## DRAFT

## FOR DISCUSSION ONLY

## UNIFORM AGRICULTURAL AND AGRICULTURAL RELATED COOPERATIVES ACT

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS

ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

MEETING IN ITS ONE-HUNDRED-AND-FOURTEENTH YEAR PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA JULY 22 - 29, 2005

## UNIFORM AGRICULTURAL AND AGRICULTURAL RELATED COOPERATIVES ACT

WITH PREFATORY AND REPORTER'S NOTES

Copyright ©2005

By

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS

ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

The ideas and conclusions set forth in this draft, including the proposed statutory language and any comments or reporter's notes, have not been passed upon by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws or the Drafting Committee. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Conference and its Commissioners and the Drafting Committee and its Members and Reporters. Proposed statutory language may not be used to ascertain the intent or meaning of any promulgated final statutory proposal.

## DRAFTING COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURAL AND AGRICULTURAL RELATED COOPERATIVES ACT

The Committee appointed by and representing the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in revising this Agricultural and Agricultural Related Cooperatives Act consists of the following individuals:

PETER F. LANGROCK, P.O. Drawer 351, Middlebury, VT 05753, Chair

LOYD BENSON, P.O. Box 486, 124 N. Ninth St., Frederick, OK 73542

GENE N. LEBRUN, P.O. Box 8250, 909 St. Joseph St., Suite 900, Rapid City, SD 57709

JAMES R. PENDER, 4001 N. Rodney Parham Rd., Suite 101, Little Rock, AR 72211

MARILYN E. PHELAN, Texas Tech University, School of Law, 1802 Hartford, Lubbock, TX 79409

HIROSHI SAKAI, 3773 Diamond Head Circle, Honolulu, HI 96815

LYLE W. HILLYARD, 175 E. 1st N., Logan, UT 84321, Enactment Plan Coordinator

THOMAS E. GEU, University of South Dakota, School of Law, 414 Clark St., Suite 214, Vermillion, SD 57069-2390, *Reporter* 

#### **EX OFFICIO**

FRED H. MILLER, University of Oklahoma, College of Law, 300 Timberdell Rd., Room 3056, Norman, OK 73019, *President* 

JOANNE B. HUELSMAN, 235 W. Broadway, Suite 210, Waukesha, WI 53186, *Division Chair* 

### AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ADVISOR

CRAIG HOUGHTON, 5260 N. Palm, Suite 421, Fresno, CA 93704

### **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

WILLIAM H. HENNING, University of Alabama School of Law, Box 870382, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0382, *Executive Director* 

Copies of this Act may be obtained from:

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

211 E. Ontario Street, Suite 1300

Chicago, Illinois 60611

312/915-0195

www.nccusl.org

## AGRICULTURAL AND AGRICULTURAL RELATED COOPERATIVES ACT

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

PREFATORY N	NOTE	1
	ARTICLE 1	
	GENERAL PROVISIONS	
SECTION 101.	SHORT TITLE	5
SECTION 102.	DEFINITIONS	5
SECTION 103.	KNOWLEDGE AND NOTICE	. 10
SECTION 104.	COOPERATIVE SUBJECT TO AMENDMENT OR REPEAL OF [ACT]	. 11
SECTION 105.	NATURE, PURPOSE, AND DURATION OF ENTITY	. 11
	POWERS	
	GOVERNING LAW	
SECTION 108.	SUPPLEMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF LAW; RATE OF INTEREST	. 13
	NAME	
	RESERVED NAME	
	REGISTERED NAME	
	USE OF THE TERM "COOPERATIVE"	
SECTION 113.	EFFECT OF ORGANIC RULES	. 16
	REQUIRED RECORDS	
	BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS OF MEMBER WITH COOPERATIVE	
	DUAL CAPACITY	
	OFFICE AND AGENT FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS	
	CHANGE OF REGISTERED OFFICE OR REGISTERED AGENT FOR	
	E OF PROCESS	. 21
	RESIGNATION OF REGISTERED AGENT FOR SERVICE OF	22
SECTION 120.	SERVICE OF PROCESS	. 22
	ADTICLE 2	
EODM	ARTICLE 2 ATION; ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION AND OTHER FILINGS	
FURNI	ATION; ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION AND OTHER FILINGS	
	ORGANIZATION	
SECTION 202.	FORMATION OF COOPERATIVE; ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION	. 25
SECTION 203.	AMENDMENT OR RESTATEMENT OF ARTICLES OF	
	IIZATION	
	ORGANIZATION OF COOPERATIVE	
	BYLAWS	
	EMERGENCY BYLAWS	
	STATEMENT OF DISSOLUTION	
	SIGNING OF RECORDS	
SECTION 209.	SIGNING AND FILING PURSUANT TO JUDICIAL ORDER	. 31

