DRAFT

FOR DISCUSSION ONLY

CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

March 24 – 25, 2017 Drafting Committee Meeting

Copyright © 2017
By
NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS
ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

The ideas and conclusions set forth in this draft, including the proposed statutory language and any comments or reporter's notes, have not been passed upon by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws or the Drafting Committee. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Conference and its Commissioners and the Drafting Committee and its Members and Reporter. Proposed statutory language may not be used to ascertain the intent or meaning of any promulgated final statutory proposal.

DRAFTING COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT

The Committee appointed by and representing the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in preparing this Act consists of the following individuals:

ROBERT J. TENNESSEN, 2522 Thomas Ave. S., Minneapolis, MN 55405, Chair

W. MICHAEL DUNN, 62 Ridge Rd. Concord, NH 03301

MARK F. GLASER, 54 State St., 6th Floor, Albany, NY 12207

ERIC HOUGLAND, Texas Legislative Council, P.O. Box 12128, Austin, TX 78711-2128

JAMES C. KENNEDY, Massachusetts House of Representatives, State House, Room 139, Boston, MA 02133

THEODORE C. KRAMER, 42 Park Pl., Brattleboro, VT 05301

JOHN J. MCAVOY, 3110 Brandywine St. NW, Washington, DC 20008

LOUISE M. NADEAU, Connecticut General Assembly, Legislative Office Bldg., Room 5500, Hartford, CT 06106-1591

ANNE H. REIGLE, Court of Common Pleas, Kent County Courthouse, 38 The Green, Dover, DE 19901-3602

JACOB T. RODENBIKER, 2037 Rose Creek Blvd S., Fargo, ND 58104-6878

MICHAEL S. SCHWOYER, Pennsylvania House of Representatives, Room 423, Main Capitol Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120

BRANDON C. SHAFFER, 1154 Twin Peaks Cir., Longmont, CO 80503

SAMUEL A. THUMMA, Arizona Court of Appeals, State Courts Bldg., 1501 W. Washington St., Phoenix, AZ 85007

RUSSELL G. WALKER, P.O. Box 2422, Jamestown, NC 27282

STEVEN L. CHANENSON, Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law, 299 N. Spring Mill Rd., Villanova, PA 19085, *Reporter*

JORDAN M. HYATT, Drexel University, Department of Criminology & Justice Studies, 3141 Chestnut St., Philadelphia, PA 19104, *Associate Reporter*

EX OFFICIO

RICHARD T. CASSIDY, 100 Main St., Burlington, VT 05401, President

H. LANE KNEEDLER, Office of the Attorney General of Virginia, 202 N. 9th St., Richmond, VA 23219, *Division Chair*

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ADVISORS

STEVE SALTZBURG, George Washington University Law School, 2000 H St. NW, Washington, DC 20052-0026, *ABA Advisor*

MICHAEL AISENBERG, 7515 Colshire Dr., MS T320, McLean, VA 22102-7538, ABA Section Advisor

STEPHANIE DOMITROVICH, 140 W. 6th St., Room 223, Erie, PA 16501-1030, ABA Section Advisor

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

LIZA KARSAI, 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010, Chicago, IL 60602, Executive Director

Copies of this Act may be obtained from:

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010 Chicago, Illinois 60602 312/450-6600 www.uniformlaws.org

CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLE 1 GENERAL PROVISIONS

SECTION 101.	SHORT TITLE.	1
	DEFINITIONS	
SECTION 103.	APPLICABILITY	4
	ARTICLE 2	
DUTIE	S AND AUTHORITY OF CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE AGENCIES	
	Alternative A	
SECTION 201.	DISSEMINATION OF CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD INFORMATION.	6
SECTION 202.	COLLECTION OF BIOMETRIC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION	6
	COLLECTION AND SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION TO CENTRAL	
	TORY	
	CORRECTION OF INACCURATE INFORMATION.	
SECTION 205.	DISSEMINATION LOG.	9
	Alternative B	
	Alternative B	
SECTION 201.	DISSEMINATION OF CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD INFORMATION.	9
SECTION 202.	COLLECTION OF BIOMETRIC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION	9
SECTION 203.	COLLECTION OF INFORMATION ON REPORTABLE EVENT	11
	MAINTENANCE OF ACCURATE CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD	
	MATION	
SECTION 205.	DISSEMINATION LOG.	12
	End of Alternatives	
	End of Atternatives	
	ARTICLE 3	
]	DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF CENTRAL REPOSITORY	
SECTION 301.	ROLE OF CENTRAL REPOSITORY	14
	DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION TO PERSON OTHER THAN	
	Т	
SECTION 303.	DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION TO SUBJECT	16
	DISSEMINATION LOG.	
	CORRECTION OF INACCURATE INFORMATION.	
SECTION 306.	ESTABLISHMENT OF PROCEDURES	17

SECTION 307.	DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION FOR STATISTICAL AND	D
RESEA	RCH PURPOSES	18
SECTION 308.	PUBLIC INFORMATION.	18
SECTION 309.	TRAINING.	18
	ARTICLE 4	
	MISTAKEN IDENTITY PREVENTION REGISTRY	
SECTION 401.	CREATION AND MAINTENANCE OF REGISTRY	19
	INFORMATION INCLUDED IN REGISTRY	
	DOCUMENTATION	
	DISCLOSURE OF REGISTRY INFORMATION	
	MISTAKEN IDENTITY MATCH.	
	LIMITATION ON USE OF REGISTRY INFORMATION	
SECTION 407.	REMOVAL OF INFORMATION FROM REGISTRY	22
	ARTICLE 5	
SUBJECT'S F	RIGHT TO CORRECT CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD INFO	RMATION
SECTION 501.	RIGHT OF ACCESS AND REVIEW	23
SECTION 502.	CHALLENGE OF ACCURACY.	23
	ACTION ON CHALLENGE	
	ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW OF CHALLENGE	
	CORRECTION OF RECORDS	
SECTION 506.	JUDICIAL REVIEW.	25
	ARTICLE 6	
	SYSTEMS SECURITY AND AUDITS	
	SECURITY REQUIREMENTS FOR CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE	
	ENTRAL REPOSITORY	
SECTION 602.	MANDATORY REPRESENTATIVE AUDIT	26
	ARTICLE 7	
	ENFORCEMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION	
	SANCTIONS AND REMEDIES	
SECTION 702.	DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF RESPONSIBLE AGENCY	29
	ARTICLE 8	
	MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS	
SECTION 801.	UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION	31
	SEVERABILITY.	
	REPEALS; CONFORMING AMENDMENTS	
SECTION 804.	EFFECTIVE DATE.	31

