a person that holds a security interest or that is authorized both to receive payments on behalf of a person that holds a security interest and to record a satisfaction of the security instrument upon receiving full performance of the secured obligations.
 - (16) "Security instrument" means an agreement, whether denominated a mortgage, deed

- of trust, trust deed, security deed, or otherwise, that creates or provides for a security interest.
- 2 Such an agreement is a security instrument even if it also creates or provides for a lien upon
- 3 personal property.
 - (17) "Security interest" means an interest in residential real property located in this state, created by a security instrument and securing performance of an obligation.
 - (18) "Secured obligations" means all obligations, whether monetary or nonmonetary, the performance of which are secured by a security interest.
 - (19) "Sign" means to execute or adopt any symbol with the present intent to adopt or accept a document.

Preliminary Comments

Introductory comment to definitions. Under American law and customary practice, there are a variety of different documents — such as the mortgage, the deed of trust, the deed to secure debt (to name but a few) — by which parties may use an interest in real property as security for debts and obligations. Many existing state laws governing the satisfaction of these documents use the traditional terms "mortgage," "mortgagor," and "mortgagee." The Act does not use these terms, so as to dispel any notion that the Act's coverage is limited only to a "mortgage." Instead, the Act uses terms that have no common law or statutory roots tying them to any particular form. Instead of terms such as "mortgage" or "deed of trust," the Act substitutes the general term "security instrument." In place of "mortgagee" or "beneficiary," the Act uses "secured creditor." The interest in real property that conveyed to the secured creditor is defined as a "security interest" rather than as a "lien" or as "title." Thus, for purposes of the Act it is irrelevant whether a state follows the "lien theory" or "title theory" of mortgage law.

The Act does not seek to define "real property," but leaves the parameters of that term to other state law.

1. "Address for notification." The Act requires a landowner to give notification to a secured creditor before the landowner can recover statutory and/or actual damages from the secured creditor that fails to record a satisfaction in a timely manner. Section 202(d). Likewise, before a closing agent may execute and record an affidavit of satisfaction when the secured creditor has failed to record a satisfaction in a timely manner, the closing agent must give the secured creditor notification and a 30-day grace period in which to fulfill its obligation to record that satisfaction or otherwise object to the recording of a satisfaction. Section 301. In each case, notification

shall be given to the secured creditor at the address identified in the most recent document provided by the secured creditor to the person giving that notification. In the typical situation, this document will be a payoff statement delivered by the secured creditor at the request of the landowner or a closing agent.

The Act also requires the secured creditor to give a timely notification to the closing agent if the secured creditor disputes whether the secured obligations have been satisfied and the secured creditor objects to closing agent's proposal to record an affidavit of satisfaction. Section 301. In such a case, the secured party shall give notification to the closing agent at the address identified in the notification provided by the closing agent under Section 301 (unless the closing agent shall have subsequently notified the secured creditor to use a different address).

2. "Closing agent." In most modern residential real estate transfer transactions, a closing agent facilitates the completion (or "closing") of the transaction. Most typically, this function is served by a title insurance company that is insuring title for the purchaser of land and the purchaser's mortgage lender. In some instances, this function is served by an attorney, especially in those states in which an attorney (by law or customary practice) reviews and/or certifies title.

In Article 3, the Act permits a "closing agent," which it defines as either a licensed title insurance company or a licensed attorney, to assist a landowner in clearing title to the land where full payment of the secured obligations has occurred but the secured creditor has failed to record a satisfaction of the mortgage in a timely fashion. Likewise, in Article 4, the Act permits a closing agent to execute a record a satisfaction on behalf of the secured creditor where the secured creditor has issued a "satisfaction statement" (see section 401(a)).

42

43

3. "Day." The definition of "day" is identical to that used in Section 102(3) of the Uniform Nonjudicial Foreclosure Act. Days must be counted to determine the expiration of the various grace periods prescribed by the Act. All days including Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays are counted. Nevertheless, Section 104 provides that if the final day for giving a required notification would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, that notification may properly be given on the next weekday that is not a legal holiday.

4. "Document." The definition of "document" is media-neutral and is consistent with the definition of the term "record" as used in Section 2(7) of the Uniform Real Property Electronic Recordation Act. Because this Act uses the term "record" in its customary fashion under real estate law — i.e., as a verb to describe the act of filing an instrument of conveyance with the recorder's office — the Act does not use the term "record" as a noun, and instead uses the term "document."

5. "Good faith." This definition is identical to the definition contained in the Uniform Commercial Code. Generally, the Act imposes liability (both for statutory and actual damages) upon a secured creditor who fails to record a satisfaction within a timely period following notice and opportunity to cure. Section 203(a). However, a secured creditor is not liable if it has

established a reasonable procedure for recording satisfactions of security instruments, has complied with that procedure in good faith, and was unable to record because of the action or inaction of another person beyond its control. Section 203(b).

In addition, Section 201(d) provides that the recipient of a payoff statement may rely upon that statement for the purpose of determining the payment necessary to obtain a recorded satisfaction of the security instrument, if the recipient acts in good faith and does not know or have reason to know that the payoff amount specified in the statement is incorrect.

- 6. "Landowner." The term "landowner" refers to the owner of the real property described in the security instrument. Under the Act, it is the landowner who is entitled to recover actual and statutory damages (Section 203(a)) in the event that the secured creditor fails to record a satisfaction within a timely period following notice and opportunity to cure.
- 7. "Notification." The Act requires notification to the secured creditor in two instances. First, before the landowner can recover statutory and actual from the secured creditor for failure to record a satisfaction in a timely manner, the landowner must give the secured creditor notification and a 30-day grace period in which to fulfill its obligation to record that satisfaction. Section 202(d). Second, before a closing agent may execute and record an affidavit of satisfaction when the secured creditor has failed to record a satisfaction in a timely manner, the closing agent must give the secured creditor notification and a 30-day grace period in which to fulfill its obligation to record that satisfaction or otherwise object to the recording of a satisfaction. Section 301. In each case, notification shall be given to the secured creditor in the manner specified in Section 103.

The Act also requires the secured creditor to give a timely notification to the closing agent if the secured creditor disputes whether the secured obligations have been satisfied and the secured creditor objects to closing agent's proposal to record an affidavit of satisfaction. Section 301. In such a case, the secured party shall give notification to the closing agent at the address identified in the notification provided by the closing agent under Section 301 (unless the closing agent shall have subsequently notified the secured creditor to use a different address).

8. "Payoff statement." Most mortgage loans are paid off prior to maturity, either upon a transfer of the mortgaged land or upon a refinancing by the landowner. In these situations, the mortgage lender customarily issues a payoff statement, specifying the payment needed to satisfy the outstanding balance of the mortgage loan.

