DRAFT

FOR DISCUSSION ONLY

UNIFORM COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE RECEIVERSHIP ACT

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS

ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

MEETING IN ITS ONE-HUNDRED-AND-TWENTY-FOURTH YEAR WILLIAMSBURG, VIRGINIA JULY 10 - JULY 16, 2015

UNIFORM COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE RECEIVERSHIP ACT

Copyright ©2015 By NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

The ideas and conclusions set forth in this draft, including the proposed statutory language and any comments or reporter's notes, have not been passed upon by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws or the Drafting Committee. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Conference and its Commissioners and the Drafting Committee and its Members and Reporter. Proposed statutory language may not be used to ascertain the intent or meaning of any promulgated final statutory proposal.

UNIFORM COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE RECEIVERSHIP ACT

The Committee appointed by and representing the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in preparing this Act consists of the following individuals:

THOMAS S. HEMMENDINGER, 362 Broadway, Providence, RI 02909-1434, Chair

JACK P. BURTON, 119 E. Marcy St., Suite 200, Santa Fe, NM 87501-2046

STEPHEN C. CAWOOD, 127 Ridgewood Cir., Pineville, KY 40977-1409

ELLEN F. DYKE, 2125 Cabots Point Ln., Reston, VA 20191

THOMAS A. EDMONDS, 9401 Michelle Pl., Richmond, VA 23229

PATRICIA FRY, P.O. Box 3880, Edgewood, NM 87015-3880

DONALD E. MIELKE, 6534 S. Chase St., Littleton, CO 80123

FRED H. MILLER, 80 S. 8th St., 500 IDS Center, Minneapolis, MN 55402-3796

ROSEMARY S. SACKETT, 5401 Lake Shore Dr., Box 949, Okoboji, IA 51355-2599

MARK SANDLIN, 9301 Dayflower St., Prospect, KY 40059

M. GAY TAYLOR-JONES, 18 N. Foxhill Rd., North Salt Lake, UT 84054

R. WILSON FREYERMUTH, University of Missouri School of Law, 215 Hulston Hall, Columbia, MO 65211, *Reporter*

EX OFFICIO

HARRIET LANSING, 1 Heather Pl., St. Paul, MN 55102-3017, *President* LANE SHETTERLY, 189 SW Academy St., P.O. Box 105, Dallas, OR 97338, *Division Chair*

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ADVISORS

JOHN M. TROTT, 2049 Century Park E., 28th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90067-3284, ABA Advisor

JEFFREY M. ALLEN, 436 14th St., Suite 1400, Oakland, CA 94612-2716, ABA Section Advisor JAMES L. SCHWARTZ, 617 W. Fulton St., 5th Floor, Chicago, IL 60661, ABA Section Advisor

KAY STANDRIDGE KRESS, 4000 Town Center, Suite 1800, Southfield, MI 48075-1505, ABA Section Advisor

JUSTIN G. WILLIAMS, P.O. Box 3206, Tuscaloosa, AL 35403-3206, ABA Section Advisor

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

LIZA KARSAI, 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010, Chicago, IL 60602, Executive Director

Copies of this act may be obtained from:

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010 Chicago, Illinois 60602 312/450-6600 www.uniformlaws.org

UNIFORM COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE RECEIVERSHIP ACT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Prefatory Note	1
SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.	9
SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS	9
SECTION 3. NOTICE AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING	. 16
SECTION 4. SCOPE; EXCLUSIONS.	. 17
SECTION 5. POWER OF COURT	
SECTION 6. APPOINTMENT OF RECEIVER	
SECTION 7. IDENTITY OF RECEIVER; DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST	. 26
SECTION 8. RECEIVER'S BOND.	
SECTION 9. RECEIVER'S STATUS AS LIEN CREDITOR.	. 29
SECTION 10. SECURITY AGREEMENT COVERING AFTER-ACQUIRED PROPERTY.	. 31
SECTION 11. COLLECTION AND TURNOVER OF RECEIVERSHIP PROPERTY	. 31
SECTION 12. POWERS AND DUTIES OF RECEIVER	33
SECTION 13. DUTIES OF OWNER	. 36
SECTION 14. STAY.	
SECTION 15. ENGAGEMENT AND COMPENSATION OF PROFESSIONAL	. 41
SECTION 16. USE OR TRANSFER OF RECEIVERSHIP PROPERTY NOT IN ORDINAR	łΥ
COURSE OF BUSINESS	
SECTION 17. EXECUTORY CONTRACT	
SECTION 18. DEFENSES AND IMMUNITIES OF RECEIVER	. 51
SECTION 19. INTERIM REPORT OF RECEIVER	
SECTION 20. CLAIM AGAINST RECEIVERSHIP; DISTRIBUTION TO CREDITORS	. 53
SECTION 21. FEES AND EXPENSES.	. 56
SECTION 22. REMOVAL OF RECEIVER; REPLACEMENT; TERMINATION OF	
RECEIVERSHIP.	
SECTION 23. FINAL REPORT OF RECEIVER; DISCHARGE	. 58
SECTION 24. RECEIVERSHIP IN ANOTHER STATE; ANCILLARY PROCEEDINGS	. 59
SECTION 25. EFFECT OF ENFORCEMENT BY MORTGAGEE	
SECTION 26. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION	. 62
SECTION 27. RELATION TO ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES IN GLOBAL AND	
NATIONAL COMMERCE ACT.	
SECTION 28. TRANSITION PROVISION.	
SECTION 29. REPEALS; CONFORMING AMENDMENTS	. 62
SECTION 30. EFFECTIVE DATE	. 63

Prefatory Note

Introduction. A receiver is a person appointed by a court to take possession of the property of another and to "receive, collect, care for, and dispose of the property or the fruits of the property." 1 Clark on Receivers § 11(a), at 13 (3d ed. 1959). Courts exercising general equity jurisdiction have traditionally appointed receivers in a variety of different contexts:

• Courts have appointed *pendente lite* receivers to preserve property that is the subject matter of pending litigation, thereby preventing its waste, deterioration, or removal before judgment.

• Courts have appointed receivers after entry of a judgment to preserve the property pending appeal, to carry the judgment into effect, or to enforce the judgment.

• Courts have appointed receivers to preserve the property of a corporation, partnership, or other legal entity in the context of the dissolution or winding up of the entity.

• Courts have appointed receivers, at the behest of one or more creditors, to collect, preserve, administer, liquidate and distribute the property of insolvent debtors.

Where authorized by statute or the usages of equity, receivers may also be appointed for the administration of certain entities affected with the public interest, such as railways, banks, or insurance companies.

Courts also commonly appoint receivers at the request of a mortgage lender that is seeking to enforce a mortgage in default. A typical commercial real estate mortgage or deed of trust explicitly provides that on default, the mortgagee may seek the appointment of a receiver from a court with jurisdiction over the mortgaged premises; frequently, the terms of the mortgage or deed of trust purport to provide mortgagor consent for the appointment of a receiver following default. Traditionally, mortgage lenders have sought the appointment of a receiver pending foreclosure for one or more of several reasons:

• The mortgaged property is located in a state where the foreclosure process takes a substantial period of time (e.g., six months or longer). A receiver can be of fundamental importance in such states because during the pendency of the foreclosure proceeding, the mortgaged premises will typically generate substantial rents from tenants or other occupiers. In most loan transactions, these rents will have been assigned to the mortgagee as security for the loan, and the lender reasonably expects these rents to be applied toward reduction of the mortgage debt. Application of these rents to the debt is of particular importance where the value of the mortgaged premises has declined and the mortgage loan is "nonrecourse" (i.e., where the borrower has no personal liability for the loan's repayment); in this situation, application of the rents to the mortgage debt could help to reduce or even eliminate the deficiency judgment that might follow a completed foreclosure. Thus, obtaining the appointment of a receiver allows the mortgagee to prevent the mortgagor from diverting rents to other creditors or insiders of the mortgagor pending a foreclosure sale.

• The mortgaged property is subject to waste, deterioration, or some other immediate physical harm that threatens to reduce the value of the mortgaged property and thus threatens the mortgagee's security.

• The mortgaged property may be subject to a high vacancy rate or underperforming due to poor property management. In such a case, the mortgagee might wish to provide better and more active property management and to enter into new tenant leases. In this situation, the mortgagee may prefer to secure the appointment of a receiver to provide this day-to-day management, both because (1) the appointment of a receiver would insulate the mortgagee from the liability that the mortgagee would assume if the mortgagee provided this property management directly and thereby became a "mortgagee in possession," and (2) the receiver may be a person with specialized expertise in operating and "turning around" a property of that type.

• The mortgaged collateral may include not only real estate but substantial personal property as well, as would be the case (for example) where the collateral was a hotel or resort property. In this situation, the mortgagee may wish to proceed with foreclosure in a judicial proceeding so as to minimize or avoid any claim that might arise if it disposed of the personal property under Article 9 of the Uniform Commercial Code and the disposition was subsequently attacked as being commercially unreasonable.

• The property may be subject to environmental contamination, and the mortgagee does not want to be in the chain of title or to rely solely on statutory exemptions from federal or state environmental laws that may depend on the mortgagee's status as a secured creditor. See, e.g., 42 U.S.C.A. § 9601(20)(A) (excluding from federal CERCLA "owner and operator" liability any person who "without participating in the management of a ... facility, holds indicia of ownership primarily to protect his security interest in the ... facility").

The Need for a Uniform Act. Unfortunately, very few states have comprehensive statutory guidance regarding the appointment and powers of receivers for commercial real estate. In the vast majority of states, receivers are appointed pursuant to a court's general equitable power to appoint a receiver, with minimal statutory guidance either expressly confirming or limiting the power of a receiver. A small handful of states (including California, Indiana, Nebraska, New Mexico, Ohio, Oklahoma, and South Dakota) provide a moderate amount of statutory guidance regarding the appointment and powers of receivers. Only two states — Washington and Minnesota — provide a comprehensive statutory codification of the laws governing the appointment and powers of receivers and receivership procedures.

Likewise, to date, no uniform law addresses the appointment and powers of real estate receivers in a comprehensive fashion. Although the Uniform Assignment of Rents Act (UARA), promulgated in 2005, does address the evidentiary showing necessary to obtain the appointment of a receiver, UARA's focus is limited to appointment at the request of an assignee of rents, and nothing in UARA explicitly addresses either receivership procedure or the scope of the powers that a receiver of real estate may exercise before foreclosure.

As a result, there is variation from state to state with regard to the laws governing appointment and powers of receivers. Furthermore, because most states have such minimal statutory guidance, there is even variation from one county, district, parish, or municipal subdivision to the next within a state, as individual judges may have disparate perspectives on the circumstances in which a receivership constitutes an appropriate remedy. The following provides a non-exhaustive list of some of these inter-state and intra-state variations:

• There is substantial variation as to the circumstances that justify the appointment of a receiver, particularly in the case of mortgaged property. Some courts require that the petitioning party establish the existence of waste; other courts do not require the existence of waste if the property's value is insufficient to satisfy the mortgage debt; others simply permit the petitioning mortgagee to obtain a receiver where the mortgage is in default and the mortgagor consented in the mortgage to the appointment of a receiver after default.

• There is substantial variation as to the circumstances, if any, that justify *ex parte* appointment of a receiver and the procedures associated with *ex parte* appointment. Some courts routinely appoint receivers on an *ex parte* basis with no heightened evidentiary showing required, particularly where the mortgagor consented to *ex parte* appointment in the mortgage or deed of trust. Other courts refuse *ex parte* appointment outright, or require the petitioning mortgagee to establish the circumstances justifying appointment without prior notice to the mortgagor.

• There is substantial variation as to the enforceability of provisions in the mortgage or deed of trust by which the mortgagor consents in advance to the appointment of a receiver after default. In some states, such contractual provisions are enforceable as a matter of right. See, e.g., Ind. Code § 32-30-5-1; Minn. Stat. Ann. § 559.17, subd. 2; N.Y. Real Prop. Law § 254(10); N. Mex. Stat. Ann. § 44-8-4(A). By contrast, most existing statutes provide (or have been interpreted to mean) that the decision to appoint a receiver rests in the discretion of the court, without regard to the terms of the mortgage. 4 Clark on Receivers § 950, at 1718 (3d ed. 1959).

 Furthermore, in many states, existing receivership statutes simply do not address a number of questions concerning receivership procedure. For example, many state statutes do not address such issues as the necessity or amount of the receiver's bond, the necessity or amount of a bond from the person seeking appointment of a receiver, the eligibility requirements for service as a receiver, or the requirements for notification to creditors. These shortcomings make it more difficult for "best practices" to develop in the receivership context.

Finally, the existing receivership laws in most states do not adequately set forth the powers that a receiver may (or may not) exercise, either with or without prior approval of the appointing court. This can result in potential uncertainty regarding the ability of a receiver to borrow money, to approve or reject executory contracts entered into by the owner of the property (including unexpired leases), to sell receivership property other than in the ordinary course of business, or to make improvements to receivership property.

p d fo g th in

In particular, there is substantial current uncertainty regarding whether a receiver has the power to sell real estate. Customarily, a receiver's ability to sell receivership property varied depending on the circumstances of the receivership. When a court appointed a general receiver for all of the assets of an insolvent debtor, the court would commonly authorize the receiver to gather and sell the assets of the debtor. The court would frequently empower such a receiver, in the receivership order, to sell assets both in the ordinary course of business (such as sales of inventory) and even outside of the ordinary course with court approval.

By contrast, when a court appointed a limited receiver to take possession of a specific asset — such as a receiver for mortgaged property — the receiver's role might be more typically viewed as custodial. For this reason, receivers appointed for mortgaged property were often viewed as having the power to operate, maintain, and preserve the property pending a foreclosure sale, but not to sell the property; instead, a sale would occur, if at all, only in the context of the foreclosure proceeding.

Recently, some commentators have advocated that receivership can be an effective way to dispose of real estate, and mortgaged real property in particular. Indeed, there are at least two specific contexts in which a sale by the receiver may be perceived as advantageous:

• Sale of property securing commercial mortgaged-backed securities (CMBS) loans. CMBS loans are held in real estate mortgage investment conduits ("REMICs"), which are special purpose vehicles used for the pooling of mortgage loans and the issuance of mortgage-backed securities. The Internal Revenue Code forbids REMICs from issuing new debt or making new loans, but permits some modifications to an existing defaulted loan. Thus, when a REMIC completes a foreclosure sale, it cannot make a new loan on a seller-financing basis. However, if the property can be sold (through a receiver or by the borrower directly) with the buyer assuming the mortgage, the mortgage loan can be modified and restructured under the REMIC rules. Often, this can produce a sale at a higher value than by comparison to a cash sale, and thus is attractive to lenders who want to avoid foreclosing on a property that is worth less than the outstanding mortgage debt. See generally John C. Murray and Kenneth R. Jannen, Public and Private Sales of Real Property by Federal Court Receivers, ACREL Papers (March 2011).

 • Foreclosure sale at "arms-length" rather than "distress sale." Under current foreclosure law in all 50 states, a foreclosure sale is a "distress sale," i.e., a public auction sale, typically "on the courthouse steps." Foreclosure by sale has been justified as a means to protect the mortgagor's equity in the mortgaged property, particularly by comparison to the historical approach under which a defaulting borrower simply forfeited its interest in the mortgaged property (and any equity the borrower may have accumulated either through principal reduction or market appreciation). Nevertheless, there is concern that foreclosure sales may not always bring prices that reflect the value that might be obtained in an arms-length, non-distress sale. By contrast to a traditional foreclosure, a receiver could theoretically market the mortgaged property to potential buyers in the context of its operation of the property. Marketing of the property in an arms-length context could permit potential buyers to perform more meaningful and complete due diligence; further, a sale that is both free and clear of liens and rights of

redemption and subject to judicial confirmation could produce greater finality regarding the title acquired by the buyer. In theory, providing potential foreclosure buyers with better information regarding the mortgaged property and greater certainty of title should produce sale prices higher than those that would be produced by distress foreclosure sales.

In this respect, federal law has evolved further than state statutory law. Federal law authorizes receivers appointed by a federal court to sell mortgaged property free and clear of liens. 28 U.S.C.A. §§ 2001 to 2004. The federal statutes are vague with respect to the procedures for marketing and selling the property, "thereby allowing for flexibility and creativity." Kay Kress, *Federal Receiverships* (2005 ABA Business Law Section Meeting). Furthermore, federal courts have concluded that "the power of sale is within the scope of a receiver's 'complete control' over receivership assets ..., a conclusion firmly rooted in the common law of equity receiverships." Securities Exch. Comm'n v. American Capital Investments, Inc., 98 F.3d 1133, 1144 (9th Cir. 1996). The federal statute specifically authorizes receivers appointed by a federal court to conduct a private sale after notice to all interested parties and a hearing. 28 U.S.C. § 2001(b). Further, federal courts have concluded that there is no right of post-sale redemption from judicial sales conducted under 28 U.S.C.A. § 2001(b), notwithstanding any state statutory redemption rights the mortgagor might otherwise claim. See, e.g., *United States v. Heasley*, 283 F.2d 422 (8th Cir. 1960).