1	CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT
2	ARTICLE 1
3	GENERAL PROVISIONS
4	SECTION 101. SHORT TITLE. This [act] may be cited as the Criminal Records
5	Accuracy Act.
6	SECTION 102. DEFINITIONS. In this [act]:
7	(1) "Accurate criminal history record information" means criminal history record
8	information that correctly and completely reflects all reportable events relating to a subject.
9	(2) "Administration of criminal justice" means detection, apprehension, detention,
10	pretrial release, post-trial release, prosecution, adjudication, correctional supervision, or
11	rehabilitation of an accused person or criminal offender. The term includes criminal
12	identification activities and the collection, storage, and dissemination of criminal history record
13	information.
14	(3) "Biometric identifying information" means unique attributes of an individual used for
15	identification. The term includes, at a minimum, fingerprints.
16	(4) "Central repository" means the single, centralized entity for collection, compilation,
17	storage, maintenance, and dissemination of criminal history record information operated by the
18	[office, department, or State Police].
19	(5) "Contributing justice agency" means a court, political subdivision or agent of a
20	political subdivision, or governing entity of the state authorized to engage in the administration
21	of criminal justice. The term does not include the central repository. [The [state Supreme Court],
22	or a judicial entity authorized to act on its behalf, may remove the courts of this state from this
23	sub-section under its rulemaking authority.]

(6) "Criminal history record information" means information collected or maintained by a contributing justice agency or the central repository consisting of identifiable descriptions of a subject, including biometric identifying information and notations of a reportable event. The term does not include extraneous information.

- (7) "Dissemination" means oral, written, or electronic transmission or other disclosure of criminal history record information to a person other than the central repository or the contributing justice agency that maintains the information.
- (8) "Dissemination log" means a listing of each request for, and dissemination of, criminal history record information, indicating the information requested, information disseminated, person making the request and its associated address, person effectuating the dissemination, date of the request and of the dissemination, and purpose for which the information was requested or disseminated. The dissemination log is separate and distinct from extraneous information or the criminal history record information itself.
- (9) "Extraneous information" means information collected as a result of an inquiry, formal or informal, about the activities, habits, practices, possessions, associations, or financial status of a person collected to anticipate, prevent, monitor, investigate, or prosecute criminal activity.
- (10) "Person" means an individual, estate, business or nonprofit entity, public corporation, government or governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality, or other legal entity.
- (11) "Reportable event" means any of the following occurrences relating to a felony [, gross misdemeanor,] [or] misdemeanor criminal offense, but excluding [a noncriminal offense,] [summary offenses,] [a petty offense,] a traffic violation [, or an offense under the [Juvenile

1	Court Actiji:			
2	(A) arrest and booking into a detention facility or arrest resulting in collection of			
3	biometric identifying information;			
4	(B) disposition after an arrest without initiation of a criminal proceeding;			
5	(C) initiation of a criminal proceeding;			
6	(D) disposition of a criminal proceeding, including diversion, dismissal, indefinit			
7	postponement, acquittal, guilty plea, conviction, sentencing, and modification, reversal, or			
8	revocation of the disposition;			
9	(E) commitment to or release from a place of detention or custodial supervision;			
10	(F) commencement or conclusion of noncustodial supervision;			
11	(G) completion of a sentence of any kind;			
12	(H) expungement, sealing, or set-aside of criminal history record information;			
13	(I) grant of clemency, including pardon or commutation, or restoration of rights;			
14	and			
15	(J) finding of mental incompetence by a court of this state at any stage of a			
16	criminal proceeding.			
17	(12) "Repository" means an entity operated by a contributing justice agency which			
18	collects, compiles, maintains, or disseminates criminal history record information.			
19	(13) "State" means a state of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the			
20	United States Virgin Islands, or any other territory or insular possession subject to the			
21	jurisdiction of the United States. The term includes a federally recognized Indian tribe.			
22	(14) "Subject" means the individual to whom the substance of criminal history record			
23	information refers.			

SECTION 103. APPLICABILITY.

2	(1) This [act] applies to a person or subject about whom criminal history record
3	information is maintained in this state, the central repository, and each contributing justice
4	agency within this state that collects, maintains, modifies, disseminates, or receives criminal
5	history record information.
6	(2) For purposes of this [act], a court docket, court file, and information contained in a
7	docket or file, is a public record unless otherwise provided by law or otherwise ordered by a
8	court of competent jurisdiction.

9 Discussion Notes

Animating Principles. This [act] is premised on three animating principles:

- (1) Society at large has a vital interest in the accuracy of criminal history record information.
- (2) Subjects are entitled to have the information kept about them under this [act] be accurate criminal history record information.
- (3) The government has an obligation to collect, store and maintain, and to disseminate accurate criminal history record information.

Defined terms, not substance. The style rules of the Uniform Law Commission call for consolidation of definitions for terms that recur throughout an act into a single section early in the act. A definition for a term that appears in only one section should be located in that section. Provisions that state a definition must be definitional only, with the substance pertaining to the term addressed separately.

Administration of criminal justice. The definition of "administration of criminal justice" is largely based upon the language found in 28 C.F.R. §20.3.

 Biometric identifying information. The definition of "biometric identifying information" is designed to allow the act to adapt automatically as technology evolves. Right now, fingerprints are the gold standard for ensuring that a particular person is linked to arrest and disposition information. That may change and the definition should be able to accommodate such changes. The drafters consider DNA information to fall inside the scope of biometric identifying information, though this is not made explicit in the text.

Central repository. The definition of "central repository" leaves to the adopting state the decision whether this is a police function, often through the state police, or an independent function. Given the central repository's coordinating role, the definition does require the central repository to be operationally independent from contributing justice agencies or other

repositories, although it can exist within the structure of an agency, such as the state police, that also contains a separate repository.

Contributing justice agency. The definition of "contributing justice agency" is intentionally broad. The goal is to widely distribute the duty to provide information on "reportable events" – such as arrests, charges, and dispositions of all types – to the central repository. This also allows for the collection and inclusion of reportable event and identifying information throughout the process of adjudication and punishment, thereby allowing for multiple opportunities to collect data and resolve issues. The term includes an organized state or municipal police department, sheriff's department, local detention facility or department, county, regional or state correctional facility or department, probation agency, [office of Attorney General,] [district or prosecuting] attorney, court with criminal jurisdiction, parole board, pardon board, and any agency or sub-unit designated as a contributing justice agency by the [responsible agency or individual].

Courts. Courts are included in the presumptive definition of a "contributing justice agency" because their participation is crucial to the success of any effort to promote the accuracy of criminal records. Concerns have been raised, however, about separation of powers principles. The presumptive inclusion of courts in this definition means that, pursuant to later provisions of the act, courts will be required to submit information about reportable events to the central repository as long as the state's highest court, or its designee, does not opt out. The Drafting Committee concluded that this provision allows those jurisdictions that are concerned to legislatively allow their courts to resolve the matter independently. The Drafting Committee, however, strongly urges those courts to remain within the ambit of the contributing justice agency definition to the extent constitutionally permissible. Including courts as a contributing justice agency will materially enhance the accuracy of criminal history record information.

