DRAFT

FOR DISCUSSION ONLY

HIGHLY AUTOMATED VEHICLES ACT

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

February 1-2, 2019 Drafting Committee Meeting

This draft act adopts the formatting conventions of a final act. Footnotes have been removed, and legislative notes have been added throughout. Draft reporter comments have been included from the prefatory note through Section 2 to generate feedback on their substance, structure, and style. Full reporter comments will be added as the Committee nears consensus on the language of the act itself.

Copyright © 2019
By
NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS
ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

The ideas and conclusions set forth in this draft, including the proposed statutory language and any comments or reporter's notes, have not been passed upon by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws or the drafting committee. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Conference and its commissioners and the drafting committee and its members and reporter. Proposed statutory language may not be used to ascertain the intent or meaning of any promulgated final statutory proposal.

DRAFTING COMMITTEE ON HIGHLY AUTOMATED VEHICLES ACT

The Committee appointed by and representing the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in preparing this act consists of the following individuals:

THOMAS J. BUITEWEG, 3025 Boardwalk St., Suite 120, Ann Arbor, MI 48108, Chair

H. CLAYTON WALKER, 1201 Main St., 22nd Floor, Columbia, SC 29201, Vice Chair

PAMELA WINSTON BERTANI, 728 Texas St., Suite 4, Fairfield, CA 94533

K. KING BURNETT, 76 Taylor Rd., Stow, MA 01775-1616

DALE G. HIGER, 1302 E. Warm Springs Ave., Boise, ID 83712

MARY GAY JONES, 18 N. Foxhill Rd., North Salt Lake, UT 84054

DONALD E. MIELKE, 6534 S. Chase St., Littleton, CO 80123-6835

MICHELE RADOSEVICH, 1201 3rd Ave., Suite 2200, Seattle, WA 98101-3045

LEONARD J. REESE, 1806 Niles Rd., Austin, TX 78703

JEROD E. TUFTE, State Capitol, 600 E. Boulevard Ave., Bismarck, ND 58505-0530

BRYANT WALKER SMITH, University of South Carolina School of Law, 1525 Senate St., Columbia, SC 29201, *Reporter*

DEREK TARVER, 1441 Main St., Suite 1200, Columbia, SC 29201, Associate Reporter

EX OFFICIO

ANITA RAMASASTRY, University of Washington School of Law, William H. Gates Hall, Box 353020, Seattle, WA 98195-3020, *President*

JULIET M. MORINGIELLO, Widener University Commonwealth Law School, 3800 Vartan Way, Harrisburg, PA 17110-9742, *Division Chair*

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ADVISOR

KELLY A. DONOHUE, 1800 M St. NW, Suite 800N, Washington, DC 20036-5850, ABA Advisor

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

STEVEN L. WILLBORN, Uniform Law Commission, 111 N. Wabash, Suite 1010, Chicago, IL 60602, *Interim Executive Director*

Copies of this Act may be obtained from:

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010 Chicago, IL 60602 312/450-6600 www.uniformlaws.org

HIGHLY AUTOMATED VEHICLES ACT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE	4
SECTION 2. DEFINITION	4
SECTION 3. APPLICATION; GOVERNING LAW.	8
SECTION 4. DRIVER LICENSING	8
SECTION 5. VEHICLE REGISTRATION	9
SECTION 6. AUTOMATED DRIVING PROVIDERS AND ASSOCIATED AUTOM	IATED
VEHICLES.	
SECTION 7. EQUIPMENT	12
SECTION 8. RULES OF THE ROAD.	13
SECTION 9. INSURANCE	14
SECTION 10. VIOLATIONS.	
SECTION 11. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION	15
SECTION 12. SEVERABILITY.	15
SECTION 13. EFFECTIVE DATE	15

HIGHLY AUTOMATED VEHICLES ACT

The Automated Vehicles Act addresses a narrow but foundational set of the many legal and policy issues raised by automated driving. It is intended to explicitly accommodate and specifically regulate what it refers to as the automated operation of automated vehicles. Colloquially, these vehicles may also be described as autonomous, driverless, or self-driving. Under at least some circumstances, they can steer, brake, and accelerate by themselves while monitoring the road so that a human driver need not do so.