SECTION 210.	DELIVERY TO AND FILING OF RECORDS BY [SECRETARY OF
STATE	]; EFFECTIVE TIME AND DATE
	CORRECTING FILED RECORD
SECTION 212.	LIABILITY FOR FALSE INFORMATION IN FILED RECORD
SECTION 213.	CERTIFICATE OF EXISTENCE OR AUTHORIZATION
SECTION 214.	ANNUAL REPORT FOR [SECRETARY OF STATE]
	FILING FEES; RULES AND REGULATIONS; ANNUAL REPORTS
	ICENSE TAXES
	ARTICLE 3
	MEMBERS
SECTION 301.	MEMBERS
SECTION 302.	BECOMING MEMBER
SECTION 303.	NO RIGHT OR POWER AS MEMBER TO BIND COOPERATIVE 39
SECTION 304.	NO LIABILITY AS MEMBER FOR COOPERATIVE OBLIGATIONS 39
SECTION 305.	RIGHT OF MEMBER AND FORMER MEMBER TO INFORMATION 40
SECTION 306.	ANNUAL MEMBERS' MEETINGS
SECTION 307.	SPECIAL MEMBER'S MEETINGS
SECTION 308.	EXPRESS AUTHORITY FOR DISTRICT MEETINGS
SECTION 309.	NOTICE OF MEMBERS MEETINGS
SECTION 310.	WAIVER OF MEETING NOTICE
SECTION 311.	QUORUM OF MEMBERS
SECTION 312.	VOTING BY PATRONAGE MEMBERS
SECTION 313.	ACTION WITHOUT A MEETING
SECTION 314.	DETERMINATION OF VOTING POWER OF PATRONAGE
MEMBI	ER OR DELEGATE
SECTION 315.	VOTING BY NONPATRONAGE MEMBERS
SECTION 316.	MANNER OF VOTING
	ARTICLE 4
	MEMBERSHIP INTERESTS
	MEMBERS INTEREST51
SECTION 402.	TERMS OF MEMBERSHIP INTERESTS
SECTION 403.	TRANSFERABILITY OF MEMBERSHIP INTERESTS
SECTION 404.	TRANSFER OF FINANCIAL INTEREST
SECTION 405.	RIGHTS OF CREDITOR OF MEMBER OR TRANSFEREE55
	ADTICLE 5
	ARTICLE 5 MARKETING CONTRACTS
SECTION 501	AUTHORITY
	MARKETING CONTRACTS
	TERM OF CONTRACT
SECTION 304.	REMEDIES FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT

