## DRAFT

### FOR DISCUSSION ONLY

# AMENDMENTS TO UNIFORM UNINCORPORATED NONPROFIT ASSOCIATION ACT

#### NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS

## ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

For November 30 – December 1, 2007 Drafting Committee Meeting

Changes shown in strike and score against Uniform Unincorporated Nonprofit Association Act (1996)

## WITH PREFATORY NOTE AND COMMENTS

Copyright ©2007 By NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

The ideas and conclusions set forth in this draft, including the proposed statutory language and any comments or reporter's notes, have not been passed upon by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws or the Drafting Committee. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Conference and its Commissioners and the Drafting Committee and its Members and Reporter. Proposed statutory language may not be used to ascertain the intent or meaning of any promulgated final statutory proposal.

## HARMONIZED LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR UNINCORPORATED NONPROFIT ASSOCIATIONS IN NORTH AMERICA

MARILYN E. PHELAN, Texas Tech University, 1802 Hartford, Lubbock, TX 79409, *Chair* DALE G. HIGER, 1302 Warm Springs Ave., Boise, ID 83712

DAVID C. MCBRIDE, 1000 West St., P.O. Box 391, Wilmington, DE 19899

ROBERT L. MCCURLEY, JR., Alabama Law Institute, P.O. Box 861425, Tuscaloosa, AL 35486

JUSTIN L. VIGDOR, 2400 Chase Square, Rochester, NY 14604

HARRY J. HAYNSWORTH, IV, 2200 IDS Center, 80 S. 8th St., Minneapolis, MN 55402, National Conference Reporter

## **CANADIAN MEMBERS**

- ARTHUR L. CLOSE, 234 4th Ave., University of British Columbia, New Westminster, British Columbia, Canada V3L 1N7
- MICHELLE CUMYN, Pavillon Charles-De Koninck, local 1109, Universite Laval, Quebec, Canada G1K 7P4
- W. JAMES EMMERTON, 1822 East Mall, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6T 1Z1
- JAKE HARMS, Manitoba Justice, 410-405 Broadway, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada R3C 3L6
- THOMAS TELFER, University of Western Ontario, Faculty of Law, London, Ontario, Canada N6A 3K7
- KEVIN ZAKRESKI, University of British Columbia, 1822 East Mall, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6T 1Z1

## **MEXICAN MEMBERS**

EDGAR ELIAS AZAR, Rio de la Plata No. 48, Piso 8, Col. Cuauhtemoc, Mexico 06600 CLAUDIA E. DE BUEN UNNA, Mariano Escobedo 353-A Desp. 1402, Polanco, Mexico 11560 ELIAS MANSUR, Socrates 207, Polanco 11560, Mexico City, Mexico JORGE SANCHEZ CORDERO, Arquimedes 36, Polanco 11560, Mexico City, Mexico 11560

## **EX OFFICIO**

- MARTHA LEE WALTERS, Oregon Supreme Court, 1163 State St., Salem, OR 97301-2563, *President*
- JAMES A. WYNN, JR., NC Court of Appeals, One West Morgan St., P.O. Box 888, Raleigh, NC 27602, *Division Chair*

## AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ADVISOR

LISA A. RUNQUIST, 17554 Community St., Northridge, CA 91325-3922, ABA Advisor JOHN H. SMALL, 1310 King St., Wilmington, DE 19801, ABA Section Advisor

## **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

JOHN A. SEBERT, 211 E. Ontario St., Suite 1300, Chicago, IL 60611, Executive Director

Copies of this Act may be obtained from:

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS 211 E. Ontario Street, Suite 1300 Chicago, Illinois 60611 (312) 915-0195 www.nccusl.org

## AMENDMENTS TO UNIFORM UNINCORPORATED NONPROFIT ASSOCIATION ACT

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFATORY NOTE	1
SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. THIS ACT MAY BE CITED AS THE UNINCORPORAT	ΈD
NONPROFIT ASSOCIATION ACT.	5
SECTION 4 2. DEFINITIONS.	
SECTION 2 3. SUPPLEMENTARY GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF LAW AND EQUITY	÷
RELATION TO OTHER LAW	9
SECTION 3 4. TERRITORIAL APPLICATION. GOVERNING LAW; TERRITORIAL	
APPLICATION.	10
SECTION 4 5. REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY; NONPROFIT ASSOCIATION A	S
LEGATEE, DEVISEE, OR BENEFICIARY	
SECTION 5 6. STATEMENT OF AUTHORITY AS TO REAL PROPERTY	12
SECTION 67. LIABILITY	
SECTION 7 8. LIABILITY IN TORT AND CONTRACT.	16
SECTION 8 9. CAPACITY TO ASSERT AND DEFEND; STANDING	
SECTION 9 10. EFFECT OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER.	
SECTION 40 11. APPOINTMENT OF AGENT TO RECEIVE SERVICE OF PROCESS	25
SECTION 11 12 . CLAIM NOT ABATED BY CHANGE.	
[SECTION 12 13. VENUE	
[SECTION 13 14. SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT; SERVICE ON WHOM	
SECTION 15. MEMBER AS MEMBER HAS NO AGENCY POWER	
SECTION 16. MEMBERS' RIGHTS.	29
SECTION 17. ADMISSION, SUSPENSION, DISMISSAL, OR EXPULSION OF	
MEMBERS	
SECTION 18. MEMBER'S RESIGNATION.	
SECTION 19. TRANSFER OF MEMBERSHIP INTEREST PROHIBITED.	30
SECTION 20. SELECTION OF MANAGERS; MANAGEMENT RIGHTS OF	
MANAGERS.	
SECTION 21. DUTIES OF MANAGERS	
SECTION 22. NOTICE AND QUORUM REQUIREMENTS	
SECTION 23. RIGHT OF MEMBERS AND MANAGERS TO INFORMATION	32
SECTION 24. DISTRIBUTIONS PROHIBITED; COMPENSATION AND OTHER	
PERMITTED PAYMENTS	
SECTION 25. INDEMNIFICATION AND ADVANCEMENT OF EXPENSES.	
SECTION 26. DISSOLUTION.	
SECTION 27. WINDING UP AND TERMINATION.	
SECTION 28. MERGERS [AND CONVERSIONS].	
SECTION 14 29. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION	
SECTION 15. SHORT TITLE.	
SECTION 16. SEVERABILITY CLAUSE.	41
SECTION 30. RELATION TO ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES IN GLOBAL AND	
NATIONAL COMMERCE ACT	41

SECTION 31. SAVINGS CLAUSE.	42
SECTION 32. [TRANSITION CONCERNING REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY	43
SECTION 33. REPEALS.	45
SECTION 17 34. EFFECTIVE DATE	45

## AMENDMENTS TO UNIFORM UNINCORPORATED NONPROFIT ASSOCIATION ACT

#### **PREFATORY NOTE**

This Act reforms the common law concerning unincorporated nonprofit associations in three basic areas – authority to acquire, hold, and transfer property, especially real property; authority to sue and be sued as an entity; and contract and tort liability of officers and members of the association.

A nonprofit organization may take at least three forms, in alphabetical order – charitable trust, corporation, or unincorporated association.

The Uniform Supervision of Trustees for Charitable Purposes Act largely governs the charitable trust form. The Uniform Law Foundation is organized as an Illinois charitable trust. Ill. Ann. Stat. Ch. 14, Sections 51-6g (Smith-Hurd 1992). A nonprofit organization, such as a church, could be two entities – a charitable trust with respect to a building and its use and a nonprofit corporation with respect to its other activities.

The American Bar Association's Model Nonprofit Corporation Act, first issued in 1952 and most recently revised in 1987, has been adopted in most States. Unlike this Act, it deals comprehensively with nonprofit corporations. The Model Act follows the same organization and numbering system as the ABA Model Business Corporation Act and so is equally comprehensive. It regulates both the external and internal relations of a corporation – from a corporation's responsibilities to contractors and public officials to rights and obligations among members and the corporation. It is the form commonly chosen by lawyers in organizing a nonprofit organization. Unlike this Act, the Model Act provides answers to most questions and provides some state regulation.

At common law an unincorporated association, whether nonprofit or for-profit, was not a separate legal entity. It was an aggregate of individuals. In many ways it had the characteristics of a business partnership.

This approach obviously created problems. A gift of property to an unincorporated association failed because no legal entity existed to receive it. For example, a gift of Blackacre to Somerset Social Club (an unincorporated nonprofit association) would fail because in law there is no legal entity to receive title. Some courts in time became uncomfortable with this result. Some construed such a gift as a grant to the officers of the association to hold the real estate in trust and manage it for the benefit of the members of the association. Later, some legislatures provided various solutions, including treating the association for these purposes as an entity.

Proceedings by or against an unincorporated association presented similar problems. If it were not a legal entity, each of the members needed to be joined as party plaintiffs or defendants. Class action offered another approach. Again courts and legislatures, especially the latter, provided solutions. "Sue and be sued" statutes found their way on the law books of most States.

Unincorporated associations, not being legal entities, could not be liable in tort, contract, or otherwise for conduct taken in their names. On the other hand, their members could be. Courts borrowed from the law of partnership the concept that the members of the association, like partners, were co-principals. As coprincipals they were individually liable. Again courts and legislatures, responding to concerns of their constituents about this result, modified these rules. Courts found that, in large membership associations, some members did not have the kind of control or participation in the decision process that made it reasonable and fair to view them as co-principals. Legislatures also took steps. Perhaps the most striking are the statutes adopted in many States in the last decade excusing officers, directors, members, and volunteers of nonprofit organizations from liability for simple negligence. There is great variety in the details; a few statutes condition the immunity on the association carrying appropriate insurance or qualifying under Internal Revenue Code Section 501(c)(3).

Related to liability is the question of enforcement of a judgment obtained against an unincorporated association, its members, and its property. If fewer than all members are liable in contract or tort, the property that members own jointly or in common may not be seized in execution of a judgment without severing the interest of those who are liable from those who are not. Some members may not be liable because the judgment was not rendered against them. Again, courts using "joint debtor," "common property," and "common name" statutes fashioned more workable solutions. Some legislatures have also addressed the problem directly. For these purposes, unincorporated associations have been treated as legal entities – like a corporation.

The unincorporated nonprofit association is now governed by a hodgepodge of common law and state statutes governing some of their legal aspects. No State appears to have addressed the issues in a comprehensive, integrated, and internally consistent manner. This Act deals with a limited number of the major issues relating to unincorporated nonprofit associations in an integrated and consistent manner.

The Uniform Unincorporated Nonprofit Association Act (UUNAA) reforms the common law in three basic and important areas. It was drafted with the small informal associations in mind. These informal organizations are likely to have no legal advice and so fail to consider legal and organization questions, including whether to incorporate. The Act provides better answers than the common law for a limited number of legal problems. Its answers are more in accord with the expectations of those participating in the work of the unincorporated nonprofit association than the common law. While the Act is primarily directed at small nonprofit organizations, it may be surprising that some large nonprofit organizations are or until recently were unincorporated; for example, National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws, Association of American Law Schools (1900-1972), and American Bar Association (1878-1992). That these three are lawyer organizations may provide further evidence of the vitality of the rule of the shoemaker's children.

The ABA Model Act deals comprehensively with nonprofit corporations, including troublesome questions of governance and membership. UUNAA, on the other hand, does not treat these and other questions. Enactment of UUNAA would leave these matters to a jurisdiction's common law or its statutes on the subject.

This Act applies to all unincorporated nonprofit associations. Nonprofit organizations are often classified as public benefit, mutual benefit, or religious. For purposes of this Act, it is unnecessary to treat differently these three categories of unincorporated nonprofit associations. Unlike some state laws, it is not confined to the nonprofit organizations that are described in Section 501(c)(3), (4), and (6) of the Internal Revenue Code. There is no principled basis for excluding any nonprofit association. Therefore, the Act covers unincorporated philanthropic, educational, scientific, and literary clubs, unions, trade associations, political organizations, cooperatives, churches, hospitals, condominium associations, neighborhood associations, and all other unincorporated nonprofit associations. Their members may be individuals, corporations, other legal entities, or a mix.