This act covers the deployment of these automated vehicles on roads held open to the public. It does not cover testing of aspirational automated vehicles for the purposes of research and development. It does not cover remote driving, in which a human drives a vehicle while outside of or far from it. And it does not cover vehicle features that merely assist a human driver; even if these features brake, steer, and accelerate, they are still designed with the expectation that a human driver will monitor the road.

What the act does cover is still vast, for automated driving encompasses a wide range of technologies, applications of those technologies, business models for those applications, and participants in those business models. See Bryant Walker Smith, How Governments Can Promote Automated Driving, 47 N.M. L. Rev. 99 (2017).

For example, a vehicle capable of automated operation *may or may not* be designed for all roads, communities, and travel conditions; be capable of automated operation for an entire trip; include a traditional steering while, throttle, and brake pedal; need a human who can resume driving when requested to do so; need this human to be physically present in the vehicle; rely on a human located far from the vehicle to provide instructions and information; use specific sensor technologies, including camera, radar, lidar, sonar, and GPS; use highly detailed maps that are created in advance; communicate directly with other vehicles; be originally manufactured as an automated vehicle; be retrofitted by a developer other than the vehicle manufacturer; be modified by third parties without the involvement of that developer; be sold to individual consumers; be deployed only as part of a fleet; carry passengers, deliver goods, provide services, or perform novel functions; and so on.

In other words, because there could be so many different forms of automated driving, picturing and attempting to legislate for the singular "driverless car" can be both impractical and counterproductive. Instead, it is more helpful to identify and explore assumptions in contemporary legal rules as well as in contemporary discussions of automated driving. This act is a product of this collective exploration.

The act accordingly attempts to reconcile automated driving with a typical state motor vehicle code. For this reason, in some ways the structure of the draft mirrors such a code: Many of its sections—including definitions, driver licensing, vehicle registration, equipment, rules of the road, and (in an inchoate form) insurance—correspond to, refer to, and can be incorporated into existing sections of a typical vehicle code. However, because existing codes vary widely in both

substance and structure, the work of carefully codifying this act is left to each state that adopts it.

One key section of this act—on automated driving providers—is not part of a traditional vehicle code but has strong parallels in how some states have approached automated vehicle testing, see, e.g., Cal. Veh. Code 38750; Ohio Executive Order 2018-04K, and in how both the National Transport Commission of Australia and the Law Commission of England and Wales envision approaching automated vehicle deployment, see NTC Australia, Changing driving laws to support automated vehicles, ntc.gov.au/current-projects/changing-driving-laws-to-support-automated-vehicles ("automated driving system entity"); Law Commission, Automated Vehicles: a joint preliminary consultation paper, lawcom.gov.uk/project/automated-vehicles (same). As the effective driver of an automated vehicle, the "automated driving provider" is also at the heart of the Automated Vehicles Act. This actor is defined not by a specific role in the stream of commerce but, rather, by a willingness to self-identify and an ability to meet specific technical and legal requirements. This provider might be an automated driving developer, vehicle manufacturer, data provider, fleet operator, insurer, affiliated firm, or another kind of market participant that has yet to emerge.

The diversity of automated driving compels this flexibility. An automated vehicle's automated driving systems may be installed by the developer of the system, the manufacturer of the vehicle, or another entity altogether. The vehicle may be owned by sophisticated technology companies, by fleet operators with some familiarity with automation, or by individuals (or their lenders) with no technical knowledge whatsoever. Regardless of ownership, the continued safety of automated operation is likely to require the ongoing involvement of a technically competent entity that facilitates data transfers, software updates, and technical support.

Fundamentally, this act is about safety—encouraging the responsible deployment of automated vehicles in a way that balances concerns about the current safety of conventional driving with concerns about the potential safety of automated driving. As existing automated driving laws and policies demonstrate, states approach this balancing act in different ways. This act draws from and builds on these approaches.

HIGHLY AUTOMATED VEHICLES ACT

Legislative Note: This act should be codified into the vehicle code or the equivalent law of this state according to state practice. This codification could involve amending existing provisions of the vehicle code, inserting provisions within existing sections of the vehicle code, or adding new sections to the vehicle code. The act should be codified so that, in relation to automated vehicles, its provisions supplement, modify, and clarify—but do not wholly displace—generally applicable state vehicle law.