Under the Act, the secured creditor must issue a payoff statement complying with Section 201(c) within 10 days after receiving a request from an "entitled person" as defined in Section 201(a). Unless and until the secured creditor corrects or updates the information contained in the payoff statement, the recipient may rely upon the payoff statement for the purpose of determining the amount that must be paid in order to obtain a recorded satisfaction of the security instrument. Upon receipt of that amount, the secured creditor is thereafter obligated to record a satisfaction of

the security instrument within the period specified in Section 202.

Under the Act, the secured creditor must also execute a timely satisfaction of the security instrument following full performance of the secured obligations, regardless of whether the secured creditor issued a payoff statement. Thus, the secured creditor has the same obligation regardless of whether the obligation was satisfied at its maturity or prior to its originally scheduled maturity (*i.e.*, in conjunction with a transfer or refinancing).

9. "Person" includes both natural persons (individuals) and all forms of legally recognized public and private organizations.

10. "Purchase" means to acquire an interest in real property by virtue of a voluntary transaction, and includes taking by sale, gift, or mortgage. See U.C.C. Section 1-201(b)(29).

11. "Purchaser" means one who takes an interest in real property by purchase, and includes both a buyer and a mortgagee. See U.C.C. Section 1-201(b)(30).

12. "Record." The Act requires a secured creditor to record a satisfaction of the security instrument upon full performance of the secured obligation. Section 201. The Act also permits a closing agent to record an affidavit of satisfaction if the secured creditor has failed to record a satisfaction in a timely fashion following notice and an opportunity to cure such failure. Section 302. Finally, the Act permits a closing agent to record a certificate of satisfaction where the secured creditor has issued a satisfaction statement expressly authorizing the closing agent to satisfy the security instrument on the secured creditor's behalf. Section 402. To "record" means that the person submitting a document has complied with the state's existing recording act. However, for purposes of this Act, a document is "recorded" even if the recording office's personnel have indexed it incorrectly or otherwise failed to comply with their legal duties.

 13. "Recording data." This definition is similar to that used in Section 102(15) of the Uniform Nonjudicial Foreclosure Act, and refers to the customary way of identifying the precise place where a document is recorded in the jurisdiction. Some jurisdictions customarily refer to book and page number, some to a document number, and others to other types of designations.

14. "Residential real property." This definition is similar to that used in Section 102(17) of the Uniform Nonjudicial Foreclosure Act. There are two aspects of the definition. The first relates to the owner's use of the real property. "Residential real property" must be used or intended to be used primarily for personal, family, or household purposes of its owner. This definition is similar to that found in the Uniform Consumer Credit Code, the Federal Trade Commission's Holder in Due Course Rule, and various other consumer protection statutes. Under this definition, an owner could make some limited commercial or other nonresidential use, so long as the primary use is residential.

The second aspect of the definition relates to improvements on the real property.

"Residential real property" must either be improved with one to four dwelling units at the time the security instrument is entered into, or the owner must intend at that time to so improve it in the future. Therefore, a vacation home or other "second home" qualifies as residential real property, because there is no requirement that a dwelling unit on the premises be the primary residence of the owner (only that the primary use be residential). The limitation on the number of dwelling units excludes larger apartment buildings.

The Act applies only to security instruments covering residential real property.

- 15. "Secured creditor" means a person that holds a security interest. The term includes a person who is servicing the debt evidenced by a security instrument, if that person is also authorized by the secured creditor to record a satisfaction of the security instrument upon receiving full payment or performance of the secured obligations.
- 16. "Security instrument." This definition is identical to that used in Section 102(19) of the Uniform Nonjudicial Foreclosure Act, and recognizes that the title given to a document by its parties is not dispositive of whether the document is a security instrument. Instead, the key issue is whether the document creates a security interest.

For purposes of the Act, a "security instrument" must cover real property, although it may additionally cover personal property. A secured creditor's compliance with the Act (*e.g.*, by recording a timely satisfaction of a security instrument following full performance of the secured obligations) may not fully discharge the secured creditor's legal obligations with respect to a secured transaction that also covers personal property. In such a case, the secured creditor may also have to file a Uniform Commercial Code termination statement with respect to the personal property collateral. U.C.C. § 9-513.

- 17. "Security interest." Under the Act, a security interest arises in any transaction, regardless of its form, in which a person receives or retains an interest in real property for the purpose of securing an obligation owed to that person. Certain types of interests in land, such as judgment liens and mechanics liens, arise only by statute or operation of law, and these liens do not constitute "security interests" within the meaning of the Act. Accordingly, the Act does not address the obligation of a judgment lien holder to record evidence of the satisfaction of that judgment lien.
- 18. "Secured obligations." The term "secured obligations" covers all obligations the performance of which is secured by a security interest.
- 19. "Sign." This definition is media-neutral and comparable to that contained in Uniform Commercial Code § 1-201(b)(37).

2 (a) A person gives notification by: 3 (1) depositing it in the mail or with any commercially reasonable delivery service, properly addressed to the recipient's address for notification, with postage or cost of delivery 4 5 provided for; 6 (2) transmitting it by facsimile transmission or electronic mail to an address identified 7 by the recipient, but only if the recipient agreed to receive notification in that manner; or 8 (3) otherwise causing it to be received within the time that it would have been 9 received if properly given under subsection (1). 10 (b) The effective date of a notification given under subsection (a) is: 11 (1) one day following the date that it is deposited with a commercially reasonable 12 delivery service for overnight delivery; 13 (2) three days following the date that it is deposited in the mail or with a 14 commercially reasonable delivery service for delivery other than by overnight delivery; 15 (3) the date of the notification, if transmitted under subsection (a)(2); or (4) the date it is received, if transmitted by any other method permitted by this Act. 16 17 **Preliminary Comments** 18 19 1. This section specifies the methods for giving any notification required by the Act. Generally speaking, notices required by the Act may be transmitted by registered or certified 20 21 mail, regular mail, or commercial delivery services. Proper dispatch, not receipt, satisfies the 22 obligation to give notification. 23 24 Often, customary practice in real estate transfer or refinancing transactions will involve 25 notification by facsimile transmission. For example, a secured creditor may provide a payoff 26 statement by facsimile transmission (often at the specific request of the landowner or closing agent). Subsection (a)(2) permits a person to give notification by facsimile transmission if the 27

SECTION 103. MANNER OF GIVING NOTIFICATION.

intended recipient has agreed (either in the loan documents or otherwise) to receive notification in this manner. For example, if the landowner requests that the secured creditor provide a payoff statement by facsimile transmission, this request will authorize the secured creditor to provide the notification by facsimile transmission even if the security instrument itself does not so provide. The Act also permits a person to give notification by electronic mail, but again only where the intended recipient had agreed to receive notification by electronic mail.