For the reasons described above, substantial benefits could flow to the resolution of distressed commercial mortgage loans if state law explicitly granted a receiver the power of sale as recognized under federal law. Unfortunately, most existing state statutes do not specifically authorize a receiver to conduct a sale of real property, and some courts have held that in the absence of express statutory authority, receivers lack the authority to conduct such sales. See, e.g., *Kirven v. Lawrence*, 137 S.E.2d 764 (S.C. 1964) (receiver does not have inherent power of sale, as receivership is "custodial" in nature and designed to preserve the status quo); *Andrick Dev. Corp. v. Maccaro*, 311 S.E.2d 95 (S.C. Ct. App. 1984) (same); *Eppes v. Dade Developers, Inc.*, 170 So. 875 (Fla. 1936); *Shubh Hotels Boca, LLC v. FDIC*, 46 So.3d 163 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 2010) (receiver lacked power to sell hotel even though appointing court had authorized the sale; no Florida statute authorizes a court-appointed receiver in a foreclosure case to sell the mortgaged property in contravention of mortgagor's right of redemption). To provide the needed clarity, the Act seeks to provide more explicit rules addressing the extent to which a receiver can sell receivership property, either subject to or free and clear of existing liens and rights of redemption.

Summary of the Act. The following paragraphs provide a brief summary of the primary provisions of the Act.

• After Notice and Opportunity for Hearing. Under the Act, the court may enter orders only after notice and opportunity for a hearing as is appropriate under the particular circumstances. § 3(a), (b). The court may issue an order without an actual hearing if no interested party timely requests a hearing or the particular circumstances require the issuance of an order before a hearing can be held.

- Scope. The Act applies to receiverships for real property as well as personal property that is related to the real property or used in its operation. § 4(a). It does not govern a receivership for property improved by one to four dwelling units, unless (1) the property is used for agricultural, commercial, industrial, or mineral extraction purposes, other than incidental uses by an owner occupying the property as the owner's primary residence; (2) the property secures an obligation incurred when the property was used or planned for use for agricultural, commercial, industrial, or mineral extraction purposes; (3) the owner planned or is planning to develop the property with one or more dwelling units to be sold or leased in the ordinary course of the owner's business, or (4) the owner collects rents or other income from an unrelated tenant or other occupier. § 4(b). The Act does not provide the exclusive method for the appointment of a receiver. § 4(d).

• *Court.* The state's court of general equity jurisdiction has exclusive jurisdiction of the receivership proceeding. § 5.

• Appointment. The Act establishes standards under which a court may appoint a receiver in the exercise of its equitable discretion. § 6(a). The Act also establishes standards under which a petitioning mortgage lienholder is entitled to appointment of a receiver, either as a matter of right or as a matter of the court's discretion. § 6(b). Where the court appoints a receiver on an ex parte basis, the court may require the party seeking appointment to post security for any damages, attorney's fees and costs incurred by a person injured by an appointment later determined to be unjustified. § 6(c).

• *Identity and Independence of Receiver*. Because a receiver holds receivership property for the benefit of all interested parties, the Act requires that the receiver provide sworn evidence of the receiver's independence, § 7(a), (b), subject to an exception to prevent disqualification based on certain pre-existing relationships that are *de minimis* in nature. § 7(c). While a party seeking the appointment of a receiver may nominate a person to serve as a receiver, the nomination is not binding on the court. § 7(d).

 • Effect of Appointment. On appointment, a receiver has the status and priority of a lien creditor with respect to receivership property. § 9. Appointment of a receiver does not affect the validity of a pre-receivership security interest in receivership property, and property acquired after appointment is subject to any pre-receivership security agreement to the same extent as if no receiver had been appointed. § 10. On appointment, persons having possession, custody or control of receivership property must turn the property over to the receiver, and persons owing debts that constitute receivership property must pay those debts to the receiver. § 11. Entry of the order of appointment effects a stay, applicable to all persons, of an act to obtain possession of, exercise control over, or enforce a judgment against receivership property, as well as an act to enforce a lien against receivership property. § 14(a). In appropriate situations, the court can expand the scope of the stay, § 14(b), and grant relief from the stay, § 14(c). However, for policy reasons, certain actions are outside the scope of the stay. § 14(d). The Act also addresses the consequences of a violation of the stay. §§ 14(e) and 14(f).

• *Powers and Duties of Receiver; Duties of Owner*. The Act sets forth the receiver's presumptive powers, § 12(a), as well as those that the receiver may exercise only with court approval. § 12(b). The Act also sets forth the duties of the receiver, § 12(c), and the duties of the owner of receivership property. § 13.

1 2

• *Engagement and Compensation of Professionals*. The Act authorizes the receiver to engage and pay professionals to assist in the administration of the receivership following court approval. § 15.

• Use, Sale, Lease, License, or Other Transfer of Receivership Property Other than in Ordinary Course. With court approval, the Act permits the receiver to use, sell, lease, license, exchange or otherwise transfer receivership property other than in the ordinary course of business. § 16(b), (c). Unless the agreement of transfer provides otherwise, the transfer is free and clear of rights of redemption and liens other than liens that are senior to the lien of the person who obtained the receiver's appointment. § 16(c). Liens extinguished by the receiver's sale attach to proceeds with the same validity, perfection, and priority as they had with respect to the property sold. § 16(d). The sale may occur in a private sale. § 16(e). Creditors with valid secured claims may credit bid. § 16(e). The Act also provides a safe harbor for purchasers, in case a party objects to the sale but fails to get a stay of the order approving the sale. § 16(f). Secured creditors are entitled to the proceeds of their collateral according to the priority rules established by law other than this Act, § 20(g), although the court may award the receiver the reasonable and necessary fees and expenses for preserving and transferring receivership property. § 21(a).

• Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases. With court approval, a receiver may adopt or reject an executory contract of the owner relating to receivership property. § 17(b). The Act covers the mechanics for adoption or rejection of executory contracts. § 17(c). The receiver may also assign an adopted executory contract to the extent permitted by the contract and applicable law other than this Act, but free of so-called "ipso facto" clauses. §§ 17(d) and 17(f). The Act specifies the consequences of a receiver's rejection of an executory contract. § 17(e). The Act contains protections for purchasers in possession of real property or real property time share interests that are analogous to those contained in the Bankruptcy Code. § 17(g). The Act also limits the receiver's ability to reject the unexpired lease of a tenant, permitting rejection of the lease only in very limited situations. § 17(h).

• *Immunity of Receiver*. Consistent with the receiver's status as an officer of the court, the Act provides the receiver with immunity for acts or omissions within the scope of the receiver's appointment. § 18(a). Further, the Act incorporates the *Barton* doctrine and provides that a receiver cannot be sued for an act or omission in administering receivership property except with the approval of the appointing court. § 18(b).

 • *Claims*. The Act requires the receiver to notify creditors of the appointment of the receiver unless the court orders otherwise, §§ 20(a), 20(f), and requires creditors to file claims with the receiver as a precondition to obtaining any distribution from receivership property or the proceeds of such property. § 20(b). The Act permits the receiver to

recommend disallowance of claims. § 20(e). The Act also authorizes the court to forgo the filing of unsecured claims where the receivership property is likely to be insufficient to satisfy secured claims against the property. § 20(f).

• Receiver's Reports. The receiver must file interim and final reports. §§ 19 and 23.

- Ancillary Receivership. Where a receiver has been appointed by another state, the Act authorizes the court to appoint that person or its designee as an ancillary receiver for the purpose of obtaining possession, custody and control of receivership property located within this state. § 24(a). The Act also permits the court to enter any order necessary to effectuate an order of a court in another state appointing or directing a receiver. § 24(b).
- Receivership in Context of Mortgage Enforcement. The Act makes clear that the appointment of a receiver on request by a mortgagee or assignee of rents, and actions taken by the receiver, do not make the mortgagee or assignee of rents a "mortgagee in possession," do not constitute an election of remedies or make the secured obligation unenforceable, and do not constitute an "action" within the meaning of a state's "oneaction" rule. § 25(a). In a state with anti-deficiency rules, where a receiver conducts a sale of receivership property free and clear of a lien, the state's anti-deficiency rules will apply to any person that held a lien extinguished by the sale to the same extent those rules would have applied after a foreclosure sale not governed by the Act. § 25(b).

1	UNIFORM COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE RECEIVERSHIP ACT
2	SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. This [act] may be cited as the Uniform Commercial
3	Real Estate Receivership Act.
4	SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS. In this [act]:
5	(1) "Affiliate" means:
6	(A) with respect to an individual:
7	(i) a companion of the individual;
8	(ii) a lineal ancestor or descendant, whether by blood or adoption, of:
9	(I) the individual; or
10	(II) a companion of the individual;
11	(iii) a companion of an ancestor or descendant described in clause (ii);
12	(iv) a sibling, aunt, uncle, great aunt, great uncle, first cousin, niece,
13	nephew, grandniece, or grandnephew of the individual, whether related by the whole or the half
14	blood or adoption, or a companion of any of them; or
15	(v) any other individual occupying the residence of the individual; and
16	(B) with respect to a person other than an individual:
17	(i) another person that directly or indirectly controls, is controlled by, or is
18	under common control with the person;
19	(ii) an officer, director, manager, member, partner, employee, or trustee or
20	other fiduciary of the person; or
21	(iii) a companion of, or an individual occupying the residence of, an
22	individual described in clause (i) or (ii).
23	(2) "Companion" means:

1	(A) the spouse of an individual;
2	(B) the [registered] domestic partner of an individual; or
3	(C) another individual in a civil union with an individual.
4	(3) "Court" means [identify court of general equity jurisdiction in this state].
5	(4) "Executory contract" means a contract, including a lease, under which the obligations
6	of all parties are not fully performed and the failure of a party to complete performance of its
7	obligations would constitute a material breach.
8	(5) "Governmental unit" means an office, department, division, bureau, board,
9	commission, or other agency of this state or a subdivision of this state.
10	(6) "Lien" means an interest in property which secures payment or performance of an
11	obligation.
12	(7) "Mortgage" means a record, however denominated, that creates or provides for a
13	consensual lien on real property or rents, even if it also creates or provides for a lien on personal
14	property.
15	(8) "Mortgagee" means a person entitled to enforce an obligation secured by a mortgage.
16	(9) "Mortgagor" means a person that grants a mortgage or a successor in ownership of
17	the real property described in the mortgage.
18	(10) "Owner" means the person for whose property a receiver is appointed.
19	(11) "Person" means an individual, estate, business or nonprofit entity, public
20	corporation, government or governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality, or other legal
21	entity.
22	(12) "Proceeds" means the following property:
23	(A) whatever is acquired on the sale, lease, license, exchange, or other disposition

1	of receivership property;
2	(B) whatever is collected on, or distributed on account of, receivership property;
3	(C) rights arising out of receivership property;
4	(D) to the extent of the value of receivership property, claims arising out of the
5	loss, nonconformity, or interference with the use of, defects or infringement of rights in, or
6	damage to the property; or
7	(E) to the extent of the value of receivership property and to the extent payable to
8	the owner or mortgagee, insurance payable by reason of the loss or nonconformity of, defects or
9	infringement of rights in, or damage to the property.
10	(13) "Property" means all of a person's right, title, and interest, both legal and equitable,
11	in real and personal property, tangible and intangible, wherever located and however acquired.
12	The term includes proceeds, products, offspring, rents, or profits of or from the property.
13	(14) "Receiver" means a person appointed by the court as the court's agent, and subject
14	to the court's direction, to take possession of, manage, and, if authorized by this [act] or court
15	order, transfer, sell, lease, license, exchange, collect, or otherwise dispose of receivership
16	property.
17	(15) "Receivership" means a proceeding in which a receiver is appointed.
18	(16) "Receivership property" means the property of an owner which is described in the
19	order appointing a receiver or a subsequent order. The term includes any proceeds of the
20	property.
21	(17) "Record", used as a noun, means information that is inscribed on a tangible medium
22	or that is stored on an electronic or other medium and is retrievable in perceivable form.
23	(18) "Rents" means:

1	(A) sums payable for the right to possess or occupy, or for the actual possession
2	or occupation of, real property of another person;
3	(B) sums payable to a mortgagor under a policy of rental-interruption insurance
4	covering real property;
5	(C) claims arising out of a default in the payment of sums payable for the right to
6	possess or occupy real property of another person;
7	(D) sums payable to terminate an agreement to possess or occupy real property of
8	another person;
9	(E) sums payable to a mortgagor for payment or reimbursement of expenses
10	incurred in owning, operating, and maintaining real property, or constructing or installing
11	improvements on real property; or
12	(F) other sums payable under an agreement relating to the real property of another
13	person which constitute rents under law of this state other than this [act].
14	(19) "Secured obligation" means an obligation the payment or performance of which is
15	secured by a security agreement.
16	(20) "Security agreement" means an agreement that creates or provides for a lien.
17	(21) "Sign" means, with present intent to authenticate or adopt a record:
18	(A) to execute or adopt a tangible symbol; or
19	(B) to attach to or logically associate with the record an electronic sound, symbol,
20	or process.
21	(22) "State" means a state of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico,
22	the United States Virgin Islands, or any territory or insular possession subject to the jurisdiction
23	of the United States.

Reporter's Notes

1. "Affiliate." The Act uses the term to describe a person who is presumptively disqualified from serving as a receiver under Section 7 based on the person's relationship with a party to the proceeding. The term is also used in conjunction with the Act's scope exclusion for residential real property in Section 4(b). The definition is adapted from the one used in the Uniform Debt-Management Services Act (2011).

References: § 4(b) (scope exclusion for residential real property; § 7(b) (disqualification of person to serve as receiver).

2. "Companion." The term means the spouse or [registered] domestic partner of an individual as well as another individual in a civil union with the individual. This definition works in conjunction with the definition of "affiliate" to simplify that definition.

3. "Court." The Act defines the term to refer to the court of general equity jurisdiction within the state.

4. "Executory contract." The Act defines the term to include an unexpired lease. The definition is similar to the one contained in the Minnesota receivership statute, Minn. Stat. Ann. § 576.21(d), but with a slight modification to track the traditional "Countryman" formulation of the term more precisely. See, e.g., Countryman, Executory Contracts in Bankruptcy: Part I, 57 Minn. L. Rev. 439, 460 (1973) (executory contract is one under which the obligation of both parties "are so far unperformed that the failure of either to complete performance would constitute a material breach excusing the performance of the other").

References: § 12(b) (power of receiver includes right to adopt/reject executory contract); § 17(b) through (h) (adoption/rejection/assignment of executory contract or unexpired lease).

5. "Governmental unit." In this Act, the term "governmental unit" is used to describe state or municipal entities capable of exercising regulatory and police powers. See Minn. Stat. Ann. § 576.21(t).

References: § 4(c) (scope of Act); § 14(d) (exceptions to automatic stay).

6. "Lien." The Act defines "lien" to include any voluntary and involuntary interest in property securing an obligation, and includes a security interest.

 References: § 9 (receiver's status as lien creditor); § 11(b) (adequate protection as precondition to turnover of receivership property subject to lien); § 14(a) (enforcement of lien stayed by appointment); § 16(c) (sale, lease, license, or other transfer by receiver other than in ordinary course); § 16(d) (transfer of lien to proceeds); § 16(e) (right of lienholder to credit bid); § 17(g) (receiver's rejection of executory contract for purchase of real property or real estate timeshare interest); § 20(g) (distribution on claim of lienholder); § 25(a), (b) (effects of receivership/one-action and anti-deficiency rules).

7. "Mortgage." The Act defines "mortgage" to mean any record, however denominated, that creates a security interest in real property. The term includes a deed of trust or deed to secure debt, and includes an installment land contract in a state that treats an installment land contract as creating a security interest. The term also includes an assignment of rents and leases.

2 3

References: § 6(a), 6(b) (appointment of receiver at request of mortgagee; effect of consent expressed in mortgage); § 14(d) (stay does not prevent foreclosure by creditor who obtained appointment of receiver); § 17(h) (receiver's power to reject unexpired lease).

8. "Mortgagee." The Act defines the term to include any person holding a mortgage. The term includes an assignee of rents.

References: § 6(b) (appointment where consent expressed in mortgage); § 17(h) (receiver's power to reject unexpired lease); § 25(a) (effects of receivership/one-action and anti-deficiency rules).

9. "Mortgagor." The Act defines "mortgagor" to mean the person granting a mortgage and any successor owner of the mortgaged real property. The term includes an assignor of rents.

References: § 6(b) (appointment where consent expressed in mortgage); § 25(a) (effects of receivership/one-action and anti-deficiency rules).

10. "Owner." The Act defines "owner" to mean the person over whose property the receiver is appointed.

 References: § 4(b) (scope exclusion for owner-occupied residential property); § 6(a) (grounds for appointment); § 6(b) (appointment where consent expressed in mortgage); § 10 (receivership property subject to pre-petition security agreement); § 12(a), (b) (power of receiver to assert rights of owner and adopt/reject executory contract of owner); § 13(a), (b) (duties of owner); § 14(a), (d) (effect and scope of stay); § 17(b) (adoption/rejection of executory contract of owner); § 17(d) (assignment of executory contract); § 17(f) (enforceability of contractual limit on assignment of executory contract); § 17(g) (rejection of executory contract to purchase real estate); § 17(h) (rejection of unexpired lease).

11. "Person." The Act uses the ULC-required definition for the term.