Comment

As stated in the legislative note, this act is intended to supplement, modify, and clarify—but not wholly displace—generally applicable state motor vehicle law. Vehicle law typically addresses vehicle titling, vehicle registration, driver licensing, rules of the road, and similar topics. However, states are not consistent in the substance of the structure of this law. For example, many states use the term "vehicle code" to refer to motor vehicle law generally (as does this act),

but others use the term to refer to only a subset of this law, and others do not use the term at all.

It is against this backdrop that some states have enacted legislation specific to automated driving. This legislation has been codified in various ways, including as a standalone chapter, see, e.g., NRS Chapter 482A, as new sections within the vehicle code or its equivalent, see, e.g., Cal. Veh. Code div. 16.6, as new provisions within existing sections of the vehicle code, see, e.g., M.C.L.A. 257.36, and as amendments to existing provisions of the vehicle code, see, e.g., T.C.A. § 55-8-101. Some states have taken multiple approaches. Compare, e.g., Col. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 42-1-102 with § 42-4-110 with § 42-4-242.

Regardless of how this legislation has been codified, state motor vehicle law still generally applies with respect to automated driving. In some cases, legislation expressly excludes the application of specified provisions of the vehicle code, see, e.g., Fla. Stat. Ann. § 316.305, expressly excludes the application of unspecified inconsistent provisions, see, e.g., Col. Revised Stat. Ann. § 42-4-242, or would seem to implicitly exclude the application of inconsistent provisions, see, e.g., Cal. Vehicle Code § 38755. In no case, however, does legislation wholly or even largely remove automated driving from the vehicle code.

This act takes a similar approach. Early in the process, the Committee considered whether to (1) create a new legal framework for automated vehicles to wholly supplant existing vehicle codes, (2) adopt a model vehicle code applicable to all motor vehicles and then amend it to explicitly address automated vehicles, or (3) draft a hybrid act to map an existing vehicle code onto automated vehicles. The Committee determined that the first two options were certainly impractical and possibly undesirable and determined that only a hybrid act could effectively address the complexity and diversity of existing motor vehicle law.

1	SECTION 1. SHOP	RT TITLE. This [act] may be cited as the
2	Internal Option 1:	Highly Automated Vehicles Act.
3	Internal Option 2:	Automated Driving and Vehicles Act.
4	Internal Option 3:	Automated Driving of Vehicles Act.
5	Internal Option 4:	Automated Operation of Vehicles Act.
6	Internal Option 5:	Automated Vehicles Act. [recommended]
7		Comment
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	under at least some circumst road so that the human driver driver assistance system, been driver will still monitor the ran automated vehicle even it human driver rather than the monitoring the road. This ambiguity is one of the driving, SAE J3016 (2018), specific alternatives. Howev U.S. states, and even the Un this act likewise refers to aut equipped on these vehicles a others are explained in the next the state of	
26 27		"vehicles" rather than to "driving" does not exclude the latter, just ddress not only vehicles but also driving and drivers.
28 29	SECTION 2. DEFI	NITION. In this [act]:
30	(1) "Associated auto	mated vehicle" means an automated vehicle that an automated
31	driving provider designates	oursuant to Section 6.
32	(2) "Automated drivi	ng provider" means a person that declares itself to the [relevant state
33	agency] pursuant to Section	6.
34	(3) "Automated drivi	ng system" means the hardware and software collectively capable of

1 performing the entire dynamic driving task on a sustained basis.