Responsible agency or individual. The note on contributing justice agency, supra, introduces the concept of a "responsible agency or individual." This phrase is used in various places in the notes and in brackets in the text of the act itself. The Drafting Committee intends it to mean the appropriate state agency or individual charged with certain responsibilities under this act. It is most commonly designed to signal a situation when a senior criminal justice policy maker – either in the form of a responsible agency or individual – needs to promulgate a policy or make a decision that is best served by honoring the principle of checks-and-balances. In some states, that will be the Attorney General or the Office of the Attorney General. In other states, it will be a different actor or entity. It need not be filled by the same person or agency each time it is used. That is a decision best left to the adopting state. The phrase "responsible agency or individual," allows for each state to fill this position appropriately in light of its own constitutional structure and political landscape.

Extraneous information. This definition clarifies that information may be held by a contributing justice agency that is not deemed to be criminal history record information.

Reportable event. The definition of a "reportable event" is designed to capture all of the significant moments in the life of a criminal case that future actors in the criminal justice system would want to know about that defendant and that case. Though the nomenclature may vary by

jurisdiction, these are almost universal in their presence and importance.

 Reportable Event – Disposition. The Drafting Committee attempted to provide an inclusive yet manageable definition of disposition. If more detail is desired, we could include the current definition found at 28 CFR § 20.3(i), which provides:

Disposition means information disclosing that criminal proceedings have been concluded and the nature of the termination, including information disclosing that the police have elected not to refer a matter to a prosecutor or that a prosecutor has elected not to commence criminal proceedings; or disclosing that proceedings have been indefinitely postponed and the reason for such postponement. Dispositions shall include, but shall not be limited to, acquittal, acquittal by reason of insanity, acquittal by reason of mental incompetence, case continued without finding, charge dismissed, charge dismissed due to insanity, charge dismissed due to mental incompetency, charge still pending due to insanity, charge still pending due to mental incompetency, guilty plea, nolle prosequi, no paper, nolo contendere plea, convicted, youthful offender determination, deceased, deferred disposition, dismissed-civil action, found insane, found mentally incompetent, pardoned, probation before conviction, sentence commuted, adjudication withheld, mistrial-defendant discharged, executive clemency, placed on probation, paroled, or released from correction supervision.

http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2002-title28-vol1/pdf/CFR-2002-title28-vol1-sec20-3.pdf.

Public records. Section 103(2) is designed to ensure that this act is not interpreted as limiting access to court records. It provides that information in court dockets and files not under seal, etc. remain public records to the extent provided by existing law. It does so without relieving courts of the obligation to provide information to the central repository as a contributing justice agency, although the state's highest court, or its designee, may do so by removing the state courts from the definition of contributing justice agency as set forth in Section 102(5).

DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE AGENCIES

ARTICLE 2

31 Alternative A

SECTION 201. DISSEMINATION OF CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD

- **INFORMATION.** A contributing justice agency may disseminate criminal history record
- information only as provided in this [act] or by law of this state other than this [act].

SECTION 202. COLLECTION OF BIOMETRIC IDENTIFYING

INFORMATION.

(a) A contributing justice agency shall collect biometric identifying information in the

- manner and form directed by the central repository from an individual the agency arrested under circumstances that make it a reportable event and forward the information to the central repository, in the manner and form directed by the central repository, not later than [three] days
- 4 after the arrest.
- (b) If an individual charged by complaint, information, or indictment under circumstances that make it a reportable event is not arrested and appears instead in court pursuant to summons, the individual shall report to the [local municipal police department] or [designated contributing justice agency] not later than [10] days after the court appearance and permit the [department] or [agency] to collect biometric identifying information in the manner and form directed by the central repository. The obligation under this subsection may be enforced by court order. The [department] or [agency] shall forward the information to the central repository, in the manner and form directed by the central repository, not later than

[three] days after collection.

- (c) During an investigation, adjudication, or correctional process, the head of each contributing justice agency responsible for a reportable event relating to an accused person, criminal offender, or subject shall designate an official to promptly determine whether biometric identifying information has previously been collected for the individual in the manner and form directed by the central repository and forwarded to the central repository in the manner and form directed by the central repository.
- (d) If the head of each contributing justice agency responsible for a reportable event relating to an accused person, criminal offender, or subject determines under subsection (c) that biometric identifying information has not been collected and forwarded to the central repository, the accused person, criminal offender, or subject shall report to the [local municipal police

- 1 department] or [designated contributing justice agency] not later than [10] days after that 2 determination and permit the [department] or [agency] to collect biometric identifying 3 information in the manner and form directed by the central repository. The obligation under this 4 subsection may be enforced by court order. The [department] or [agency] shall forward the 5 information to the central repository, in the manner and form directed by the central repository, 6 not later than [three] days after collection. 7 SECTION 203. COLLECTION AND SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION TO 8 CENTRAL REPOSITORY. 9 (a) A contributing justice agency shall collect, store, and maintain, in the manner and 10 form directed by the central repository, information on a reportable event with which the 11 contributing justice agency is involved. The agency shall submit the information, in the manner 12 and form directed by the central repository, to the central repository not later than [three] days 13 after the reportable event. 14 (b) In compliance with regulations adopted by the central repository, a contributing 15 justice agency shall maintain accurate criminal history record information. SECTION 204. CORRECTION OF INACCURATE INFORMATION. Regardless 16 17 of the manner of discovery or identity of the person who discovered it, once a contributing 18 justice agency is aware it possesses inaccurate criminal history record information, the agency 19 shall, not later than [10] days after discovery: 20 (1) correct its own records; 21 (2) notify all persons that submitted or received inaccurate criminal history record

information for criminal justice purposes of the inaccuracy and the required correction;

(3) on request of the subject or the subject's lawyer or guardian:

22

1	(A) disseminate a notice about the inaccuracy and the required correction to any		
2	person that received inaccurate criminal history record information for a non-criminal justice		
3	purpose within the previous five years; and		
4	(B) provide one corrected copy of the accurate criminal history record		
5	information to the person making the request at no cost.		
6	SECTION 205. DISSEMINATION LOG.		
7	(a) A contributing justice agency may disseminate criminal history record information to		
8	another contributing justice agency on request in connection with the requesting agency's duties		
9	(b) A contributing justice agency shall create and maintain a dissemination log, in the		
10	manner and form directed by the [responsible agency or individual] listing disseminations of		
11	criminal history record information. Each dissemination must be entered into the dissemination		
12	log not later than [10] days after the criminal history record information is disseminated. The		
13	agency shall maintain entries in the log for as long as it maintains the associated criminal history		
14	record information.		
15	Alternative B		
16	SECTION 201. DISSEMINATION OF CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD		
17	INFORMATION. A contributing justice agency may disseminate criminal history record		
18	information only as provided in this [act] or by law of this state other than this [act].		
19	SECTION 202. COLLECTION OF BIOMETRIC IDENTIFYING		
20	INFORMATION.		
21	(a) A contributing justice agency that is an arresting police agency shall collect biometric		
22	identifying information, in the manner and form directed by law or the director of the central		
23	repository, from an individual arrested by the agency under circumstances that make it a		

reportable event and forward the information to the central repository, in the manner and form directed by the director of the central repository, not later than [48] hours after the arrest.