The Act is designed to cover all of these associations to the extent possible. To the extent a jurisdiction decides to retain statutes dealing with specific kinds of nonprofit associations, this Act will supplement existing legislation. Many States have statutes on special kinds of unincorporated nonprofit associations, such as churches, mutual benefit societies, social clubs, and veteran's organizations. A State electing to adopt this Act will need to examine carefully its statutes to determine which it wants to repeal, which to amend, and which to retain.

The basic approach of UUNAA is that an unincorporated nonprofit association is a legal entity for the purposes that the Act addresses. It does not make these associations legal entities for all purposes. It is left to the courts of an adopting State to determine whether to use this Act by analogy to conclude that an association is a legal entity for some other purpose.

It should be noted, too, that many of the provisions are intended to be supplemented by a jurisdiction's existing law. For example, Section 5, which provides for the filing of a statement of association authority, does not provide details concerning the filing process. It leaves to other law such details as whether the filing officer returns a copy marked "filed" and stamps the hour and date thereof, and the amount of the filing fee.

Two sections are bracketed as optional – Section 12 on venue and Section 13 on service of process. A jurisdiction may decide that its present rules are consistent with the entity view of an association and provide the appropriate rule. Therefore, it would not adopt Sections 12 and 13. Both sections deal with only a part of the questions of venue and service of process. This means that if they are adopted they are only a part of the jurisdiction's law on the subject. They should probably be placed in the court rules or statutes on those subjects instead of in the State's code with the other sections of this Act.

There has been concern that this Act may deter nonprofit organizations from incorporating and that failure to incorporate would deprive the public of protections incorporation would provide. Clearly, incorporation does provide governmental involvement that this Act does not.

Most jurisdictions regulate solicitation by charitable organizations. Many of these are comprehensive. See, for example, Ill. Ann. Stat. ch. 23, Sections 5100-5121 (Smith-Hurd 1992); Minn. Stat. Ann. Sections 309. 50-309.61 (West 1992); Uniform Management of Institutional

Funds Act. These statutes frequently require, among other things, filing of a comprehensive statement with the attorney general before soliciting funds, including a copy of contracts with any professional fund-raisers, and registration of professional fund-raisers. A range of civil and criminal sanctions are provided. These statutes apply to all persons soliciting for charitable purposes, incorporated or not. In short, this Act's nonprofit associations are covered.

1	AMENDMENTS TO
2	UNIFORM UNINCORPORATED NONPROFIT ASSOCIATION ACT
3	
4	SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. This act may be cited as the Unincorporated Nonprofit
5	Association Act.
6	SECTION 1 2. DEFINITIONS. In this [Act] [act]:
7	(a) "Established practices" means the practices used by an unincorporated nonprofit
8	association without material change or exception during the most recent five years of its
9	existence, or if it has existed for less than five years, during its entire existence.
10	(b) "Governing principles" means all the agreements, whether oral, in a record, implied
11	from its established practices, or in any combination thereof, that govern the purpose or
12	operation of an unincorporated nonprofit association and the rights and obligations of its
13	members and managers. The term includes any amendment and restatement of the agreements
14	constituting the governing principles.
15	(c) "Manager" means a person that is responsible, alone or in concert with others, for the
16	management functions of an unincorporated nonprofit association stated in Section 21.
17	(1) (d) "Member" means a person who that, under the rules or practices of a an
18	unincorporated nonprofit association, may participate in the selection of persons authorized to
19	manage the affairs of the nonprofit association or in the development of policy of the nonprofit
20	association.
21	(3) (e) "Person" means an individual, corporation, business trust [statutory entity trust],
22	estate, trust, partnership, limited liability company, association, joint venture, public corporation,
23	government, or governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality, or any other legal or

1 commercial entity.

2	(4) (f) "State" means a State state of the United States, the District of Columbia, the
3	Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, United States Virgin Islands, or any territory or insular
4	possession subject to the jurisdiction of the United States.
5	(2) (g) "Nonprofit Unincorporated nonprofit association" means an unincorporated
6	organization, other than one created by a trust, consisting of [two] or more members joined by
7	mutual consent for a common, nonprofit purpose that is not a trust [, a cooperative, domestic
8	partnership] or that is formed under any other statute that governs the organization and operation
9	of unincorporated associations. However, The term does not include joint tenancy, tenancy in
10	common, or tenancy by the entireties does not by itself establish a nonprofit association, even if
11	the co-owners share use of the property for a nonprofit purpose.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	<b>Comment</b> <ol> <li>With respect to relations external to a nonprofit association, whether a person is a member of the organization determines principally a member's responsibility to third parties. Internally, whether a person is a member might determine specified rights and responsibilities, including access to facilities, voting, and obligation to pay dues. This Act is concerned only with determining whether a person is a member for purposes of external relations, such as liabilities to third parties on a contract of the nonprofit association. Therefore, "member" is defined in terms appropriate to these purposes. "Member" includes a person who has sufficient rights to participate in the affairs of a nonprofit association so that under common law the person would be considered a co-principal and so liable for contract and tort obligations of the nonprofit association.</li> <li>The definition may reach somewhat beyond decisions of some courts. Either participation in the selection of the leadership or in the development of policy is enough. Both are not required. This broad definition of member ensures that the insulation from liability is provided in all cases in which the common law might have imposed liability on a person, simply</li> </ol>
31	<ul><li>because the person was a member.</li><li>2. A fund-raising device commonly used by many nonprofit organizations is the</li></ul>

- Section 6 nevertheless protects "a person considered to be a member by a nonprofit association" even though the person is not within the definition of member in paragraph (1).
- 3 4

33

1 2

5 3. The role of a member in the affairs of an association is described as "may participate 6 in the selection" instead of "may select or elect" the governing board and officers and "may 7 participate . . . in the development of policy" instead of "may determine" policy. This 8 accommodates the Act to a great variation in practices and organizational structures. For 9 example, some nonprofit associations permit the president or chair to name some members of the 10 governing board, such as by naming the chairs of principal committees who are designated ex officio members of the governing board. Similarly, the role in determination of policy is 11 12 described in general terms. "Persons authorized to manage the affairs of the association" is used 13 in the definition instead of president, executive director, officer, member of governing board, and 14 the like. Given the wide variety of organizational structures of nonprofit associations to which 15 this Act applies and the informality of some of them the more generic term is more appropriate. 16

4. "Person" instead of individual is used to make it clear that associations covered by this
Act may have individuals, corporations, and other legal entities as members. Unincorporated
nonprofit trade associations, for example, commonly have corporations as members. Some
national and regional associations of local government officials and agencies have governmental
units or agencies as members.

5. Paragraph (2) defines "nonprofit association." The model American Bar Association
acts deal with both for-profit and nonprofit corporations. Unincorporated, for-profit
organizations are largely covered by the uniform partnership acts. The differences between forprofit and nonprofit unincorporated organizations are so significant that it would be impractical
to cover both in a single act. Therefore, this Act deals only with nonprofit organizations.

6. A charitable trust is a form of an unincorporated nonprofit legal organization. It is, however, not a nonprofit association within this Act. To the extent that trust law does not supply an answer to a legal problem concerning a charitable trust, a court could look to this Act to develop by analogy a common law answer.

34 7. The term "nonprofit association" is used instead of "association" for several reasons. 35 The risk that this Act when placed in a state's code would be construed to apply to both nonprofit and for-profit associations should thus be avoided. Acts dealing with one kind of association 36 37 when placed in a code have sometimes lost their identification and been inadvertently applied to 38 the other kind where the term "association" alone was used. For example, the New York Joint-39 Stock Association Act of 1894 used the term "association," which it defined to include only for-40 profit organizations. "Association" was held in 1938 to include an unincorporated political party 41 and the act applied to it. Richmond County v. Democratic Organization of Richmond County, 1 NYS 2d 349 (1938). Subsequent decisions applied the act to other unincorporated nonprofit 42 43 organizations. The use of "nonprofit association" instead of merely "association" should also 44 avoid the risk of this Act being improperly used to develop a common law rule by analogy from 45 this Act to apply in a case involving a for-profit association. Roscoe Pound, Common Law and Legislation, 21 Harv. L. Rev. 383 (1908); Robert F. Williams, Statutes as Sources of Law 46

1 Beyond their Terms in Common Law Cases, 50 Geo. Wash. L. Rev. 554 (1982).

Legal issues concerning unincorporated for-profit associations that are not partnerships and so not controlled by a partnership act would be governed by a State's other statutory or common law. Resort to one of the two partnership acts for the purposes of developing a common law rule by analogy would be appropriate. Resort for this purpose to this Act in the case of an unincorporated for-profit association would not be appropriate.

8

2

9 8. Two or more persons is the common statutory requirement to constitute an 10 unincorporated nonprofit association. New Jersey, on the other hand, requires that there be seven or more members to be an association under its laws. This Act suggests the smaller 11 12 number - two. Consideration was given to specifying "one" instead of "two." For example, the 13 developer of a condominium may have created a condominium association as an unincorporated 14 nonprofit association. Before any units are sold the developer as owner of all units has all of the memberships in the association. Should it be treated as a nonprofit association under this Act 15 16 from the beginning? It should not. Can one person be "joined by mutual consent for a common purpose?" To ask the question would seem to be to answer it. If the concern is to give the 17 developer the entity protections provided by this Act, it is very likely that it already has some 18 19 protection because it is a business corporation.

21 Nevertheless, the number is placed in brackets, in part, to raise the question whether the 22 number should be one or two or even a larger number.

The members must be joined together for a common purpose. Several States provide that they be "joined together for a **stated** common purpose" (emphasis added). Because of the informality of many ad hoc associations, it is prudent not to impose the requirement that the common purpose be "stated." Very probably, it is the small, informal, ad hoc associations and those third parties affected by them that most need this Act.

20 29 20

20

23

9. "Nonprofit" is not defined. A common definition – it is an association whose net
gains do not inure to the benefit of its members and which makes no distribution to its members,
except on dissolution – does not work for all nonprofit associations. Consumer cooperatives, for
example, make distributions to their members; but they are not for-profit organizations. Those
consumer cooperatives not organized under specific state or federal laws need the benefits of this
Act.