## [ARTICLE] 6 DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

SECTION 601. EXISTENCE AND POWERS OF BOARD OF DIRECTORS	61
SECTION 602. NO LIABILITY AS DIRECTOR FOR COOPERATIVE'S OBLIGATION	ONS . 62
SECTION 603. QUALIFICATIONS OF DIRECTORS AND COMPOSITION OF BOA	RD . 62
SECTION 604. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	63
SECTION 605. TERMS OF DIRECTORS	
SECTION 606. RESIGNATION OF DIRECTORS	
SECTION 607. REMOVAL OF DIRECTORS BY MEMBERS	65
SECTION 608. SUSPENSION OF DIRECTOR BY BOARD	
SECTION 609. VACANCY ON BOARD	67
SECTION 610. COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS	
SECTION 611. MEETINGS	
SECTION 612. ACTION WITHOUT MEETING	
SECTION 613. MEETINGS AND NOTICE	
SECTION 614. WAIVER OF NOTICE	
SECTION 615. QUORUM	
SECTION 616. VOTING	
SECTION 617. COMMITTEES	
SECTION 618. STANDARDS OF CONDUCT AND LIABILITY	
SECTION 619. CONFLICT OF INTEREST	
SECTION 620. LIMITATION OF DIRECTOR'S DUTIES	
SECTION 621. RIGHT OF DIRECTOR TO INFORMATION	
SECTION 622. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS OF DIRECTORS	
SECTION 623. APPOINTMENT AND AUTHORITY OF OFFICERS	
SECTION 624. RESIGNATION AND REMOVAL OF OFFICERS	77
[ARTICLE] 7	
INDEMNIFICATION	
	<b>5</b> 0
SECTION 701. INDEMNIFICATION	79
[ARTICLE] 8	
CONTRIBUTIONS, ALLOCATIONS AND DISTRIBUTIONS	
•	00
SECTION 801. MEMBERSHIP CONTRIBUTIONS	
SECTION 802. FORMS OF CONTRIBUTION AND VALUATION	
	82
SECTION 804. ALLOCATIONS OF NET PROCEEDS, MARGINS, SAVINGS,	92
PROFITS, AND LOSSES	
SECTION 806. REDEMPTION OF EQUITY	
SECTION 806. REDEMPTION OF EQUITY	04
[SECTION 808. ALTERNATIVE DISTRIBUTION OF UNCLAIMED PROPERTY,	63
DISTRIBUTIONS, REDEMPTIONS, OR PAYMENTS	96
DISTRIBUTIONS, REDEBIL TIONS, OR FATRIENTS	00

## [ARTICLE] 9 DISSOCIATION

SECTION 901.	MEMBER'S DISSOCIATION	. 88
SECTION 902.	EFFECT OF DISSOCIATION AS MEMBER	. 90
SECTION 903.	POWER OF ESTATE OF MEMBER	. 91
	[ARTICLE] 10	
	DISSOLUTION	
SECTION 1001.	DISSOLUTION	. 92
SECTION 1002.	NONJUDICIAL DISSOLUTION	. 92
SECTION 1003.	JUDICIAL DISSOLUTION	. 93
SECTION 1004.	VOLUNTARY DISSOLUTION BEFORE COMMENCEMENT OF	
ACTIVIT	ΓΥ	. 95
SECTION 1005	VOLUNTARY DISSOLUTION BY THE BOARD AND MEMBERS	. 95
	WINDING UP	
	DISTRIBUTION OF ASSETS IN WINDING UP COOPERATIVE	
	KNOWN CLAIMS AGAINST DISSOLVED COOPERATIVE	
	OTHER CLAIMS AGAINST DISSOLVED COOPERATIVE	
	ADMINISTRATIVE DISSOLUTION	100
	REINSTATEMENT FOLLOWING ADMINISTRATIVE	
	UTION	
SECTION 1012.	APPEAL FROM DENIAL OF REINSTATEMENT	102
	[ARTICLE] 11	
	ACTIONS BY MEMBERS	
SECTION 1101.	DIRECT ACTION BY MEMBER	103
	DERIVATIVE ACTION	
SECTION 1103.	PROPER PLAINTIFF	104
SECTION 1104.	PLEADING	105
SECTION 1105.	PROCEEDS AND EXPENSES	105
	[ARTICLE] 12	
	FOREIGN COOPERATIVES	
SECTION 1201	GOVERNING LAW	107
	APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORITY	
	ACTIVITIES NOT CONSTITUTING TRANSACTING BUSINESS	
	FILING OF CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORITY	
	NONCOMPLYING NAME OF FOREIGN COOPERATIVE	
	REVOCATION OF CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORITY	
	CANCELLATION OF CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORITY; EFFECT OF	
	E TO HAVE CERTIFICATE	112
	ACTION BY [ATTORNEY GENERAL]	