References: § 6(a) (grounds for appointment of receiver); § 6(c) (ex parte appointment of receiver); § 7(a) through (d) (identity/eligibility of receiver); § 8(a) (receiver's bond); § 11(a), (c) (turnover requirement); § 12(a) (powers of receiver); § 13(b) (duties of owner); § 14(a) (automatic stay); § 14(c) (relief from stay); § 14(d) (exceptions to automatic stay); § 14(f) (sanctions for stay violation); § 15(a), (b) (appointment of professionals); § 16(c) (sale, lease, license or other transfer other than in ordinary course); § 16(f) (finality of sale, lease, license or other transfer other than in ordinary course); § 22(d) (sanctions for improvident appointment of receiver); § 24(a) (appointment of ancillary receiver).

12. "Proceeds." The Act defines proceeds in a fashion consistent with its definition under

Uniform Commercial Code § 9-102(a)(64).

3 13. "Property." The Act defines the term broadly to include all legally-recognized interests.
 4 "Personal property" includes both tangible and intangible property.

6 14. "Receiver." The definition derives from the one contained in Minn. Stat. Ann. § 7 576.21(p).

15. "Receivership." The definition derives from the one contained in Minn. Stat. Ann. § 576.21(q).

16. "Receivership property." The definition is adapted from Minn. Stat. Ann. § 576.21(r).

References: § 5 (power of court); § 8(a), 8(b) (receiver's bond); § 9 (receiver's status as lien creditor); § 11(a), (b), (c) (turnover of receivership property); § 12(a), (b) (powers of receiver); § 12(c) (duties of receiver); § 13(a) (duties of owner); § 14(a), (b) (nature and scope of automatic stay); § 14(d) (exceptions to automatic stay); § 16(b) (use of receivership property other than in ordinary course); § 16(c) (sale, lease, license, or other transfer by receiver other than in ordinary course); § 17(b) (receiver's power to adopt/reject executory contract relating to receivership property); § 17(e) (effect of rejection of executory contract); § 17(f) (enforceability of contractual limit on assignment of executory contract); § 17(g) (receiver's power to reject executory contract for sale of land or timeshare interest); § 18(b) (suit against receiver); § 19 (interim report of receiver); § 20(g) (distribution of receivership property to secured creditor); § 21(a) (fees and expenses of receivership); § 22(c), (d) (removal/termination of receiver); § 23(a) (final report of receiver); § 25(a), (b) (effects of receivership/one-action and anti-deficiency rules).

17. "Record." The Act uses the media-neutral term "record" as a noun to include both written and electronic documents. The limitation of the definition to use of "record" as a noun is designed to avoid confusion due to the customary use of the term "record" as a verb in real estate practice.

References: § 6(b) (appointment where mortgagor consent expressed in a record); § 12(a), (c) (powers and duties of receiver); § 13(a) (duties of owner); § 17(h) (receiver's power to reject unexpired lease); § 20(c), (d) (filing of claims and assignment of claims).

18. "Rents." This definition is substantially identical to the definition used in the Uniform Assignment of Rents Act. Because the Act's scope exclusion for residential property depends on whether the resident is collecting rents from a non-affiliate, a definition of "rents" is needed to delineate the Act's scope with sufficient clarity. Likewise, the definition is needed because the owner's failure to turn over rents that a mortgagee is entitled to collect provides grounds for the appointment of a receiver under § 6(b).

References: \S 4(b) (scope exclusion for residential real property); \S 6(a), 6(b) (standards for appointment of receiver).

1	19. "Secured obligation." The Act uses this term, which is commonly used in other real
2	estate-related acts, see, e.g., Uniform Assignment of Rents Act § 2(13); Uniform Residential
3 4	Mortgage Satisfaction Act § 102(15), rather than the term "mortgage debt."
5 6 7	References: \S 6(b) (appointment where consent expressed in mortgage); \S 25(a) (effects of receivership/one-action and anti-deficiency rules).
8 9 10	20. "Security agreement." The Act uses this term to include any agreement that creates or provides for a lien. The term includes a mortgage as defined in Section 2(7).
10 11 12	Reference: § 10 (receivership property subject to pre-petition security agreement).
13 14 15	21. "Sign." The Act uses the media-neutral version of the term commonly used in other recent Uniform Acts.
16 17 18	References: § 6(b) (appointment where consent expressed in signed record); § 20(c), (d) (signature requirement for submitted claims and assignment of claims).
19 20 21 22 23	22. "State." The Act uses the boilerplate ULC definition of the term. The definition does not include tribal sovereigns, consistent with the decision of the Drafting Committee in November 2014 that such a change is not warranted absent an indication of interest in the Act from one or more tribal organizations.
24	SECTION 3. NOTICE AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING.
25	(a) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (b), the court may issue an order under
26	this [act] only after notice and opportunity for a hearing appropriate in the circumstances.
27	(b) The court may issue an order under this [act]:
28	(1) without prior notice if the circumstances require issuance of an order before
29	notice is given;
30	(2) after notice and without a prior hearing if the circumstances require issuance
31	of an order before a hearing is held; or
32	(3) after notice and without a hearing if no interested party timely requests a
33	hearing.
34	Reporter's Note
35	Principles of due process and fairness in judicial administration require that persons

affected by a receivership should have notice and an opportunity to be heard before a final determination of their legal rights and responsibilities. However, because receivership is a flexible remedy based in equity, it is not appropriate to implement a rule requiring a uniform type of notice, duration of notice, or hearing for every determination made in the context of a receivership. Consistent with due process requirements, Section 3(a) incorporates the idea that any court order under this Act may be made only "after notice and opportunity for a hearing." Section 3(a) expresses this concept in a flexible fashion that permits the appointing court to require notice and opportunity for a hearing that is appropriate in the particular circumstances.

For example, where a receiver proposes to sell property free and clear of liens under Section 16 of this Act, a court should not issue an order approving such a sale without prior notice to interested persons and the actual conduct of a hearing on the proposed merits of the sale terms. In the context of such a sale, there are no plausible circumstances that would require the conduct of such a sale without notice to interested persons and the opportunity for a hearing at which parties objecting to the sale may be heard as to the basis for their objections. By contrast, in many circumstances, such as where the court is approving a routine periodic report by the receiver, the court might require prior notice to interested persons, but might indicate that no hearing would be held before the court's entry of the order unless an interested party requested a hearing in a timely fashion.

Section 3 also addresses the possibility that in some circumstances, a court might enter an order appointing or directing a receiver on an *ex parte* basis (without prior notice), where the particular circumstances require that the court issue an order before notice can be given or a hearing held. In such cases, to ensure compliance with due process, principles of due process still require that notice be sent after the order is entered and that prompt opportunity for a post-order hearing be provided. *See, e.g., Mitchell v. W.T. Grant Co.*, 416 U.S. 600 (1974).

SECTION 4. SCOPE; EXCLUSIONS.

- (a) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (b) or (c), this [act] applies to a receivership for an interest in real property and any personal property related to the real property or used in operating the real property.
- (b) This [act] does not apply to a receivership for an interest in real property improved by one to four dwelling units unless:
- (1) the interest is used for agricultural, commercial, industrial, or mineral extraction purposes, other than incidental uses by an owner occupying the property as the owner's primary residence;
 - (2) the interest secures an obligation incurred at a time when the property was

1 used or planned for use for agricultural, commercial, industrial, or mineral extraction purposes; 2 (3) the owner planned or is planning to develop the property into one or more 3 dwelling units to be sold or leased in the ordinary course of the owner's business; or 4 (4) the owner is collecting or has the right to collect rents or other income from 5 the property from a person other than an affiliate of the owner. 6 (c) This [act] does not apply to a receivership authorized by law of this state other than 7 this [act] in which the receiver is a governmental unit or an individual acting in an official 8 capacity on behalf of the unit [except to the extent provided by the other law]. 9 (d) This [act] does not limit the authority of a court to appoint a receiver under law of 10 this state other than this [act]. 11 (e) Unless displaced by a particular provision of this [act], the principles of law and 12 equity supplement this [act]. 13 Legislative Note: In many states, there are statutes under which a governmental unit or official 14 may be appointed as a receiver for an organization such as a hospital, insurance company, or other organization affected with a public interest. This [act] generally would not govern the 15 16 receivership, but the bracketed language at the end of subsection (c) would permit a state to 17 modify its existing receivership statute to incorporate some or all provisions of this act. 18 19 **Reporter's Notes** 20 Subsection (a) reflects the Drafting Committee's charge to address the appointment and 21 powers of real estate receivers. Thus, subsection (a) provides that except to the extent Section 4 22 otherwise limits, the Act will govern receivership of real property and personal property that is 23 related to the real property or used in its operation. Thus, for example, if the mortgagee of real 24 estate used by the mortgagor as a hotel sought the appointment of a receiver following the 25 mortgagor's default, the court could appoint a receiver under this Act for both the real estate and any personal property of the owner used in the operation of the hotel (e.g., furnishings, 26 27 food/beverage inventories, and accounts receivable). In a receivership for an owner engaged in 28 farming operations on land possessed by the owner in fee simple, the court could appoint a 29 receiver for the land, growing crops, and any personal property or fixtures related to or used in 30 the farming operation. Likewise, large natural resource development projects frequently are

financed through large credit facilities which include real property collateral (the mineral estate

and/or the surface estate), personal property collateral, and fixtures. The court could appoint a

receiver under this Act for all of the real and personal property assets of the owner used in

31

32

operating such a project.

Where mineral rights have not been severed from the surface estate, appointment of a receiver for the surface estate would include the unsevered mineral rights. Where mineral rights have been severed and a receiver is appointed for the owner of the mineral rights, the receivership property would include the mineral rights, but would include no right in the surface estate other than any easement or other use rights associated with ownership of the mineral estate. In such a case, rather than the exploitation of the mineral rights, the purpose of the receivership would likely be to market and sell the mineral rights using the receiver's power under Section 16 of this Act.

2. Subsection (b) provides the Act's primary scope exclusion — this Act may not be used to appoint a receiver for an interest in real property improved with one to four dwelling units, unless (1) the interest is used for agricultural, commercial, industrial, or mineral extraction purposes, other than incidental uses by an owner occupying the property as the owner's primary residence; (2) the interest secures an obligation incurred at a time when the property was used or planned for use for agricultural, commercial, industrial, or mineral extraction purposes; (3) the owner planned or is planning to develop the property into one or more dwelling units to be sold or leased in the ordinary course of the owner's business; or (4) the owner is collecting or has the right to collect rents or other income from the property from a person other than an affiliate of the owner.

Section 4(b) draws an appropriate line with respect to properties to be included within the Act. The Act includes the following properties within its scope:

• Any commercial building (even if the building was vacant and not currently being "used") and any undeveloped land, on the theory that such land is not residential in nature and thus should be covered by the Act.

A failed/failing residential development in which the owner/developer had expected to
complete more than four dwelling units for sale or lease in the ordinary course of the
owner's business, but actually completed four or fewer unsold dwelling units. In this
situation, the development is clearly commercial in character and should not fall within
the general exclusion for property improved by one to four dwelling units.

• A home that a builder built as a "spec" home that remains unsold. In that situation, the owner's use of the property is commercial and not residential in nature, and the Act should apply.

• A home, duplex, triplex or quadplex—even if the owner occupies a portion of it as the owner's residence—if the owner is collecting rents or has the right to collect rents from persons other than an affiliate of the owner. In this situation, where the owner is collecting rents from unrelated persons, the owner is effectively making a commercial use of the property, and the Act should apply.

• An interest in property that is improved by one to four dwelling units, if the interest is

used for agricultural, commercial, industrial, or mineral extraction purposes. Section 4(b) thus includes within the scope of the Act property that is a farm, ranch, winery, or the like, even if there are one to four dwelling units on the property. This draft reflects the Committee's understanding that such parcels were "commercial" and should be covered by the Act.

• An interest in property that is improved by one to four dwelling units and is currently used only for residential purposes, if the interest secures an obligation that was incurred at a time when the interest was used or planned for use for agricultural, commercial, industrial, or mineral extraction purposes. Thus, for example, if the owner borrowed money and granted a mortgage on land that it was using (or planned to use) as a farm, Section 4(b) permits a court to appoint a receiver for the land even if the owner was no longer engaged in farming operations and the owner's current use of the land was exclusively residential in nature.

By contrast, the Act excludes the following:

A home occupied only by the owner and used for residential purposes, even if the owner
made incidental use of a portion of the home other than for strictly residential purposes.
For example, where an owner uses a spare bedroom as a home office for occasional
paperwork in the evenings and on weekends, a court may not use this Act as a basis to
appoint a receiver for the home.

• A home for which the owner was collecting rents only from one or more children, parents, or other relatives that are "affiliates" under the Act, because rents being collected only from family members are generally more appropriately characterized as intra-family support rather than commercial activity.

• A vacation home that was not the owner's primary residence if the owner did not rent the home to a person other than an affiliate.

 The exclusion of these residential properties from this Act is not intended to suggest that a court could never appoint a receiver for such a property. Instead, the exclusion means only that this Act may not be used to be appoint a receiver. A court might still appoint a receiver for such residential property under other state law, if other state law would permit appointment of a receiver for residential property under the circumstances.

3. Subsection (c) is intended to address the relationship of this Act to existing statutory regimes for the appointment of receivers for certain entities. See, e.g., N.H. Rev. Stat. § 401-B:11 (authorizing receivership of insurance company). The provisions of this Act do not apply to appointment of a receiver under an existing statutory regime, except to the extent that the other statutory regime or other law so provides. The bracketed language, by requiring the authorization to come from other law, reflects that the Act does not by itself authorize courts to apply the provisions of the Act by analogy to cases outside the Act's scope.

4. Subsection (d) makes clear that this Act is not intended to be the exclusive method by

which a court may appoint a receiver. Further, courts are welcome to look to this Act for guidance as to appropriate practices in receiverships that are outside the scope of this Act.

SECTION 5. POWER OF COURT. The court that appoints a receiver under this [act]

- 5 has exclusive jurisdiction to direct the receiver and determine any controversy related to the
- 6 receivership or receivership property.
- **Legislative Note:** Section 5 is appropriate in a state where a court in one county, circuit, or
- 8 district may issue orders with statewide effect and has the power to act on property located in
- 9 another county, circuit, or district within the state. In a state where a court in one county, circuit,
- or district may appoint a receiver but an order entered by the court in that county, circuit, or
- 11 district lacks statewide effect, the state should modify Section 5 to make clear that an order of a
- 12 court appointing a receiver under this act has statewide effect.

Reporter's Notes

1. Section 5 provides a statement of the court's powers in the context of a receivership. It is a substantial adaptation of Minnesota's receivership statute, Minn. Stat. Ann. § 576.23. Under this section, the court has the authority to determine all controversies relating to the collection, preservation, improvement, disposition and distribution of receivership property, as well as all matters arising in or relating to the receivership, the receivership property, the exercise of the receiver's powers, or the performance of the receiver's duties. See also Wash. Rev. Code Ann. § 7.60.055(1).

2. Section 5 focuses only on the appointing court's exclusive judicial authority over the receiver and the receivership property. Section 5 does not displace the exercise of legitimate police powers over the receiver or receivership property.

3. In some circumstances, a creditor may ask a court to appoint a receiver for an owner with property located in multiple states. For example, suppose Bank holds mortgages on Owner's farm, which is located on contiguous parcels, one located in State A and the other in State B. At Bank's request, State A appoints a receiver under this Act. Section 5 does not authorize the receiver appointed to take possession and control of the portion of the farm located in State B, even if the order appointing the receiver nominally identifies the entire farm as receivership property. If a court appoints a receiver in State A and the receiver wants to take possession and control of property located in State B, the receiver must obtain appointment as an ancillary receiver in State B. Section 12(a)(8) makes clear that the receiver has the power under this Act to seek appointment as an ancillary receiver for property located in another state.

Likewise, at the time a receiver is appointed in this state, there could be pending litigation in another state involving the owner or the owner's property. Section 5 does not expand the court's subject matter jurisdiction so as permit the appointing court to direct the court of another jurisdiction in the resolution of pending litigation. Section 5 does, however, give the appointing court the exclusive jurisdiction to direct the receiver as to how the receiver can or should respond to pending litigation in another state that might be relevant to the receivership.