Subsection (a)(3) also permits a person to give notification in any manner that would result in the notification being received within the time that the recipient would have received it if the notification had been given by mail or commercial delivery service. This subsection would permit a person to give a notification by means of physical delivery to its intended recipient.

2. The Act requires a landowner to give a secured creditor notification and a 30-day cure period before the landowner can recover statutory and/or actual damages from the secured creditor that fails to record a satisfaction in a timely manner. Section 202(d). Likewise, before a closing agent may execute and record an affidavit of satisfaction when the secured creditor has failed to record a satisfaction in a timely manner, the closing agent must give the secured creditor notification and a 30-day period in which to fulfill its obligation to record that satisfaction or otherwise object to the recording of a satisfaction. Section 301. In order to avoid uncertainty about the expiration of these grace/cure periods, the Act provides that these periods shall commence upon the "effective date" of a notification. Subsection (b) specifies the effective date of a particular notification, determined by reference to the approximate delivery time for a particular manner of delivery.

SECTION 104. DAY OF PERFORMANCE. If this [act] or a notification given pursuant to this [act] requires performance on or by a certain day and that day is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, the performance is sufficient if done on the next day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday.

 Legislative note: This section may be omitted if the jurisdiction has in force a general statute with substantially the same effect.

1 ARTICLE 2

3 SECURED CREDITOR TO RECORD SATISFACTION; LIABILITY FOR FAILURE 4

SECTION 201. PAYOFF STATEMENT.

- (a) In this section, "entitled person" means a landowner, any person liable for performance of the secured obligations, or any person that holds an interest in the real property described in a security instrument.
- (b) An entitled person may give the secured creditor a notification requesting a payoff statement. The notification must identify the payoff date for which the statement is requested, which must be no more than 30 days after the date of the notification, and contain:
 - (1) the entitled person's name;
 - (2) the address to which the creditor must deliver the statement; and
- (3) sufficient information to enable the creditor to identify the secured obligations and the real property encumbered by the lien of the security instrument.
- (c) Within 10 days after a secured creditor receives a notification complying with subsection (b), the creditor shall issue a payoff statement and give it to the entitled person in the manner prescribed in Section 103 for giving notification. The statement must identify the total amount required to satisfy the secured obligations as of the specified payoff date, and must itemize by type each fee, charge, or other sum that comprises the balance of the secured obligations. The statement must also set forth the per diem interest amount, the payment cutoff time, if any, the place where payment must be made, and any limitation as to the authorized method of payment.

(d) An entitled person may rely upon a payoff statement to determine the amount that must be paid to the secured creditor to obtain a recorded satisfaction of the security instrument, if the entitled person acts in good faith and does not know or have reason to know that the amount is incorrect. Upon receipt of timely payment of that amount, the secured creditor must record a satisfaction of the security instrument. This subsection (d) shall not preclude the secured creditor from recovering any sums which it failed to include in the payoff statement from any person personally liable for performance of the secured obligations.

- (e) If a secured creditor determines that information it provided in a payoff statement is inaccurate, the creditor may furnish a corrected payoff statement to the entitled person. Upon receipt by the entitled person of a corrected payoff statement, the corrected payoff statement supersedes an earlier payoff statement; however, for purposes of subsection (d), the entitled person may continue to rely on the earlier payoff statement until the entitled person actually receives the corrected payoff statement.
- (f) If a secured creditor fails without reasonable cause to deliver a timely payoff statement when required to do so by this section, the creditor is liable to the entitled person for the actual loss caused by the failure, reasonable attorney's fees and costs, and the additional sum of \$500.
- (g) An entitled person can request one payoff statement without charge during any sixmonth period. A secured creditor may impose a charge not exceeding \$25 for each additional request for a payoff statement during a six-month period. In addition, a secured creditor may impose a reasonable fee for expedited delivery of a payoff statement if the entitled person requests expedited delivery. A secured creditor may include in a payoff statement any fees

imposed under this subsection.

3

1

2 4

13

14

15 16 17

23 24 25

26

31

32

33 34 35

36 37 38

39 40

41 42

Preliminary Comments

1. Most mortgage loans are paid off prior to maturity, either upon a transfer of the mortgaged land or upon a refinancing by the landowner. In these situations, the mortgage lender customarily issues a payoff statement, specifying the payment needed to satisfy the outstanding balance of the loan.

Under the Act, the secured creditor must issue a payoff statement complying with subsection (c) within 10 days after receiving a request from an "entitled person" as defined in subsection (a). An "entitled person" includes the landowner, any person liable on the secured obligations, and any other person holding an interest in the mortgaged land, including a purchaser of the land or the holder of a subordinate lien on the land. This affirmative obligation to provide a payoff statement is consistent with the duty articulated in Restatement of Property (Third) — Mortgages § 1.6, as well as U.C.C. Section 9-210, which places a comparable obligation upon the secured creditor holding a security interest in personal property.

Unless and until the secured creditor corrects or updates the information contained in the payoff statement, the recipient of the payoff statement may rely upon it for the purpose of determining the amount that must be paid in order to obtain a recorded satisfaction of the security instrument. Upon receipt of that amount, the secured creditor must record a timely satisfaction of the security instrument.

- 2. If the secured creditor fails to issue a timely payoff statement, without reasonable cause, subsection (f) provides a minimum statutory damage recovery for the entitled person. This subsection is patterned on U.C.C. Section 9-210(f) and ensures that the secured creditor's nondelivery of a payoff statement will generally result in liability regardless of any injury that may have resulted. In addition, the entitled person may recover any loss actually resulting from the secured creditor's failure, subject to ordinary rules of pleading and proof.
- 3. The secured creditor may discover, after issuing a payoff statement, that it erroneously understated the outstanding balance of the secured obligations. Subsection (e) permits the secured creditor to issue a corrected payoff statement that supersedes the erroneous statement.

It is possible, however, that the secured creditor will have accepted payment pursuant to the erroneous statement before the secured creditor discovers the error and can issue a corrected statement. In such a case, subsection (d) makes clear that the secured creditor must record a satisfaction, unless the entitled party knew or should have known that the original statement was erroneous. For example, suppose that Bank holds a mortgage on Owner's home that secures repayment of a loan with an outstanding balance of \$100,000, and Bank issues a payoff statement indicating that the balance due is \$10,000. A payment of \$10,000 by Owner would not obligate Bank to record a satisfaction of the mortgage. The Act leaves to judicial resolution the question

of when a mistake is of sufficient magnitude that the entitled person has reason to know of the mistake.