36

37 It is instructive to note that the drafting committee for the ABA Model Nonprofit 38 Corporation Act finally determined that it could not develop a satisfactory definition of 39 nonprofit. Instead, the act contains rules, regulations, and procedures applicable separately to 40 each of the three kinds of nonprofit corporation – public benefit, mutual benefit, and religious. It does not define the three kinds; it described what they can do and how they may function. 41 Considering the corporation's intended activities and the rules, regulations, and procedures 42 43 applicable to each of the three different kinds of corporations, a choice is made. Having made a 44 choice, the corporation is bound by the rules, regulations, and procedures prescribed for the kind 45 of nonprofit corporation chosen.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>10. The final sentence of paragraph (2) is adapted from Section 201(d)(1) of Uniform Partnership Act(1994). This stresses that more than common ownership and use is required. For example, that three families own a lake cottage and share its use does not make the three families a nonprofit association. Paragraph (2) precludes arrangements that are merely common ownership from being a nonprofit association under this Act.</li> <li>11. The definition of "person" in paragraph (3) is a standard NCCUSL definition.</li> <li>12. The definition of "State" in paragraph (4) is a standard NCCUSL definition.</li> <li>Derivation: "established practices" – Principle #2; "governing principles" – Principle #2; "member" – Principle #3; "manager" – Principle #4; "unincorporated nonprofit association" – Principle #1. Note: Comment from the Floor: There should be a comment specifically referring</li> </ul>
14 15	to the limitations on distributions in Section 24.
16	SECTION 2 3. SUPPLEMENTARY GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF LAW AND
17	EQUITY. RELATION TO OTHER LAW.
18	(a) Principles of law and equity supplement this [Act] [act] unless displaced by a
19	particular provision of it.
20	(b) A provision in a statute in this state governing a particular type of unincorporated
21	nonprofit association prevails over an inconsistent general provision in this [act], to the extent of
22	the inconsistency.
23	(c) This [act] supplements this state's regulatory laws and rules that are applicable to
24	nonprofit organizations operating in this [state]. In the event of a conflict, those other laws and
25	rules prevail.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32	<b>Comment</b> 1. This section is adapted from Uniform Commercial Code Section 1-103. The reference in Section 1-103 to "the law merchant" and its examples of supplementary rules, such as those of principal and agent and estoppel, were deleted as irrelevant or incomplete and unnecessary. This change in language does not manifest any change in substance.
33 34 35	2. This Act contains no rules concerning governance. However, recourse to rules of governance must be had to apply some of the Act's rules. For example, whether a nonprofit association is liable under a contract made for it by an individual depends on whether the

1 2	individual had the necessary authority to act as agent. Was the individual given the authority by someone empowered by the nonprofit association to give the authority? To decide a case like
23	this a court must resort to the rules of the nonprofit association or, if there are none applicable or
4	none at all, to the common law or other statutory law of the jurisdiction.
5	2. Efforte mode te develor default internel mles ef conomence - englieshle if en
6 7	3. Efforts were made to develop default internal rules of governance – applicable if an association had none or none that were applicable. This effort demonstrated the complexity and
8	difficulty of fashioning rules that would reasonably fit a wide variety of nonprofit associations –
9	large and small, public benefit, mutual benefit, and religious, and of short and indefinite
10	duration. It was thought best to leave this question to other law of the jurisdiction.
11	duration. It was alought best to leave this question to other law of the juriscletion.
12	Derivation: Subsection (a) Principle #9; Subsection (b) – Principle #10; Subsection (c) –
13	Principle #11. Notes: Floor comment suggested deleting subsection (b) because it merely
14	incorporates a common law rule of statutory construction. Another Floor comment suggested
15	that we have a provision listing all the other rules that continue to apply.
16	
17	SECTION 3 <u>4</u> . TERRITORIAL APPLICATION. GOVERNING LAW;
18	TERRITORIAL APPLICATION. Real and personal property in this State may be acquired,
19	held, encumbered, and transferred by a nonprofit association, whether or not the nonprofit
20	association or a member has any other relationship to this State.
21	(a) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (b), the law of this state governs all
22	unincorporated nonprofit associations formed or operating in this state.
23	(b) The law of the jurisdiction in which an unincorporated nonprofit association has its
24	main place of activities [principal place of business] governs relations among members and
25	managers and between members and managers and the unincorporated nonprofit association.
26	Comment
27	This section is consistent with Destatement (Second) of Conflict of Lows Section 222
28	This section is consistent with Restatement (Second) of Conflict of Laws Section 223 (1071). Section 3 makes a conveyance or device of land located in a State that has adopted this
29 30	(1971). Section 3 makes a conveyance or devise of land located in a State that has adopted this Act effective even though it would not be effective under the law of the State in which the
30 31	nonprofit association has its principal office or other significant relationship. No relationship of
32	the nonprofit association other than that the property is situated in the State is required.
33	are nonprovir association other than that the property is situated in the State is required.
34	Derivation: Principle #6.
35	

1 SECTION 45. REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY; NONPROFIT 2 ASSOCIATION AS LEGATEE, DEVISEE, OR BENEFICIARY. 3 (a) A An unincorporated nonprofit association is a legal entity separate from its members 4 for the purposes of acquiring, holding, encumbering, and transferring real and personal property 5 and managers. 6 (b) An unincorporated nonprofit The association in its name may acquire, hold, 7 encumber, or transfer in its name an estate or interest in real or personal property. 8 (c) A The association may be a beneficiary of a trust or contract, a legatee, or a devisee. 9 (d) The association has perpetual existence. [duration][unless otherwise agreed or it is 10 dissolved.] 11 Comment 12 13 1. Subsection (a) makes a nonprofit association a legal entity separate from its members 14 for purposes of its dealing with real and personal property. This reverses the common law view 15 that a non-profit association was not a legal entity. 16 17 2. Subsection (b) is based on Section 3-102(8), Uniform Common Interest Act. It 18 reverses the common law rule. Inasmuch as an unincorporated nonprofit association was not a 19 legal entity at common law, it could not acquire, hold, or convey real or personal property. 20 Harold J. Ford, Unincorporated Non-Profit Associations, 1-45 (Oxford Univ. Press (1959); 15 21 A.L.R. 2d 1451 (1951); Warburton, The Holding of Property by Unincorporated Associations, 22 Conveyancer 318 (September-October 1985). 23 24 3. This strict common law rule has been modified in various ways in most jurisdictions 25 by courts and statutes. For example, courts have held that a gift by will or intervivos transfer of real property to a nonprofit association is not effective to vest title in the nonprofit association 26 27 but is effective to vest title in the officers of the association to hold as trustees for the members 28 of the association. Matter of Anderson's Estate, 571 P. 2d 880 (Okla. App. 1977). 29 30 A New York statute specifies that a grant by will of real or personal property to an 31 unincorporated association is effective if within three years after probate of the will the 32 association incorporates. McKinney's N.Y. Estates, Powers, & Trust Law, Section 3-1.3 (1981). 33 34 California gives any "unincorporated society or association and every lodge or branch of any such association, and any labor organization" full right to acquire, hold, or transfer any "real 35 estate and other property as may be necessary for the business purposes and objects of the 36

- 1 society," and acquire and hold any property not so necessary for 10 years. California 2 Corporations Code, Title 3, Unincorporated Associations, Section 20001 (West 1991). 3 4 As is the case with many of the problems created by the view that an unincorporated 5 association is not an entity the statutory solutions are often partial – limited to special 6 circumstances and associations. Subsection (b) solves this problem for all nonprofit associations, 7 for all kinds of transactions, and for both real and personal property. 8 9 4. Even if a nonprofit association's governing documents provide that it "may not acquire 10 real property," subsection (b) makes effective a transfer of Blackacre to the association. A different result would obviously disrupt real estate titles. The remedy for this violation of 11 12 internal rules lies not in preventing title from passing but, as with other organizations, in an 13 action by members against their association and its appropriate officers to undo the transaction. 14 15 5. Subsection (c) is a necessary corollary of subsection (b) and, thus, it may be 16 unnecessary. However, several States expressly provide that an unincorporated, nonprofit association may be a legatee, devisee, or beneficiary. See, for example, Md. Estates & Trusts 17 Code Ann. Section 4-301 (1991). Therefore, it is desirable to continue this as an express rule. 18 19 Subsection (c) applies to both trusts and contracts. Not all state statutes apply expressly to both. 20 21 Derivation: Subsection (a) – Principle #7; Subsections (b) and (c) – Principle #12; and 22 Subsection (d) – Principle #8. 23 SECTION 5 6. STATEMENT OF AUTHORITY AS TO REAL PROPERTY. 24 25 (a) A nonprofit association may execute and [file] [record] a statement of authority to 26 transfer an estate or interest in real property in the name of the nonprofit association. In this 27 section, "statement of authority" means a statement authorizing a person to transfer an estate or 28 interest in real property in the name of an unincorporated nonprofit association. 29 (b) An estate or interest in real property in the name of a nonprofit an association may be 30 transferred by a person so authorized in a statement of authority [filed] [recorded] by the 31 association in the office in the [county] in which a transfer of the property would be [filed] 32 [recorded]. 33 (c) A statement of authority must set forth: (1) the name of the nonprofit association;
- 34

1	(2) the federal tax identification number, if any, of the nonprofit association;
2	(3) the address in this State state, including the street address, if any, of the
3	nonprofit association, or, if the nonprofit association does not have an address in this State state,
4	its address out of State state;
5	(4) that it is an unincorporated nonprofit association; and
6 7	(5) the name or title of a person authorized to transfer an estate or interest in real
7 8 9	property held in the name of the nonprofit association.
9 10	(d) A statement of authority must be executed in the same manner as [a deed] [an
11	affidavit] by a person who is not the person authorized to transfer the estate or interest.
12	(e) A filing officer may collect a fee for [filing] [recording] a statement of authority in the
13	amount authorized for [filing] [recording] a transfer of real property.
14	(f) An amendment, including a cancellation, of a statement of authority must meet the
15	requirements for execution and [filing] [recording] of an original statement.
16	(g) Unless canceled earlier, a [filed] [recorded] statement of authority or its most recent
17	amendment is canceled by operation of law five years after the date of the most recent [filing]
18	[recording].
19	(g) (h) If the record title to real property is in the name of a nonprofit an association and
20	the statement of authority is [filed] [recorded] in the office of the [county] in which a transfer of
21	real property would be [filed] [recorded], the authority of the person named in a the statement of
22	authority to transfer is conclusive in favor of a person who that gives value without notice that
23	the person lacks authority.
24	Comment
25 26 27	1. This section is based on Uniform Partnership Act (1994) Section 303. California Corporations Code, Title 3, Unincorporated Associations, Section 20002 (West 1991), is similar.

2 2. A statement of authority need not be filed to conclude an acquisition of or to hold real
property. It is concerned only with the sale, lease, encumbrance, and other transfer of an estate
or interest in real property. For this, it should, but need not, be filed. The filing provides
important documentation.

3. Inasmuch as the statement relates to the authority of a person to act for the association in transferring real property, subsection (b) requires that the statement be filed or recorded in the officer where a transfer of the real property would be filed or recorded. This is usually the county in which the real estate is situated. This is where a title search concerning the real estate would be conducted. Uniform Partnership Act (1994) Section 303 provides for central filing, such as with the Secretary of State, but its statement of partnership authority concerns authority of partners generally, not just with respect to real estate.

4. "Filed" and "recorded" are bracketed to direct an enacting State to choose. In most
jurisdictions "recorded" will be the appropriate choice.

5. Subsection (c)(2) deals with the problem caused by the similarity of names of small
 local nonprofit associations. There is no duplication of federal tax identification numbers.
 Therefore, any confusion of identity is avoided by this requirement.

Subsection (c)(3) may present a problem for small, ad-hoc nonprofit associations. They
 may have no fixed office address. They may meet in the homes of their leaders. However, if
 they distribute literature or file petitions they are likely to have a mailing address.

Subsection (c)(4) informs those relying on the statement of the precise character of the
 organization. Knowing that the organization is an unincorporated nonprofit association may
 cause the person dealing with the organization to act differently.

6. Subsection (c)(5) permits the statement to identify as the person who can act for the
 association one who holds a particular office, such as president. This designation relieves the
 association from the need to make additional filings on each change of officers. Under local title
 standards and practices the transferee and filing or recording office are likely to require a
 certificate of incumbency if the statement designates the holder of an office.

7. Subsection (d) is designed to reduce the risk of fraud and to reflect law and practice
applicable to other organizations. It requires someone other than the person authorized to deal
with the real property to execute the statement of authority on behalf of the nonprofit association.
Whether the formalities of execution must conform to those of a deed or an affidavit is left for
each State to determine.

41

1

14

8. Subsection (f) makes a statement inoperative five years after its most recent recording
or filing. This prevents a statement whose recording or filing is unknown by the association's
current leadership from being effective. Reliance on a filing or recording this old is, in effect,
not in good faith.