## [ARTICLE] 13 AMENDMENT OF ORGANIC RULES

SECTION 1301.	AUTHORITY TO AMEND ORGANIC RULES
SECTION 1302.	NOTICE AND ACTION ON AMENDMENT OF ARTICLES OF
ORGANI	ZATION OR BY-LAWS114
	METHOD OF VOTING
	AMENDMENT TO AMENDMENT AT MEETING
SECTION 1305.	APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT
	VOTING BY GROUP, CLASS, OR DISTRICT MEMBERS
	PROPOSAL OF AMENDMENT BY MEMBERS
SECTION 1308.	RESTATED ARTICLES
	[ARTICLE] 14
	CONSOLIDATION AND MERGER
SECTION 1401.	DEFINITIONS
	CONSOLIDATION OR MERGER OR CONSOLIDATION120
SECTION 1403.	NOTICE AND ACTION ON PLAN OF CONSOLIDATION OR
MERGER	R BY CONSTITUENT COOPERATIVE122
	APPROVAL AND ABANDONMENT OF CONSOLIDATION OR
	R BY MEMBERS OF CONSTITUENT COOPERATIVE
SECTION 1405.	CONSOLIDATION OR MERGER OF SUBSIDIARY 123
SECTION 1406.	FILINGS REQUIRED FOR MERGER; EFFECTIVE DATE 123
SECTION 1407.	EFFECT OF CONSOLIDATION OR MERGER
SECTION 1408.	METHOD OF VOTING
SECTION 1409.	[ARTICLE] NOT EXCLUSIVE
	[ARTICLE] 15
	SALE OF SUBSTANTIALLY ALL ASSETS
	[RESERVED]
	[DELETED: ARTICLE 16
	DISSENTERS RIGHTS
	[ARTICLE] 17
	MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS
	EXEMPTION FROM SECURITIES LAWS
	EXEMPTION FROM RESTRAINT OF TRADE AND ANTITRUST
	INDUCING BREACH OF MARKETING OR PURCHASE
	ACTS
	UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION
SECTION 1/05.	SEVERABILITY

SECTION 1706.	RELATION TO ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES IN GLOBAL AND	
NATION	AL COMMERCE ACT	132
SECTION 1707.	EFFECTIVE DATE	132
SECTION 1708.	SAVINGS CLAUSE	132

#### AGRICULTURAL AND AGRICULTURAL RELATED COOPERATIVES ACT

#### PREFATORY NOTE

## (1) Introduction and Process

The Committee is charged with drafting an Agriculture and Agriculture Related Cooperative Act. The Act is to be a free-standing act separate and apart from current cooperative acts and, therefore, is not a statutory replacement of other law but; rather, another statutory option for organizing cooperatives as a way to encourage economic development.

The Committee's scope was originally limited to "Agriculture and Agriculture Related" purposes. The scope is not, however, limited to agricultural marketing cooperatives (and, thus, contemplates supply and service activities of a broad nature with reference to rural economic development). As a later Reporter's Note observes, the demarcation of activities which are "agriculture and agriculture related" is a central issue. Another overarching question raised by this draft, and discussed at the Committee meetings, is what it means to be a cooperative. Older traditional statutes have found meaning and form by finding the definition of a cooperative in other law. A brief illustrative discussion of some of those definitions is contained in the next part of this preliminary note ("Cooperatives: Background Information"). The last part (3) of these "Reporter's Preliminary Notes" identify narrower issues ("Overview of Draft") that need to be discussed.

The genesis of the project was the enactment of the "Wyoming Processing Cooperative Law" in 2001 and the "Minnesota Cooperative Associations Act" in 2003. The Province Saskatchewan enacted an Act for similar purposes that predated the Wyoming law. There has been study or legislative activity in Tennessee, Missouri, Iowa, Wisconsin and Vermont, but the status of that activity is unknown to the Reporter at the time of drafting of this note.

## (2) Cooperatives: General Background Information

The new cooperative acts on which this project is based are sometimes known as "New Generation Cooperative" ("NGC" acts) though that name has not gained a precise technical meaning. The features that generally distinguish these cooperatives from traditional cooperatives include: (1) a focus on value-added processing; (2) expanded use of equity as a funding source; and (3) restricted membership with equity contributions limited by equity requirements to build plants for purposes of value-added processing. The latter feature allows cooperatives organized under NGC statutes to be "closed-end" with a limited number of members.

The new cooperative acts are more flexible than traditional cooperative acts and such flexibility moves away from fail-safe statutory drafting for purposes of qualifying as a "cooperative" under other federal and state law and regulation. The primary "other laws" are anti-trust law, taxation, securities law, and access to the Farm Credit System.