In at least one state (Kentucky), while there is existing ancient case law that does confirm that the appointing court may empower a receiver to act with respect to receivership property located anywhere within the boundaries of the same state, some judges nevertheless hesitate to recognize a receiver's ability to act outside the county in which he or she was appointed without express statutory authority. As reflected in the Legislative Note, in states where certain county, district, or circuit courts may lack the ability to issue orders with statewide effect, Section 5 should be revised to permit a court's orders in receiverships covered by this Act to have statewide effect. SECTION 6. APPOINTMENT OF RECEIVER. (a) The court may appoint a receiver: (1) before judgment, to protect a party that demonstrates an apparent right to real property that is the subject of the action, if the property or its revenue-producing potential: (A) is being subjected to or is in danger of waste, loss, dissipation, or impairment; or (B) has been or could be the subject of a voidable transaction; (2) after judgment: (A) to carry the judgment into effect; or (B) to preserve nonexempt real property pending appeal or when an execution has been returned unsatisfied and the owner refuses to apply the property in satisfaction of the judgment; [and] (3) in an action in which a receiver for real property may be appointed on equitable grounds[; and (4) during the time allowed for redemption, to preserve real property sold in an execution or foreclosure sale and secure its rents to the person entitled to the rents]. (b) In connection with the foreclosure or other enforcement of a mortgage, [a mortgagee is entitled to appointment of [[the court may appoint] a receiver for the mortgaged property if

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

there is a default under the mortgage and:

1	(1) appointment is necessary to protect the property from waste, loss, transfer,
2	dissipation, or impairment;
3	(2) the mortgagor agreed in a signed record to appointment of a receiver on
4	default;
5	(3) the owner agreed, after default and in a signed record, to appointment of a
6	receiver;
7	(4) the property and any other collateral held by the mortgagee are not sufficient
8	to satisfy the secured obligation;
9	(5) the owner fails to turn over to the mortgagee proceeds or rents the mortgagee
10	was entitled to collect; or
11	(6) the holder of a subordinate lien obtains appointment of a receiver for the
12	property.
13	(c) The court may condition appointment of a receiver without prior notice under Section
14	3(b)(1) or without a prior hearing under Section 3(b)(2) on the giving of security by the person
15	seeking the appointment for the payment of damages, reasonable attorney's fees, and costs
16	incurred or suffered by any person if the court concludes that the appointment was not justified.
17	If the court later concludes that the appointment was justified, the court shall release the security.
18 19 20	Legislative Note: Subsection $(a)(4)$ permits the court to appoint a receiver for the property and its rents during the redemption period. It would be appropriate in a state that provides a post-sale statutory redemption right.
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	Subsection (b) includes bracketed alternatives. Under the first, a mortgagee would be entitled to appointment of a receiver in the six circumstances listed in subsection (b). Under the second, these six circumstances would justify appointment of a receiver, but appointment would be subject to the court's discretion rather than an entitlement. Under Section 7 of the Uniform Assignment of Rents Act (UARA), an assignee of rents is entitled to appointment of a receiver under the circumstances expressed in subsection (b). Thus, in a jurisdiction that has enacted UARA, subsection (b) should use the first bracketed alternative to avoid the risk that adoption of this act might create an implied repeal of UARA Section 7.

Reporter's Notes

1. Traditionally, courts treated the appointment of a receiver as "an equitable remedy and not a substantive right." 1 Clark on Receivers, § 46, at 48 (3d ed. 1959). As Clark stated:

The appointment of a receiver is the means and not the end. . . . Before a court will appoint a receiver the litigant must bring a proper suit before the court and claim a substantive right has been violated, and the court at its discretion appoints a receiver to preserve the res in order that it may respond to the adjudication by the court concerning the substantive right claimed by the party asking for a receiver. The appointment of a receiver in itself determines no substantive right.

Id. § 48, at 52. As such, courts traditionally held that there was no specific right to the appointment of a receiver, as the power of appointment "is a delicate one ... to be exercised with great circumspection" by the court, which had to be "satisfied by affidavit or other suitable evidence that a receiver is necessary to preserve the property, or in exceptional cases administer the property, having in mind the rights and interests of all parties." Id. § 49, at 53.

Consistent with this historical approach, section 6(a) describes the types of cases in which a court is authorized to appoint a receiver pursuant to this act, and is based on a compilation of numerous existing receivership statutes. In each of the situations reflected in subsection (a), the determination that circumstances exist to justify the appointment of a receiver for the owner's property is left to the discretion of the court.

Subsection (a)(3) authorizes the court to appoint a receiver under this Act in cases in which courts of this state have appointed or may appoint receivers for real property on equitable grounds. This includes the insolvency of the owner of the real property.

Subsection (a)(4) would be appropriate in states that provide a post-sale statutory redemption right, and would permit the court to appoint a receiver for the property and its rents during the redemption period.

2. As the Clark treatise explained, courts traditionally held that "[s]ince no litigant can force a judge to do a judicial act ... no litigant has an absolute right to have the court take another's property into its custody by the appointment of a receiver." 1 Clark on Receivers, § 48, at 52 (3d ed. 1959). Nevertheless, it is quite common for mortgage loan documents to contain "receivership clauses" under which the mortgager consents to the appointment of a receiver after default, without regard to whether the mortgaged property is subject to waste or whether it provides adequate security for repayment of the mortgage debt. Because appointment of a receiver traditionally originated from within the court's equitable discretion, some courts have refused to appoint a receiver — despite the presence of a receivership clause — in cases where they would have denied appointment of a receiver otherwise. See, e.g., Dart v. Western Sav. & Loan Ass'n, 438 P.2d 407 (Ariz. 1968); Chromy v. Midwest Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass'n, 546 So.2d 1172 (Fla. App. 1989); Sazant v. Foremost Investments, N.V., 507 So.2d 653 (Fla. App. 1987) (receivership clause not binding on court where mortgagor had not committed waste and default

did not place mortgagee at serious risk of noncollection); Gage v. First Federal Sav. & Loan

Ass'n, 717 F. Supp. 745 (D. Kan. 1989); Barclays Bank, P.L.C. v. Davidson Ave. Assocs., Ltd., 644 A.2d 685 (N.J. Super. 1994) (receivership clause "usurps the judicial function" and thus violates public policy).

Other courts have treated receivership clauses as presumptively but not conclusively enforceable. *Barclays Bank v. Superior Court*, 137 Cal. Rptr. 743 (Cal. App. 1977); *Riverside Properties v. Teachers Ins. & Annuity Ass'n*, 590 S.W.2d 736 (Tex. App. 1979); *Okura & Co. v. Careau Group*, 783 F. Supp. 482 (C.D. Cal. 1991); *Wellman Sav. Bank v. Roth*, 432 N.W.2d 697 (Iowa App. 1988).

By contrast, there is significant recent authority supporting the view that a receivership clause provides a sufficient basis to appoint a receiver after the mortgagor's default. See, e.g., Bank of America Nat'l Trust & Sav. Ass'n v. Denver Hotel Ass'n Ltd. Partnership, 830 P.2d 1138 (Colo. App. 1992); Fleet Bank v. Zimelman, 575 A.2d 731 (Me. 1990); Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. v. Liberty Center Venture, 650 A.2d 887 (Pa. Super. 1994); Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp. v. Nazar, 100 B.R. 555 (D. Kan. 1989). Finally, federal courts have routinely held receivership clauses in federally insured mortgages sufficient to justify the appointment of a receiver. See, e.g., United States v. Berk & Berk, 767 F. Supp. 593 (D. N.J. 1991); United States v. Drexel View II, Ltd., 661 F. Supp. 1120 (N.D. Ill. 1987). Consistent with this recent authority, both the Restatement (Third) of Property — Mortgages and the Uniform Assignment of Rents Act take the view that a mortgagee/assignee of rents is "entitled" to the appointment of a receiver where the loan documents contain a clause under which the mortgagor consented to appointment. Restatement (Third) of Property — Mortgages § 4.3(b); Uniform Assignment of Rents Act § 7(a).

 Furthermore, some state statutes explicitly make clear that the mortgagee is entitled to a receiver following default as a matter of right. See, e.g., Ind. Code § 32-30-5-1 (court "shall" appoint a receiver if "either the mortgagor or the owner of the property has agreed in the mortgage or in some other writing to the appointment of a receiver"); Minn. Stat. Ann. § 559.17, subd. 2 (where assignment of rents contains receivership clause, "the court shall, without regard to waste, adequacy of the security, or solvency of the mortgagor, appoint a receiver"); N.Y. Real Prop. Law § 254(10) (receivership clause "must be construed as meaning that the mortgagee, his heirs, successors or assigns, in any action to foreclose the mortgage, shall be entitled, without notice and without regard to adequacy of any security of the debt, to the appointment of a receiver of the rents and profits of the premises covered by the mortgage"); N. Mex. Stat. Ann. § 44-8-4(A) (court "shall appoint a receiver in an action by a mortgage or secured party ... where such mortgage, security agreement, contract or other written agreement provides for the appointment of a receiver").

 Consistent with this recent trend, subsection (b) is based on the comparable provision of § 7 of the Uniform Assignment of Rents Act. One of the bracketed alternatives provides that a person seeking appointment of a receiver is entitled to a receiver as a matter of right in a proceeding to foreclosure a mortgage or enforce an assignment of rents where one of the following conditions exists: (1) appointment is necessary to protect the mortgaged property or rents arising from the property from waste, loss, transfer, or dissipation; (2) the loan documents contain a receivership clause; (3) the owner otherwise consents; (4) the property's value is not

sufficient to satisfy the secured obligation; (5) the owner has failed to turn over rents that the creditor is entitled to collect; or (6) a subordinate creditor has obtained the appointment of a receiver for the property. Under the second bracketed alternative, the presence of one or more of these six factors is grounds for appointment in the court's discretion. The Legislative Note makes clear that in jurisdictions that have enacted the Uniform Assignment of Rents Act, the state should make certain that Section 6(b) adopts the "matter of right" alternative, so as to avoid any possibility that the enactment of this Act might work an implied repeal of the provisions of UARA Section 7. Likewise, to facilitate enactment in states in which other case law or statutory law makes appointment of a receiver mandatory in certain cases involving mortgage enforcement, the provisions of Section 6 should facilitate the Act's consistency with those existing state laws.

3. Traditionally, the appointment of a receiver was an ancillary remedy sought in the context of a pending court proceeding. See, e.g., 1 Clark on Receivers § 75, at 106 (3d ed. 1959) ("An order appointing a receiver ... presupposes a pending suit."). In the context of a mortgage foreclosure, the need for a pending action (to which the receivership could be ancillary) posed no obstacle in judicial foreclosure states, as the foreclosing mortgagee could seek the appointment of a receiver in the foreclosure action. In nonjudicial foreclosure states, however, there may be no pending action to which a receivership motion could be made on an ancillary basis. In such states, strict adherence to the traditional approach required the foreclosing mortgagee to bring an action for specific performance of its assignment of rents before the mortgagee could then file a motion for the appointment of a receiver.

Subsection (b) authorizes the court to appoint a receiver "in connection with foreclosure or other enforcement of a mortgage that is in default" The section is intended to permit a mortgagee foreclosing nonjudicially to petition to the court directly for the appointment of a receiver without having to institute an entirely separate action for specific performance of an assignment of rents or some other civil action to which the receivership could serve as an ancillary remedy.

4. Subsection (c) authorizes (but does not require) the court to condition the *ex parte* appointment of a receiver upon the giving of security by the person seeking appointment. This security would protect against damages, fees, and costs incurred or suffered by any person if the court later concludes that the receiver's appointment was not justified.

The Act does not require a court to appoint a receiver on an *ex parte* basis simply because the loan documents contain the mortgagor's consent to *ex parte* appointment. Nevertheless, Section 3 authorizes the court to appoint a receiver on an *ex parte* basis if the particular circumstances justified *ex parte* appointment, and nothing in this Act bars a court from concluding that a clause in the mortgage consenting to *ex parte* appointment would constitute a relevant "particular circumstance" justifying *ex parte* appointment.

SECTION 7. IDENTITY OF RECEIVER; DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST.

(a) The court may not appoint a person as receiver unless the person provides a statement

1	under penalty of perjury that the person is not disqualified.
2	(b) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (c), a person is disqualified from
3	appointment as receiver if the person:
4	(1) is an affiliate of a party;
5	(2) has an interest materially adverse to an interest of a party;
6	(3) has a material financial interest in the outcome of the action, other than
7	compensation the court may allow the receiver;
8	(4) has a debtor-creditor relationship with a party; or
9	(5) holds an equity interest in a party, other than a noncontrolling interest in a
10	publicly-traded company.
11	(c) A person is not disqualified from appointment as receiver solely because the person
12	(1) was appointed receiver or is owed compensation in an unrelated matter
13	involving a party or was engaged by a party in a matter unrelated to the receivership; or
14	(2) is an individual obligated to a party on a debt that is not in default and was
15	incurred primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
16	(d) A person seeking appointment of a receiver may nominate a person to serve as
17	receiver, but the court is not bound by the nomination.
18	Reporter's Notes
19 20 21 22 23 24	1. Traditionally, the receiver is an independent third party who serves as an officer of the court and owes a fiduciary duty to the mortgagor and the mortgagee. See, e.g., 1 Clark on Receivers § 34, at 35 (3d ed. 1959); 1 Grant S. Nelson & Dale A. Whitman, Real Estate Finance Law § 4.33 (6th ed. 2014). Consistent with the traditional approach, Section 7 requires the receiver's "independence." This concept is adapted (with substantial simplification) from Minnesota's receivership statute, Minn. Stat. Ann. § 576.26, subdivisions 1 and 3.
25 26 27 28	2. Subsection (a) requires the prospective receiver to provide sworn evidence of its independence. Subsection (b) sets forth the circumstances that would disqualify a person from service as a receiver. Earlier drafts of this Section provided that the court could appoint an

interested person as receiver with the consent of all parties. The Drafting Committee made a determination to require independence, based significantly on input from Observers within the receiver community who noted that significant abuse resulted from the appointment of interested persons (particularly brokers) as receivers during the most recent real estate downturn.

Subsection (c) makes clear, however, that a person is not disqualified as a receiver merely because that person has served as a receiver in or is owed compensation relating to a prior unrelated dispute. Subsection (c) also makes clear that an individual receiver is not disqualified merely because the receiver is obligated on a consumer loan that is not in default. For example,

an individual would not be disqualified from serving as receiver in a case in which Last National

Bank is a creditor merely because the receiver's home mortgage was originated or is serviced by

Last National Bank.

In most jurisdictions, it is customary for the person seeking the receiver's appointment to nominate a prospective receiver. Subsection (d) contemplates such a practice, but makes clear that the identity of the receiver is ultimately subject to the court's discretion. 1 Clark on Receivers, § 48, at 52 (3d ed. 1959) ("the power of determining who the receiver shall be rests with the court").

SECTION 8. RECEIVER'S BOND.

- (a) A receiver shall post with the court a bond:
- 22 (1) conditioned on the faithful discharge of the receiver's duties;
- 23 (2) with one or more sureties approved by the court;
- 24 (3) in an amount the court specifies; and
- 25 (4) effective as of the date of the receiver's appointment.
- 26 (b) The court may authorize the receiver to act before the receiver posts the bond 27 required by subsection (a).
- 28 (c) A claim against a receiver's bond must be made not later than [one] year after the date the receiver is discharged.
 - **Legislative Note:** Subsection (c) creates a limitation period for a claim against the bond based on an action by the receiver. The period should be consistent with the state's limitation period for obtaining relief from a judgment.

Reporter's Notes

1. Nearly all of the existing state receivership statutes or rules require that the receiver must

- post a bond in an amount determined by the court, but provide no specific guidance to the court
- with respect to the amount of the bond. See, e.g., Alaska Stat. § 09.40.250; Ariz. R. Civ. Proc.
- 3 66(b)(2); Ark. R. Civ. Proc. 66(a); Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 567(b); Colo. R. Civ. Proc. 66(b);
- 4 Idaho Code § 8-604; Ind. Code § 32-30-5-3; Iowa Code Ann. § 680.3; Kan. Stat. Ann. § 60-
- 5 1302; Mich. Comp. Laws Ann. § 600.2926; Minn. Stat. Ann. § 576.27; Miss. Code Ann. § 11-5-
- 6 159; Mo. Rev. Stat. § 515.250; Mont. Code Ann. § 27-20-301; N.C. Gen. Stat. § 1-504; N.D.
- 7 Cent. Code § 32-10-03; Ohio Rev. Code § 2735.03; Okla. Stat. tit. 12, § 1553; R.I. R. Civ. Proc.
- 8 66(k); S.D. Codif. Laws § 21-21-8; Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 64.023; Wash. Rev. Code
- 9 Ann. § 7.60.045; W.Va. Code § 53-6-1. By contrast, only a few statutes provide some
- requirement regarding the size of the bond. See, e.g., Va. Code Ann. § 8.01-587 (bond must be
- "sufficient at least to cover the probable amount under [the receiver's] control in any one year);
 - Wis. Stat. Ann. § 813.16(6) (bond must be in an amount "sufficient to cover all property likely to come into the receiver's hands").

13 14 15

16

17

12

Subsection (a) reflects the Drafting Committee's determination that the amount of the receiver's bond should be left to the discretion of the judge based on the particular circumstances of the case. Nevertheless, best practices would suggest that a court should require a bond

amount based on monthly cash flow through the receivership.

19 20

21

2. Although subsection (a) requires that the receiver's bond must be effective as of the date of appointment, subsection (b) makes clear that the court may authorize the receiver to act before the bond has actually been posted with the court.

222324

25

26

3. Section 23(b) provides that the court's approval of the receiver's final report following the receiver's distribution of all receivership property discharges the receiver from further duties as receiver. However, that discharge does not result in the discharge of the surety on the receiver's bond. As the Clark treatise explains:

27 28 29

30

31 32 At the time of discharge of the receiver the court will not vacate his recognizance or bond even upon the request of all parties, nor shall sureties on the bond be discharged upon their own request. On the discharge of the receiver the surety is still liable for any default [the receiver] may have made during the administration of his trust, even though this may be afterwards discovered. [3 Clark on Receivers § 696(a), at 1282 (3d ed. 1959).]