- (4) "Automated operation" means the performance of the entire dynamic driving task by an automated driving system. Automated operation begins upon the performance of the entire dynamic driving task by an automated driving system and continues until termination of automated operation.
 - (5) "Automated vehicle" means a motor vehicle with an automated driving system.
- (6) "Completely automated trip" means travel in an automated vehicle that, from the point of departure until the point of arrival, is under automated operation by means of an automated driving system designed to achieve a minimal risk condition.
 - (7) "Dedicated automated vehicle" means an automated vehicle designed for exclusively automated operation when used for transportation on a [road open to the public].
 - (8) "Drive" means as provided in [this state's vehicle code], except that an automated driving provider exclusively drives an associated automated vehicle under automated operation.
 - (9) "Driver" means as provided in [this state's vehicle code], except that an automated driving provider is the exclusive driver of an associated automated vehicle under automated operation.
 - (10) "Dynamic driving task" means the real-time operational and tactical functions required to operate a vehicle in on-road traffic, including controlling lateral and longitudinal vehicle motion, monitoring the driving environment, executing responses to objects and events, planning vehicle maneuvers, and enhancing vehicle conspicuity. The term does not mean the strategic functions of driving, including scheduling trips, selecting destinations, and specifying routes.
 - (11) "Minimal risk condition" means a condition to which a vehicle user or an automated

- driving system may bring a vehicle to reduce the risk of a crash when a trip cannot or should not be completed.
- (12) "Operate" means as provided in [this state's vehicle code], except that an automated
 driving provider exclusively operates an associated automated vehicle under automated
 operation.
 - (13) "Operational design domain" means the environmental, geographic, time-of-day, traffic, infrastructure, and other conditions under which an automated driving system is specifically designed to function.

- (14) "Operator" means as provided in [this state's vehicle code], except that an automated driving provider is the exclusive operator of an associated automated vehicle under automated operation.
- (15) "Person" means as provided in [this state's vehicle code.] [means an individual, estate, business or nonprofit entity, public corporation, government or governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality, or other legal entity.]
- (16) "Termination of automated operation" means an indication by a human driver or operator that the driver or operator is performing part of or the entire dynamic driving task and that the automated driving system should no longer perform the entire dynamic driving task.

 Intervention by a human to mitigate an imminent crash hazard caused by the automated driving system does not constitute termination of automated operation while the crash hazard is still present.
- Legislative Note: The "relevant state agency" referred to in paragraph 2 may be a department
 or division of motor vehicles or another state agency responsible for the registration of motor
 vehicles or the licensing of drivers.
- Legislative Note: States use a variety of terms to describe a "road open to the public" as used in
 paragraph 7, including road, roadway, and highway. This term may also encompass some

privately or publicly operated parking facilities. If the state consistently uses a term to refer to such a road, it should be used here.

Legislative Note: Paragraphs 8, 9, 12, and 14 provide definitions for terms that may already be used in state vehicle law and, if so, may or may not already be defined statutorily. If a term is not used in statute or case law, it may be omitted, although a state may wish to retain all four terms to reduce future interpretive ambiguity and increase interstate consistency. If a term is already defined statutorily, that definition may be amended directly rather than by reference.

 Legislative Note: The phrase "this state's vehicle code" as used in paragraphs 8, 9, 12, 14, and 15 refers to a state's laws on, inter alia, the licensing of drivers and the titling, registration, and operation of motor vehicles. These laws are generally statutory but may be regulatory. They generally include and are broader than the rules of the road.

Legislative Note: Paragraph 15 provides a definition for "person" if and only if the term is not already defined statutorily for the purpose of state vehicle law or is defined statutorily to include only natural persons.

Comment

Although the 16 terms defined in this section are best understood in context, some points of introduction and clarification may be helpful.

First, consistent with the practice of the U.S. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and several U.S. states, this section adapts some terms and definitions from the leading definitional document for automated driving, SAE J3016 (2018). In particular, paragraphs 3, 7, 10, 11, and 13 borrow from SAE J3016 but incorporate changes for legal or functional clarity. These are essential definitions.

Second, this section references some terms that may already be used in state motor vehicle law, including those in paragraphs 8, 9, 12, and 14. These terms are used inconsistently across and even within states. See generally Bryant Walker Smith, Automated Vehicles Are Probably Legal in the United States, 1 Tex. A&M L. Rev. 411, 463-74 (2014). Because interpretation of these terms can have dramatic consequences under state vehicle law—even if they are not defined statutorily—these paragraphs clarify the definitions in the context of automated driving without disrupting the more general definitions.

Third, the "automated driving provider" concept referenced in paragraph 2 is foundational to this act. As explained in section 6 and the accompanying comment, this act permits the ordinary registration of an automated vehicle only if some qualified entity vouches for that vehicle pursuant to section 6. This entity may be the same as or different than the entity that registers the vehicle. For example, the developer of an automated driving system might be the automated driving provider for an automated vehicle that is owned and accordingly registered by an individual consumer.