An example of how other laws relate to the law of cooperatives is the Capper-Volstead Act of 1922. Without the Capper-Volstead Act, the Sherman Act of 1890 would apply to make most farmer marketing contracts with cooperatives per se illegal restraints of trade because the contracts fix prices. That is, when farmers market products through cooperatives they agree on prices they will charge and may agree to sell exclusively to the cooperative. The Capper-Volstead Act provides limited, but important protection from the Sherman Act. In order for a cooperative to qualify for the protection: (1) only agricultural producers may be voting members; (2) the cooperative must be operated for the mutual benefit of members as producers; (3) no member may have more than one vote or dividends on stock may not exceed 8% per year; and (4) the value of products marketed for members must be greater than the value of products marketed for nonmembers. Many traditional state agricultural (and general purpose) cooperative statutes "hard-wire" compliance with Capper-Volstead by, for example, mandating the 8% dividend limit on equity. The NGC statutes, on the other hand, follow the general trend in unincorporated statutes allowing a cooperative to *decide* whether to qualify for the anti-trust protection provided by the Capper-Volstead Act. Thus, the 8% dividend limitation is not mandated by the NGC statutes.

Similarly, federal income tax law delineates requirements that associations must meet to qualify for taxation under Subchapter T of the Internal Revenue Code (patronage refunds not taxable at the cooperative level). The Code further delineates more requirements to qualify for tax treatment under Section 521 (for *farmer* cooperatives with additional tax benefits). Qualification for cooperative taxation, however, is inconsistent with tax treatment as a partnership. Thus, the NGC model allows flexibility for the organization to be taxed as a partnership or as a cooperative as the organization itself chooses. To some extent NGCs *may* reflect a trend in the larger cooperative world consolidating multiple single purpose cooperative acts into fewer more general purpose acts (*e.g.* Minnesota, Oregon, Colorado).

The Drafting Committee was established by the Conference at the 2003 Annual Meeting and met December 12-14, 2003, and February 20-22, 2004. The first meeting of the drafting committee discussed substantive and general drafting and formatting issues, including the level of detail appropriate for the act, and used the provisions "Wyoming Processing Cooperative Law" as a model. The Committee determined that a higher level of detail than that found in the Wyoming law, following the general "look and feel" of general and traditional cooperative acts, was appropriate.

Discussion at the second drafting committee meeting focused on substantive issues within the context of a draft very closely following the Minnesota Cooperative Associations Act which integrated some of the substantive discussion from the first meeting. The current draft is a result of those discussions and, for the first time, can be fairly said to be a committee draft. Nonetheless, the Committee has not had the opportunity to discuss the specific language of this draft or new issues raised by its language. Moreover, the style committee has had the opportunity to review only select articles and while its suggestions have been largely incorporated in those articles, some of the "styled" provisions have been redrafted.

## (3) Overview of Draft

This draft draws heavily from the Uniform Limited Partnership Act (2001), the Minnesota Cooperative Associations Act, the Oregon Cooperative Corporations Act and the Model Business Corporation Act. It also incorporates ideas from the Uniform Limited Liability Company Act and the Colorado Cooperative Act, among others.

Even though the draft relies, in part, on general organizational law outside cooperatives, it makes every reasonable attempt to recognize that cooperatives are a different kind of organization legally, historically, functionally, and in purpose. Thus, this draft has a strong member focus. For example, the bylaws must be amended by members and not the board of directors which is somewhat unusual even in cooperative law. The specific size and purpose of the cooperatives contemplated by this act, however, support the member focus. Moreover, unlike the trend in corporate law, this draft generally requires supermajority voting of members on fundamental matters.

On the other hand, this draft provides more flexibility for attracting capital from outside the community of agricultural producers and gives cooperatives the authority to erode producer capital lock-in in its organic rules. Thus, it allows wide latitude for both patron members (e.g. producers/users of the cooperative) and nonpatron members and provides, within limitations, for the sharing of net proceeds, surplus, or profit and governance participation between patron and nonpatron members. The constraints on nonmember patron participation in this draft are tighter than those found in most, if not all, the "new generation" cooperative statutes clearly distinguishing this cooperative draft from limited liability company statutes in an attempt to maintain the "coop brand."

The centers of gravity of this draft are:

- (i) Section 102's definition of "agricultural and agricultural related" in conjunction with the "any lawful" purpose portion of Section 105;
- (ii) Article 13, "Amendment of Articles and Bylaws";
- (iii) Article 3, "Members";
- (iv) Article 4, "Membership Interests";
- (v) Article 8, "Contributions, Fees and Distributions";
- (vi) Article 9, "Dissociation";
- (vii) Article 6, "Directors and Officers".