24 25	members for the debts, obligations, or other liabilities of the association.
23	of its powers or management of its activities is not a ground for imposing liability on the
22	(c) The failure of the association to observe particular formalities relating to the exercise
21	nonprofit association and a corporation.
20	shareholders of a corporation, taking into account differences in form between an unincorporated
19	liability of the association under common law principles governing alter ego liability of
18	(b) A member of the association may be subject to liability for a debt, obligation, or
17	acting as a manager.
16	manager solely by reason of the member [being or] acting as a member or manager [being or]
15	(2) do not become the debts, obligations, or other liabilities of a member or
14	(1) are solely the debts, obligations, or other liabilities of the association; and
13	whether arising in contract, tort, or otherwise:
12	(a) The debts, obligations, or other liabilities of an unincorporated nonprofit association,
11	SECTION 6 7. LIABILITY.
9 10	Note: Comment from the Floor: Delete subsection (c)(2)?
8	
6 7	would be a nullity. See Boyer, Hovenkamp, and Kurtz, <i>THE LAW OF PROPERTY</i> , An Introductory Survey (West Pub. Co. 4th ed. 1991).
4 5	the statement, deed, or both are forgeries, the effect of them is not governed by Section 5(g). Instead, Section 2 applies and would invoke the other law of the State. In many States the deed
3	including those who acquire a security interest in the real property. If the required signatures on

#### SECTION 7 8. LIABILITY IN TORT AND CONTRACT.

2 (a) A An unincorporated nonprofit association is a legal entity separate from its members 3 for the purposes of determining and enforcing rights, duties, and liabilities in contract and tort. 4 (b) A person is not liable for breach of a an unincorporated nonprofit association's 5 contract merely because the person is a member, is authorized to participate in the management 6 of the affairs of the nonprofit association, or a manager of the association, or a person considered 7 a member by the nonprofit association. 8 (c) A person is not liable for a tortious act or omission for which a nonprofit an 9 association is liable merely because the person is a member, is authorized to participate in the 10 management of the affairs of the nonprofit association, or is a manager of the association, or 11 person considered as a member by the nonprofit association. 12 (d) A tortious act or omission of a member or other person for which an unincorporated 13 nonprofit association is liable is not imputed to a person merely because the person is a member 14 of the nonprofit association, is authorized to participate in the management of the affairs of the 15 nonprofit association, a manager of the association, or a person considered as a member by the 16 nonprofit association. 17 (e) A member of, or a person considered to be a member by, a an unincorporated 18 nonprofit association may assert a claim against the nonprofit association. A nonprofit An 19 association may assert a claim against a member or a person considered to be a member by the 20 nonprofit association. 21 Comment 22

At common law a nonprofit association was not a legal entity separate from its
 members. Borrowing from the law of partnership, the common law viewed a nonprofit
 association as an aggregate of its members. The members are co-principals. Subsection (a)
 changes that. It makes a nonprofit association a legal entity separate from its members for

1 purposes of contract and tort.

3

4

5

6

11

35

2. This Act does not deal with liability of members or other persons acting for a nonprofit association for their own conduct. With respect to contract and tort Section 6 leaves that to the other law of the jurisdiction enacting this Act.

3. Subsections (b) through (e) are applications to common cases of the basic principle in
subsection (a). Because a nonprofit association is made a separate legal entity, its members are
not co-principals. Consequently they are not liable on contracts or for torts for which the
association is liable. Subsection (b) specifies that result with respect to contracts.

4. Subsection (b) applies the principle in subsection (a) to relieve members and others
 from vicarious liability for the contracts of a nonprofit association.

5. Subsections (a) and (b) eliminate a risk that existed under common law. An agent
makes an implied warranty of authority to the other contracting party. If the purported principal
does not exist, the agent obviously breaches the warranty. Because an unincorporated nonprofit
association was not a legal entity; one purporting to act for it breached this implied warranty. *Smith & Edwards v. Golden Spike Little League*, 577 P. 2d 132, 134 (Utah 1978). Subsection (b)
treats a nonprofit association as a legal entity; therefore, an agent who acts for it within her
authority does not breach the warranty.

6. **"Merely"** because a person is a member does not make the person liable on an association's contract. This formulation means that there are special circumstances that may result in liability. For example, a member may expressly become a party to a contract with the nonprofit association. Subsection (b) relieves members only of their vicarious liability. Liability for one's own conduct is left to the other law of the jurisdiction.

An agent with authority from a nonprofit association who negotiates a contract without disclosing the agent's representative status is liable on the contract. Under agency law an agent acting within the agent's scope of authority for an undisclosed or partially disclosed principal is personally liable on the contract along with the principal, unless the other contracting party agrees not to hold the agent liable. *Restatement (Second) Of Agency* 320-322; Reuschlein and Gregory, *Agency & Partnership* 161-163 (West 2d ed. 1990).

36 Courts have pierced the corporate veil of nonprofit corporations. Comment, *Piercing the* 37 Nonprofit Corporation Veil, 66 Marq. L. Rev. 134 (1984). Section 6 makes a nonprofit 38 association a legal entity for these purposes. Therefore, as a matter of its other law a jurisdiction 39 enacting this Act may appropriately apply this doctrine to a nonprofit association. In *Macaluso* 40 v. Jenkins, 95 Ill. App. 3d 461, 420 N.E.2d 251 (1981), the president of a nonprofit corporation 41 was found to have so commingled its funds and assets with his own and those of a business 42 corporation he controlled and have treated them as his own for his benefit that the corporate veil 43 must be pierced to promote justice. He was found liable for a debt contracted in the name of the 44 nonprofit corporation. See also Harry G. Henn & John R. Alexander, Law of Corporations, pp 45 344-352 (West 3d ed. 1983); Alfred F. Conard, Corporations in Perspective, pp 424-433

46 (Foundation Press, 1976).

1 2 7. An example of a partial statutory solution of members' liability for contracts of a 3 nonprofit association is California Corporations Code, Title 3, Nonprofit Associations, Section 4 21100 (West 1991). It relieves members from liability for "debts or liabilities contracted or 5 incurred by the association in the acquisition of lands or leases or the purchase, leasing, 6 designing, planning, architectural supervision, erection, contraction, repair, or furnishing of 7 buildings or other structures, to be used for purposes of the association." As noted earlier, partial 8 and uncoordinated statutory solutions of common law problems are typical. 9

8. Subsection (c) applies the principle in subsection (a) to relieve members and others
from liability for torts for which the nonprofit association is liable. Inasmuch as Section 6
provides that a member is not a co-principal, the member cannot be considered to be an
employer of the employee who committed the tort. Again, only relief from vicarious liability is
provided.

Liability of a member or other person who acts for the nonprofit association is governed
 by other law of the jurisdiction. That an employer is liable for a tort committed by its employee
 does not excuse the employee.

9. The immunity from vicarious liability provided by subsections (b) and (c) does not
depend on the remedy sought. Whether it is for damages for breach of contract or tort, unjust
enrichment, or the like the immunity is provided.

24 10. Since the mid 1980's all States have enacted laws providing officers, board 25 members, and other volunteers some protection from liability for their own negligence. The 26 statutes vary greatly as to who is covered, for what conduct protection is given, and the 27 conditions imposed for the freedom from liability. Some apply only to nonprofit corporations. 28 State Liability Laws for Charitable Organizations and Volunteers (Nonprofit Risk Management 29 & Insurance Institute, 1990); Developments, Nonprofit Corporations, 105 Harv. L. Rev. 1578, 30 1685-1696 (1992). This means that members and volunteers involved with unincorporated 31 nonprofit associations do not obtain protection under those state statutes." 32

33 The 1987 Texas act, for example, relieves directors, officers, and other volunteers from 34 liability for simple negligence that causes death, damage, or injury if the volunteer acted in the 35 scope of her duties for a charitable organization exempt under Internal Revenue Code Section 501(c)(3) or (4). The act also limits the amounts that may be recovered from an employee or the 36 37 organization if the organization carries requisite liability insurance. The constitutionality of the 38 provision relieving volunteers from liability has been questioned under Article I, Section 13 of 39 the Texas Constitution – the Open Courts provision. Note, The Constitutionality of the 40 Charitable Immunity and Liability Act 1987, 40 Baylor L. Rev. 657 (1988). Some statutes 41 premise all relief upon the organization having specified liability insurance. 42

43 Section 6 does not affect these statutes. As noted earlier Section 6 deals only with
 44 vicarious liability. These statutes concern liability for one's own conduct.
 45

46

15

23

11. Although not a concern of Section 6, perhaps it should be noted that nonprofit

organizations have been held liable for tortious acts and omissions not only of employees but
also of members. In *Guyton v. Howard*, 525 So. 2d 918 (Fl. App. 1988) a nonprofit organization
was held liable for the negligence of members who acted for the organization in conducting an
initiation that resulted in injury.
12. Subsection (d) applies the principle in subsection (a) to reverse the common law rule
that the negligence of an employee of an association is imputed to its members. A member as

that the negligence of an employee of an association is imputed to its members. A member as co-principal was vicariously responsible for an employee's conduct within the scope of the employee's duties. Section 6, however, makes the nonprofit association a legal entity. Thus, a member is not a co-principal and the employee's negligence is not imputed to a member.

Because the employee's negligence is not imputed, the member's suit against the nonprofit association for negligence by the employee is not subject to the defense of contributory negligence.

Some courts treated large nonprofit associations as entities for some purposes and so did
not impute the negligence of an employee to a member. Therefore, a member could recover
from the association. *Marshall v. International Longshoreman's and Warehouseman's Union*,
57 Cal. 2d 781, 371 p. 2d 987 (1962); Judson A. Crane, *Liability of an Unincorporated Association for Tortious Injury to a Member*, 16 Vand L Rev 319, 323 (1963).

13. Subsection (e) applies the principle in subsection (a) to reverse the common law rule that a member may not sue the member's unincorporated nonprofit association. A member as co-principal is logically a defendant as well as a plaintiff in such an action. The logic is that one may not sue oneself.

Subsection (a) makes an unincorporated nonprofit a legal entity. Therefore, a member is
separate from the nonprofit association. There is thus no logical obstacle to either suing the
other. A nonprofit association may, for example, sue a member for delinquent dues. See, for
example, Section 6.13 ABA Nonprofit Corporation Act (1987).

32 14. The Texas Supreme Court recently overruled the common law rule and held that a 33 member may sue the unincorporated nonprofit association of which the person is a member. Cox 34 v. Thee Evergreen Church, 836 S.W.2d 167 (Tex. 1992). The court also overturned the Texas 35 common law rule that the negligence of an employee is imputed to a member. The court referred to a statute authorizing a nonprofit association to sue and be sued and other Texas statutes giving 36 37 entity status for limited purposes to unincorporated nonprofit associations. It did not, however, 38 rely on them in overturning the historic common law rule. It simply found the old rule not 39 suitable for present times. The court also followed recent developments in other courts. 40

15. Section 6 relieves from vicarious liability not only members but also certain others.
Persons who are "authorized to participate in the management of the affairs of the nonprofit association" are protected. Persons within this group – largely directors and officers, however denominated – are likely also to be members as defined in Section 1(1), and protected as such. If they are not members (i.e. not co-principals) they should not be found liable at common law.
Section 6 extends protection to this group out of abundant caution. It is possible that a court