- (b) A contributing justice agency that is a court in which an individual is charged by complaint, information, or indictment under circumstances that make it a reportable event shall order the collection of biometric identifying information in the following manner:
- (1) If the individual is not arrested, is not in custody, and appears instead in court pursuant to summons, and the court is informed that biometric identifying information was not collected from the individual, the court shall order the individual to report to the [local municipal police department or [designated contributing justice agency] not later than [10] days after the order and permit the police to collect biometric identifying information in the manner and form directed by the director of the central repository. The [department] or [agency] shall forward the information to the central repository, in the manner and form directed by the central repository, not later than [48] hours after collection.
- (2) If an individual who is arrested or is in custody appears in court, and the court is informed that biometric identifying information was not collected from the individual, the court shall order the appropriate contributing justice agency to collect the biometric identifying information. The [department] or [agency] shall forward the information to the central repository, in the manner and form directed by the central repository, not later than [48] hours after collection.
- (c) A contributing justice agency that is a prosecuting agency engaged in an investigation, arrest, prosecution, adjudication, sentencing, supervision, or custody of an individual for a reportable event shall determine whether biometric identifying information has been collected for the individual and collect or cause to be collected previously uncollected biometric

- 1 identifying information in the manner and form directed by the director of the central repository.
- 2 (d) A contributing justice agency that is a correctional agency engaging in an arrest,
- 3 prosecution, adjudication, sentencing, supervision, or custody of an individual for a reportable
- 4 event shall determine whether biometric identifying information has previously been collected
- 5 for the individual within [72] hours of taking the individual into custody and collect or cause to
- 6 be collected previously uncollected biometric identifying information in the manner and form
- 7 directed by the director of the central repository and forward the information to the central
- 8 repository not later than [48] hours after its collection.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

SECTION 203. COLLECTION OF INFORMATION ON REPORTABLE EVENT.

- (a) A contributing justice agency that is an arresting policy agency or correctional agency shall collect, store, and maintain, in the manner and form directed by the director of the central repository, information on a reportable event with which the contributing justice agency is involved. The agency shall submit the information, in the form and manner directed by the director of the central repository, to the central repository not later than [72] hours after the reportable event.
 - (b) A contributing justice agency that is a court or prosecuting agency shall:
- (1) collect, store, and maintain, in the manner and form directed by the director of the central repository, information on a reportable event with which the contributing justice agency is involved; and
- (2) maintain the information on a reportable event and submit the information on a reportable event in the form and manner directed by the director of the central repository, to the central repository not later than [72] hours after the reportable event.

SECTION 204. MAINTENANCE OF ACCURATE CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD INFORMATION.

- (a) A contributing justice agency shall maintain complete and accurate criminal history record information and report the information as required by this [act] or law of this state other than this [act]. The director of the central repository shall establish effective procedures, in compliance with regulations adopted by the [board], to create and store complete and accurate criminal history record information.
- (b) An agent of a contributing justice agency that discovers inaccurate criminal history record information shall within [10] days after discovery:
- 10 (1) correct its own records;

- (2) notify all persons that submitted or received inaccurate criminal history record information for criminal justice purposes of the inaccuracy and the required correction;
- 13 (3) on request of the subject or the subject's lawyer or guardian:
 - (A) disseminate a notice about the inaccuracy and the required correction to any person that received inaccurate criminal history record information for a non-criminal justice purpose within the previous five years; and
 - (B) provide one corrected copy of the accurate criminal history record information to the person making the request at no cost.

SECTION 205. DISSEMINATION LOG.

- (a) A contributing justice agency may disseminate criminal history record information to another contributing justice agency on request in connection with the requesting agency's duties.
- (b) A contributing justice agency shall create and maintain a dissemination log, in the manner and form directed by the [responsible agency or individual] listing disseminations of

- 1 criminal history record information. Each dissemination must be entered into the dissemination
- 2 log not later than [10] days after the criminal history record information is disseminated. The
- 3 agency shall maintain entries in the log for as long as it maintains the associated criminal history
- 4 record information.

5 End of Alternatives

Discussion Notes

Mandatory duty to collect biometric identifying information. Widely distributing a mandatory duty to collect biometric identifying information is vital to the effectiveness of the act. Biometric identifying information, in the form of fingerprints, is currently the gold standard for positive identification for law enforcement purposes. If this information is not used to link an arrest to a charge to a disposition, significant inaccuracies may and do result. In most cases, close matches using name and date of birth are the alternative. This is a clear and common source of inaccuracies — both in the failure to link related reportable events and in the misidentification of the subject. The act puts the primary responsibility for this task on the arresting contributing justice agency, which will typically be a police department. The lack of collection on the "front line" of processing is a significant impediment to the accuracy of criminal records. In some jurisdictions, this appears to be unrelated to funding for equipment, and instead turns on the enforcement of mandatory collection procedures. See, e.g., Jeffrey Benzing, Fingerprint Hearing: Best and Worst Pa. Counties Have Same Equipment, available at http://www.pennlive.com/midstate/index.ssf/2014/07/fingerprint hearing best and w.html (July 23, 2014).

Duty of Individuals. The act puts the obligation on individuals to provide biometric information and makes that obligation enforceable by court order. The Drafting Committee believes that this approach may avoid potential separation-of-powers issues that could have arisen in some jurisdictions if the act directed courts to obtain this information directly. The Drafting Committee encourages judges in these situations to make providing biometric information a condition of any pretrial release.

Duty of other contributing justice agencies. If the arresting agency fails to obtain fingerprints, the act provides a backstop by requiring other, typically chronologically downstream, actors in the contributing justice system to fingerprint the subject. The Drafting Committee believes that this "belt-and-suspenders approach" is warranted given the wide variation of fingerprint compliance rates between and within states. For example, the Drafting Committee learned that in one large state, there are tens of thousands – if not hundreds of thousands – of essentially orphaned files in the central repository because disposition information cannot be definitively linked by fingerprint to a subject. Furthermore, in that state, the state prison receiving center felt the need to install a Livescan machine (a common biometric data collection station) because of the number of sentenced offenders arriving without fingerprints in the system.

Duty to report reportable events. The act requires contributing justice agencies to submit information on reportable events – such as arrests, charges, convictions, sentences, commitments, etc. – with which they were involved to the central repository. If followed, this mandate should go a long way towards solving the problem of missing dispositions. The act takes a "belt-and-suspenders approach" by putting this responsibility broadly on multiple actors, even if that means some duplicative reporting to the central repository. Given the large and growing use of electronic records, this should not be burdensome on the contributing justice agencies and may help to reduce inaccuracies.