333435

36

37

To provide finality to the surety on the receiver's bond, subsection (c) provides a one-year period for filing claims against the bond, and is modeled on a similar provision in Wash. Rev. Code Ann. § 7.60.045. As the Legislative Note makes clear, this period typically is consistent with the limitations period for obtaining relief from a judgment.

38 39 40

SECTION 9. RECEIVER'S STATUS AS LIEN CREDITOR. On appointment of a

- 41 receiver, the receiver has the status of a lien creditor:
- 42 (1) under [Uniform Commercial Code Article 9] as to receivership property that is
- 43 personal property or fixtures; and

(2) under [the recording statute of this state] as to receivership property that is real

2 property.

3 Reporter's Note

As a general rule, on appointment a receiver takes the receivership property subject to all valid liens, priorities, equities, charges and encumbrances against the property. 1 Clark on Receivers, § 269, at 413 (3d ed. 1959). For this reason, "[p]rior liens are not divested by the appointment of a receiver in cases in which the lienholders are not parties and have not had their day in court." *Id.* This principle also includes voluntary liens such as security interests, as Clark explains:

The appointment of a receiver does not void contracts between the plaintiff and defendant, neither does it void contracts between the defendant and third parties. It, therefore, follows that under ordinary circumstances, without a governing statute, a third person having an interest in the res or a part of the res by reason of a [security interest] is not deprived of his contractual right by reason of the appointment of a receiver. [*Id.* § 274.2, at 425.]

Nevertheless, Uniform Commercial Code Article 9 requires that a security interest be perfected to ensure its enforceability versus certain third parties. As a result, a receiver "has the power to disregard [an unperfected security interest in] personal property which the receiver finds in his possession." *Id.* § 274.2, at 426.

Consistent with the foregoing, Section 9 (which is a simplified version of Minnesota's receivership statute, Minn. Stat. Ann. § 576.30) provides that the receiver has the status of a lien creditor as to both personal and real property. Under Article 9 of the Uniform Commercial Code, the term "lien creditor" includes "a receiver in equity from the time of appointment." U.C.C. § 9-102(a)(52)(D). Section 9 makes clear that a receiver appointed under this Act also has the status and priority of a "lien creditor" as to personal property under Article 9.

Section 9 would enable the receiver to establish priority not only against subsequent creditors, but also a prior unperfected secured party, as that unperfected secured party would be subordinate to a person who acquires the rights of a lien creditor before the conflicting security interest is perfected. U.C.C. § 9-317(a)(2). Section 9 does not create (and is not intended to create) an "avoiding power" in the receiver analogous to the strong-arm power exercisable by a bankruptcy trustee under Bankruptcy Code § 544(a).

Section 9 also gives the receiver the status and priority of a lien creditor under the state's recording statute with respect to receivership property that is real property. The application of Section 9 would produce different results in different states with respect to an unrecorded interest in real property (such as an unrecorded mortgage). In the majority of states, an unrecorded mortgage would nevertheless have priority over a subsequent judgment lien. See Stoebuck & Whitman, The Law of Property § 11.10, at 880-881 ("Often this conclusion is based on the literal language of the pertinent judgment lien statute, which typically imposes the lien on 'the

1 defendant's real property—not the record property, the courts frequently hold, but the actual 2 property as depleted by unrecorded conveyances. An alternative basis for the same result is that 3 the creditor is simply not a 'purchaser' in the sense used by the recording statute."). In a 4 minority of states, an unrecorded mortgage would be subordinate to a subsequent judgment lien, 5 either because the recording statute explicitly so provides or has been so interpreted by the state's courts. See Schleuter Co. v. Sevigny, 564 N.W.2d 309 (S.D. 1997); Solans v. 6 7 McMenimen, 951 N.E.2d 999 (Mass. Ct. App. 2011); McDuff Estate v. Kost, 158 A. 373 (R.I. 8 1932). 9 10 SECTION 10. SECURITY AGREEMENT COVERING AFTER-ACQUIRED 11 **PROPERTY.** Except as otherwise provided by law of this state other than this [act], property 12 that a receiver or owner acquires after appointment of the receiver is subject to a security 13 agreement entered into before the appointment to the same extent as if the court had not 14 appointed the receiver. 15 Reporter's Note 16 Section 10 is derived from Washington's receivership statute, Wash. Rev. Code Ann. § 17 7.60.240. Section 10 provides that where the owner had entered into a pre-appointment security 18 agreement covering after-acquired property, that agreement is effective against property acquired 19 after the receiver's appointment to the extent provided under other law. Section 10 ensures that 20 the appointment of a receiver should have no impact on the effectiveness of an after-acquired 21 property clause in a pre-petition security agreement. Thus, for example, if the owner had granted 22 Bank (pre-receivership) a security interest in present and after-acquired equipment and 23 inventory, the appointment of a receiver for all or part of the owner's property should have no 24 impact on the "after-acquired" clause in that security agreement, either as to similar property 25 acquired by the receiver or by the owner. 26 SECTION 11. COLLECTION AND TURNOVER OF RECEIVERSHIP 27 PROPERTY. 28 (a) Unless the court orders otherwise, on demand by a receiver: 29 30 (1) a person that owes a debt that is receivership property and is matured or 31 payable on demand or on order shall pay the debt to or on the order of the receiver, except to the 32 extent the debt is subject to setoff or recoupment; and 33 (2) subject to subsection (c), a person that has possession, custody, or control of

- 1 receivership property shall turn the property over to the receiver.
 - (b) A person that has notice of the appointment of a receiver and owes a debt that is
- 3 receivership property may not satisfy the debt by payment to the owner.
- 4 (c) If a creditor has possession, custody, or control of receivership property and the
- 5 validity, perfection, or priority of the creditor's lien on the property depends on the creditor's
- 6 possession, custody, or control, the creditor may retain possession, custody, or control until the
- 7 court enters an order providing adequate protection of the creditor's lien.
- 8 (d) Unless there is a bona fide dispute about a receiver's right to possession, custody, or
- 9 control of receivership property, the court may sanction as civil contempt a person's failure to
- turn the property over when required by this section.

Reporter's Notes

1. Section 11 facilitates the ability of the receiver to gather receivership property and to collect debts that are receivership property. Subsection (a)(1) governs the receiver's ability to collect debts that constitute receivership property. The obligor on a debt that is matured, payable on demand, or payable on order must pay the debt to the receiver on demand, except to the extent that the obligor has a right of setoff or recoupment under other law. Subsection (a)(1) thus provides the receiver with an ability to collect debts that is comparable to that possessed by a trustee or debtor-in-possession under Section 542(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. § 542(b).

19 20 21

2223

24

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

2

Subsection (a)(2) obligates anyone in possession, custody, or control of receivership property to turn that property over to the receiver on demand, unless the court orders otherwise. Subsection (a)(2) provides a receiver with an ability to compel the turnover of receivership property that is comparable to that possessed by a trustee or debtor-in-possession under Section 542(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. § 542(a).

252627

2. Subsection (b) provides that a person who owes money to the owner and has notice of the receiver's appointment may not satisfy that obligation by paying the owner.

- 30 3. Subsection (c) makes clear that if a creditor holds a lien on receivership property in the creditor's possession, custody, or control, and the validity or perfection of its lien depends upon
- 32 the creditor's retention of that possession, custody, or control, the creditor may retain possession,
- custody, or control until such time as the court enters an order providing for the adequate
- protection of the creditor's lien. Thus, for example, a creditor with a statutory artisan's lien on a
- 35 vehicle could retain possession of the vehicle despite a turnover demand by the receiver until the

1 court entered an order preserving the validity of the creditor's lien on the vehicle (which would 2 otherwise be lost if the creditor released possession of the vehicle). Section 10 thus avoids the 3 result of cases such as In re WEB2B Payment Solutions, Inc., 488 B.R. 387 (Bankr. 8th Cir. 4 2013) (creditor's turnover of funds in deposit account, without order providing for adequate 5 protection of creditor's interest, rendered creditor's security interest unperfected). 6 7 4. Under subsection (d), a person's failure to turnover receivership property on demand by 8 the receiver may be sanctioned by the court as contempt unless there is a bona fide dispute with 9 respect to the receiver's right to possession, custody, or control of the property. 10 SECTION 12. POWERS AND DUTIES OF RECEIVER. 11 12 (a) Except as limited by court order or law of this state other than this [act], a receiver 13 may: 14 (1) collect, control, manage, conserve, and protect receivership property; 15 (2) operate a business constituting receivership property, including preservation, use, sale, lease, license, exchange, collection, or disposition of the property in the ordinary 16 17 course of business: 18 (3) in the ordinary course of business, incur unsecured debt and pay expenses 19 incidental to the receiver's preservation, use, sale, lease, license, exchange, collection, or 20 disposition of receivership property; 21 (4) assert a right, claim, cause of action, or defense of the owner which relates to 22 receivership property; 23 (5) seek and obtain instruction from the court concerning receivership property, exercise of the receiver's powers, and performance of the receiver's duties; 24 25 (6) on subpoena, compel a person to submit to examination under oath, or to produce and permit inspection and copying of designated records or tangible things, with respect 26 27 to receivership property or any other matter that may affect administration of the receivership; 28 (7) engage a professional as provided in Section 15;

1	(8) apply to a court of another state for appointment as ancillary receiver with
2	respect to receivership property located in that state; and
3	(9) exercise any power conferred by court order, this [act], or law of this state
4	other than this [act].
5	(b) With court approval, a receiver may:
6	(1) incur debt for the use or benefit of receivership property other than in the
7	ordinary course of business;
8	(2) make improvements to receivership property;
9	(3) use or transfer receivership property other than in the ordinary course of
10	business as provided in Section 16;
11	(4) adopt or reject an executory contract of the owner as provided in Section 17;
12	(5) pay compensation to the receiver as provided in Section 19 or 23, and to each
13	professional engaged by the receiver as provided in Section 15;
14	(6) recommend allowance or disallowance of a claim of a creditor as provided in
15	Section 20; and
16	(7) make a distribution of receivership property as provided in Section 20.
17	(c) A receiver shall:
18	(1) prepare and retain appropriate business records, including a record of each
19	receipt, disbursement, and disposition of receivership property;
20	(2) account for receivership property, including the proceeds of a sale, lease,
21	license, exchange, collection, or other disposition of the property;
22	(3) file with the [appropriate real property recording office] a copy of the order
23	appointing the receiver, together with a legal description of the real property if a legal description

- 1 is not included in the order; 2 (4) disclose to the court any fact arising during the receivership that would 3 disqualify the receiver under Section 7; and 4 (5) perform any duty imposed by court order, this [act], or law of this state other 5 than this [act]. 6 (d) The powers and duties of a receiver may be expanded, modified, or limited by court 7 order. 8 Reporter's Notes 9 1. The existing receivership laws in most states do not adequately set forth the powers that a 10 receiver may (or may not) exercise, either with or without prior approval of the appointing court. This can result in potential uncertainty regarding the ability of a receiver to borrow money, to 11 12 approve or reject executory contracts entered into by the owner of the property (including 13 unexpired leases), to sell receivership property either in or outside of the ordinary course of 14 business, or to make improvements to receivership property. Those adhering to best practices in 15 preparing receivership orders of appointment typically ensure that their orders address the 16 powers identified in this section, and thus subsections (a) and (b) are designed to incorporate 17 these principles of best practice into receiverships arising under this Act. 18 19 Sections 12(a) and 12(b) derive from a compilation of various subsections of the 20 Minnesota, Washington, and New Mexico receivership statutes. See, e.g., Minn. Stat. Ann. § 21 576.29. subd. 1(a), (b); Wash. Rev. Code Ann. § 7.60.060(1); N.M. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 44-8-7(H). 22 23 2. Subsection (a) sets forth the general powers that the receiver may exercise as a matter of 24 the receiver's default powers, except to the extent that the receivership order or other law 25 explicitly restricts the receiver. Subsection (a) addresses the receiver's authority to sell, lease, 26 license, or otherwise transfer receivership property in the ordinary course of business. This 27 provision allows the receiver to conduct ordinary course sales (such as sales of inventory) in the 28 process of operating a business, and also permits the receiver of a partially-completed 29 condominium project to sell completed units. The draft does not contain a definition of 30 "ordinary course of business," but leaves the term to judicial development. 31 32 Subsection (a)(6) permits a receiver to compel a person to submit to examination under 33 oath after issuance of a subpoena. This Act does not independently create authority in a receiver 34 to issue a subpoena. If the law of the state other than this Act gives the receiver the power to
 - 3. Subsection (b) sets forth specific powers that the receiver can exercise only if specifically authorized by the court (following notice and an opportunity for a hearing as prescribed in

issue a subpoena, subsection (a)(9) would permit the receiver to do so.

35

36 37

Section 3). These powers include the power to sell, lease, license or otherwise transfer receivership property other than in the ordinary course of business, the power to make improvements to receivership property, adopt or reject executory contracts of the owner, allow or disallow claims against the receivership, pay compensation to professionals, and to make distributions of receivership property.

4. Section 12(c), which describes the receiver's duties, is based on Minn. Stat. Ann. § 576.29, subd. (2). Subsection (c)(3) includes a duty for the receiver to record a copy of the order of appointment in the real estate records in any county in which real property that is receivership property is located.

While Section 12(c)(3) does impose a duty on the receiver to record the order of appointment in the real estate records, the Act does not establish that the receiver's failure to do so would permit a purchaser of the real property without notice of the receivership to qualify as a bona fide purchaser protected by the state's recording act. See, e.g., *First Southern Properties*, *Inc. v. Vallone*, 533 S.W.2d 339 (Tex. 1976) (purported buyer of real estate without notice of receivership did not take title free of receivership under recording statute, as receivership property was held *in custodia legis* and could not be transferred without approval of appointing court). Likewise, Section 12(c)(3) is not intended to effect a change in a state's law governing *lis pendens*. In some states, a *lis pendens* is triggered immediately when litigation over title to the land is docketed in the public litigation records, even if no corresponding notation is made in the real property records. In such a state, the appointment of a receiver constitutes a *lis pendens* even if the receiver did not timely record a copy of the order of appointment.

5. Subsection (d), which permits the appointing court to expand, modify, or limit the receiver's powers or duties, is based on Minn. Stat. Ann. § 576.29, subd. (3).

SECTION 13. DUTIES OF OWNER.

(a) An owner shall:

- (1) assist and cooperate with the receiver in the administration of the receivership and the discharge of the receiver's duties;
- (2) preserve and turn over to the receiver all receivership property in the owner's
 possession, custody, or control;
- 34 (3) identify all records and other information relating to receivership property,
- 35 including a password, authorization, or other information needed to obtain or maintain access to
- or control of receivership property, and make available to the receiver the records and
- information in the owner's possession, custody, or control;

1	(4) on subpoena, submit to examination under oath by the receiver concerning the
2	acts, conduct, property, liabilities, and financial condition of the owner or any matter relating to
3	receivership property or the receivership; and
4	(5) perform any duty imposed by court order, this [act], or law of this state other
5	than this [act].
6	(b) If an owner is a person other than an individual, this section applies to each officer,
7	director, manager, member, partner, trustee, or other individual exercising or having the power to
8	exercise control over the affairs of the owner.
9	(c) If a person knowingly fails to perform a duty imposed by this section, the court may:
10	(1) award the receiver actual damages caused by the person's failure, reasonable
11	attorney's fees, and costs; and
12	(2) sanction the failure as civil contempt.
13	Reporter's Notes
14 15 16	1. Section 13, which describes the duties of the owner, derives from the provisions of the Washington receivership statute, Wash. Rev. Code Ann. § 7.60.080.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	2. Subsection (a)(1) requires the owner to cooperate fully with the receiver in the administration of the receivership and the receiver's performance of its duties. This duty of cooperation includes the duty to take reasonable steps to assure that third parties in possession, custody, or control of receivership property (or records or information related to receivership property) comply with the receiver's efforts to obtain possession, custody, or control of that property.
24	
25 26 27	3. Subsection (a)(2) requires the owner to preserve and turn over to the receiver all receivership property in the owner's possession, custody, or control. Consistent with the definition of property in Section 2(13) of this Act, this turnover obligation includes both tangible and intangible property,
26	receivership property in the owner's possession, custody, or control. Consistent with the definition of property in Section 2(13) of this Act, this turnover obligation includes both tangible
26 27 28	receivership property in the owner's possession, custody, or control. Consistent with the definition of property in Section 2(13) of this Act, this turnover obligation includes both tangible and intangible property,

1	proceeding.
2	(1) to obtain possession of, exercise control over, or enforce a judgment against
3	receivership property; and
4	(2) to enforce a lien against receivership property to the extent the lien secures a
5	claim against the owner which arose before entry of the order.
6	(b) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (d), the court may order a stay of an act,
7	action, or proceeding against or relating to receivership property if the stay is necessary to
8	protect the property or facilitate administration of the receivership.
9	(c) A person whose act, action, or proceeding is stayed under this section may apply to
10	the court for relief from the stay for cause.
11	(d) An order under subsection (a) or (b) does not operate as a stay of:
12	(1) an act, action, or proceeding to foreclose or otherwise enforce a mortgage by
13	the person seeking appointment of the receiver;
14	(2) an act, action, or proceeding to perfect, or maintain or continue the perfection
15	of, an interest in receivership property;
16	(3) commencement or continuation of a criminal proceeding;
17	(4) commencement or continuation of an action or proceeding, or enforcement of
18	a judgment other than a money judgment in an action or proceeding, by a governmental unit to
19	enforce its police or regulatory power; or
20	(5) establishment by a governmental unit of a tax liability against the owner or
21	receivership property or an appeal of the liability.
22	(e) The court may void an act that violates a stay under this section.
23	(f) If a person knowingly violates a stay under this section, the court may:

- 1 (1) award actual damages caused by the violation, reasonable attorney's fees, and 2 costs; and
 - (2) sanction the violation as civil contempt.