<ul> <li>liable. Section 6 prevents that somewhat remote possibility.</li> <li>ion 6 also extends protection to a person who is not within the definition of n Section 1(1) but is "considered to be a member by the nonprofit association." A in this clause is one who does not have the relationship to the nonprofit association permit a finding under the common law that the person is a co-principal. Also the ot a director, officer, or manager within the preceding phrase. That a person not wo preceding phrases but within the third phrase might be found vicariously liable remote. Nevertheless, Section 6 accords this person protection.</li> <li>noted earlier, Section 6 concerns vicarious liability only. Liability for one's own tovered by other law of the enacting jurisdiction.</li> <li>Subsections (a)-(d) – Principles #s 20-23; Subsection (e) – Principle #25. ciple #23 covered in Comment. Note: Comment from the Floor: Are subsections (d) necessary? Isn't Section 8 sufficient.</li> <li>CTION 8 2. CAPACITY TO ASSERT AND DEFEND; STANDING.</li> <li>A-An unincorporated nonprofit association, in its name, may institute or defend or</li> </ul>
n Section 1(1) but is "considered to be a member by the nonprofit association." A in this clause is one who does not have the relationship to the nonprofit association permit a finding under the common law that the person is a co-principal. Also the of a director, officer, or manager within the preceding phrase. That a person not wo preceding phrases but within the third phrase might be found vicariously liable remote. Nevertheless, Section 6 accords this person protection. Noted earlier, Section 6 concerns vicarious liability only. Liability for one's own covered by other law of the enacting jurisdiction. Subsections (a)-(d) – Principles #s 20-23; Subsection (e) – Principle #25. ciple #23 covered in Comment. Note: Comment from the Floor: Are subsections (d) necessary? Isn't Section 8 sufficient.
<ul> <li>covered by other law of the enacting jurisdiction.</li> <li>Subsections (a)-(d) – Principles #s 20-23; Subsection (e) – Principle #25.</li> <li>ciple #23 covered in Comment. Note: Comment from the Floor: Are subsections (d) necessary? Isn't Section 8 sufficient.</li> <li>CTION 8 2. CAPACITY TO ASSERT AND DEFEND; STANDING.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>ciple #23 covered in Comment. <u>Note: Comment from the Floor: Are subsections</u></li> <li>(d) necessary? Isn't Section 8 sufficient.</li> <li>CTION 8 <u>9</u>. CAPACITY TO ASSERT AND DEFEND; STANDING.</li> </ul>
_
A-An unincorporated nonprofit association, in its name, may institute or defend or
participate in a judicial, administrative, or other governmental proceeding or in an
mediation, or any other form of alternative dispute resolution.
An unincorporated nonprofit association may assert a claim in its name on behalf of
s if one or more members of the nonprofit association have standing to assert a claim
right, the interests the nonprofit association seeks to protect are germane to its
nd neither the claim asserted nor the relief requested requires the participation of a
<b>Comment</b> Subsection (a) broadly recognizes the right of a nonprofit association to participate as judicial, administrative, and governmental proceedings, and in arbitration and in behalf of it and its members. It may sue and be sued. Many States have enacted nting unincorporated associations these rights. Many have rejected the argument that hade an unincorporated nonprofit association a separate legal entity for other

1	2. Ohio Rev. Code Ann. Section 1745.01 (Baldwin 1991) provides that an
2	unincorporated association may "sue or be sued as an entity under the name by which it is
3	commonly known and called." This formulation has an element that subsection (a) does not
4	have – a description of the association name to be used. Maryland requires that the
5	unincorporated association have a "group name." Md. Estates & Trust Code Ann. Section 6-
6	406(a) - (1991). As some of the informal nonprofit associations may not have fixed on a name
7	but need the benefit of the rule, subsection (a) does not require that it have a name.
8	
9	3. Subsection (b) describes an association's standing to represent the interests of its
10	members in the proceeding. It is the federal standing rule. Hunt v. Washington Apple
11	Advertising Comm, 432 U.S. 333, 343, 97 S. Ct. 2434, 53, L. Ed. 2d 383 (1977). A nonprofit
12	association must meet the three requirements only if it seeks to represent the interest of its
13	members. If the suit concerns only the nonprofit association's interests, subsection (b) does not
14	apply.
15	"PP-J-
16	4. If participation of individual members is required, the nonprofit association does not
17	have standing. If the injury for which a claim is made or the remedy sought is different for
18	different members, their participation through testimony and presenting other evidence is
19	required. The typical case in which a nonprofit association has standing is where it seeks only a
20	declaration, injunction, or some form of prospective relief for injury to its members. <i>Warth v.</i>
21	Seldin, 422 U.S. 490, 515, 95 S. Ct. 2197, 45 L. Ed. 2d 343 (1975).
22	<i>benum</i> , 422 0.5. 490, 515, 95 5. Ct. 2197, 45 E. Ed. 2d 545 (1975).
23	5. Subsection (b) does not require the nonprofit association to show that it suffered harm
23	or has some interest to protect to have standing to represent the interests of its members. <i>Warth</i>
25	<i>v. Seldin</i> , 422 U.S. 490, 511 95 S. Ct. 2197, 45 L. Ed. 2d 343 (1975). Some States require an
25 26	association to have an interest to protect which is separate from that of its members. One court
20 27	found that the probable loss of members if it did not take action on their behalf was a sufficient
28	interest to protect to give it standing to represent its members. This approach certainly
28 29	diminishes greatly the burden of satisfying the requirement. States have further modified the old
29 30	standing rule. Recently many States have adopted the three-pronged federal rule, which is the
	rule in subsection (b).
31	Tute in subsection (b).
32	This spation does not as state miles of isinder because they will be servered by the
33	This section does not re-state rules of joinder because they will be governed by the
34 25	jurisdiction's other law.
35	Derivation, Subsection (a) Dringing #12 Note: Comment from the Electric Is the last physics in
36	Derivation: Subsection (a) – Principle #13. <u>Note: Comment from the Floor: Is the last phrase in</u>
37	subsection (b) too broad and will unnecessarily foster litigation over its scope?
38	
39	SECTION 9 10. EFFECT OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER. A judgment or order
07	
40	against a nonprofit association is not, by itself, a judgment or order against a member or a person
41	authorized to participate in the management of the affairs of the nonprofit association manager.
42	Comment

1	
2	1. This section is consistent with Restatement (Second) of Judgments, Section 61(2),
3	which provides: "If under applicable law an unincorporated association is treated as a jural entity
4	distinct from its members, a judgment for or against the association has the same effects with
5	respect to the association and its members as a judgment for or against a corporation
6	
7	2. Section 9 applies not only to judgment but also to orders, such as an award rendered in
8	arbitration or an injunction.
9	
10	3. Section 9 reverses the common law rule. Under the common law's aggregate view of
11	an unincorporated association, members, as co-principals, were individually liable for
12	obligations of the association.
13	
14	4. Some States changed the common law rule by statute. Ohio, for example, provides
15	that the property of an unincorporated association is subject to judgment, execution, and other
16	process and that a money judgment against the association may be "enforced only against the
17	association as an entity" and not "against a member." Ohio Rev. Code Ann., Section 1745.02
18	(Baldwin 1991).
19	
20	5. That a judgment against a nonprofit association is also not a judgment against one
21	authorized to manage the affairs of the association recognizes fully the entity status of a
22	nonprofit association.
23	
24	6. An obvious corollary of this section is that a judgment against a nonprofit association
25 26	may not be satisfied against a member unless there is also a judgment against the member.
26	Derivation, Principles #6 16 and 10
27 28	Derivation: Principles #s 16 and 19.
20	
29	SECTION 9. DISPOSITION OF PERSONAL PROPERTY OF INACTIVE
30	NONPROFIT ASSOCIATION. If a nonprofit association has been inactive for [three] years,
31	or for a longer or shorter period specified in a document of the association, a person in
32	possession or control of personal property of the association may transfer custody of the
33	property:
34	(1) if a document of a nonprofit association specifies a person to whom transfer is to be
35	made under these circumstances, to that person; or
36	(2) if no person is so specified, to a nonprofit association or nonprofit corporation
37	pursuing broadly similar purposes, or to a government or governmental subdivision, agency, or

1 instrumentality.

2	Comment
3	
4 5	1. Section 9 is not a dissolution rule. An inactive nonprofit association may not be one that has dissolved. It may have just stopped functioning and have taken no formal steps to
6	dissolve. It might possibly be revived.
7	dissolve. It hight possibly be levived.
8	Section 9 gives a person in possession or control of personal property of a nonprofit
9	association an opportunity to be relieved of responsibility for it. Compliance with the section
10	provides a safe harbor.
11	r
12	2. "Inactive" is not defined. A nonprofit association that has accomplished its purpose,
13	such as seeking approval in a school bond election, is very likely inactive. A nonprofit
14	association that has stopped pursuing its purposes, collecting dues, holding elections of officers
15	and board members, and conducting meetings, and has no employees would seem to be inactive.
16	
17	"Inactive" does not describe a nonprofit association whose sole purpose is to act should a
18	specific problem arise. That there has been no activity because the problem has not arisen does
19	not make the standby organization "inactive."
20	
21	A three year period of inactivity is suggested. It is unlikely that a nonprofit association
22	that has been inactive for that period will begin functioning again. Thus, it is prudent to transfer
23	custody of its assets to someone likely to make appropriate use of them. While it is unlikely that
24	a nonprofit association would deal with this issue, if its document does provide a shorter or
25	longer period, that period governs.
26	
27	3. Section 9 applies only to personal property – tangible and intangible. Unclaimed
28	property acts also apply to both kinds of personal property. All States have some form of
29	unclaimed property act. Therefore, the relationship of these acts to this Act must be examined.
30	The Uniform Unclaimed Property Act (1995) applies to certain intangible and tangible personal
31	property. If the property has been unclaimed by the owner for five or more years it is presumed
32	abandoned. Intangible property, such as checking and savings accounts and uncollected
33	dividends, is the main concern of these Acts. The obligor, such as a bank or other financial
34	institution and corporation, is directed to report and turn over the property to the state
35	administrator.
36	
37	The only tangible personal property to which the Uniform Unclaimed Property Act
38	(1995) applies, according to Section 3, is that in "a safe deposit box or any other safekeeping
39	repository." Many States have additional statutes that apply to property abandoned in airport,
40	bus, and railroad lockers and the like. Tangible personal property of an inactive nonprofit
41	association in the control or possession of a member or other person is not likely to be in these
42	places. Therefore, overlap of this Act with the other state acts with respect to tangible personal
43	property is likely to be very limited.
44	
45	Property of an inactive nonprofit association is likely to be in the possession or control of

a former member, board member, officer, or employee. Especially with respect to intangible 1 2 property, their relation to the property is unlike that of those regulated by the unclaimed property 3 acts. They are custodians or fiduciaries and not obligors. Those upon whom duties are imposed 4 by the unclaimed property acts are obligors on such intangible property as bank accounts, money 5 orders, life insurance policies, and utility deposits. The person acting under Section 9 is very 6 unlikely to be in the position of an obligor on such intangible property. In summary, there 7 appears to be limited overlap. 8 9 Other special statutes may apply, such as laws governing unexpended campaign funds. 10 Texas, for example, permits a person to retain political contributions for six years after the 11 person is no longer an office holder or candidate. It gives the person six choices of transferees, 12 including a "recognized tax exempt charitable organization formed for educational, religious or scientific purposes." Tex. Code Ann. Elections Section 251.012(d) and (e) (Vernon's 1986). 13 14 Minnesota provides that if an unincorporated religious society "ceases to exist or to maintain its 15 organization" title to its real and personal property vests in the "next higher governing or 16 supervisory" body of the same denomination. Minn. Stat. Ann. Section 315.37 (West 1992). 17 18 4. It is the custody of and not the title to the property that is transferred. To whatever 19 purpose the property was dedicated while in the hands of the transferor, it remains so dedicated 20 in the hands of the transferee. Identification of the persons to whom the property may be 21 transferred and cy pres principles recognize that the purpose to which the transferee may put the 22 property need not be precisely that to which it was initially dedicated. For example, the initial 23 purpose may no longer be viable. 24 25 5. Section 9 does not address what should be done with real property of an inactive nonprofit association. This seems justified. A nonprofit association owning real property of 26 27 significant value is unlikely to become inactive. In the rare case that it does, the assistance of a 28 court may be obtained in making appropriate disposition of the real property, primarily to ensure 29 good title. 30 31 6. To obtain a Section 501(c)(3) tax classification as a nonprofit association an 32 association must specify a distribution of assets on dissolution that satisfies the Internal Revenue 33 Code. To avoid the interpretation that Section 9 might be construed to override an approved 34 distribution provision in an association's governing document the primacy of that distribution 35 provision is expressly recognized in paragraph (1). 36 37 7. If there is no bylaw or other controlling document the person may transfer the custody 38 of the personal property to another nonprofit organization or a government or governmental 39 entity. The nonprofit organization need not have the same nonprofit purpose as the inactive one. 40 It is enough that the transferee's purpose is "broadly similar." This requirement should not be 41 construed narrowly. Otherwise, the risk of potential litigation over the transferor's choice will 42 frustrate the section's purpose to provide a safe harbor. 43 44 There is no limitation with respect to the choice of a government or governmental entity. 45 46 8. Inasmuch as the transfer is made without consideration and the association almost