Subsection (d) also provides that in a case where the secured creditor has understated the payoff amount — but must nevertheless record a satisfaction because it accepted payment of the specified payoff amount before issuing a corrected payoff statement — the secured creditor can still seek to recover the remaining balance of the obligations from any person personally liable for those obligations under law other than this Act. For example, suppose that Bank holds a mortgage on Owner's home that secures Owner's personal obligation on the mortgage note. Owner requests a payoff statement in conjunction with a sale of the home to Buyer. Bank issues a payoff statement that reflects an outstanding principal and interest balance of \$100,000. In fact, Owner has also incurred \$150 of late charges pursuant to the mortgage, but Bank failed to reflect those late charges on the payoff statement. Owner makes and Bank accepts payment in accordance with the payoff statement before Bank issues a corrected payoff statement. In these circumstances, Bank must record a satisfaction of the mortgage, but Bank may still recover the \$150 in late charges from Owner as an unsecured obligation unless, based upon the facts and circumstances, Owner would have a sufficient defense under the doctrines of waiver, estoppel, or the like.

4. If the secured creditor overstates the payoff amount — in other words, if the total specified in the payoff statement exceeds the actual balance of the secured obligations — the secured creditor's obligation to record a satisfaction is instead governed by Section 202(a). Under that section, if the secured creditor accepts payment of an amount that in fact constitutes the outstanding balance of the secured obligations, the secured creditor is obligated to record a satisfaction of the security instrument even though the payment was for less than the incorrect amount identified in the payoff statement.

For example, suppose that Bank holds a mortgage on Owner's home and provides a payoff statement to Owner indicating that the required payoff amount is \$52,000. Because the Bank miscalculated the accrued interest based upon an incorrect interest rate, however, the correct balance of the secured obligations is only \$51,500. If the Owner pays \$51,500 to the Bank, this payment will satisfy the secured obligations, and Section 202(a) will require Bank to execute and record a satisfaction.

5. Because mortgage loans may vary significantly in their terms and conditions, the Act does not specify any particular form that a payoff statement must take in order to satisfy this section. Instead, subsection (c) provides certain information that the secured creditor must include in order to comply with its obligation to deliver a payoff statement. The Act does require that the payoff statement must itemize by type each fee, charge, or other sum that comprises the balance of the secured obligations. The purpose of this requirement is to enable the party requesting the payoff statement to ascertain how the payoff amount was calculated.

The secured creditor may include other information beyond that specified in subsection (c),

but that additional information cannot include disclaimers or other language intended to defeat the ability of the entitled person to rely upon the accuracy of the payoff statement as provided in subsection (d).

SECTION 202. SECURED CREDITOR TO RECORD SATISFACTION.

- (a) A secured creditor must record a satisfaction of a security instrument after the creditor receives full performance of the secured obligations or becomes required to record a satisfaction under Section 201(d). If a security instrument secures an open-end line of credit or future advances, the secured creditor must record a satisfaction of the security instrument only if the secured creditor has received a notification requesting the creditor to terminate the line of credit or containing a statement sufficient to terminate the future effectiveness of the future advance provisions in the security instrument.
- (b) If a secured creditor acquired a security instrument by an unrecorded assignment, or if any prior assignment of the security instrument is unrecorded, then the satisfaction of the security instrument must identify the current record holder of the security instrument, state that the secured creditor holds the security instrument by assignment, and identify any intermediate assignments of the security instrument.
 - (c) A document is sufficient to constitute a satisfaction if it:
- (1) is signed by the secured creditor and acknowledged as required by law for a conveyance of an interest in real property;
- (2) contains language indicating the secured creditor's intention to terminate the effectiveness of the security instrument;
 - (3) identifies the security instrument, the original parties to the security instrument,

- the county and state of recording, and the recording data for the security instrument; and
 - (4) contains the information required by subsection (b), if any.

(d) If a secured creditor has not recorded a satisfaction of a security instrument within ___ days after receiving full performance of the secured obligations or becoming required to record a satisfaction under Section 201(d), the landowner may give to the creditor a notification demanding that the creditor record a satisfaction of the security instrument no later than 30 days following the effective date of the notification.

Preliminary Comments

- 1. Subsection (a) provides that the secured creditor has an affirmative obligation to record a satisfaction of a security instrument upon receiving full performance of the secured obligations or receiving payment of the amount specified in a reliable payoff statement. As explained in Section 201(d), a secured creditor who receives payment of the amount specified in a reliable payoff statement must record a satisfaction of the security instrument, even if it turns out that the payoff statement erroneously understated the balance of the secured obligations.
- 2. Although the Act imposes both statutory and actual damages upon a secured creditor who fails to record a timely satisfaction of a security instrument, subsection (d) requires the landowner to provide the secured creditor with notice and opportunity to cure before the landowner may enforce such liability against the secured creditor. If the secured creditor has not recorded a satisfaction of the security instrument within __ days after becoming legally obligated to do so, the landowner may give a notification to the secured creditor demanding that the secured creditor record a satisfaction of the security instrument within 30 days of the notification. The landowner must give this notice in a manner specified in Section 103.
- 3. Existing state laws vary widely as to the content required for a sufficient instrument of satisfaction. This variation needlessly increases the cost for national mortgage lenders to comply with the obligation to record mortgage satisfactions. Accordingly, subsection (c) provides standard content for a satisfaction document. If the document completed by the secured creditor contains this information and is submitted to the recording officer with the appropriate recording fee, that document will be sufficient to constitute a satisfaction of the security instrument.

The Act is not intended to provide the sole mechanism for effecting the satisfaction of a mortgage. For example, if another state statute permitted the secured creditor to present an affidavit that instructs the recording officer to enter a notation of satisfaction in the margin of the record where the security instrument itself appears in the record, the secured creditor could

satisfy its obligation to record a satisfaction by complying with that statute rather than by executing and recording a satisfaction document under subsection (c).

4. Frequently, the secured creditor is not the original lender, but has acquired the security instrument by means of one or more assignments. Ideally, each intermediate assignment of the security instrument will have been recorded. In that event, the secured creditor's "chain of title" to the security instrument will be complete and the land records will reflect no serious doubt regarding the secured creditor's ability to execute and record the necessary satisfaction.

Unfortunately, in many circumstances assignments occur but no assignment of the mortgage is recorded — such that the actual holder of the security instrument but does not appear to be its record holder. In these cases, the secured creditor's authority to execute and record a satisfaction is not apparent from the face of the land records. Furthermore, if such a secured creditor records a satisfaction of the security instrument, the satisfaction may be insufficiently connected to the chain of title to land described in the security instrument, at least in a jurisdiction that uses grantor-grantee indexing.