Reporter's Notes

1. As the leading treatise explains, it is customary that the order appointing a receiver expresses an injunction against acts, actions, or proceedings that could interfere with the receiver's possession and management of receivership property or the performance of the receiver's duties:

The order of appointment may properly include an order directed against the defendant, if an individual and if a corporation against its officers, servants, agents and employees, ordering each and all of them to deliver up the defendant's property to the receiver and enjoining each and all of them from interfering with the control and possession of the property, and if a corporation, from exercising any privileges or franchises granted to the corporation. The injunction may go further and enjoin each and all of them from collecting or receiving any debts due to the defendant, individual or corporation and from paying out, selling, or transferring any property of the estate including monies, funds, lands, tenements or effects of any kind whatsoever of the defendant.

The court may protect its possession and control of property within its territorial jurisdiction even without a specific injunction. The order of appointment impliedly enjoins parties to the cause and warns any other person from interfering with the court's control and possession. [2 Clark on Receivers, § 625.1(a), at 1024 (3d ed. 1959).]

Consistent with this practice, Section 14 provides that the order of appointment operates as a stay against any act to obtain possession or control of receivership property (including any attempt to enforce a judgment against receivership property) and any act to enforce a lien against receivership property on account of a claim arising before the receivership.

In this regard, Section 14 creates an automatic stay that is much narrower in scope than the automatic stay in a bankruptcy. This stay provided by this Act does not prevent the owner from seeking bankruptcy protection, nor does it prevent creditors of the owner from seeking to place the owner into bankruptcy, even if the bankruptcy filing would result in an interference with the receiver's possession, custody, or control of receivership property. See, e.g., *Gilchrist v. GE Capital Corp.*, 262 F.3d 295 (4th Cir. 2003) (federal court receivership order does not bar creditors from filing involuntary petition against debtor).

2. Subsection (b) authorizes the court to expand the scope of the stay as necessary to protect receivership property or facilitate the administration of the receivership. Subsection (b) is limited, however, to acts, actions, or proceedings against receivership property, the receiver, or the owner; therefore, subsection (b) would not authorize the court to stay an action against a

guarantor or co-obligor.

3. Subsection (c) permits any person subject to the automatic stay to apply to the appointing court for relief from the automatic stay for cause. The Act does not define "cause," but leaves to judicial development the circumstances that would justify relief from the stay. An interested person who wishes to seek relief from the automatic stay but is not a party should intervene in the receivership action.

"Cause" under subsection (c) includes the right of a senior lienholder to obtain the appointment of a receiver under this Act. Under traditional law, rents collected by a receiver appointed at the request of a junior lienholder could be applied to the reduction of the junior lienholder's debt until the senior lienholder took appropriate steps to enforce its right to collect rents. See, e.g., Restatement (Third) of Property: Mortgages § 4.5(b). The stay occasioned by the appointment of the receiver at the request of the junior lienholder should not prevent a senior lienholder from taking the appropriate steps to enforce its right to collect rents.

For example, suppose that Owner owns a parcel of commercial real estate subject to two liens: a senior mortgage held by Lender A, and a junior mortgage held by Lender B. Lender B obtains the appointment of a receiver. Owner is in default under Owner's mortgage with Lender A, Lender A has an assignment of rents, and Lender A is entitled to the appointment of a receiver. While the appointment of the receiver at Lender B's request triggers a stay under subsection (a), Lender A may request and is entitled to relief from stay. The court must either appoint a different receiver, or order that any sums collected by the existing receiver must first be applied to the debt of Lender A.

4. Subsection (d) provides a list of exceptions to the automatic stay created by subsection (a). Subsection (d)(1) makes clear that the stay does not prevent the appointing creditor from foreclosing its mortgage or enforcing its assignment of rents. Subsection (d)(2) permits a person with a security interest in receivership property to perfect that interest following appointment. Likewise, subsection (d)(2) permits a creditor to file a continuation statement to maintain its perfection so long as that continuation statement was filed within the applicable period to ensure that the creditor maintained continuous perfection. Further, subsection (d)(2) permits a creditor holding a possessory lien on receivership property to retain possession, as authorized under Section 11(c) of this Act, until such time as the court enters an order providing adequate protection of the creditor's lien. Subsection (d)(3) permits the commencement or continuation of criminal proceedings against the owner. Subsection (d)(4) permits governmental actors to take actions or enforce nonmonetary judgments pursuant to police and regulatory powers. Subsection (d)(5) permits a governmental unit to establish a tax liability against the owner or receivership property, but does not permit the governmental unit to conduct a tax sale of receivership property without obtaining approval from the court that appointed the receiver.

5. Subsection (e) permits the court to declare an act void as being in violation of the stay under subsection (a). This means that an act in violation of the automatic stay is merely voidable rather than void.

6. Subsection (f) permits an injured party to recover actual damages, including costs and

1 attorney fees, from a person that knowingly violated the stay. In addition, subsection (f) 2 authorizes the court to sanction any knowing violation by civil contempt, without regard to 3 whether any person suffered actual damages as a result. If the receiver seeks and obtains a 4 recovery under subsection (f), that recovery is receivership property and not the proceeds of the 5 receiver's personal cause of action. 6 7 SECTION 15. ENGAGEMENT AND COMPENSATION OF PROFESSIONAL. 8 (a) With court approval, a receiver may engage an attorney, accountant, appraiser, 9 auctioneer, broker, or other professional to assist the receiver in performing the receiver's duties. 10 The receiver shall disclose to the court: 11 (1) the identity and qualifications of the professional; 12 (2) the scope and nature of the proposed engagement; 13 (3) any potential conflict of interest; and 14 (4) the proposed compensation. 15 (b) A person is not disqualified from engagement under this section solely because of the 16 person's engagement by, representation of, or other relationship with the receiver, a creditor, or 17 other party. This [act] does not prevent the receiver from serving in the receivership as an 18 attorney, accountant, auctioneer, or broker when authorized by law. 19 (c) A receiver or professional engaged under subsection (a) shall file with the court an 20 itemized statement of the time spent, work performed, and billing rate of each person that 21 performed the work and an itemized list of expenses. The receiver shall pay the amount 22 approved by the court. 23 **Reporter's Notes** 24 Section 15(a) provides that the receiver must obtain the court's approval to engage and retain professionals, but contemplates that this approval may come in the order of appointment 25 itself. If the authorization is not contained in the order of appointment, subsection (a) 26 27 contemplates notice and an opportunity for a hearing before the receiver engages the

28

29

professional. See Section 3.

While subsection (a) uses the singular ("the receiver may engage an attorney"), the Act contemplates that where the nature of the receivership so demands, the receiver may engage more than one attorney or more than one other type of professional as needed.

2. Subsection (a) requires the receiver to disclose any potential conflict of interest that exists with respect to a professional for whom appointment is sought. Subsection (b) makes clear that the court has discretion to approve the engagement of a professional despite the presence of existing relationships that might be nominal or de minimis conflicts of interest. For example, the fact that an attorney has previously represented a creditor holding a claim against the owner in an unrelated matter would not preclude the court from approving the receiver's engagement of that attorney. Nevertheless, while subsection (a) recognizes the court's discretion, the court should not approve the engagement of a professional under circumstances where a serious or substantial conflict of interest exists.

 The final sentence of subsection (b) makes clear that the receiver may provide certain types of professional services on the receiver's own behalf (and be compensated for those services) where licensed to do so. See, e.g., Wash. Rev. Code Ann. § 7.60.180(3). Thus, a receiver may serve as an attorney, accountant, auctioneer, or broker, but not as an appraiser. The Act intentionally omits "appraiser" from this list based on input from observers that dual service as both a receiver and appraiser involves an inappropriate conflict of interest.

3. Subsection (c) provides that the receiver's payment of the fees and expenses of professionals can occur only after the submission to the court of an itemized statement and court approval.

SECTION 16. USE OR TRANSFER OF RECEIVERSHIP PROPERTY NOT IN ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS.

- (a) In this section, "good faith" means honesty in fact and the observance of reasonable commercial standards of fair dealing.
- (b) With court approval, a receiver may use receivership property other than in the ordinary course of business.
- (c) With court approval, a receiver may transfer receivership property other than in the ordinary course of business by sale, lease, license, exchange, or other disposition. Unless the agreement of sale provides otherwise, a sale under this section is free and clear of a lien of the person that obtained appointment of the receiver, any subordinate lien, and any right of redemption but is subject to a senior lien.

- 1 (d) A lien on receivership property which is extinguished by a transfer under subsection
- 2 (c) attaches to the proceeds of the transfer with the same validity, perfection, and priority as the
- 3 lien had on the property immediately before the transfer, even if the proceeds are not sufficient to
- 4 satisfy all obligations secured by the lien.
- 5 (e) A transfer under subsection (c) may occur by means other than a public auction sale.
- 6 A creditor holding a valid lien on the property to be transferred may purchase the property and
- 7 offset against the purchase price part or all of the allowed amount secured by the lien, if the
- 8 creditor tenders funds sufficient to satisfy in full the reasonable expenses of transfer and the
- 9 obligation secured by any senior lien extinguished by the transfer.
- 10 (f) A reversal or modification of an order approving a transfer under subsection (c) does
- 11 not affect the validity of the transfer to a person that acquired the property in good faith or revive
- against the person any lien extinguished by the transfer, whether the person knew before the
- transfer of the request for reversal or modification, unless the court stayed the order before the
- 14 transfer.

1.

15

16

Reporter's Notes

Traditionally, a receiver's ability to sell receivership property varied depending on the

- circumstances of the receivership. For example, when a court appointed a general receiver for all
- of the assets of an insolvent debtor, the court would typically empower the receiver to gather and
- sell the assets of the debtor. By contrast, when a court appointed a limited receiver to take
- 20 possession of a specific asset such as a receiver for mortgaged property pending foreclosure
- sale the receiver's role was more typically viewed as custodial. For this reason, receivers
- 22 appointed in conjunction with foreclosure proceedings were often viewed as having the power to
- 23 operate, maintain, and preserve the property pending the foreclosure sale—but not to sell the
- property, as the sale would instead take place under the applicable foreclosure procedures. See,
- 25 e.g., Kirven v. Lawrence, 244 S.C. 572, 137 S.E.2d 764 (1964); Andrick Dev. Corp. v. Maccaro,
- 26 280 S.C. 103, 311 S.E.2d 95 (Ct. App. 1984). A recent Florida court went further, holding that
- 27 the court lacks the authority to empower a receiver appointed in a foreclosure case to sell the
- 28 property free and clear of liens and rights of redemption. Shubh Hotels Boca, LLC v. Federal
- 29 Deposit Ins. Corp., 46 So.3d 163 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 2010). See also Todd Enters., LLC v.
- 30 MidCountry Bank, 2013 WL 4045765 (Minn. Ct. App. 2013) (not reported in N.W.2d) (court
- 31 order authorizing receiver's sale free and clear of borrower's statutory right of redemption was

contrary to state mortgage foreclosure statute).

In the context of the recent real estate crisis, however, some commentators have advocated that receivership can be an effective way to dispose of real estate—and particularly, that it may in some cases provide a more effective way of disposing of mortgaged real property than the foreclosure process. Under current foreclosure law in all American jurisdictions, a foreclosure sale is a "distress sale," i.e., a public auction sale on the courthouse steps (or at some other public place). Foreclosure by public sale is traditionally justified as a means to protect the mortgagor's equity in the mortgaged property, particularly by comparison to the historical approach under which a defaulting borrower simply forfeited its interest in the mortgaged property (and any equity the borrower may have accumulated either through principal reduction or market appreciation). Nevertheless, public foreclosure sales do not consistently produce prices that approximate the market value that might be obtained in an arms-length, non-distress sale. By contrast, a receiver of mortgaged commercial real property could readily market that property to potential buyers in the context of operating the property during the receivership. Such marketing could permit potential buyers to perform more meaningful and complete due diligence. Further, a sale subject to judicial review and confirmation could produce greater finality regarding the title acquired by the buyer at the sale. Thus, there is certainly reason to expect that at least in some contexts, receiver sales of mortgaged real estate might produce higher sale prices than public foreclosure sales.

Another potential advantage to receiver sales arises out of the structure of the securitization of commercial mortgages. Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) loans are held in real estate mortgage investment conduits ("REMICs"), which are special purpose vehicles used for the pooling of mortgage loans and the issuance of mortgage-backed securities. The Internal Revenue Code forbids REMICs from issuing new debt or making new loans, at the risk of losing their tax status as pass-through entities. Thus, if a REMIC ends up having to purchase the mortgaged property at a foreclosure sale, it cannot make a new loan to a potential buyer on a seller-financing basis. However, the Internal Revenue Code does permit a REMIC to make limited modifications to an existing defaulted loan. Thus, if the property can be sold through a receiver or by the borrower directly, with the buyer assuming the mortgage, the mortgage loan can be modified and restructured without threatening the REMIC's tax status. Thus, a CMBS lender may have good reason to believe a receiver sale can produce higher price by comparison to a public foreclosure (cash) sale, making such a sale attractive to a CMBS lender that does not wish to foreclose (and possibly take ownership) of a property that is worth less than the outstanding mortgage debt.

Existing federal statutes explicitly authorize a receiver appointed by a federal court to sell mortgaged property, in either a public or private sale. 28 U.S.C.A. § 2001 et seq. By contrast, under existing state laws, the authority for receiver sales is much less clear. There are a few states with statutory provisions that explicitly grant the power of sale to a receiver. See, e.g., Ind. Code § 32-30-5-7; N.C. Gen. Stat. § 1-505; Wash. Rev. Code Ann. § 7.60.260. Despite having no clear statutory authority, courts in Ohio and Michigan have upheld court-authorized receiver sales free and clear of liens and statutory redemption rights. See, e.g., *CSB Bank v. Christy*, No. 305869 (Mich. Ct. App. Oct. 18, 2012) (unpublished); *Park Nat'l Bank v. Cattani*, *Inc.*, 187 Ohio App.3d 186, 931 N.E.2d 623 (2010); *Huntington Nat'l Bank v. Motel 4 BAPS*,

Inc., 191 Ohio App.3d 90, 944 N.E.2d 1210 (2010).

Section 16 provides much-needed clarity to this issue. Section 16(c) authorizes the receiver (with court approval after notice and opportunity for a hearing as required by Section 3) to sell, lease, license, exchange or otherwise transfer receivership property free and clear of liens and rights of redemption, other than a lien that is senior in priority to the lien of the creditor that obtained the receiver's appointment. The intent of the provision is to preserve to the receiver the ability to seek authority to sell either free and clear or subject to liens, depending upon the situation. For example, this permits the senior mortgagee of a securitized mortgage loan to seek a receiver to facilitate a sale of the property subject to the existing CMBS loan, with that loan being modified in the context of the receiver's sale.

Section 16(c) makes clear that if a creditor holding a junior lien on receivership property obtains the appointment of a receiver, the receiver may not sell the property free and clear of the senior creditor's lien without the senior creditor's consent. Thus, in a case where a second mortgagee obtained the appointment of a receiver and the court approved a sale by the receiver, the buyer at the sale acquires title subject to the first mortgage (unless the first mortgagee consented to the sale free and clear of its lien). As a practical matter, if the first mortgagee does not want the buyer as its new borrower, it can effectively deter the sale by stating that it declines to accept the buyer. Section 16(c), however, does not preclude the buyer at that sale from paying off the outstanding balance due under the first mortgage (including any enforceable prepayment fee) and obtaining a release of that mortgage, if the first mortgagee was obligated to accept prepayment. Likewise, if the senior creditor is a nonconsensual creditor such as the holder of a judgment lien, the senior creditor must release its lien if the buyer tendered payment of the obligation secured by that lien.

2. Some have argued that a receiver ought not have the power to sell receivership real property unless the sale price was sufficient to satisfy all liens on the property, or the senior lien on the property. Because the Act views a receiver sale as an alternative approach to a traditional foreclosure sale (at which the collateral might fail to bring a price sufficient to satisfy the first mortgage debt), Section 16(c) rejects this argument. If the first mortgage wishes to obtain the appointment of a receiver but does not want the possibility of a receiver sale for less than the outstanding balance of the first mortgage debt, the first mortgage can ask the court to appoint the receiver without giving the receiver the power to sell under Section 16(c). Likewise, if the court has authorized the receiver to market the property for sale, the first mortgagee (or any other lienholder) has the right to be heard in opposition to a proposed sale.

3. With respect to intellectual property, the rights of an owner may be limited to the rights of a nonexclusive licensee who has no ability to transfer the owner's rights as licensee without the consent of the licensor. In such a situation, the receiver could assume no greater rights than the owner had, and those rights would remain subject to the provisions of Section 9-408 of the Uniform Commercial Code.