$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\end{array} $	certainly rendered insolvent, creditors of a nonprofit association would be protected by the Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act Sections 4(a) and 5 and similar statutes. Whether they would also be protected if the transfer is made to the administrator of an unclaimed property statute depends on the terms of a jurisdiction's act. Uniform Unclaimed Property Act (1981) Sections 20 and 24 contemplate that a creditor may proceed against property in the hands of the administrator if the creditor claims an interest in the property, such as a security interest or judgment lien. It is less clear that Section 15 of the 1995 Act recognizes this action. However, a general creditor without some claim against the property would not be protected. It is unlikely that an inactive nonprofit association would have both unpaid creditors and a significant amount of property. Therefore, the two issues discussed above are unlikely to arise. 9. The person in possession or control is not required to give notice of the proposed transfer to anyone. An examination of to whom notice might reasonably be given reveals the difficulty with such a requirement. Almost by definition an inactive nonprofit association has no current members.
17	SECTION 10 11. APPOINTMENT OF AGENT TO RECEIVE SERVICE OF
18	PROCESS.
19	(a) A An unincorporated nonprofit association may file in the office of the [Secretary of
20	State] a statement appointing an agent authorized to receive service of process.
21	(b) A statement appointing an agent must set forth:
22	(1) the name of the nonprofit association;
23	(2) the federal tax identification number, if any, of the nonprofit association;
24	(3) the address in this State state, including the street address, if any, of the
25	association, or, if the nonprofit association does not have an address in this State state, its address
26	out of State state; and
27	(4) the name of the person in this State state authorized to receive service of
28	process and the person's address, including the street address, in this State state.
29	(c) A statement appointing an agent must be signed and [acknowledged] [sworn to] by a
30	person authorized to manage the affairs of the unincorporated nonprofit association. The
31	statement must also be signed and acknowledged by the person appointed agent, who thereby

1	accepts the appointment. The appointed agent may resign by filing a resignation in the office of
2	the [Secretary of State] and giving notice to the nonprofit association.
3	(d) A filing officer The [Secretary of State] may collect a fee for filing a statement
4	appointing an agent to receive service of process, an amendment, a cancellation, or a resignation
5	in the amount charged for filing similar documents.
6	(e) An amendment to or cancellation of a statement appointing an agent to receive service
7	of process must meet the requirements for execution of an original statement.
8 9	Comment
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1. This section authorizes but does not require a nonprofit association to file a statement authorizing an agent to receive service of process. It is, of course, not the equivalent of filing articles of incorporation. However, some nonprofit associations may find it prudent to file. Filing may assure that the nonprofit association's leadership gets prompt notice of any lawsuit filed against it. Also, depending upon the jurisdiction's other laws, filing gives some public notice of the nonprofit association's existence and address.
17 18 19	2. Central filing with a state official is provided. This is where parties will seek information of this kind and where this is commonly publicly filed.
20 21 22 23	3. The format of this section is very much like Section 5, which concerns a statement of authority with respect to property. Because one requires local and other central filing they are not combined.
24 25 26	Note: Comment from the Floor: Do we need to add a section specifically authorizing the collection of fees?
27	SECTION 11 12 . CLAIM NOT ABATED BY CHANGE. A [claim for relief] against
28	a an unincorporated nonprofit association does not abate merely because of a change in its
29	members or persons authorized to manage the affairs of the nonprofit association managers.
30 31	Comment
32 33 34 35	This provision reverses the common law rule of partnerships, which courts often extended to unincorporated nonprofit associations. Uniform Partnership Act (1994) Sections 29 and 31(4). This Act's entity approach requires this change of the old common law rule. Similar provisions are found in many state statutes. See, for example, Ohio Rev. Code Ann.,

Corporations, Section 1745.04 (Baldwin 1991); Md. Ann. Code art. 6-406(a)(2); and 12 Vt. Stat. 1 2 Ann. Section 815 (Equity Pub. 1973). Uniform Partnership Act (1994) adopts an entity approach 3 and so changes the old rule. See Sections 603(a) 701, and 801 of 1994 Act. 4 5 Derivation: Principle #14. 6 7 [SECTION 12 13. VENUE. For purposes of venue, a an unincorporated nonprofit 8 association is a resident of the [city or] county in which it has an office.][; or if it does not have 9 an office in the [city or county] where it has its principal place of business.] 10 Comment 11 12 1. Venue, unlike service of process, is treated by statute. See for example Mont. Code 13 Ann. Section 25-2-118(1) (1991); 28 USCA 1391. A criterion used by all States for fixing venue 14 is the county of residence of the defendant. Most States specify as many as eight additional 15 grounds for venue, including the county in which the real estate that is the subject of the suit is situated and the county in which the act causing, in whole or in part, the personal injury or other 16 17 tort occurred. None of these additional criteria present a special problem with respect to an 18 unincorporated nonprofit association. 19 20 2. If an aggregate view of a nonprofit association were taken, the association is resident 21 in any county in which a member resides. See Wright, Miller, & Cooper, 15 Federal Procedure 22 & Practice 3812 (1986). Conforming to the entity view of an association, Section 12 rejects the 23 common law view. 24 25 This section is bracketed because some States have already satisfactorily solved this 26 problem. 27 28 States have by statute modified the common law rule. Illinois, for example, provides that 29 "a voluntary unincorporated association sued in its own name is a resident of any county in 30 which it has an office or if on due inquiry no office can be found, in which any officer resides." 31 Ill. Code Civ. Prac. Section 2-102(c). 32 33 3. Section 12 makes a nonprofit association a resident of any county (or city) in which it 34 has an office. If it has an office in five counties, for example, it may be sued in any of the five 35 counties. 36 37 4. "City," in brackets, is for use by those States, such as Virginia, in which there is 38 territory that is not in a county but in a city only. 39 40 Derivation: Principle #17. 41

1	[SECTION 13 14. SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT; SERVICE ON WHOM. In an
2	action or proceeding against a an unincorporated nonprofit association, a summons and
3	complaint must be served on an agent authorized by appointment to receive service of process,
4	an officer, <u>a</u> managing or general agent, or a person authorized to participate in the management
5	of its affairs. If none of them can be served, service may be made on a <u>any</u> member.]
6 7	Comment
8 9	1. In most States the law with respect to service of process is in court rules. Where that is the case, this section, if adopted, should be placed in these rules.
10 11 12 13 14	2. Some States have expressly addressed service of process on a nonprofit association. Those States may wish to continue their rules and so should not adopt this section. For this reason this section is bracketed.
14 15 16 17 18 19	Section 13 adapts Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to this setting. However, it leaves to other applicable law details concerning service, such as who may make service and the kind of the mailing. It specifies only to or on whom the service of process must be addressed.
20 21 22 23	By rule or statute all jurisdictions have extensive law on service of process. The real question for nonprofit associations is which set of these rules should apply. This Act treats a nonprofit unincorporated association as a legal entity. Thus, the rules applicable to another legal entity, the corporation, seem most appropriate.
24 25 26	Derivation: Principle #17.
27	SECTION 15. MEMBER AS MEMBER HAS NO AGENCY POWER.
28	(a) A member of an unincorporated nonprofit association is not an agent of the
29	association solely by reason of being a member.
30	(b) A person's status as a member of an unincorporated nonprofit association does not
31	prevent or restrict law other than this [act] from imposing liability on the association because of
32	the person's conduct.
33 34	Comment

1 Derivation: Principle #27 and ULLCA (2006) Section 301. <u>Notes: Comments from the Floor:</u>

- 2 (1) possibly move to Sections 7 or 8; (2) the distinctions between member, manager and agent
   3 are not as clear as they should be.
- 4

# 5 SECTION 16. MEMBERS' RIGHTS.

- 6 (a) Except as otherwise provided in its governing principles of an unincorporated
- 7 <u>nonprofit association, the members of the association have the right to:</u>
- 8 (1) admit, suspend, dismiss, or expel members;
- 9 (2) select and dismiss managers;
- 10 (3) adopt and amend governing principles;
- 11 (4) sell, lease, exchange, or otherwise dispose of all, or substantially all of the

12 association's property, outside the ordinary course of its activities;

- 13 (5) approve a merger or conversion under [Section 28],
- 14 (6) undertake any other act outside the ordinary course of the association's
- 15 <u>activities;</u>
- 16 (7) determine the policy and purposes of the association; and
- 17 (8) do any other act or right requiring action by members permitted by the
- 18 association's governing principles.
- 19 (b) All matters reserved for the members' decision under subsection (a) are decided by a
- 20 majority vote of the members, unless the association's governing principles provide otherwise.
  - Comment
- 22
  23 Derivation: Principle #26. Note: Comment from the Floor: Do we need to add specific
  24 subsections authorizing the members to appoint agents other than managers and to file a
  25 statement of authority?
- 26

21

27 <u>SECTION 17. ADMISSION, SUSPENSION, DISMISSAL, OR EXPULSION OF</u>

# 1 MEMBERS.

2	(a) A person becomes a member of an unincorporated nonprofit association and may be
3	suspended, dismissed, or expelled in accordance with the association's governing principles. In
4	the absence of applicable governing principles, a person can become a member or be suspended,
5	dismissed or expelled from an unincorporated nonprofit association by a majority vote of its
6	members. A person may not be admitted as a member without the person's consent.
7	(b) The suspension, dismissal, or expulsion of a member does not relieve the member
8	from any unpaid capital contribution, dues, assessments, fees, or other obligation incurred or
9	commitment made by the member before the suspension, dismissal or expulsion.
10	Comment
11 12 13 14	Derivation: Principle #35. <u>Note: Comment from the Floor: Add after consent on line 26</u> <u>"express or implied."</u>
15	SECTION 18. MEMBER'S RESIGNATION.
16	(a) A member may resign from membership in an unincorporated nonprofit association in
17	accordance with the association's governing principles. In the absence of applicable governing
18	principles, a member may resign at any time.
19	(b) Resignation of a member does not relieve the member from any unpaid capital
20	contribution, dues, assessments, fees, or other obligation incurred or commitment made by the
21	member before resignation.
22 23 24 25	Comment Derivation: Principle #36.
26	SECTION 19. TRANSFER OF MEMBERSHIP INTEREST PROHIBITED.
27	Except as otherwise provided in the unincorporated nonprofit association's governing principles,

1	a member may not transfer the member's membership interest or any right thereunder to another
2	person.
3 4	Comment
5 6	Derivation: Principle #37.
7	SECTION 20. SELECTION OF MANAGERS; MANAGEMENT RIGHTS OF
8	MANAGERS.
9	(a) The members of an unincorporated nonprofit association may select the association's
10	managers in accordance with Section [16]. [A manager may, but does not have to be a member
11	of the association.] If no managers are selected, all the members are the managers.
12	(b) Except as otherwise provided in this [act] or the association's governing principles,
13	(1) each manager has equal rights in the management and conduct of the
14	association's activities;
15	(2) all matters relating to the association's activities are decided by its managers;
16	and
17	(3) a difference among managers is decided by a majority of the managers.
18 19	Comment
20 21	Derivation: Principles #s 28 and 29.
22	SECTION 21. DUTIES OF MANAGERS.
23	(a) A manager of an unincorporated nonprofit association shall perform the management
24	responsibilities of the association in good faith, in a manner the manager [reasonably] believes to
25	be in the best interests of the association, and with such care, including reasonable inquiry, as an
26	ordinarily prudent person would reasonably exercise in a like position and under similar

1	circumstances. In discharging these duties, a manager may rely in good faith upon opinions,
2	reports, statements, or other information provided by another person that the manager reasonably
3	believes is a competent and reliable source for the information.
4	(b) A manager who makes a business judgment in good faith satisfies the duties specified
5	in subsection (a) if the manager:
6	(1) is not interested, directly or indirectly, in the subject of the business judgment
7	and is otherwise able to exercise independent judgment;
8	(2) is informed with respect to the subject of the business judgment to the extent
9	the manger reasonably believes to be appropriate under the circumstances; and
10	(3) reasonably believes that the business judgment is in the best interests of the
11	unincorporated nonprofit association in the light of its stated purposes.
12	Comment
13 14 15 16 17	<b>Note:</b> This section as presently worded does not cover limitations on liability, <i>e.g.</i> , elimination of monetary damages or reduction of the duties of loyalty and due care that seem to be contemplated by Principle #33.
17 18 19	Derivation: Principles #s 31 and 33.
20	SECTION 22. NOTICE AND QUORUM REQUIREMENTS. Notice and quorum
21	requirements for meetings or members and managers are determined by the unincorporated
22	nonprofit association's governing principles.
23	Comment
24 25 26	Derivation: Principle #30.
27	SECTION 23. RIGHT OF MEMBERS AND MANAGERS TO INFORMATION.
28	(a) On reasonable notice, a member or manager of an unincorporated nonprofit