Fourth, under paragraphs 4 and 16, "automated operation" can be terminated only by a human

1 driver or operator and is not terminated while that human is intervening in a dangerous situation 2 caused by the automated driving system. This means that, for example, a vehicle with an 3 automated driving system that has unexpectedly malfunctioned is still under automated operation 4 in a legal sense even if it is not under automated operation in a technical sense. 5 6 Fifth, several definitions contain nuances that may not be immediately obvious. A vehicle 7 equipped with an automated driving system is considered an "automated vehicle" under 8 paragraph 5 regardless of whether the vehicle is under automated operation. A vehicle is still a 9 "dedicated automated vehicle" under paragraph 7 even if it can or must be driven by a human in 10 certain terminal situations such as those involving maintenance, storage, inspection, and postincident removal 11 12 13 SECTION 3. APPLICATION; GOVERNING LAW. 14 (a) This [act] applies to the ownership, registration, insurance, and operation of an 15 automated vehicle, even if the ownership, registration, insurance, and operation of the vehicle 16 complied with laws other than this [act] before [the effective date of this act]. 17 (b) Except as specifically provided, requirements under [this state's vehicle code] apply 18 to the registration and operation of an automated vehicle. 19 (c) The [relevant state agency or agencies] may make rules, issue interpretations, and take 20 other actions to administer and enforce this [act]. 21 Legislative Note: Paragraph b clarifies that state vehicle law—including, inter alia, rules for 22 vehicle registration and operation—still applies with respect to automated vehicles. This act 23 should be codified accordingly. 24 25 Legislative Note: The agencies in paragraph c may include those responsible for registration of 26 motor vehicles, licensing of drivers, enforcement of rules of the road, and regulation of 27 automotive insurance, among others. This paragraph may be removed or modified if it is 28 unnecessary or inconsistent with state practice. 29 30 SECTION 4. DRIVER LICENSING. 31 (a) An individual is not required to hold a [driving license] to take a completely 32 automated trip. 33 (b) An automated driving provider is not required to hold a [driving license] to drive or 34 operate an automated vehicle under automated operation.

1 Legislative Note: The particular term used by the state should be substituted for "driving 2 license" in this section. 3 4 SECTION 5. VEHICLE REGISTRATION. 5 (a) The [owner] of an automated vehicle must comply with [this state's requirements for 6 the registration of motor vehicles]. 7 (b) At registration, the [owner] shall indicate to [the relevant state agency] whether the 8 vehicle is an automated vehicle, but this indication shall not bind the agency to register the 9 vehicle as an automated vehicle. 10 (c) The [relevant state agency] may grant, maintain, or renew the registration of an 11 automated vehicle only if an automated driving provider designates the vehicle as an associated 12 automated vehicle pursuant to Section 6. 13 (d) The [relevant state agency] may treat a notice provided to the owner of the automated 14 vehicle pursuant to Section 6(e)(2) as proof that the automated vehicle is an associated 15 automated vehicle. 16 (e) The [relevant state agency] may decline, suspend, revoke, or decline to renew the 17 registration of an automated vehicle that is not an associated automated vehicle, [not properly 18 maintained, not insured in accordance with applicable law, not compliant with a registration 19 requirement, or otherwise not fit to be operated.] 20 (f) If the [relevant state agency] declines, suspends, revokes, or declines to renew the 21 registration of an automated vehicle, the [relevant state agency] may grant a temporary 22 registration that applies to the vehicle only when it is not under automated operation. 23 (g) Registration of a motor vehicle that is no longer an automated vehicle may be granted, 24 maintained, or renewed only if the registrant represents to the [relevant state agency] that the

vehicle cannot and will not be used under automated operation on a [road open to the public].