To address this situation, subsection (b) provides that if the secured creditor does not appear to be the record holder of the security instrument due to an unrecorded assignment, the satisfaction document of the security instrument must identify the current record holder of the security instrument, state that the secured creditor holds the security instrument by assignment, and identify all intermediate assignments (if any) between the record holder of the security instrument and the secured creditor. This identification will permit the satisfaction to be indexed in the name of the record holder of the security instrument, thereby alleviating any chain of title concerns.

SECTION 203. LIABILITY OF SECURED CREDITOR.

- (a) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (b), a secured creditor who must record a satisfaction of a security instrument and fails to do so within 30 days after the effective date of the notification specified in Section 202(d) is liable to the landowner for:
- (1) damages in the amount of any loss caused by the failure, including reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and

34 ALTERNATIVE A

(2) the additional sum of \$1,000.

2 (2) the additional sum of \$100 per day, for each day following the expiration of the 3 30-day period specified in this subsection, until the secured creditor records a satisfaction of the 4 security instrument, up to a maximum of ... 5 (b) A secured creditor is not liable under subsection (a) if the creditor: (1) established a reasonable procedure to record satisfactions of security instruments 6 7 in a timely manner after the full performance of the obligations secured thereby; (2) complied with this procedure in good faith; and 8 9 (3) was unable to record a satisfaction of a security instrument within the period 10 specified in subsection (a) because of the action or inaction of a person beyond its direct control. 11 **Preliminary Comments** 12 13 1. Subsection (a)(1) sets forth the basic remedy for the secured creditor's failure to comply with the requirements of the Act: a damage recovery in the amount of the loss caused by the 14 secured creditor's noncompliance. The exercise of this remedy is subject to the normal rules of 15 pleading and proof. 16 17 18 2. Subsection (a)(2) provides statutory damages of \$1,000 for the secured creditor's failure 19 to comply with the requirements of the Act, which the landowner may recover in addition to any 20 actual damages recoverable under subsection (a)(1). This provision is patterned in part upon 21 U.C.C. Section 9-625(e)(4), which provides for a minimum statutory damage recovery whenever 22 an Article 9 secured party fails to provide a termination statement within a timely manner. 23 24 [Alternative Comment 2. Subsection (a)(2) statutory damages for the secured creditor's 25 failure to comply with the requirements of the Act, which the landowner may recover in addition 26 to any damages recoverable under subsection (a)(1). This provision is somewhat similar to 27 U.C.C. Section 9-625(e)(4), which provides for a minimum statutory damage recovery whenever 28 an Article 9 secured party fails to provide a termination statement within a timely manner. 29 Subsection (a)(2) calculates these statutory damages on a per-day basis, so that the amount of the 30 statutory damages will increase for each day that the secured creditor fails to fulfill its obligation to record a timely satisfaction, subject to a maximum of ... 31 32 33 3. Subsection (b) provides a secured creditor with a defense to liability if the secured

ALTERNATIVE B

creditor has established reasonable procedures to ensure the timely recordation of satisfactions and has complied with those procedures in good faith, but where recordation does not occur because of the action or inaction of a third party beyond the control of the secured creditor. The Act does not specify what procedures are "reasonable," but leaves the question to judicial resolution.

Subsection (b) may be implicated where a secured creditor takes appropriate steps to record a timely satisfaction but where recording does not occur because of transmittal problems beyond the secured creditor's control. For example, suppose that First Bank holds a deed of trust on Owner's land. Owner obtains a refinancing loan through Second Bank and uses the proceeds of this loan to pay off the balance of the debt to First Bank. When First Bank fails to record a satisfaction of the deed of trust within 30 days following the payoff, Owner gives notification to First Bank in accordance with Section 202(d). Upon receiving this notification, First Bank promptly executes a satisfaction and transmits it to the recorder of deeds for recording, via U.S. mail. Because of error caused by a faulty postal zip code scanner, delivery of the package to the recorder's office is delayed. By the time the package arrives at the recorder's office and the satisfaction is recorded, the additional 30-day grace period has expired so that the recording is untimely. Assuming that First Bank can demonstrate that its conduct complied with its own reasonable internal procedures, Owner may not recover from First Bank.

Depending upon other state law, subsection (b) might be implicated where a secured creditor takes appropriate steps to record a timely satisfaction but where recording does not occur because the recording official wrongfully rejects the document. In most states, First Bank would be protected from liability in this instance because its presentation of a correct satisfaction would constitute a sufficient "recording" despite the recording official's rejection — thereby fulfilling its obligation to record a satisfaction. Even if not, First Bank could avoid liability by demonstrating that it had complied with its own reasonable procedures and that it had no control over the recorder's wrongful rejection.

- 4. In many cases, secured creditors will delegate responsibility for servicing mortgage loans, including the responsibility to record satisfactions of security instruments. In these cases, the Act treats the servicer as a "secured creditor," and the landowner thus could hold the servicer liable under the terms of the Act. Such delegations of authority do not automatically relieve the delegating secured creditor of its obligations under the Act. Nevertheless, a delegating secured creditor may be able to plead and prove its compliance with reasonable procedures as a defense to liability, where the secured creditor had issued timely directions to its servicer to record a satisfaction and the servicer failed to do so.
- 5. Under the Act, the secured creditor must record a satisfaction only if it has received full performance of the secured obligations, or payment of the amount specified in a reliable payoff statement. The mere existence of a dispute over the balance of the secured obligations does not by itself toll the 30-day grace period. If the secured creditor does not record a satisfaction within 30 days after receiving notification under Section 202(d), the secured creditor bears the risk that a

court might later conclude that the landowner did in fact tender full performance or did make payment of the amount specified in a reliable payoff statement.

SECTION 204. REINSTATEMENT OF SECURITY INSTRUMENT; LIABILITY FOR ERRONEOUS REINSTATEMENT.

- (a) If a secured creditor has recorded a satisfaction of a security instrument in error, the creditor may execute and record a document stating that the satisfaction was erroneously recorded and that the secured obligations remain unsatisfied. When recorded, this document shall rescind an erroneously recorded satisfaction and reinstate the lien of the security instrument.
 - (b) A rescission under subsection (a) has no effect on the rights of any person who:
- (1) acquired an interest in the real property after the secured creditor recorded the erroneous satisfaction but prior to the rescission, and
- (2) would otherwise have priority over or take free of the lien of the secured creditor under [the recording act of this state].
- (c) If a secured creditor wrongfully records a rescission of satisfaction, the secured creditor is liable to any person injured thereby for damages in the amount of any loss caused by the wrongful recording, including reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and the additional sum of \$1,000. A rescission of satisfaction is wrongful as to a person described in subsection (b).