1	association may inspect and copy during [the association's] regular business hours, at a
2	reasonable location specified by the association, any record maintained by the association
3	regarding its activities, financial condition, and other circumstances, to the extent the information
4	is material to the member's or manager's rights and duties under the association's governing
5	principles of this [act].
6	(b) An unincorporated nonprofit association shall furnish each member or manager:
7	(1) without demand, any information concerning the association's activities,
8	financial condition, and other circumstances which the association knows and is material to the
9	proper exercise of the member's or manager's rights and duties under the association's
10	governing principles; and
11	(2) on demand, any other information concerning the association's activities,
12	financial condition, and any other circumstances that are material to the proper exercise of the
13	member's or manager's right and duties under the association's governing principles, except to
14	the extent the demand or information demanded is unreasonable or otherwise improper under the
15	circumstances.
16	(c) An association may impose reasonable restrictions on access to and use of
17	information to be furnished under this section, including designating the information confidential
18	and imposing nondisclosure and safeguarding obligations on the recipient.
19 20	Comment
21 22 23 24 25	Derivation: Principle #32 and ULLCA (2006) Section 410. <u>Note: Comments from the Floor:</u> (1) shouldn't we distinguish between information rights of members and managers (see ULLCA § 410)?; (2) shouldn't we add a specific subsection dealing with the costs of providing information?

## 26 SECTION 24. DISTRIBUTIONS PROHIBITED; COMPENSATION AND

## **OTHER PERMITTED PAYMENTS.**

2	(a) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (b), an unincorporated nonprofit
3	association may not pay dividends or distribute any part of its income or profits to its members
4	or managers.
5	(b) An unincorporated nonprofit association may:
6	(1) pay reasonable compensation or reimburse reasonable expenses to its
7	members or managers for services rendered;
8	(2) confer benefits on or make contributions to its members or managers in
9	conformity with its nonprofit purposes;
10	(3) repurchase its memberships and repay any capital contributions made by its
11	members to the extent authorized by its governing principles; and
12	(4) make distributions of property to members upon winding up and termination
13	as permitted by Section 27.
14 15	Comment
15 16	
17	Derivation: Principle #5 and Sections 6.40 and 6.41 of the Proposed Model Nonprofit Corporation Act-Third Edition (February 2006 Exposure Draft).
17 18	Corporation Act-Third Edition (February 2006 Exposure Draft).
17	1 1
17 18	Corporation Act-Third Edition (February 2006 Exposure Draft).
17 18 19	Corporation Act-Third Edition (February 2006 Exposure Draft).
17 18 19 20	Corporation Act-Third Edition (February 2006 Exposure Draft). <u>SECTION 25. INDEMNIFICATION AND ADVANCEMENT OF EXPENSES.</u> (a) An unincorporated nonprofit association shall reimburse a member or manager for
17 18 19 20 21	Corporation Act-Third Edition (February 2006 Exposure Draft). <u>SECTION 25. INDEMNIFICATION AND ADVANCEMENT OF EXPENSES.</u> (a) An unincorporated nonprofit association shall reimburse a member or manager for any payment made and indemnify a member or manager for any debt, obligation, or other
17 18 19 20 21 22	Corporation Act-Third Edition (February 2006 Exposure Draft). <u>SECTION 25. INDEMNIFICATION AND ADVANCEMENT OF EXPENSES.</u> (a) An unincorporated nonprofit association shall reimburse a member or manager for any payment made and indemnify a member or manager for any debt, obligation, or other liability incurred in the course of the member or manager's activities on behalf of the association.

1	malfeasance or misfeasance in conducting the affairs of an unincorporated nonprofit association,
2	that person is entitled, upon written request to the association, to payment of or reimbursement
3	by the association, of reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees and disbursements, incurred
4	by that person in advance of the final disposition of the proceeding. To be entitled to these
5	payments or advances, the person making the request must make a written affirmation that the
6	person has a good faith belief that the criteria for indemnification in subsection (a) have been
7	satisfied and that the person will repay the amounts paid or reimbursed if it is determined that the
8	criteria for reimbursement have not been satisfied.
9	Comment
10 11 12 13 14	Derivation: Principle #34. <u>Note: Comments from the Floor: Do we need to have a statute</u> stating that the association's governing principles may have a broader indemnification right and also may purchase indemnification insurance?
15	SECTION 26. DISSOLUTION.
16	(a) An unincorporated nonprofit association may be dissolved by any of the following
17	methods:
18	(1) if the governing principles of the association provide a method for dissolution,
19	by that method:
20	(2) if the governing principles of the association do not provide a method for
21	dissolution, by the affirmative vote of a majority of the members;
22	(3) if the association's operations have been discontinued for at least three years,
23	by the managers or, if the unincorporated nonprofit association has no incumbent managers, by
24	its last preceding incumbent managers; or
25	(4) by court order.
26	Comment

1 2 3 4	The vote required for dissolution would be a majority vote of the members, unless the governing principles require a higher vote. <i>See</i> Principle #26. Subsections (c) and (d) are only applicable if the unincorporated nonprofit association is inactive.
5 6 7 8 9	Derivation: Principle #38, Calif. Corp. Code § 18410. <u>Note: Comment from the Floor: Do we</u> <u>need to have a subsection stating that an association is dissolved if its purposes have been</u> <u>accomplished?</u>
10	SECTION 27. WINDING UP AND TERMINATION.
11	(a) Winding up and termination of an unincorporated nonprofit association must proceed
12	<u>as follows:</u>
13	(1) All known debts and liabilities must be paid or adequately provided for.
14	(2) Any assets subject to a condition requiring return to the person designated by
15	the donor must be transferred to that person.
16	(3) Any assets subject to a trust, such as endowment or restricted gifts, must be
17	distributed in accordance with the trust agreement.
18	(4) Any remaining assets must be distributed as follows:
19	(A) as required by law other than this [act] that requires assets of an
20	unincorporated nonprofit association to be distributed to another [nontaxable] unincorporated
21	nonprofit association with similar purposes;
22	(B) in accordance with the unincorporated nonprofit association's
23	governing principles; and in the absence of applicable governing principles [or law other than
24	this act], to the current members of the association per capita or as the current members direct; or
25	(C) If neither subparagraph (A) or (B) applies, the net assets shall be
26	subject to the law of unclaimed property in the enacting jurisdiction.
27 28	Comment

Derivation: Principle #39.
 2

3	SECTION 28. MERGERS [AND CONVERSIONS].
4	(a) The following definitions govern the construction of this Section:
5	(1) "Constituent organization" means an organization that is merged with one or
6	more other organizations and includes the surviving organization.
7	(2) "Disappearing organization" means a constituent organization that is not the
8	surviving organization.
9	(3) "Organization" means a general partnership, including a limited liability
10	partnership, limited partnership, including a limited liability limited partnership, limited liability
11	company, business trust, corporation, or any other person having a governing statute. The term
12	includes a domestic or foreign organization regardless of whether organized for profit.
13	(4) "Record" means information that is inscribed on a tangible medium or that is
14	stored in an electronic or other medium and is retrievable in perceivable form.
15	(5) "Surviving organization" means an organization into which one or more other
16	organizations are merged.
17	(b) An unincorporated nonprofit association may merge with any organization that is
18	authorized by law to effect a merger with an unincorporated nonprofit association.
19	(c) A merger involving an unincorporated nonprofit association is subject to the
20	following requirements:
21	(1) Each of the constituent merging organizations complies with its governing
22	law.
23	(2) Each party to the merger shall approve a plan of merger. The agreement must
24	include the following provisions:

1	(A) The name and form of each organization that is a party to the merger;
2	(B) The name and form of the surviving organization and, if the surviving
3	organization is to be created by the merger, a statement to that effect;
4	(C) The terms and conditions of the merger, including the manner and
5	basis for converting the interests in each constituent organization into any combination of
6	money, interests in the surviving organization, and other consideration;
7	(D) If the surviving organization is to be created by the merger, the
8	surviving organization's organizational documents that are proposed to be in a record; and
9	(E) If the surviving organization is not to be created by the merger, any
10	amendments to be made by the merger to the surviving organization's organizational documents
11	that are, or are proposed to be, in a record.
12	(3) Except as otherwise provided in this [act] or a constituent association's
13	governing principles, the plan of merger must be approved by a majority of a constituent
14	association's managers and a majority of its members. If a member of an association that is a
15	party to a merger will have personal liability with respect to an obligation of a constituent or a
16	
10	surviving organization, the consent in a record of that member to the plan of merger must also be
17	surviving organization, the consent in a record of that member to the plan of merger must also be <u>obtained.</u>
17	obtained.
17 18	obtained. (4) Subject to the contractual rights of third parties, after a plan of merger is
17 18 19	obtained. (4) Subject to the contractual rights of third parties, after a plan of merger is approved and at any time before the merger is effective, a constituent organization may amend

1	(A) if a constituent entity is required to give notice to or obtain the
2	approval of a governmental agency or officer in order to be a party to a merger, the notice has
3	been given and the approval has been obtained; and
4	(B) if the surviving organization is an unincorporated nonprofit
5	association, as specified in the plan of merger and upon compliance by any constituent
6	organization that is not an association with any requirements, including any required filings in
7	the [office of the Secretary of State], of the organization's governing statute; or
8	(C) if the surviving organization is not an unincorporated nonprofit
9	association, as provided by the governing statute of the surviving organization.
10	(d) When a merger becomes effective:
11	(1) the surviving organization continues or comes into existence;
12	(2) each constituent organization that merges into the surviving organization
13	ceases to exist as a separate entity;
14	(3) all property owned by each constituent organization that ceases to exist vests
15	in the surviving organization;
16	(4) all debts, obligations, or other liabilities of each constituent organization that
17	ceases to exist continue as debts, obligations, or other liabilities of the surviving organization;
18	(5) an action or proceeding pending by or against any constituent organization
19	that ceases to exist may be continued as if the merger had not occurred;
20	(6) except as prohibited by other law, all of the rights, privileges, immunities,
21	powers, and purposes of each constituent organization that ceases to exist vest in the surviving
22	organization;

1	(7) except as otherwise provided in the plan of merger, the terms and conditions
2	of the plan of merger take effect;
3	(8) the merger does not affect the personal liability, if any, of a member or
4	manager of a constituent association for a debt, liability or obligation of the association incurred
5	before the merger is effective; and
6	(9) a surviving organization that is a foreign organization consents to the
7	jurisdiction of the courts of this state to enforce any debt, obligation, or other liability owed by a
8	constituent organization, if before the merger the constituent organization was subject to suit in
9	this state on the debt, obligation, or other liability. A surviving organization that is a foreign
10	organization and not authorized to transact business in this state appoints the [Secretary of State]
11	as its agent for service of process for the purposes of enforcing a debt, obligation, or other
12	liability under this subsection.
13	(e) Property held for a charitable purpose under the law of this state by a domestic or
14	foreign organization immediately before a merger under this Section becomes effective may not,
15	as a result of the merger, be diverted from the objects for which it was donated, granted, or
16	devised, unless, to the extent required by or pursuant to the law of this state concerning cy pres
17	or other law dealing with nondiversion of charitable assets, the entity obtains an appropriate
18	order of [name of court] [the attorney general] specifying the disposition of the property.
19	(f) A bequest, devise, gift, grant, or promise contained in a will or other instrument of
20	donation, subscription, or conveyance that is made to a disappearing entity and that takes effect
21	or remains payable after the merger inures to the benefit of the surviving entity. A trust
22	obligation that would govern property if transferred to the disappearing entity applies to property
23	that is instead transferred to the surviving entity under this Section.