Duty to correct. The act requires a contributing justice agency (and in a later provision the central repository) that learns of inaccurate criminal history record information – regardless of how it learns of it – to fix it in its own records and to pass along the corrected information to whomever it has provided the inaccurate information. That latter process is facilitated by the maintenance of dissemination logs. Thoroughly tracking the information will allow for more effective correction, as well as providing essential process data for the audit, discussed *infra*.

Authority to disseminate and duty to log. The act allows contributing justice agencies to disseminate criminal history record information to other contributing justice agencies and to the subject of the information upon request, and requires those agencies to keep track of those disseminations. The central repository, as part of its duties, will set reasonable standards and procedures for this process, ensuring a degree of uniformity in the requesting and dissemination processes.

These are some of the several provisions that call for implementing regulations. The act reflects the idea of checks-and-balances and thus anticipates some of these regulations, such as those governing the nature of the dissemination logs, to be controlled by an entity or individual outside of the day-to-day operation of the criminal history system. The central repository is a perfectly appropriate entity to create general operational rules and no one is impugning the integrity of the individuals within the criminal history system. This is simply a structural point. As noted earlier, the act has provided a generic concept of a "responsible agency or individual" in order to accommodate disparate state practices. Ideally, in the states that use the Attorney General position as the chief law enforcement officer and "minister of justice," the Attorney General is best suited to fulfill these duties.

35 ARTICLE 3

DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF CENTRAL REPOSITORY

SECTION 301. ROLE OF CENTRAL REPOSITORY.

- (a) The central repository shall collect, store, and maintain the criminal history record information reported to it under this [act].
 - (b) In compliance with regulations adopted by the [responsible agency or individual], the

1 central repository shall maintain accurate criminal history record information.

- (c) The central repository shall facilitate the creation and maintenance of accurate criminal history record information by establishing practices and procedures necessary to as efficiently and automatically as possible resolve conflicts and discover missing data on the same incident or subject.
 - (d) The central repository may only disseminate criminal history record information as required or permitted by this [act] or by law of this [state] other than this [act] or of the United States.
 - SECTION 302. DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION TO PERSON OTHER

 THAN SUBJECT. The central repository shall comply with the following procedures when disseminating information for a non-criminal justice purpose to a person other than the subject:
 - (1) Before it disseminates the information, the central repository shall determine whether the information contains a disposition after an arrest without the filing of a formal criminal charge, or a disposition of a formal criminal charge for every arrest or charge. If disposition information is missing, the central repository shall make a good faith effort to determine the disposition of the arrest or charge, and if the central repository determines the disposition, add that information to:
 - (A) the relevant records maintained by the central repository; and
- 19 (B) the report or summary to be disseminated.
 - (2) After a good faith effort under paragraph (1) and before it disseminates the information, the central repository shall remove from the report or summary to be disseminated the notation of an arrest, charge, indictment or other information relating to the initiation of criminal proceedings where:

1	(A) 18 months have elapsed after the date of arrest;		
2	(B) no conviction has occurred or can be identified; and		
3	(C) no proceedings are pending that may result in a conviction.		
4	(3) Not later than [three] days after it disseminates the information, the central repository		
5	shall send the same information to the subject.		
6	SECTION 303. DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION TO SUBJECT.		
7	(a) The central repository shall disseminate criminal history record information to the		
8	subject of the information on request of the subject or the subject's lawyer or guardian, after		
9	positive verification of identity and authorization, if appropriate.		
10	(b) If the central repository identifies no criminal history record information, it shall		
11	indicate to the subject or the subject's lawyer or guardian that no such information concerning		
12	the subject exists and the date of its search.		
13	(c) The central repository shall disseminate the criminal history record information or		
14	indicate that no such information exists not later than [10] days after the request is properly		
15	submitted.		
16	SECTION 304. DISSEMINATION LOG. The central repository shall create,		
17	maintain, and store a dissemination log containing a list of all disseminations of criminal history		
18	record information. All disseminations shall be entered into the log not later than [10] days after		
19	criminal history record information is disseminated. The central repository shall maintain an		
20	entry in the dissemination log as long as it maintains the associated criminal history record		
21	information.		
22	SECTION 305. CORRECTION OF INACCURATE INFORMATION. Regardless		
23	of the manner of discovery or the identity of the person who discovered it, once the central		

1	repository is aware it possesses inaccurate criminal history record information, it shall not later
2	than [10] days after discovery:
3	(1) correct its own records;
4	(2) notify all persons that submitted or received inaccurate criminal history record
5	information for a criminal justice purpose of the inaccuracy and the required correction;
6	(3) on request of the subject or the subject's lawyer or guardian:
7	(A) disseminate a notice about the inaccuracy and the required correction to any
8	person that received inaccurate criminal history record information for a non-criminal justice
9	purpose within the previous five years; and
10	(B) provide one corrected copy of the accurate criminal history record
11	information to the person making the request at no cost.
12	SECTION 306. ESTABLISHMENT OF PROCEDURES. The central repository
13	shall comply with applicable statutes and regulations to ensure that the collection, storage, and
14	maintenance of criminal history record information is accurate. The central repository shall:
15	(1) specify the manner and form in which a contributing justice agency must submit
16	criminal history record information to the central repository concerning a reportable event,
17	including standards for biometric identifying information, to ensure that multiple pieces of
18	criminal history record information for the same subject are appropriately linked;
19	(2) adopt procedures, standards, and forms for reporting and exchanging information
20	under this [act]; and
21	(3) adopt other regulations necessary to carry out its duties under this [act].

1	SECTION 307. DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION FOR STATISTICAL
2	AND RESEARCH PURPOSES. The central repository may disseminate criminal history
3	record information for statistical or research purposes, if the identity of the subject of the
4	information is not publicly disclosed directly or indirectly. Dissemination and use of the
5	information is subject to procedures established by the central repository to implement this
6	section and other applicable law.
7	SECTION 308. PUBLIC INFORMATION. The central repository shall inform the
8	public about the existence, usage, and accessibility of criminal history record information
9	maintained by the central repository and other repositories. The central repository shall inform
10	the public, at least annually, concerning:
11	(1) items of information used to retrieve and link criminal history record information;
12	(2) results of the audit required by Section 602 and the status of any remediation; and
13	(3) requirements and forms for access, review, and correction of criminal history record
14	information by an individual.
15	SECTION 309. TRAINING.
16	(a) The central repository shall provide regular training to contributing justice agencies
17	on how to submit information about a reportable event and why the information is important to
18	both society and the administration of criminal justice.
19	(b) The central repository shall identify contributing justice agencies and repositories that
20	do not meet minimum standards under this [act] and provide them with remedial training.
21	Discussion Notes
22 23 24 25	Role of the central repository. The central repository is the hub into and out of which criminal history record information will flow. It serves this role, as it does in many jurisdictions, for both intra-state and inter-state purposes. There are duty of accuracy, logging, and correction provisions that are similar to the ones provided for contributing justice agencies. The central

repository is also the primary contact for other states and the federal system, allowing it to serve as a clearing house for the management of the universe of criminal history record information that may be fed into the databases held within that jurisdiction.