Preliminary Comments

1. Subsection (a) permits a secured creditor that has erroneously recorded a satisfaction of a security instrument to prepare and record a document rescinding this satisfaction and reinstating the lien of the security instrument. For example, suppose that Bank receives a payoff of a loan secured by a mortgage on Parcel X, but because a filing clerk has inadvertently misfiled the mortgage, Bank mistakenly transmits to the recording official a satisfaction of the mortgage on Parcel Y (on which there remains a significant outstanding balance). Bank could always request

 the owner of Parcel Y to execute a new mortgage, but the owner of Parcel Y may not cooperate in this effort, even if the owner of Parcel Y is otherwise legally obligated to do so. In this situation, subsection (a) permits Bank to rescind the erroneous satisfaction and reinstate its lien against Parcel Y.

Although the Act permits the secured creditor to rescind an erroneous satisfaction, the secured creditor may not do so to the detriment of third parties who can claim the benefit of the jurisdiction's recording act. Thus, in the above example, suppose that after Bank had recorded the satisfaction with respect to Parcel Y, the owner of Parcel Y sold that parcel to Buyer for value, and that Buyer neither knew nor had reason to know that Bank's recorded satisfaction was erroneous. In a jurisdiction with a pure notice recording statute, Buyer would take Parcel Y free and clear of Bank's mortgage, even if the Bank later attempted to reinstate its lien by rescinding the erroneous satisfaction. Subsection (a) confirms this result.

Accordingly, a secured creditor should take caution in recording a rescission of satisfaction where third parties have acquired intervening interests. In the above example, if the Bank recorded a rescission of the satisfaction, the Bank's conduct would be wrongful and would subject the Bank to liability under subsection (b).

Generally speaking, subsection (a) will operate to protect the priority of claims of third parties who relied upon an erroneously recorded satisfaction, such as buyers and mortgagees. Most state recording statutes do not protect involuntary or nonreliance creditors, such as the holders of judgment liens. In these states, under subsection (a), the rescission of an erroneously recorded satisfaction would reinstate the lien created by the security instrument, and this reinstated lien would take priority over the claim of an intervening judgment lien creditor. However, in those jurisdictions where the recording act protects judgment lien creditors, an intervening judgment lien will have priority over the secured creditor's reinstated lien.

2. Subsection (b) authorizes a person injured by the secured creditor's wrongful rescission of satisfaction a security instrument to recover damages in the amount of the loss caused by the secured creditor's conduct. The exercise of this remedy is subject to the normal rules of pleading and proof. Subsection (b) also provides for statutory damages of \$1,000 for the secured creditor's wrongful rescission of a satisfaction, which an injured person may recover in addition to any actual damages.

1 **ARTICLE 3** 2 3 SATISFACTION OF SECURITY INSTRUMENT BY AFFIDAVIT 4 5 6 SECTION 301. AUTHORIZATION TO RECORD AFFIDAVIT OF SATISFACTION. 7 (a) If a secured creditor has not recorded a satisfaction of a security instrument within 8 days after receiving full performance of the secured obligations or becoming required to record a 9 satisfaction under Section 201(d), a closing agent acting for and with authority from the 10 landowner may give the secured creditor notification that the closing agent intends to record an 11 affidavit of satisfaction of the security instrument. A notification satisfies the requirements of 12 this subsection (a) if it includes the following information: 13 (1) the identity and mailing address of the closing agent; 14 (2) the effective date of the notification; 15 (3) identification of the security instrument for which a recorded satisfaction is 16 sought, including the names of the original parties to, and the recording data for, the security 17 instrument; 18 (4) a statement that the closing agent possesses evidence that the secured creditor has 19 received full performance of the secured obligations; (5) a statement that the secured creditor has not recorded a satisfaction of the security 20 21 instrument; and 22 (6) a statement that the closing agent will execute and record an affidavit of

notification, either records a satisfaction of the security instrument or gives to the closing agent a

satisfaction unless the secured creditor, within 30 days following the effective date of the

23

notification stating that the secured obligations remain unsatisfied.

(b) If a secured creditor fails to record a satisfaction of a security instrument within 30 days after the effective date of a notification complying with subsection (a), and the closing agent has not received notification that the secured obligations remain unsatisfied, the closing agent may execute and record an affidavit of satisfaction complying with the requirements of Section 302.

Preliminary Comments

1. Article 3 of the Act establishes a procedure that allows a landowner who has fully performed the secured obligations to clear its title via affidavit if the secured creditor has failed to record a satisfaction after notification and an opportunity to cure. Section 301 sets forth a timetable that should permit a diligent secured creditor sufficient time in which to record a satisfaction and avoid the filing of an affidavit of satisfaction. If the secured creditor has not recorded a satisfaction within __ days after becoming legally obligated to do so, a closing agent (Section 102(2)) may give notification to the secured creditor instructing the secured creditor to record a satisfaction of the security instrument or provide an objection within 30 days of the effective date of the notification. During this 30-day grace period, the secured creditor can record a satisfaction; thus, in all instances the secured creditor has a minimum of __ days in which to record the satisfaction and avoid the filing of an affidavit of satisfaction.

If the secured creditor properly objects to satisfaction within the 30-day period, the closing agent may not execute and record an affidavit of satisfaction. However, while the secured creditor's objection may prevent the closing agent from using the Act's self-help satisfaction procedure, it does not necessarily shield the secured creditor from potential liability under Section 203. If subsequent litigation establishes that the secured creditor was legally obligated to record a satisfaction but failed to do so within 30 days after the effective date of a notification complying with Section 202(d), the secured creditor will have violated its obligations under Section 201 and the landowner may recover statutory and actual damages under Section 203.

2. Because the closing agent acts in this instance pursuant to the authority of the Act, it is irrelevant whether the closing agent is named as a party in the security instrument. Under Section 302, the affidavit of satisfaction includes sufficient information to permit the recording officer to index the affidavit of satisfaction in a manner that will sufficiently connect it to the chain of title to the land covered by the security instrument.

SECTION 302. AFFIDAVIT OF SATISFACTION.