1	Comment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Derivation: Principle #40. Note: ULLCA (2006) Sections 1001-09 can be used as a guide with the following modifications: (1) majority vs. unanimous vote for approval and (2) no filing required if all the entities involved are UNAs. <u>Note: Principle #40 calls for conversion as well as merger provisions</u> . Are conversion provisions really necessary? If so, they will be at least as lengthy as the merger provisions and the combined wording will be almost as long as all the other sections. A UNA can organize a new entity and merge into it, thereby achieving the same result as a conversion.
11	SECTION 14 29. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION.
12	This [Act] shall be applied and construed to effectuate its general purpose to make uniform the
13	law with respect to the subject of this [Act] among States enacting it. In applying and construing
14	this uniform act, consideration must be given to the need to promote uniformity of the law with
15	respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.
16	SECTION 15. SHORT TITLE. This [Act] may be cited as the Uniform
17	Unincorporated Nonprofit Association Act (1996).
18	SECTION 16. SEVERABILITY CLAUSE. If any provision of this [Act] or its
19	application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect any other
20	provisions or applications of this [Act] which can be given effect without the invalid provision or
21	application, and to this end the provisions of this [Act] are severable.
22	SECTION 30. RELATION TO ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES IN GLOBAL AND
23	NATIONAL COMMERCE ACT. This [act] modifies, limits, and supersedes the federal
24	Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 7001, et seq.,
25	but does not modify, limit, or supersede Section 101(c) of that act, 15 U.S.C. Section 7001(c), or
26	authorize electronic delivery of any of the notices described in Section 103(b) of that act, 15
27	<u>U.S.C. Section 7003(b).</u>

1	Note: Comment from the Floor: We need to include a comment setting forth the statutory
2	sections referred to.
3	SECTION 31. SAVINGS CLAUSE. This [act] does not affect an action or proceeding
4	commenced or right accrued before this [act] takes effect.
5	Comment
6	
7	1. Section 33 is adapted from Uniform Partnership Act (1994) Section 1006(c). It
8	continues the prior law after the effective date of this Act with respect to a (i) "right accrued" and
9	(ii) pending "action or proceeding." But for this section the new law of this Act would displace
10	the old in some circumstances. The power of a new act to displace the old statute with respect to
11	conduct occurring before the new act's enactment is substantial. Millard H. Ruud, The Savings
12	Clause – Some Problems in Construction and Drafting, 33 Tex. L. Rev. 285, 286-293 (1955). A
13	court generally applies the law that exists at the time it acts.
14	
15	2. Almost all States have general savings statutes, usually as a part of their statutory
16	construction acts. These are often very broad. See, for example, Model Statutory Construction
17	Act, Section 53. As this Act is remedial, the more limited savings provisions in Section 33 are
18	more appropriate than the broad savings provisions of the usual general savings clause. Section
19	33 and not a jurisdiction's general savings clause applies to the Act.
20	
21	3. "Right Accrued." It is not always clear whether an alleged right has "accrued." Some
22	courts have interpreted the phrase to mean that a "matured cause of action or legal authority to
23	demand redress" exists. <i>Estates of Hoover v. Iowa Dept. of Social Services</i> , 299 Iowa 702, 251
24 25	N.W. 2d 529 (1977). In <i>Nielsen v. State of Wisconsin</i> , 258 Wis. 1110, 141 N.W. 2d 194 (1966), a landowner brought suit after the repeal of an act granting a landowner the right to recover from
23 26	the State for damages to her land caused by the State's failure to install necessary culverts and
20 27	the like to prevent flooding. Before the act's repeal the landowner's land had been damaged by
28	flooding caused by the State's failures. The court held that the statutory saving of "rights of
20 29	action accrued" saved her cause of action. In both of these cases, conduct that gave rise to a
30	cause of action had occurred before the act was repealed. It is said that it is not enough that there
31	is an inchoate right.
32	
33	Apparently, there is no "accrued right" under a contract, for example, until there is a
34	breach.
35	
36	4. "Action or Proceeding" Pending. The principal question is what is an "action or
37	proceeding" for this purpose. "Action" refers to a judicial proceeding. "Proceeding" alone,
38	especially when used with "action," is broader and so includes administrative and other
39	governmental proceedings. It has been given the broader meaning. For example, in <i>State ex rel</i> .
40	Carmean v. Board of Education of Hardin County, 170 Ohio 2d 415, 165 N.E. 2d 918 (1960) a
41	petition to transfer certain land from one school district to another filed before a change in the
42	law was a "pending proceeding" to be decided under the old law. Similarly, a request for

1 2 3	permission to petition for an election to consolidate school districts was held to be a "proceeding commenced" so that the substance and procedure of the old law, which was materially different from the new, was preserved. <i>Grant v. Norris</i> , 249 Iowa 236, 85 N.W. 2d 261 (1957).
4 5 6 7 8 9	5. Uniform Partnership Act (1994) provides that the Act does not "impair obligations of contract existing." This is not carried forward. This phrase is intended to save only obligations protected by the contracts clauses of state and federal constitutions. However, as it might be construed more broadly and the constitution would protect without the phrase, the phrase is not present in Section 20.
10 11 12	Derivation: Principle #15.
13	SECTION 32. [TRANSITION CONCERNING REAL AND PERSONAL
14	PROPERTY.
15	<u>Alternative 1</u>
16	If, before the effective date of this [act], an estate or interest in real or personal property
17	was by terms of the transfer purportedly transferred to an unincorporated nonprofit association
18	but under the law the estate or interest did not vest in the association, on the effective date of this
19	[act] the estate or interest vests in the association, unless the parties have treated the transfer as
20	ineffective.
21	<u>Alternative 2</u>
22	If, before the effective date of this [act], an estate or interest in real or personal property
23	was by terms of the transfer purportedly transferred to an unincorporated nonprofit association
24	but under the law the estate or interest was vested in a fiduciary, such as officers of the
25	association, to hold the estate or interest for members of the association, on or after the effective
26	date of this [act] the fiduciary may transfer the estate or interest to the association in its name, or
27	the association may, by appropriate proceedings, require that the estate or interest be transferred
28	to it in its name.]
29	Comment

1. Two versions of Section 34 are offered. The initial common law rule was that a
 purported transfer of property to an unincorporated nonprofit association totally failed as the
 association was not a legal entity. If a State has that rule, it should adopt the first alternative. If,
 on the other hand, its rule is that title does not pass to the association in its name but passes
 instead to a fiduciary, such as its officers, to hold the property for the benefit of the members, a
 State should adopt the second alternative.

8

1

9 If a State has by statute made transfers effective to some classes of nonprofit associations 10 but not all, it should adopt the appropriate alternative to those not covered. If a State has made 11 all transfers to all unincorporated nonprofit associations effective, it does not need Section 19.

12 13 2. Section 34 brings to fruition the parties' expectations that previous law frustrated. Inasmuch as the common law did not consider an unincorporated nonprofit association to be a 14 legal entity, it could not acquire property. A gift of real or personal property thus failed. 15 16 Reference to the transfer as "purportedly" made identifies the document of transfer as one not 17 effective under the law. The first alternative gives effect to the gift. However, if parties were informed about the common law they may have treated the gift as ineffective. In that case, the 18 19 final clause of Alternative 1 provides that the gift does not become effective when this Act takes 20 effect. The unless clause would apply, for example, if the residual beneficiaries of the donor's 21 will, knowing that the devise of Blackacre to the nonprofit association was ineffective under the 22 law, continued to use Blackacre as their summer home with the approval and acquiescence of 23 members and representatives of the nonprofit association.

24

25 3. Section 34 is not a retroactive rule. It applies to the facts existing when this Act takes 26 effect. At that time Alternative 1 applies to a purported transfer of property that under the law of 27 the jurisdiction that could not be given effect at the time it was made. The first alternative 28 belatedly makes it effective – effective when this Act takes effect and not when made. The 29 practical result of this difference is that when the purported transfer is effective, the transfer is 30 subject to interests in the property that came into being in the interim. The nonprofit 31 association's interest is subject, for example, to a tax or judgment lien that became effective in 32 the interim. An intervening transfer by the initial transferor may simply be evidence that the 33 "parties had treated the transfer as ineffective." If so, Alternative 1 by its terms does not vest 34 ownership in the nonprofit association.

35

4. Some courts gave effect to gift of property to an unincorporated nonprofit association by determining that the gift lodged title in someone, often officers of the association, to hold the property in trust for the benefit of the association's members. The second alternative addresses this situation. When the Act takes effect it authorizes the fiduciary to transfer the property to the association. If the fiduciary is unwilling or reluctant, the association may require the fiduciary to transfer the property to the association. In either case, the association will get a deed transferring the property to it which, in the case of real property, the association may record.

5. Jurisdictions that have a statute like New York's concerning grants of property by will
have a problem that needs special attention. The New York statute provides that a grant by will
of real or personal property to an unincorporated association is effective only if the association

1	incorporates within three years after probate of the will. McKinney's N.Y. Estates, Powers &
2	Trust Law Section 3-1.3 (1991). The grants by will that need attention are those that have not
3	become effective by incorporation of the association and have not become ineffective by the
4	running of the three year period. These grants seem entitled to the benefits of Section 19. If so,
5	
	some modification of Section 19 may be required.
6	
7	Note: Comment from the Floor: We need to specify where the transaction in question took
8	place and/or where the property was located. Note also that this section is from UUNAA and
9 10	therefore should not have been underlined.
11	SECTION 33. REPEALS. The following acts and parts of acts are repealed:
12	
13	Comment
14 15	This Act is not a comprehensive revision of the law of unincorporated nonprofit
16	1 1 1
	associations. It is, however, designed to apply to all unincorporated nonprofit associations to the
17	extent of its coverage.
18	Mana States have a net dame de affere velation to these area disting. Some have any la te
19	Many States have a patchwork of law relating to these associations. Some laws apply to
20	a specific kind of association, such as a denominational church or medical society. See, for
21	example, California Corporations Code, Title 3, Unincorporated Associations, Section 21200
22	(West 1991) (County and Regional Medical Societies); Minn. Stat. Ann. Section 315.01 et seq.
23	(West 1992) (religion societies). Other law deals with a very specific subjects, such as legal
24	protection of an association's insignia. Some go beyond a subject's treatment in this Act, such as
25	the recently enacted charitable immunity and liability acts that relieve individuals acting for an
26	association from liability for simple negligence.
27	
28	In preparing a bill for the enactment of this Act careful attention should be given to
29	determining the appropriate relationship of this Act to existing statutes. It may be wise to repeal
30	expressly certain laws and to specify that certain others are not repealed. While it is unusual to
31	include a provision that certain statutes are not repealed, doing so in this situation will relieve
32	courts of difficult questions of repeal by implication.
33	
	SECTION 17 24 EFEECTIVE DATE THE LASS offeet
34	SECTION 17 34. EFFECTIVE DATE. This [Act] takes effect
35 36	Comment
37	This Act provides an unincorporated, nonprofit association and its members with a legal
38	structure that conforms to the expectations of many of them.
39	structure that conforms to the expectations of many of them.
40	Therefore, the need by the nonprofit association for additional time to revise procedures
40	and forms to conform to a significant change in the law is not necessary. However, this Act
-71	and forms to comorni to a significant change in the law is not necessary. However, this Act

- 1 materially affects third parties, particularly creditors of nonprofit associations. Anecdotal
- 2 evidence suggests that many creditors place little reliance on their rights against members in
- 3 extending credit. If they have any reservations about the creditworthiness of a nonprofit
- 4 association they obtain guarantees from creditworthy members or insist on cash. To the extent
- 5 that this is true, no change in credit policies is needed and so no extra planning time is needed.
  6
- 7 Unless a jurisdiction's usual effective date rule provides little time for affected parties to
  8 learn of a new law, it is unnecessary to extend this Act's effective date.