3 4 5

1

2

Positive verification of identify and authorization. Positive verification of identity may include biometric identifying information pursuant to implementing regulations under this act.

6 7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

Role in employment and related checks. The central repository's primary function is to act as the hub for criminal history record information used for contributing justice agency purposes. There is, of course, a growing use of this information for government-mandated employment, licensing and certification purposes. Accuracy concerns are heightened in this context in part because there is no related adversarial proceeding before a neutral magistrate. Inspired in part by efforts in California that many consider successful, this section requires the central repository to make a good faith effort to ensure that disposition information is connected to arrests and charges. See. Cal. Code. Regs. tit. 11, § 720 (2017). Senator Grassley and others introduced the Sentencing Reform and Corrections Act of 2015 ("SRCA") on October 1, 2015. Although Congress did not pass the SRCA, it is worth noting that SRCA's Section 213, which was entitled "Ensuring Accuracy of Federal Criminal Records," provided that certain arrests without disposition information that were more than two years old could not be disseminated. Cf. Idaho Code Ann. § 67-3008(2)(iv)("A record of an arrest that does not contain a disposition after twelve (12) months from the date of arrest may only be disseminated by the department to criminal justice agencies, to the subject of the record, or to a person requesting the criminal history information with a signed release from the subject of the record.").

2425

2627

28 29

30

31

Outreach to the public and contributing justice agencies. This section also assigns the central repository educative and supportive roles. It will try to raise public awareness about the importance of criminal history record information and how individuals can access their records to check for accuracy. It will also have the responsibility to train contributing justice agencies and focus on those agencies that are not reporting as required because those agencies present significant accuracy risks to the entire system. The act envisions a system of web-based postings, webinars and guidelines, though the central repository has the flexibility to conduct this outreach in the manner determined to be effective in that jurisdiction.

32 33 34

35

36

37

ARTICLE 4

MISTAKEN IDENTITY PREVENTION REGISTRY

SECTION 401. CREATION AND MAINTENANCE OF REGISTRY.

- (a) The central repository shall create and maintain a mistaken identity prevention
- 38 registry as a database designed to prevent:
- 39 (1) mistaken arrest and confusion of an individual with another when criminal
- 40 history record information is searched; and

1	(2) inaccurate creation or modification of criminal history record information.
2	(b) The registry consists of identifying information voluntarily provided by:
3	(1) a victim of mistaken identity or theft of identity; or
4	(2) an individual whose name or other identifying characteristic is similar to that
5	of another who is the subject of a criminal history record.
6	SECTION 402. INFORMATION INCLUDED IN REGISTRY.
7	(a) The central repository shall establish reasonable requirements and procedures for an
8	individual to be included in the mistaken identity prevention registry. The requirements must
9	include collecting biometric identifying information from an individual seeking to be included in
10	the registry and submission of a request to be included in the registry in a manner determined by
11	the central repository.
12	(b) An individual may voluntarily provide information to be considered for inclusion in
13	the registry. If the information meets the requirements established under subsection (a), the
14	individual's name and information must be entered in the registry. An individual improperly
15	denied inclusion in the registry may seek relief under the [state administrative procedure act] as a
16	contested case.
17	SECTION 403. DOCUMENTATION. Not later than [10] days after entering an
18	individual in the mistaken identity prevention registry, the central repository shall issue the
19	individual a paper or electronic document, which is prima facie evidence establishing that the
20	individual is a victim or potential victim of identity theft or fraud, or that the individual is not the
21	individual with a similar name or identifying characteristics who has a particular criminal record
22	SECTION 404. DISCLOSURE OF REGISTRY INFORMATION.

(a) The central repository may not disclose information from the mistaken identity

1 prevention registry except as provided in this [article].

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

information.

- 2 (b) The central repository shall disclose information from the registry to a contributing
- 3 justice agency to prevent the victimization of an individual on the registry at the request of the
- 4 agency and when there is reason to believe that identifying information concerning a reportable
- 5 event may be inaccurate or not associated with the correct individual.

SECTION 405. MISTAKEN IDENTITY MATCH.

- (a) A contributing justice agency, during the process of identifying an individual who is the subject of a reportable event, shall submit to the central repository biometric identifying information provided by the individual for comparison with information in the mistaken identity prevention registry. If the information or data provided matches, wholly or in part, information in the registry, the central repository shall promptly notify the contributing justice agency. If there is a potential inaccuracy or fraud, the contributing justice agency shall use biometric identifying information and any other identification methodologies available to ensure the accurate identity of the subject of the reportable event before creating any criminal history record
- (b) After receiving information from the registry that identifying information may be associated with another individual or when an individual produces a valid paper or electronic document issued by the central repository, a contributing justice agency shall use good faith to identify accurately the subject in question.

SECTION 406. LIMITATION ON USE OF REGISTRY INFORMATION.

- (a) A contributing justice agency may not use information from the mistaken identity prevention registry for a purpose other than to:
- 23 (1) identify accurately an individual about whom the agency has requested or

1	received registry information; or
2	(2) investigate, prosecute, or adjudicate an individual for an offense relating to
3	participating in the registry.
4	(b) If information in the registry is accessed for a purpose other than expressly permitted
5	under this [article]:
6	(1) the information and any information acquired as a result must be excluded
7	from use in any criminal or civil matter; and
8	(2) the central repository shall notify the individual whose information was
9	obtained improperly from the registry within [three] days after discovery of the improper access
10	(c) The central repository shall ensure the security of all information in the registry. The
11	protections must meet, and may exceed, those provided in Section 601.
12	SECTION 407. REMOVAL OF INFORMATION FROM REGISTRY.
13	(a) The central repository shall establish reasonable requirements for a request for
14	removal of information from the mistaken identity prevention registry.
15	(b) Within [10] days after receiving a request from an individual for removal of
16	information voluntarily submitted under Section 402(b) to the mistaken identity prevention
17	registry, the central repository shall permanently remove the information from the registry.
18	Discussion Note
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Mistaken Identity Prevention Registry. Identification mistakes can lead to inaccurate criminal history record information and erroneous arrests. See, e.g., Stephanie Chen, Officer, You've Got the Wrong Person, cnn.com (Feb. 15, 2010), available at http://www.cnn.com/2010/CRIME/02/15/colorado.mistaken.identity.arrest/ ("A mistaken identity arrest occurs almost every day, said policing experts and officials at the National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers."); Christopher N. Osher, Wrongfully Jailed: Records Details More Than 500 Mistaken-Identity Arrests in Denver in Seven Years, www.denverpost.com (Jan. 7, 2012), available at
2728	http://www.denverpost.com/2012/01/07/wrongfully-jailed-records-detail-more-than-500-mistaken-identity-arrests-in-denver-in-seven-years/. This Article, which is inspired in part on a

Minnesota provision, is designed to proactively help individuals who are the victim of identity theft or believe that they may be, while also improving the accuracy of the criminal record system more broadly. It allows for these individuals to voluntarily provide information about themselves, including biometric identifying information, to a restricted registry which would be used to verify whether a particular person truly is the subject of a reportable event. There are also analogies to the Voluntary Appeals File ("VAF") program associated with the FBI's National Instant Criminal Background Check System. *See* https://www.fbi.gov/about-us/cjis/nics/appeals/nics_vaf_brochure_eng.pdf.