(h) Registration of an automated vehicle does not create a presumption as to the safety of
the vehicle or its equipment.
Legislative Note: This section applies to all persons that are required to register a vehicle under state law. If the state requires or allows a motor vehicle to be registered by a person other than the owner of the vehicle, references to "owner" should be modified accordingly. Existing rules for determining whether a motor vehicle must be registered in this state also apply to automated vehicles.
Legislative Note: The state may wish to modify language in this section to be consistent with existing usage of the term "registration," which, depending on the state, could refer either to a request by a person to register a vehicle or to the issuance of that registration by the relevant state agency.
Legislative Note: The state may wish to compare and reconcile the language in paragraph e with similar language already used in the vehicle code.
SECTION 6. AUTOMATED DRIVING PROVIDERS AND ASSOCIATED
AUTOMATED VEHICLES.
(a)
Internal Option 1: No qualifications are necessary to be an automated driving provider.
Just delete this paragraph. Representations may still be required under current paragraph c.
Internal Option 2: To qualify as an automated driving provider, a person must:
(1) have participated in a substantial manner in the development of an automated
driving system;
(2) have submitted to the U.S. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration a
safety self-assessment or equivalent report for the automated driving system; or
(3) be registered as a manufacturer of motor vehicles or motor vehicle equipment
pursuant to the requirements of the U.S. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.
Internal Option 3: To qualify as an automated driving provider, a person must:
(1) have participated in a substantial manner in the development of an automated

1	driving system;
2	(2) have submitted to the U.S. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration a
3	safety self-assessment or equivalent report for the automated driving system;
4	(3) be registered as a manufacturer of motor vehicles or motor vehicle equipment
5	pursuant to the requirements of the U.S. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; or
6	(4) act as an agent to a principal that satisfies any of the foregoing requirements.
7	(b) A person is an automated driving provider only if the person declares itself to be an
8	automated driving provider.
9	(c) To declare itself to be an automated driving provider, a person must, in a form
10	acceptable to the [relevant state agency]:
11	(1) represent that the person qualifies as an automated driving provider;
12	[delete for internal option 1 above]
13	(2) represent that the person is capable of undertaking the responsibilities of an
14	automated driving provider;
15	(3) represent that sufficient evidence demonstrates that the automated driving
16	system of each associated automated vehicle is capable of complying with [this state's rules of
17	the road];
18	(4) irrevocably appoint the [relevant state agency] as a lawful agent upon which
19	process may be served in an action arising from the automated operation of an associated
20	automated vehicle; and
21	(5) pay a fee specified by the [relevant state agency] for processing the automated
22	driving provider declaration.
23	(d) The [relevant state agency] may investigate the qualifications or representations of a

- 1 person that declares itself to be an automated driving provider and, based on that investigation,
- 2 may decline to recognize the declaration;

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- (e) An automated vehicle is an associated automated vehicle only if so designated by an
 automated driving provider.
 - (f) To designate an associated automated vehicle, an automated driving provider must provide notice in a form acceptable to the [relevant state agency].
- 7 (g) An automated vehicle is an associated automated vehicle unless and until the automated driving provider either dissolves or disassociates the automated vehicle.
 - (h) To disassociate an associated automated vehicle, the automated driving provider must provide notice in a form acceptable to the [relevant state agency].

SECTION 7. EQUIPMENT.

- (a) [This state's vehicle code] must be interpreted to facilitate the development and deployment of automated vehicles in a way that improves traffic safety.
 - (b) An automated vehicle must be properly maintained.
- (c) A provision of [this state's vehicle code] requiring equipment that is necessary only for the performance of the dynamic driving task by a human driver or operator does not apply with respect to a dedicated automated vehicle.
 - (d) A provision of [this state's vehicle code] prohibiting an electronic device in a vehicle, other than a device used to evade law enforcement, does not apply with respect to a dedicated automated vehicle.
 - (e) A provision of [this state's vehicle code] prohibiting an electronic device in a vehicle, other than a device used to evade law enforcement, may not be enforced with respect to an automated vehicle under automated operation.