4. In some cases, there may be a bona fide dispute between lienholders over the priority of their respective liens. For example, real property under construction may be subject to the lien of a construction mortgage and one or more mechanics' liens, which could (depending on disputed

facts) be prior to or subordinate to the construction mortgage. In such a case, if the construction mortgagee obtains the appointment of a receiver for the real estate and there is a bona fide dispute over the priority of the competing liens, subsection (c) would permit the court to sell the property free and clear of the competing liens, while later resolving the priority dispute before making a distribution of the proceeds of the sale.

5. Subsection (d) provides for the transfer of any liens extinguished by the sale to sale proceeds. The extinguished liens are transferred to the sale proceeds, with the same order of priority as the liens had with respect to the real property, even if the proceeds are not sufficient to satisfy all liens.

6. Subsection (e) permits the receiver to sell receivership property in a private sale rather than a public auction sale. Giving the receiver the power to market the property in a private sale (with the increased opportunity for due diligence investigation that a private sale may provide) makes sense. This gives the receiver the flexibility to market the property in a fashion calculated to bring the highest possible price. Cf. U.C.C. § 9-610, comment 2 (noting that Article 9 "encourages private dispositions on the assumption that they frequently will result in higher realization on collateral for the benefit of all concerned").

Nevertheless, under subsection (c), the receiver may not sell receivership property other than in the ordinary course of business without court approval. Because the court may not enter an order approving the sale without notice and opportunity for a hearing under Section 3, a court may not approve a private sale without notice of the actual terms of the sale and an opportunity for interested persons to be heard on whether those terms justify court approval.

 7. Subsection (e) permits a lienholder to purchase the property at a receiver's sale and to credit bid at that sale, as long as the purchasing lienholder tenders funds sufficient to satisfy the costs of the sale and the balance due on any obligation secured by senior lien that was being extinguished by the transfer. The application of subsection (e) is demonstrated by the following example:

Example. Owner owns a parcel of commercial real estate that is subject to two mortgage liens: a senior lien held by Lender A (securing an unpaid balance of \$3 million) and a junior lien held by Lender B (securing an unpaid balance of \$1.5 million). There is also a tax lien for unpaid real estate taxes in the amount of \$100,000. Lender B obtains the appointment of a receiver and the receiver proposes to conduct an auction sale of the real estate under Section 16. Suppose that Lender A does not consent to the sale and under law other than this Act is entitled to refuse prepayment. Therefore, the sale would be subject to Lender A's mortgage lien. Lender B may credit bid against its \$1.5 million debt at the auction sale. If it is the high bidder, it may acquire title to the real estate subject to Lender A's senior mortgage, but free of the tax lien, as long as it tenders funds equal to the costs of the sale and the \$100,000 unpaid tax bill.

 8. A receiver sale under Section 16(c) could be set aside because of fraud or other reasons sufficient to justify relief from a judgment or order. Cf. Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 60(b). However, subsection (f) provides that the title of a good faith purchaser from the receiver is not affected by

modification of the order approving the transfer or its reversal on appeal, unless the authorization and transfer were stayed before the transfer takes place.

Subsection (f) also provides that the modification of an order approving a transfer or its reversal on appeal does not revive any lien extinguished by the sale unless the authorization and transfer were stayed before the transfer took place. Subsection (f) thus rejects the reasoning expressed in *Clear Channel Outdoor*, *Inc. v. Knupfer (In re PW, LLC)*, 391 B.R. 25 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 2008). In that case, the bankruptcy court approved an auction sale of land free and clear of liens, and the senior lienholder purchased the land at the sale by a credit bid. Following a post-sale appeal by the junior lienholder, the appellate court reversed and held that while equitable mootness prevented the reversal of the sale, it did not prevent the court from reinstating a junior lien.

9. Subsection (b) permits the receiver, with court approval, to use receivership property other than in the ordinary course of business. This permits the receiver to use receivership property in a manner different from its normal use where such use may produce income for the benefit of the receivership. For example, the receiver of a vineyard and winery operation might decide to permit the occasional use/rental of the property for weddings or receptions.

SECTION 17. EXECUTORY CONTRACT.

- (a) In this section, "timeshare interest" means [an interest having a duration of more than three years which grants its holder the right to use and occupy an accommodation, facility, or recreational site, whether improved or not, for a specific period less than a full year during any given year].
- (b) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (h), with court approval, a receiver may adopt or reject an executory contract of the owner relating to receivership property. If the receiver does not request court approval to adopt or reject the contract within a reasonable time after the receiver's appointment, the receiver is deemed to have rejected the contract. The court may condition the receiver's adoption and continued performance of the contract on terms appropriate under the circumstances.
- (c) A receiver's performance of an executory contract before court approval under subsection (b) of its adoption or rejection is not an adoption of the contract and does not preclude the receiver from seeking approval to reject the contract.

1	(d) A provision in an executory contract which requires or permits a forfeiture,
2	modification, or termination of the contract because of the appointment of a receiver or the
3	financial condition of the owner does not affect a receiver's power under subsection (b) to adopt
4	the contract.

- (e) A receiver's right to possess or use receivership property pursuant to an executory contract terminates on rejection of the contract under subsection (b). Rejection is a breach of the contract effective immediately before appointment of the receiver. A claim for damages for rejection of the contract must be submitted by the later of:
 - (1) the time set for submitting a claim in the receivership; or
- 10 (2) [30] days after the court approves the rejection.

- (f) If at the time a receiver is appointed, the owner has the right to assign an executory contract relating to receivership property under law of this state other than this [act], the receiver may assign the contract with court approval.
- (g) If a receiver rejects under subsection (b) an executory contract for the sale of receivership property that is real property in possession of the purchaser or a real-property timeshare interest, the purchaser may:
- (1) treat the rejection as a termination of the contract, and in that case the purchaser has a lien on the property for the recovery of any part of the purchase price the purchaser paid; or
- (2) retain the purchaser's right to possession under the contract, and in that case the purchaser shall continue to perform all obligations arising under the contract and may offset any damages caused by nonperformance of an obligation of the owner after the date of the rejection, but the purchaser has no right or claim against other receivership property or the

1	receiver on account of the damages.
2	(h) A receiver may not reject an unexpired lease of real property to a tenant if:
3	(1) the tenant occupies the leased premises as the tenant's primary residence;
4	(2) the receiver was appointed at the request of a person other than a mortgagee;
5	or
6	(3) the receiver was appointed at the request of a mortgagee and:
7	(A) the lease is superior to the lien of the mortgage;
8	(B) the tenant has an enforceable agreement with the mortgagee or the
9	holder of a senior lien under which the tenant's occupancy will not be disturbed as long as the
10	tenant performs its obligations under the lease;
11	(C) the mortgagee has consented to the lease, either in a signed record or
12	by its failure timely to object that the lease violated a provision of the mortgage; or
13	(D) the terms of the lease were commercially reasonable at the time the
14	lease was agreed to and the tenant did not know or have reason to know that the lease violated a
15	provision of the mortgage.
16 17	Legislative Note: If a state statute defines the term "timeshare interest," the state should incorporate that definition into subsection (a).
18 19	Reporter's Notes
20 21 22 23	1. This provision is adapted from the more streamlined "executory contract" provisions of the Minnesota receivership statute, Minn. Stat. Ann. § 576.45, as contrasted with the more exhaustive provisions from the Washington statute, Wash. Rev. Code Ann. § 7.60.130.
24 25 26 27 28 29 30	2. Traditionally, a receiver does not automatically or inherently become bound to the owner's existing executory contracts on appointment. See 2 Clark on Receivers, § 423, at 710 (3d ed. 1959) ("A receiver is not strictly speaking the successor of the defendant, individual or corporation and an executory contract of the defendant is not binding on the receiver but may be broken by the receivership and give rise to damages resulting in a claim against the assets in the hands of the receiver."). Consistent with this traditional rule, subsection (b) permits the receiver to evaluate whether an executory contract relating to receivership property is beneficial or

burdensome, and with court approval to either adopt or reject the contract accordingly. In this regard, the Act differs from the Minnesota receivership statute, Minn. Stat. Ann. § 576.45, under which the receiver succeeds to the duties of the owner under any executory contract unless the receiver can demonstrate "good cause" to terminate that contract.

Because the Act provides that rejection gives rise to a claim against the receivership, the status of the contract must be resolved within some reasonable period of time before the claims deadline. Under subsection (b), if the receiver does not request approval to accept or reject the contract within a reasonable time following appointment, the contract is deemed to be rejected.

Subsection (b) permits the court to condition the receiver's adoption of a contract on appropriate terms regarding assurance of the receiver's ability to perform.

3. Subsection (c) provides that the receiver's temporary performance of the contract does not prevent the receiver from later seeking court approval to reject it.

4. Subsection (d) provides that a counterparty may not exercise an "ipso facto" clause and thereby use the receiver's appointment as a basis to terminate or modify an executory contract and thereby prevent its adoption by the receiver. The prohibition on modification would likewise prevent the counterparty from using the receiver's appointment as a basis for imposing a contractual penalty to thereby increase the effective cost of the receiver's adoption of the contract. Thus, if the contract in question was a service contract that purported to permit the counterparty to increase the agreed price by 100% in the event a receiver was appointed, the receiver could adopt the contract at the original contract price.

5. Under subsection (e), the receiver's rejection of the contract constitutes a breach of the contract and allows the counterparty to file a claim against the receivership. In this regard, subsection (e) addresses only the potential liability of the receivership estate and not the underlying liability of the owner. The Act does not (1) discharge the liability of the owner to the counterparty, (2) preclude the counterparty from proceeding against nonreceivership property of the owner, or (3) preclude the counterparty from proceeding against guarantors or third-party assets securing the owner's obligation to the counterparty.

6. Under subsection (f), the receiver can assign an executory contract, but only to the extent permitted by the contract and applicable law. See, e.g., 2 Clark on Receivers, § 441.1, at 733 (3d ed. 1959) ("If a contract is ordinarily assignable between A & B there seems no reason why the receiver under proper orders of court cannot assign the contract."). The receiver thus cannot assign an executory contract if the contract and applicable law would excuse the counterparty from accepting performance or rendering performance to an entity other than the owner.

7. Subsection (g) addresses situations in which the receiver attempts to reject an executory contract for the sale of receivership real property of which the purchaser is in possession (i.e., an executory installment land contract or "contract for deed") or an executory contract for a timeshare interest. It gives the purchaser the choice to (a) treat the rejection as a termination of the contract (in which case the purchaser has a lien against the property for the recovery of purchase money already paid) any portion of the purchase price that the purchaser had paid; or

(b) retain its rights under the contract. If the purchaser takes the latter option, it must continue to perform its obligations, and may offset against its liability thereon any damages caused by the owner's nonperformance of the contract following rejection, but has no claim or right against other receivership property or the receiver.

Subsection (g) gives these purchasers protection comparable to the protection under Section 365(i) of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C.A. § 365(i), and its inclusion responds to concerns that the Act should be sensitive to "forum shopping" concerns (i.e., that it not provide a contracting party with the incentive to seek appointment of a receiver to permit termination of contracts that could not be terminated under bankruptcy law). The definition of "timeshare interest" in this section is a simplified version of the definition contained in the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C.A. § 101(53D).

8. Subsection (h) protects most tenants holding unexpired leases of real property from having their leases rejected by the receiver. Under no circumstances can the receiver reject the lease of a tenant that is occupying the property as its primary residence. Where the receiver is appointed at the behest of an involuntary lienholder (such as a judgment creditor or mechanics' lienor), the receiver likewise cannot reject the tenant's lease. Where the receiver is appointed at the behest of a mortgagee, the receiver cannot reject the lease under any of the following circumstances: (a) the lease is senior to the mortgage; (b) the tenant has a nondisturbance agreement with the mortgagee or the holder of a senior lien; (c) the mortgagee has consented, either in a signed record or by its failure to timely object that the lease violates the terms of the mortgage; or (d) the lease was commercially reasonable at the time of the agreement and the tenant did not know or have reason to know that the lease violated the terms of the mortgage.

In this regard, subsection (h) is consistent with Section 4.4(b) and 4.4(c) of the Restatement (Third) of Property: Mortgages.

SECTION 18. DEFENSES AND IMMUNITIES OF RECEIVER.

- (a) A receiver is entitled to all defenses and immunities provided by law of this state other than this [act] for an act or omission within the scope of the receiver's appointment.
- (b) A receiver may be sued for an act or omission in administering receivership property only with approval of the court that appointed the receiver.

Reporter's Notes

35 1. As an officer of the appointing court, a receiver is shielded by judicial immunity for actions performed under the lawful authority of the appointment order. As explained in the leading treatise:

On the highest grounds of necessity and public policy judges cannot be held liable for acts done by them in their judicial capacity.... It follows that courts managing

property through a receiver cannot be held liable as courts for imperfect management. Officers of the courts, such as sheriffs, constables, receivers and other officers, who act in obedience to the lawful mandate of the court or in obedience to lawful process of any sort, are protected or privileged in respect to acts done under such lawful authority. [2 Clark on Receivers, § 388, at 648 (3d ed. 1959).]

Consistent with this approach, the Act provides the receiver with immunity for acts or omissions within the scope of the order appointing the receiver. Subsection (a) is based on the Minnesota receivership statute, Minn. Stat. Ann., as contrasted with Washington's statute, Wash. Rev. Code Ann. § 7.60.170, which provides more detailed and specific provisions regarding the scope of a receiver's liability.

2. Determining the breadth of a receiver's immunity could create a conceptual problem in a case in which a receiver has been appointed as a primary receiver by a court in one state and an ancillary receiver by a court in another state. If the primary state's law provides the receiver with broader immunity than does the ancillary state's law, a question might arise as to whether the receiver is entitled to the broader immunity available under the law of the primary state (or only the narrower immunity available under the law of the ancillary state). In these cases, courts should resolve these issues by reference to conflicts-of-laws principles.

3. Subsection (b) is an adaptation of Wash. Rev. Code Ann. § 7.60.160(1), and is meant to incorporate into the Act the *Barton* doctrine, which derives from the decision of the United States Supreme Court in Barton v. Barbour, 104 U.S. 126, 129, 26 L.Ed. 672 (1881). In *Barton*, the Supreme Court held that to sue a court-appointed receiver, the would-be plaintiff must first seek approval of the appointing court. The doctrine rests on the notion that the appointing court has *in rem* jurisdiction over the receivership property; thus, a forum other than the appointing court would lack subject-matter jurisdiction over the action. See also 2 Clark on Receivers, § 549, at 890 (3d ed. 1959) ("The custody of property by the court through its receiver is the custody of the sovereign power or government acting through the courts. Possession by the court of the res gives jurisdiction over the res to the court appointing the receiver and gives such court power to determine all questions concerning the ownership and disposition of this property. No other court can interfere with the possession of the res. The general rule of law, therefore, naturally follows that a receiver as an officer of court cannot in the absence of an enabling statute be sued without leave of the court appointing him.").

The appointing court may grant leave to sue the receiver without regard to the merits of the would-be plaintiff's claims. The would-be plaintiff need not demonstrate a substantial likelihood of prevailing on the merits to obtain permission to sue the receiver. Correspondingly, a decision by the appointing court to give permission to sue the receiver is not a conclusion that the would-be plaintiff's claim is meritorious.

SECTION 19. INTERIM REPORT OF RECEIVER. A receiver may file, or if

- ordered by the court shall file, an interim report that includes:
 - (1) the activities of the receiver since appointment or a previous report;

1	(2) receipts and disbursements, including a payment made or proposed to be made to a
2	professional engaged by the receiver;
3	(3) receipts and dispositions of receivership property;
4	(4) fees and expenses of the receiver and, if not filed separately, a request for approval of
5	payment of the fees and expenses; and
6	(5) any other information required by the court.
7	Reporter's Notes
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1. This section derives from the provisions of Minnesota's receivership statute, Minn. Stat. Ann. § 576.36. It does not automatically require the receiver to prepare interim reports, except as ordered by the court. This approach provides flexibility to accommodate different judicial approaches — courts that have traditionally required only a final report could continue with such an approach, while courts that have traditionally required periodic reporting could specify an appropriate period in the order of appointment.
15	SECTION 20. CLAIM AGAINST RECEIVERSHIP; DISTRIBUTION TO
16	CREDITORS.
17	(a) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (f), a receiver shall give notice of
18	appointment of the receiver to creditors of the owner by:
19	(1) deposit for delivery through first-class mail or other commercially reasonable
20	delivery method to the last-known address of each creditor; and
21	(2) publication as directed by the court.
22	(b) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (f), the notice required by subsection (a)
23	must specify the date by which each creditor holding a claim against the owner which arose
24	before appointment of the receiver must submit the claim to the receiver. The date specified
25	must be at least [90] days after the later of notice under subsection (a)(1) or last publication
26	under subsection (a)(2), unless the court extends the period for submitting the claim. Unless the
27	court orders otherwise, a claim that is not submitted timely is not entitled to a distribution from

1	the receivership.
2	(c) A claim submitted by a creditor under this section must:
3	(1) state the name and address of the creditor;
4	(2) state the amount and basis of the claim;
5	(3) identify any property securing the claim;
6	(4) be signed by the creditor under penalty of perjury; and
7	(5) include a copy of any record on which the claim is based.
8	(d) An assignment by a creditor of a claim against the owner is effective against the
9	receiver only if the assignee gives timely notice of the assignment to the receiver in a signed
10	record.
11	(e) At any time before entry of an order approving a receiver's final report, the receiver
12	may file with the court an objection to a claim of a creditor, stating the basis for the objection.
13	The court shall allow or disallow the claim according to law of this state other than this [act].
14	(f) If the court concludes that receivership property is likely to be insufficient to satisfy
15	claims of each creditor holding a perfected lien on the property, the court may order that:
16	(1) the receiver need not give notice under subsection (a) of the appointment to all
17	creditors of the owner, but only such creditors as the court directs; and
18	(2) unsecured creditors need not submit claims under this section.
19	(g) Subject to Section 21, a distribution of receivership property to a creditor holding a
20	perfected lien on the property must be made in accordance with the creditor's priority under law
21	of this state other than this [act]. A distribution of receivership property to a creditor with an
22	allowed unsecured claim must be made as the court directs according to law of this state other
23	than this [act].