The act provides for the creation of a paper or electronic document for all individuals who may seek to be proactive about limiting damaging errors in their own criminal record. The act envisions that this paper or electronic document will help a person without a particular criminal record from suffering adverse consequences of being confused with the person who actually has that record. At the same time, we sought to balance these considerations with the burdens imposed on law enforcement during the identity verification process. It would be up to the individual state to determine requirements and procedures, but the Drafting Committee encourages states to consult with law enforcement and look to NLETS as a potential partner. *See* http://www.nlets.org.

ARTICLE 5

SUBJECT'S RIGHT TO CORRECT CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD INFORMATION

SECTION 501. RIGHT OF ACCESS AND REVIEW. A subject or the subject's lawyer or guardian may access and review the subject's criminal history record information maintained by a repository or the central repository. The repository or central repository shall permit access not later than [three] days after submission of a proper request and, if appropriate, positive verification of identity and authorization.

SECTION 502. CHALLENGE OF ACCURACY. A subject or the subject's lawyer or guardian may challenge and seek correction of criminal history record information by sending the repository or central repository maintaining the information a challenge specifying the part of the criminal history record information alleged to not be accurate criminal history record information and providing the correct information.

SECTION 503. ACTION ON CHALLENGE.

(a) Not later than [40] days after the date of receipt of a challenge under this [article] the

1	repository or centra	repository shall	review and ac	t on the challenge.

- (b) If the repository or central repository does not act within the time provided in subsection (a), the challenge is deemed valid for non-criminal justice purposes and the repository or central repository shall, on request of the subject or the subject's lawyer or guardian:
- (1) disseminate a notice about the inaccuracy and the required correction to any person that received inaccurate criminal history record information for a non-criminal justice purpose within the previous five years; and
- (2) provide one corrected copy of the accurate criminal history record information to the person making the request at no cost.
- or central repository denies a challenge under this [article], the subject or the subject's lawyer or guardian may seek de novo review before [an administrative law judge]. Before the [administrative law judge], the repository or central repository has the burden of proving the accuracy of the challenged information by a preponderance of the evidence. The [administrative law judge's] decision on the challenge must state all information that formed the basis for the decision, including the jurisdiction and docket number of any relevant court decision.
- **SECTION 505. CORRECTION OF RECORDS.** If the repository or central repository or the [administrative law judge] determines a challenge under this [article] is valid, the repository or central repository shall, not later than [three] days after the challenge is determined valid:
- (1) correct its own records;
- 22 (2) notify all persons that submitted or received inaccurate criminal history record 23 information for criminal justice purposes of the inaccuracy and the required correction;

1	(3) on request of the subject or the subject's lawyer or guardian:
2	(A) disseminate a notice about the inaccuracy and the required correction to any
3	person that received inaccurate criminal history record information for a non-criminal justice
4	purpose within the previous five years; and
5	(B) provide one corrected copy of the accurate criminal history record
6	information to the person making the request at no cost.
7	SECTION 506. JUDICIAL REVIEW. If the [administrative law judge] determines a
8	challenge under this [article] is not valid, the subject or the subject's lawyer or guardian may, not
9	later than [40] days after notification of the determination, bring an action de novo in the
10	[appropriate trial court]. Before the [appropriate trial court], which must receive new evidence if
11	offered, the subject or the subject's lawyer or guardian has the burden of proving validity of the
12	challenge by a preponderance of the evidence.
13	Discussion Note
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Access, review, challenge, correction and appeal. This article provides individuals rights to access and challenge the criminal history record information about them for the explicit purpose of ensuring that all of the criminal history record information managed by the state, regardless of its source, is correct and up-to-date. The Drafting Committee concluded that most, if not all, jurisdictions already have procedures in place to addresses matters of this nature. The first review would be resolved internally. In response to an adverse ruling, the individual may demand a <i>de novo</i> appeal before a separate entity. Although the act uses brackets to account for the potential variation in state practice, the Drafting Committee supports the view that this <i>de novo</i> appeal be assigned to an administrative law judge. Upon losing this round, the individual

may bring a complaint de novo in a trial court and will bear the burden of proof by

23 24

preponderance of the evidence.

1 **ARTICLE 6** 2 SYSTEMS SECURITY AND AUDITS 3 SECTION 601. SECURITY REQUIREMENTS FOR CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE 4 AGENCIES AND CENTRAL REPOSITORY. 5 (a) A contributing justice agency that collects, stores, or disseminates criminal history 6 record information and the central repository shall, in compliance with regulations adopted by 7 the [responsible agency or individual], ensure the confidentiality and security of the information 8 by, at a minimum: 9 (1) establishing procedures to reasonably protect each location where information 10 is maintained from theft, fire, sabotage, flood, wind, and other natural or man-made disasters; 11 (2) ensuring that only authorized personnel have access to the information; 12 (3) selecting, supervising, and training personnel authorized to have access to the 13 information; 14 (4) ensuring that, if computerized data processing is employed, the equipment 15 maintaining the information meets computer security standards promulgated by the [responsible 16 agency or individual]; and 17 (5) maintaining an index of all data breaches, which shall be made available, upon 18 request, to the [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, 19 or integrity] and the [responsible agency or individual or that individual's designee.] 20 SECTION 602. MANDATORY REPRESENTATIVE AUDIT. 21 (a) The [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, 22 audit, or integrity] or that individual's designee shall ensure that an audit of the central repository 23 and of a representative sample of all repositories is conducted at least every [two] years. The

1 audits may be done in conjunction with other audits.