(a) An affidavit of satisfaction must:

- (1) identify the original parties to the security instrument, the landowner, the secured creditor, the record holder of the security instrument if different from the secured creditor, and the recording data for the security instrument;
- (2) state that the person executing the affidavit of satisfaction is either an officer or a duly appointed agent of a title insurance company authorized and licensed to transact the business of insuring titles to interests in real property in this state, or an attorney in good standing and licensed to practice law in this state;
- (3) state that the secured creditor provided a payoff statement that identified the balance needed to satisfy the secured obligations and that the creditor received payment of the balance specified in that statement;
- (4) state that the person executing the affidavit gave notification to the secured creditor of its intention to execute and record an affidavit of satisfaction, that more than 30 days have elapsed following the effective date of that notification, and that the creditor has neither recorded a satisfaction of the security instrument nor given notification that the secured obligations remain unsatisfied; and
- (5) be executed and acknowledged as required by law for a conveyance of an interest in real property.
- (b) No particular phrasing of an affidavit of satisfaction is required; however, the following form of affidavit, when completed, is sufficient to satisfy the requirements of subsection (a):

1 2 3	" (Date of Affidavit)
4	AFFIDAVIT OF SATISFACTION
5	The undersigned hereby states as follows:
6	1. I am [an officer or a duly appointed agent of a title insurance company
7	authorized and licensed to transact the business of insuring titles to interests in
8	real property] [an attorney in good standing and licensed to practice law] in this
9	state.
10	2. I am executing this Affidavit of Satisfaction to evidence satisfaction of the
11	obligations secured by land covered by the following security instrument (the
12	"Security Instrument"), currently held by (the "Secured
13	Creditor"):
14	Title of Security Instrument:
15	Original Parties to Security Instrument:
16	County and State of Recording:
17	Current Record Holder of Security Instrument, if other than Secured Creditor:
18	Recording Data for Security Instrument:
19	3. I possess satisfactory evidence that the secured creditor has received payment
20	of the balance of the obligations secured by the security instrument, as specified in
21	a payoff statement.
22	4. On [Date of Notification of Intent to Execute and Record Affidavit of
23	Satisfaction] , I gave notification to the secured creditor that I would execute

1	and record an affidavit of satisfaction of the security instrument if the secured	
2	creditor did not record a satisfaction of the security instrument within 30 days of	
3	, the effective date identified in that notification. This 30-day period	
4	has elapsed, and the secured creditor has neither recorded a satisfaction of the	
5	security instrument nor given notification that the secured obligations remain	
6	unsatisfied.	
7		
8 9 10	(Signature of Closing Agent)	
11 12	(Notarization)	
	Preliminary Comments	
1. Subsection (a) sets forth the information necessary for a sufficient affidavit of satisfaction. An affidavit that lacks any of the information required by subsection (a) does not operate as a satisfaction of the security instrument under Section 303, even if it is accepted for recording.		
	Although subsection (b) provides that a particular phrasing is not required, it also ies a safe-harbor form that, when properly completed, satisfies subsection (a).	
SE	ECTION 303. EFFECT OF AFFIDAVIT OF SATISFACTION.	
	(a) An affidavit of satisfaction complying with section 302(a) is evidence of the facts	
contai	ned in it, must be accepted for recording in the [appropriate governmental office under the	
record	ing act of this state], and upon recording operates as a satisfaction of the security	
instru	ment described in the affidavit.	

(b) The recording of an affidavit of satisfaction does not itself extinguish the liability of any person liable for repayment of the secured obligations.

Preliminary Comments

1. In most states, other state statutes specify the form and content necessary for a document to constitute a sufficient satisfaction. Subsection (a) makes clear that the recording officer must accept for recording any affidavit of satisfaction that complies with the provisions of Section 302. Subsection (a) also makes clear that the affidavit of satisfaction has the same legal effect as a recorded satisfaction, even if the affidavit would not satisfy other state law provisions governing the form and content necessary for a satisfaction piece.

 2. Subsection (b) provides that the recording of an affidavit of satisfaction affects only the effectiveness of the security instrument described in it, and not the enforceability of the secured obligations. If the closing agent executes and records an affidavit of satisfaction even though the secured obligations have not in fact been fully performed, the Act does not preclude the secured creditor from enforcing the secured obligations against anyone personally liable for those obligations. Whether the obligations have in fact been fully performed is governed by law other than this Act.

SECTION 304. LIABILITY OF CLOSING AGENT. A closing agent that erroneously records an affidavit of satisfaction is liable to the secured creditor for any loss sustained due to the recording of the affidavit, including reasonable attorneys' fees and court costs. If a closing agent records an affidavit of satisfaction knowing that the statements contained in the affidavit are false, the closing agent is liable to the secured creditor for treble the loss sustained due to the recording of the affidavit, including reasonable attorneys' fees and court costs[, and is subject to other applicable sanctions for such conduct under [cite statutes for perjury or similar criminal offense]].

Preliminary Comments

1. If a closing agent wrongly executes and records an affidavit of satisfaction, the secured creditor may effectively lose the ability to enforce the security instrument as a means to facilitate its collection of the remaining balance of the obligation. In such a case, Section 304 permits the

affected secured creditor to recover damages from the closing agent, subject to the usual rules of pleading and proof. To the extent the landowner's equity in the real estate exceeded the remaining unpaid balance of the obligation, the affected secured creditor would be able to recover (at a minimum) the remaining unpaid balance of the obligation.

2. In the event that the closing agent executes and records an affidavit of satisfaction knowing that the statements contained in it are false, this section permits the secured creditor to recover treble its actual damages. Moreover, under the criminal law of some states, the filing of a knowingly false affidavit or certification may constitute perjury or a distinct criminal offense. This section makes clear that the Act does not constrain the ability of public officials to prosecute such conduct under criminal law.

1 **ARTICLE 4** 2 3 AUTHORIZED SATISFACTION BY CLOSING AGENT 4 5 6 SECTION 401. ISSUANCE AND EFFECT OF SATISFACTION STATEMENT. 7 (a) In this Article, "satisfaction statement" means a payoff statement in which the 8 secured creditor authorizes a closing agent to execute and record a satisfaction of a security 9 instrument upon payment of the amount specified in that statement. 10 (b) Upon request by a landowner or a closing agent on behalf of the landowner, a secured 11 creditor may provide to the requester a satisfaction statement. A secured creditor is not obligated 12 to provide a satisfaction statement unless the security instrument so provides. 13 (c) Notwithstanding law other than this [act] to the contrary, a secured creditor may impose a fee of for providing a satisfaction statement. 14 15 (d) Upon payment of the amount identified in the satisfaction statement, including payment of the fee authorized by subsection (c), a closing agent may execute and record in the 16 17 name of a secured creditor a certificate of satisfaction of a security instrument complying with 18 the provisions of Section 402. 19 (e) A secured creditor's acceptance of performance of the secured obligations pursuant to 20 a satisfaction statement is a defense to the secured creditor's liability under Section 203. 21 **Preliminary Comments** 22 23 Article 2 of the Act presumptively places the burden upon a secured creditor to execute and record a satisfaction of a security instrument following full payment or performance of the 24 secured obligations. As set forth in Section 203, a secured creditor who fails to execute and 25 26 record that satisfaction may be subject to statutory damages plus liability for any actual loss 27 sustained by the landowner as a consequence of the secured creditor's failure. 28

Article 4 of the Act creates a mechanism — the "satisfaction statement" — by which the secured creditor can authorize the closing agent, upon full payment of the debt, to execute and record a satisfaction of the security instrument in the name of the secured party. If the secured creditor issues a satisfaction statement and contemporaneously or subsequently accepts full payment as identified in that satisfaction statement, then the closing agent can execute and record a certificate of satisfaction of the security instrument (Section 402) which, upon recording, shall operate as a satisfaction of the security instrument (Section 403).