Reporter's Notes

1. This draft includes a claims provision that is substantially simplified relative to the more comprehensive provisions found in the Minnesota and Washington statutes. Section 12(b)(6) provides that if the court so orders, the receiver has the power to recommend the allowance and disallowance of claims. Combined with this section, the receiver thus has the flexibility to seek allowance or disallowance of claims in a fashion suitable to the circumstances of the receivership.

2. Subsection (a) provides that unless the court orders otherwise, the receiver will give notice of appointment to creditors by first class mailing to the last known address of each creditor and by publication as directed by the court. Subsection (b) then directs any creditors holding claims that arose before appointment to file a proof of that claim with the receiver within 90 days of the notice, except as provided in subsection (f) (which permits the appointing court to obviate the entire claims process in cases where the receivership will not generate sufficient funds to result in a distribution to unsecured creditors).

By requiring "publication as directed by the court," the Act's intention is to require "publication" as a court may interpret that term in light of technological evolution and changing economics in the publishing industry. Ten years following the enactment of this Act, it may well be that the only "newspapers" of circulation in a county are ones that publish only in electronic form. Subsection (a) is intended to permit a court to treat electronic publication in that context as sufficient.

3. Subsection (c) provides minimal requirements for the creditor's proof of claim.

4. Subsection (d) makes clear that while the Act does not prohibit the assignment of claims against the receivership, an assignment is effective against the receiver only if the assignee gives the receiver timely notice of the assignment. The amount of notice that is "timely" may differ depending upon the circumstances. For example, for notice of an assignment to be effective to protect the assignee's right to a distribution from the receivership, timely notice requires the assignee to give notice before distributions were made. By contrast, suppose that the receiver proposes to sell receivership property, and seeks to give notice of a proposed sale and a hearing to approve the sale terms. In this context, an assignment of a claim would be effective against the receiver so as to obligate the receiver to give notice of the proposed sale and hearing if the assignee gave notice of the claim assignment before the receiver gave notice of the proposed sale. Thus, for example, suppose that on April 1, the receiver gives Creditor X notice of a proposed sale of receivership property. On April 8, Creditor X assigns its claim to Creditor Y. In this situation, even though the sale may not have occurred yet, the validity of a subsequent receiver sale should not be called into question because Creditor Y did not get notice of the proposed sale.

5. Subsection (f) permits the receiver to forgo the claims process if the court concludes that the expected net proceeds from the receivership will be insufficient to satisfy the claims of creditors holding secured claims against receivership property. In such a case, the court may order that the receiver need not comply with the process for the filing and determination of

claims and that unsecured creditors need not submit claims. A creditor holding a secured claim against receivership property must file a proof of claim with the receiver, so that the receiver can have the necessary information to facilitate the receiver's ability to make recommendations to the court regarding the appropriate distribution of receivership property or the proceeds of such property.

6. Subsection (g) provides that any distribution of receivership property to a creditor with a perfected lien on that property shall be made according to the state's applicable priority rules as determined by law other than this Act. This applies both to the distribution of proceeds from the sale of receivership property under Section 16 and to the distribution of collected rents that are the subject of an assignment of rents.

Subsection (g) also provides that allowed unsecured claims shall receive distribution from the residue of the receivership property as the court directs in accordance with law of this state other than this Act (including the state's choice of law rules). The draft took this approach to avoid including the extensive priority provisions included in the Minnesota and Washington statutes, see Minn. Stat. Ann. § 576.51; Wash. Rev. Code Ann. § 7.60.230. At the same time, subsection (g) makes clear that the court should respect any rules of administrative priority for certain unsecured claims that might exist under other applicable law of the state.

SECTION 21. FEES AND EXPENSES.

- (a) The court may award a receiver from receivership property the reasonable and necessary fees and expenses of preserving, protecting, or transferring the property.
- (b) The court may order one or more of the following to pay the reasonable and necessary fees and expenses of the receivership, including reasonable attorney's fees and costs:
- (1) a person who requested the appointment of the receiver, if the receivership does not produce sufficient funds to pay the fees and expenses; or
- (2) a person whose conduct would have justified the appointment of the receiver under Section 6(a)(1).

Reporter's Note

1. Under section 21(a), the court may allow the receiver to recover the reasonable and necessary fees of preserving, protecting, or transferring the property before distribution to secured creditors. See, e.g., 2 Clark on Receivers, § 640.1(b), at 1082 (3d ed. 1959) ("A sale by the receiver free from liens is for most practical purposes equivalent to a foreclosure sale and if and when the property is realized under such circumstances and if and when the mortgagees or lienholders avail themselves of the advantage of the receivership to effect the sale of the

1 2 3	mortgaged premises, this means they have saved themselves similar expenses in a foreclosure suit or otherwise and, therefore, should pay for the advantage they have received.").
5 5 6 7	2. Section 21(b)(1) provides that if a person sought appointment of a receiver and the resulting receivership receipts were insufficient to pay the costs of the receivership, the shortfall should be assessed to the petitioner.
8 9 10 11	Section 21(b)(2) provides that if the receiver could have been appointed under Section 6(a)(1) of this Act—i.e., if the property or its revenue-producing potential was being subjected to waste, loss, dissipation, or impairment—then the court may impose the costs of the receivership on the party responsible for that waste, loss, dissipation, or impairment.
12 13 14 15	In subsection (b), the "reasonable and necessary fees and expenses of the receivership" would include expenses incurred by any professional engaged by the receiver under Section 15.
16	SECTION 22. REMOVAL OF RECEIVER; REPLACEMENT; TERMINATION
17	OF RECEIVERSHIP.
18	(a) The court may remove a receiver for cause.
19	(b) The court shall replace a receiver that dies, resigns, or is removed.
20	(c) If the court finds that a receiver that resigns or is removed or the representative of a
21	deceased receiver has accounted fully for and turned over to the successor receiver all
22	receivership property and has filed a report of all receipts and disbursements during the service
23	of the replaced receiver, the replaced receiver is discharged.
24	(d) The court may discharge a receiver and terminate the court's administration of the
25	receivership property if the court finds that appointment of the receiver was improvident or that
26	the circumstances no longer warrant continuation of the receivership. If the court finds that the
27	appointment was procured wrongfully or in bad faith, the court may assess against the person
28	that procured the appointment:
29	(1) the fees and expenses of the receivership, including reasonable attorney's fees
30	and costs; and
31	(2) actual damages caused by the appointment, including reasonable attorney's

2 Reporter's Notes 3 Section 22 section is adapted with some minor changes from the Washington receivership 1. 4 statute, Wash. Rev. Code Ann. § 7.60.280. 5 6 2. Subsection (a) permits the removal of the receiver for cause. Subsection (b) provides for 7 the replacement of a receiver on the receiver's death or resignation or the receiver's removal by 8 the court for failure to carry out its duties as receiver. 3 Clark on Receivers, § 692, at 1272 (3d 9 ed. 1959). Under subsection (c), once a removed receiver (or a representative, in the case of a 10 deceased receiver) has provided a full accounting for all receivership property and a full report of 11 all receipts and disbursements during its tenure, 3 Clark on Receivers, § 699.1, at 1285 (3d ed. 12 1959), the replaced receiver is discharged. 13 14 Subsection (d) permits the court to discharge a receiver and terminate the receivership if 3. 15 the court finds that the receiver's appointment was improvident or that the receivership is no longer warranted. See, e.g., 3 Clark on Receivers, § 692.1, at 1274-1277 (3d ed. 1959). If the 16 17 court terminates a receivership as having been improvidently granted and the court further finds 18 that the person who procured the receiver's appointment acted wrongfully or in bad faith, the 19 court may impose on such person the costs of the receivership and may assess against the person 20 damages in favor of the owner, including attorney fees. 21 22 SECTION 23. FINAL REPORT OF RECEIVER; DISCHARGE. 23 (a) On completion of a receiver's duties, the receiver shall file a final report including: (1) a description of the activities of the receiver in the conduct of the receivership; 24 25 (2) a list of receivership property at the commencement of the receivership and 26 any receivership property received during the receivership; 27 (3) a list of disbursements, including payments to professionals engaged by the 28 receiver; 29 (4) a list of dispositions of receivership property; 30 (5) a list of distributions made or proposed to be made from the receivership for 31 creditor claims: 32 (6) if not filed separately, a request for approval of the payment of fees and expenses of the receiver; and 33

1

fees and costs.

1	(7) any other information required by the court.
2	(b) If the court approves a final report filed under subsection (a) and the receiver
3	distributes all receivership property, the receiver is discharged.
4	Reporter's Notes
5 6 7 8 9	1. Subsection (a) provides for the termination of the receivership and discharge of the receiver on notice and hearing. The receiver's final report is based on the same general template as any interim reports filed by the receiver. Subsection (b) provides that the court's approval of the report discharges the receiver from further duties as receiver once the receiver makes all distributions.
11 12 13 14	The discharge of the receiver is a discharge from further duties as receiver; it is not a discharge of liability for acts taken by the receiver during the receivership and for which the receiver would not be entitled to immunity under Section 18.
15	SECTION 24. RECEIVERSHIP IN ANOTHER STATE; ANCILLARY
16	PROCEEDINGS.
17	(a) The court may appoint a receiver appointed in another state, or that person's
18	nominee, as an ancillary receiver with respect to property located in this state or subject to the
19	jurisdiction of the court for which a receiver could be appointed under this [act], if:
20	(1) the person or nominee would be eligible to serve as receiver under Section 7;
21	and
22	(2) the appointment furthers the person's possession, custody, control, or
23	disposition of property subject to the receivership in the other state.
24	(b) The court may issue an order that gives effect to an order entered in another state
25	appointing or directing a receiver.
26	(c) Unless the court orders otherwise, an ancillary receiver appointed under subsection
27	(a) has the rights, powers, and duties of a receiver appointed under this [act].

1	Reporter's Notes
2 3 4 5	1. State boundary lines provide an inherent jurisdictional limitation to the ability of a receiver to exercise control over receivership property located outside the boundaries of the state in which the receiver was appointed. As the Clark treatise explains:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Although a court having jurisdiction of the defendant owner of property in another state may make an order appointing a receiver of the defendant's property wherever situated, such an order does not immediately or directly bind tangible personal property or real estate outside the territorial jurisdiction of the appointing court. Such an order does not of itself cut off rights of local creditors to proceed against the defendant's property in the foreign jurisdiction. [1 Clark on Receivers § 294, at 483 (3d ed. 1959).]
13 14 15 16 17 18	Thus, a court cannot immediately exercise jurisdiction over real estate and/or tangible personal property outside of its territorial jurisdiction. In this circumstance, it may become necessary for the person who sought the receiver's appointment to apply to a court in the situs state (the state where the real estate and/or tangible personal property is located) for the appointment of an ancillary receiver. 1 Clark on Receivers § 318 (3d ed. 1959).
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	2. Section 24 is based in significant part on the provisions of the Minnesota receivership statute, Minn. Stat. Ann. § 576.41. Subsection (a) addresses the appointment in this state of an ancillary receivership to a receivership already existing in another state. It provides that the foreign receiver (or that receiver's nominee) may be appointment as an ancillary receiver for property in this state, as long as the receiver or nominee would be eligible for appointment under the Act and appointment would further the purposes of the foreign receivership.
26 27 28 29 30 31	Subsection (b) authorizes the court to enter any order necessary to give effect to an order of another state appointing a receiver or directing the receiver's conduct. For example, under subsection (b), the court could enter an order authorizing a foreign receiver to repossess personal property collateral in this state (rather than requiring the petitioning receiver to incur the cost of having to obtain the appointment of an ancillary receiver in this state).
32 33 34	3. Subsection (c) provides that an ancillary receiver's powers and duties are determined by this Act.
35	SECTION 25. EFFECT OF ENFORCEMENT BY MORTGAGEE.
36	[(a)] A request by a mortgagee for appointment of a receiver, appointment of a receiver,
37	or application by a mortgagee of receivership property or proceeds to the secured obligation does
38	not:

60

(2) make the mortgagee an agent of the owner;

(1) make the mortgagee a mortgagee in possession of the real property;

39

1	(3) constitute an election of remedies that precludes a later action to enforce the
2	secured obligation;
3	(4) make the secured obligation unenforceable; [or]
4	(5) limit any right available to the mortgagee with respect to the secured
5	obligation[;][; or]
6	[(6) constitute an action within the meaning of [cite the "one-action" statute of
7	this state][; or]]
8	[(7) except as otherwise provided in subsection (b), bar a deficiency judgment
9	pursuant to law of this state other than this [act] governing or relating to a deficiency judgment].
10	[(b) If a receiver transfers receivership property free and clear of a lien pursuant to
11	Section 16(c), the ability of a creditor to enforce an obligation that had been secured by the lien
12	is subject to law of this state other than this [act] relating to a deficiency judgment.]
13 14 15 16 17	Legislative Note: If state law does not prohibit or otherwise limit the ability of a lienholder to obtain a deficiency judgment following the enforcement of a lien, the state should enact this section without subsections (b) and (a)(7). A state that does not have a "one action" statute should omit subsection (a)(6).
18	Reporter's Note
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	1. Section 25 is an adaptation of Section 11 of the Uniform Assignment of Rents Act (UARA), which provides that certain actions taken by an assignee of rents to enforce its security interest in rents (such as direct collection of rents after notification to tenants or through appointment of a receiver) does not itself make the assignee a "mortgagee in possession," constitute an election of remedies, waive other security held by the assignee, violate a state's "one-action" rule, or constitute a foreclosure sale for purposes of triggering a state's anti-deficiency rule. Section 25 assures that this Act does not conflict with UARA by making clear that the decision of a mortgagee or an assignee of rents to pursue its right to a receiver under the Act should not trigger a state's one-action rule or bar the mortgagee or assignee of rents from an action to enforce the debt. Section 25 is consistent with Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 564(d), which provides that "Any action by a secured lender to appoint a receiver pursuant to this section shall not constitute an action within the meaning of subdivision (a) of section 726."
32 33	2. Subsection (b) is appropriate in states that have enacted legislation prohibiting an action for a deficiency judgment following the foreclosure of some or all liens. Under Section 16(b), a

1 sale of receivership property by the receiver could, in some circumstances, have the effect of 2 extinguishing one or more liens on the property. Such a receivership sale is not a foreclosure 3 sale under this Act, but could have an effect similar to the title-clearing effect of a foreclosure 4 sale. See, e.g., 2 Clark on Receivers, § 640.1(b), at 1082 (3d ed. 1959) ("A sale by the receiver 5 free from liens is for most practical purposes equivalent to a foreclosure sale"). In those 6 situations, the obligor should be protected by a state's prohibition on deficiency judgments to the 7 same extent as the obligor would have been protected following a foreclosure sale, and 8 subsection (b) accomplishes this result. 9 10 Subsection (b) would also be appropriate in states that place a "fair value" limit on the ability of a foreclosing creditor to obtain a deficiency judgment following a foreclosure sale. In 11 12 such states, the foreclosing creditor's deficiency judgment is calculated by reference to the 13 difference between the outstanding balance of the debt and the appraised "fair market value" of 14 the property (rather than the difference between the outstanding balance of the debt and the 15 foreclosure sale price). If a receiver sells receivership property free and clear of a lien under 16 Section 16(b), subsection (b) of this Section would provide the obligor with the benefit of the state's "fair value" rule in a subsequent action on the debt by the holder of the extinguished lien. 17 18 19 SECTION 26. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION. In 20 applying and construing this uniform act, consideration must be given to the need to promote 21 uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it. 22 SECTION 27. RELATION TO ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES IN GLOBAL AND 23 **NATIONAL COMMERCE ACT.** This [act] modifies, limits, or supersedes the Electronic 24 Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 7001 et seq., but does not modify, limit, or supersede Section 101(c) of that act, 15 U.S.C. Section 7001(c), or authorize 25 26 electronic delivery of any of the notices described in Section 103(b) of that act, 15 U.S.C. 27 Section 7003(b). 28 **SECTION 28. TRANSITION PROVISION.** This [act] does not apply to a receivership for which the receiver was appointed before [the effective date of this 29 30 [act]]. 31

SECTION 29. REPEALS; CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.

32

(a)

- 1 (b)....
- 2 (c)
- 3 **SECTION 30. EFFECTIVE DATE.** This [act] takes effect