1 Legislative Note: The state may wish to compare and reconcile the language in paragraph b 2 with similar language already used in the vehicle code. 3 4 Legislative Note: If the state codifies this act by integrating it into the vehicle code, the existing 5 vehicle code provisions addressed in paragraphs c, d, and e can be directly amended. 6 7 SECTION 8. RULES OF THE ROAD. 8 (a) [This state's rules of the road] must be interpreted to facilitate the development and 9 deployment of automated vehicles in a way that improves traffic safety. 10 (b) An automated driving provider must take reasonable steps to comply with [this state's rules of the road] during automated operation of an associated automated vehicle. 11 12 (c) 13 Internal Option 1: An automated driving provider is responsible for contravention of [this 14 state's rules of the road] by an associated automated vehicle under automated operation. 15 Internal Option 2: An automated driving provider is responsible for contravention of [this state's rules of the road] by an associated automated vehicle under automated operation as a 16 17 human driver or operator would be responsible if the vehicle were not under automated 18 operation. 19 Internal Option 3: An automated driving provider must satisfy any fine, penalty, or other 20 sanction imposed by law for contravention of [this state's rules of the road] by an associated 21 automated vehicle under automated operation. 22 (d) An automated vehicle may not be operated on a [road open to the public] if the 23 vehicle is not properly maintained, not insured in accordance with applicable law, not compliant 24 with a registration requirement, or otherwise not fit to be operated. 25 (e) An automated vehicle under automated operation is not considered unattended or 26 abandoned solely because an individual is not in or near the vehicle, unless the vehicle is not

1 lawfully registered, poses a risk to public safety, or unreasonably obstructs other road users. 2 (f) A child, incapacitated person, or pet in an automated vehicle is not considered 3 attended solely because the vehicle is under automated operation. 4 (g) A restriction under [this state's vehicle code] on the use of an in-vehicle electronic 5 device, other than a device used to evade law enforcement, does not apply during the automated 6 operation of an automated vehicle. 7 (h) A requirement under [this state's vehicle code] that imposes a minimum following 8 distance other than a reasonable and prudent distance does not apply to the automated operation 9 of an automated vehicle. Legislative Note: The phrase "this state's rules of the road" refers to state laws on the operation 10 11 of motor vehicles. These laws, which may be statutory or regulatory, are generally only one part 12 of the vehicle code. 13 14 Legislative Note: The state may wish to compare and reconcile the language in paragraph d 15 with similar language already used in the vehicle code. 16 17 Legislative Note: If the state codifies this act by integrating it into the vehicle code, the existing vehicle provisions addressed in paragraphs e, f, g, and h can be directly amended. If the state 18 does not specify numerical minimums for following distance or following time, paragraph h may 19 20 be omitted. 21 22 SECTION 9. INSURANCE. 23 (a) An insurance policy that satisfies [this state's financial responsibility law] must cover 24 an event that occurs while an automated vehicle is under automated operation no less favorably 25 than it would cover an equivalent event that occurs while a vehicle is not under automated operation. 26 27 (b) An automated driving provider is a [permissive driver] under an insurance policy

(c) The coverage limits applicable to the automated driving provider as a [permissive

28

29

applicable to an associated automated vehicle.

1	driver] are the bodily injury and property damage liability limits under the policy unless the
2	policy or an endorsement to the policy specifies different limits applicable to the automated
3	driving provider as a [permissive driver], but the bodily injury and property damage liability
4	limits may not be less than the amounts set forth in [this state's financial responsibility law].
5	(d) This section does not modify or limit the liability of an automated driving provider
6	under statutory or common law.
7	(e) This [act] does not displace other insurance requirements, and the insurance required
8	under this [act] does not satisfy other insurance requirements.
9 10 11	Legislative Note: This section is largely a placeholder. If it is included, it should be carefully reviewed and calibrated for compatibility with state insurance law. If it is excluded, the topic of insurance should be separately monitored and addressed as appropriate.
12 13	SECTION 10. VIOLATIONS. A violation of this [act] is a violation of [this state's
14	vehicle code] and does not preclude liability under other laws.
15	SECTION 11. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION. In
16	applying and construing this uniform act, consideration must be given to the need to promote
17	uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.
18	SECTION 12. SEVERABILITY. If a provision of this [act] or its application to a
19	person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or
20	applications of this [act] which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application,
21	and to this end the provisions of this [act] are severable.
22 23 24	Legislative Note: Include this section only if this state lacks a general severability statute or a decision by the highest court of this state stating a general rule of severability.
25	SECTION 13. EFFECTIVE DATE. This [act] takes effect [].