- (b) An audit under this section must assess the integrity of each computerized system and database and each physical location storing criminal history record information to ensure this [act] is implemented.
- (c) As part of an audit under this section the [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, or integrity] or that individual's designee shall ensure that the audit:
- (1) collects data from a representative sample of all criminal history record information stored at the central repository and the audited repositories to assess, at a minimum, consistency, efficiency, and security;
- (2) determines the number of missing reportable events and amount and nature of missing biometric identifying information in the samples, in part by examining public records of the courts of [this state];
- (3) assesses any data breach and response to any data breach in the central repository and the audited repositories;
- (4) is representative of the overall status of the system of criminal history record information management and that selection of repositories to be audited is random and in accordance with best practices; and
- (5) reviews the plans, policies, and procedures of at least five percent of all municipal police departments and ten percent of all [judicial districts] to ensure this [act] is implemented.
- (d) The [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, or integrity] or that individual's designee must be given access to the government records,

1 reports, listings, and information required to conduct an audit under this section. All officers and 2 employees of the state or a political subdivision of the state with relevant information shall 3 cooperate with the [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, 4 audit, or integrity] or designee and provide information requested for an audit. 5 (e) The [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, 6 audit, or integrity] or designee shall prepare and make widely available a public report 7 containing the results of the audits under this section and a list of any deficiencies and 8 recommendations for correction of deficiencies. 9 **Discussion Notes** 10 Mandatory audits. This article provides for mandatory and representative audits. Audits are essential to understanding how the criminal history record information structure is actually 11 working and to prevent the automatic replication of systematic errors. If policy makers do not 12 13 understand the nature and prevalence of the inaccuracies, they cannot address them effectively. 14 The auditing process will also facilitate the identification of agencies or departments failing to 15 meet their obligations under the act. While the central repository and other parties have a range 16 of formal options to remediate these deficiencies, the public distribution of the results of the 17 audit results alone may serve to increase system-wide compliance. 18 19 Senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, or 20 integrity. The act envisions that the senior elected or appointed official responsible for 21 governmental oversight, audit, or integrity is an individual outside of the day-to-day criminal 22 history record system be responsible for the audits. While the "responsible agency or individual" 23 concept introduced *supra* can be filled in different ways and need not be the same at all points in 24 this act, the Drafting Committee believes that the auditing role in this article deserves different 25 language. Depending on existing state structures, potential appropriate individuals to fill this 26 role might be the Attorney General, the Auditor General, the Ombudsperson, or the Inspector General. Of course, adopting states are free to designate others as they see fit. 27 28 29 **ARTICLE 7** 30 ENFORCEMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION 31 SECTION 701. SANCTIONS AND REMEDIES. 32 (a) An individual or contributing justice agency that violates this [act] may, in addition to

33

other remedies provided by law:

1	(1) be denied access to specified criminal history record information for a time
2	determined by the [responsible agency or individual];
3	(2) be subject to a civil penalty or other remedy under subsection (c); and
4	(3) consistent with the law of this state other than this [act], if the violator is a
5	government employee, be administratively disciplined by discharge, suspension, reduction in
6	grade, transfer, or other formal action as the employer determines is appropriate.
7	(b) The [responsible agency or individual], central repository, or a subject may bring an
8	action concerning an item of criminal history record information to compel compliance with this
9	[act] or enjoin the central repository, a repository, a contributing justice agency, or another
10	person from violating this [act]. The court may award costs of litigation and reasonable
11	attorneys' fees to a subject who prevails in such an action.
12	(c) In addition to other available remedies, an individual injured by an intentional or
13	reckless violation of this [act] or implementing regulations may bring an action for actual
14	compensatory damages. If the court determines by a preponderance of the evidence that the
15	individual was injured by an intentional or reckless violation of this [act] or implementing
16	regulations, the court shall award the greater of actual compensatory damages or not less than
17	\$500 for each violation, in addition to the costs of litigation and reasonable attorneys' fees.
18	SECTION 702. DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF RESPONSIBLE AGENCY.
19	(a) The [responsible agency or individual or that individual's designee] shall adopt
20	regulations necessary to implement this [act]. At a minimum, the regulations must include a
21	provision that:
22	(1) governs the security, accuracy, dissemination and review of, and individual
23	access to, criminal history record information;

I	(2) governs the conduct of an audit under Section 602; [and]
2	(3) ensures that electronic data, including biometric identifying information, are
3	stored in a manner that reflects best practices for personally identifiable information[; and
4	[(4) sets a reasonable maximum fee for the cost of disseminating criminal history
5	record information and adopts a policy providing at least one free annual access to criminal
6	history record information for an indigent subject or that subject's lawyer or guardian seeking
7	access to the subject's own information].
8	(b) The [responsible agency or individual or that individual's designee] may designate
9	any governmental agency or sub-unit, other than the central repository, as a contributing justice
10	agency.
11	(c) The [responsible agency or individual or that individual's designee] may investigate
12	any matter relating to the administration and enforcement of this [act].
13	(d) The [responsible agency or individual or that individual's designee] may bring a civil
14	action for a violation of this [act].
15	(e) The [responsible agency or individual or that individual's designee] may refer a
16	suspected criminal violation discovered while or because of discharging a duty or authority
17	under this [act] to the appropriate prosecutorial authorities for further review and action. This
18	[act] does not limit the prosecutorial power of any individual or entity to enforce existing law.
19	Discussion Notes
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Individual sanctions. This article provides for an array of sanctions against individuals who violate the act. It also allows both subjects and the relevant government official, again in brackets, to seek injunctive relief. The article affords a subject who is injured by a violation of the act a civil damages remedy with a modest minimum (\$500 per violation) and a fee-shifting provision on the theory that the true extent of actual damages may be difficult to prove. <i>Cf.</i> 18 U.S.C. § 2724(b). The sanctions set out in this section are modeled after some existing provisions, and are limited in scope. All of this, of course, is also designed to serve as a clear and enforceable incentive to minimize inaccuracies.

1 Fees. This section does not address the cost, if any, the state may charge subjects to 2 obtain criminal history record information about themselves. One justification for that choice is 3 the fact that some states may have existing records laws that govern this situation. There was 4 support on the Drafting Committee for the idea that the government should provide this 5 information at no cost to subjects. If, however, the state does charge a fee, there was support on 6 the Drafting Committee for language requiring the cost to be reasonable and consistent with 7 regulations adopted by the [responsible agency or individual] that include a no-cost option for 8 indigent subjects. 9 10 Criminal sanctions. The Drafting Committee chose not to include a criminal sanction, in part because it believed that existing criminal statutes cover behavior that would warrant a 11 12 response by the criminal justice system. 13 14 **ARTICLE 8** 15 MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS SECTION 801. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION. In 16 17 applying and construing this uniform act, consideration must be given to the need to promote 18 uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among [states] that enact it. 19 **SECTION 802. SEVERABILITY.** If any provision of this [act] or its application to any 20 person or record or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or 21 applications of this [act] which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, 22 and to this end the provisions of this [act] are severable. 23 SECTION 803. REPEALS; CONFORMING AMENDMENTS. 24 (a) (b) 25 (c) 26 27 **SECTION 804. EFFECTIVE DATE.** This [act] takes effect