The secured creditor need not issue a satisfaction statement, even if the landowner or its closing agent so requests, unless the security instrument by its terms obligates the secured creditor to do so. Instead, the secured creditor may simply issue a traditional payoff statement (Section 102(8)), with the secured creditor remaining responsible to record a satisfaction following full performance. However, by executing a satisfaction statement, the secured creditor can shift to the closing agent the practical burden and legal responsibility associated with recording a satisfaction of the security instrument. If the secured creditor accepts full payment of the secured obligations as identified in that satisfaction statement, then the secured creditor cannot later be held liable under Section 203 if the closing agent thereafter fails to satisfy the mortgage in a timely fashion.

SECTION 402. CERTIFICATE OF SATISFACTION.

- (a) A certificate of satisfaction must:
- (1) identify the original parties to the security instrument, the landowner, the secured creditor, the record holder of the security instrument if different from the secured creditor, and the recording data for the security instrument;
- (2) state that the person executing the certificate is either an officer or a duly appointed agent of a title insurance company authorized and licensed to transact the business of insuring titles to interests in real property in this state or an attorney in good standing and licensed to practice law in this state;
- (3) state that the secured creditor provided a satisfaction statement that authorized the person executing the certificate to execute and record a satisfaction of the security instrument following payment of the sums identified in the satisfaction statement;

1		(4) state that the person executing the certificate has satisfactory evidence that the
2	secu	red creditor has received payment of the sums identified in the satisfaction statement; and
3		(5) be executed and acknowledged as required by law for a conveyance of an interes
4	in re	eal property.
5		(b) No particular phrasing of the certificate is required; however, the following form of
5	certi	ficate, when completed, is sufficient to satisfy the requirements of subsection (a):
	7 8	(Date of Certificate)
	9	(= 3.00 00 0 0 3.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0
	10	CERTIFICATE OF SATISFACTION
	11	The undersigned hereby states as follows:
	12	1. I am [an officer or a duly appointed agent of a title insurance company
	13	authorized and licensed to transact the business of insuring titles to interests in
	14	real property] [an attorney in good standing and licensed to practice law] in this
	15	state.
	16	2. I am executing this Certificate of Satisfaction to evidence satisfaction of the
	17	obligations secured by land covered by the following security instrument (the
	18	"Security Instrument"), presently held by (the "Secured
	19	Creditor"):
	20	Title of Security Instrument:
	21	Original Parties to Security Instrument:
	22	County and State of Recording:
	23	Current Record Holder of Security Instrument, if other than Secured Creditor:

1	Recording Data for Security Instrument:
2	3. I received from the Secured Creditor a satisfaction statement regarding the
3	obligations described in the Security Instrument. This statement authorized me to
4	execute and record a satisfaction of the Security Instrument following payment of
5	the balance of the obligation as specified in that satisfaction statement.
6	4. I possess satisfactory evidence that the Secured Creditor has received
7	payment of the amount specified in that satisfaction statement.
8	
9 10	(Signature of Closing Agent)
11	,,
12 13	(Notarization)
	Preliminary Comments
	Treminary Comments
	action. A certificate that lacks any of the information required by subsection (a) does not the as a satisfaction of the security instrument under Section 403, even if it is accepted for
	Although subsection (b) provides that a particular phrasing is not required, it also ies a safe-harbor form that, when properly completed, satisfies subsection (a).
SI	ECTION 403. EFFECT OF CERTIFICATE OF SATISFACTION.
	(a) A certificate of satisfaction complying with Section 402 must be recorded in the
[recor	ding office] in the [county] where the real property described in the security instrument is
locate	d, and upon recording operates as a satisfaction of the security instrument described in the

certificate.

(b) Recording of a certificate of satisfaction does not extinguish or otherwise affect the liability of any person liable for repayment of the secured obligations.

Preliminary Comments

1. In most states, other state statutes specify the form and content necessary for a document to constitute a sufficient satisfaction. Subsection (a) makes clear that the recording officer must accept for recording any certificate of satisfaction that complies with the provisions of Section 402. Subsection (a) also makes clear that the certificate of satisfaction has the same legal effect as a recorded satisfaction, even if the certificate would not satisfy other state law provisions governing the form and content necessary for a satisfaction piece.

2. Subsection (b) provides that the certificate of satisfaction affects only the effectiveness of the security instrument described in it, and not the enforceability of the secured obligations. If the closing agent executes and records a certificate of satisfaction even though the secured obligations have not in fact been fully performed, the Act does not preclude the secured creditor from enforcing the secured obligations against anyone personally liable for those obligations. Whether the obligations have in fact been fully performed is governed by law other than this Act.

SECTION 404. LIABILITY OF CLOSING AGENT. A closing agent that erroneously records a certificate of satisfaction is liable to the secured creditor for any loss sustained due to the recording of the certificate, including reasonable attorneys' fees and court costs. If a closing agent records a certificate of satisfaction knowing that statements contained in the certificate are false, the closing agent is liable to the secured creditor for treble the loss sustained due to the recording of the certificate, including reasonable attorneys' fees and court costs[, and is subject to other applicable sanctions for such conduct under [cite statutes for perjury or similar criminal offense]].

1. If a closing agent wrongly executes and records a certificate of satisfaction, the secured creditor may effectively lose the ability to enforce the security instrument as a means to facilitate

Preliminary Comments

its collection of the remaining balance of the obligation. In such a case, Section 404 permits the affected secured creditor to recover damages from the closing agent, subject to the usual rules of pleading and proof. To the extent the landowner's equity in the real estate exceeded the remaining unpaid balance of the obligation, the affected secured creditor would be able to recover (at a minimum) the remaining unpaid balance of the obligation.

2. In the event that the closing agent executes and records a certificate of satisfaction knowing that the statements contained in it are false, this section permits the secured creditor to recover treble its actual damages. Moreover, under the criminal law of some states, the filing of a knowingly false affidavit or certification may constitute perjury or a distinct criminal offense. This section makes clear that the Act does not constrain the ability of public officials to prosecute such conduct under criminal law.

1	ARTICLE 5
2	
3	MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS
4	
5	
6	SECTION 501. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION. In
7	applying and construing this [act], consideration must be given to the need to promote uniformity
8	of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.
9	
10	SECTION 502. EFFECTIVE DATE. This [act] takes effect on
11	
12	SECTION 503. REPEALS. The following acts are repealed: [List statutes to be
13	specifically repealed.]