It would be most helpful to receive guidance on those topics. The final center of gravity is Article 5 which governs contracts made between cooperatives and their users. The reason Article 5 is not listed with the others is because that Article may not yet be completely ripe. Article 5, nonetheless, merits attention. The Reporter's Notes raise a few of the issues in these and other articles and sections.

This is a work still very much in process. For example, existing cooperative acts contain provisions addressing state anti-trust and securities regulation of cooperatives but the drafting committee has tentatively deleted them from this draft. Further, there remain many technical drafting issues. For example, this draft repeats the mantra "unless otherwise provided in the organic rules" almost endlessly instead of centralizing "nonwaivable" provisions by reference in a single section as *do* RUPA, ULPA, and ULLCA. That is, for now, the repetition may be helpful to identify nonwaivable provisions for later centralization. There, too, are probably errors in cross-referencing. Finally, a few Articles are reserved pending further discussion of related topics. For example, the "sale of substantially all the assets" article is reserved pending discussion and further refinement of the "merger" article.

1	AGRICULTURAL AND AGRICULTURAL RELATED COOPERATIVES ACT
2	
3	ARTICLE 1
4	GENERAL PROVISIONS
5	SECTION 101. SHORT TITLE. This [act] may be cited as the Agricultural
6	Cooperatives Association Act.
7	Reporter's Note
8 9 10 11 12	There has been informal discussion concerning the title of this project both within and outside the Committee. A position needs to be taken by the committee concerning whether to request a change. Another name alternative might be the Unincorporated Agricultural Cooperative Act. The Reporter continues to receive industry suggestions to drop all references to "cooperative" in the name and Act. <i>See also</i> section 109.
13 14 15	The date of promulgation and the determination of whether this is a uniform act will be reflected in later drafts.
16 17 18 19	Is it clear the act is not a "corporate" statute that does not foreclose cooperatives organized pursuant to it to be treated as unincorporated entities for purposes of other law?
20	SECTION 102. DEFINITIONS. In this [act]:
21	(1) "Articles of organization" means initial, amended, and restated articles of
22	organization and articles of merger. In the case of a foreign cooperative, the term includes all
23	records serving a similar function required to be filed in the office of the [Secretary of State] or
24	other official having custody of similar records in the State or country under whose law it is
25	organized.
26	(2) "Contribution" means any benefit provided by a person to a cooperative in
27	order to become a partner or in the person's capacity as a member.

1	(3) "Cooperative" means an association organized under this Act.
2	(4) "Debtor in bankruptcy" means a person that is the subject of:
3	(A) an order for relief under Title 11 of the United States Code or a
4	comparable order under a successor statute of general application; or
5	(B) a comparable order under federal, state, or foreign law governing
6	insolvency.
7	(5) "Distribution" means a transfer of money or other property from a cooperative
8	to a member in the member's capacity as a member or to a transferee on account of an interest
9	owned by the transferee.
10	(6) "Entity" means a company, corporation, limited liability company, limited
11	liability partnership, limited partnership, cooperative or other legal entity, whether domestic or
12	foreign, association, or body vested with the power or function of a legal entity whether or not for
13	profit.
14	(7) "Domestic entity" means an entity organized under the laws of this state.
15	(8) "Domestic cooperative" means a cooperative organized under this [act] or
16	[chapters].
17	(9) "Foreign entity" means an entity that is not a domestic entity.
18	(10) "Foreign cooperative" means a foreign business entity organized to conduct
19	business on a cooperative plan consistent with this [act] or authorized to transact business under
20	[Article] 12.
21	(11) "Member" means a person that is a member of a cooperative and includes
22	patron and nonpatron members. The term does not include a person that has dissociated.

1	(12) "Membership interest" means patron membership interests and nonpatron
2	membership interests.
3	(13) "Members' meeting" means a regular or special members' meeting.
4	(14) "Nonpatron member" means a member holding a nonpatronage membership
5	interest.
6	(15) "Nonpatron membership interest" means a membership interest that does not
7	require the holder to conduct patronage business for or with the cooperative to receive financial
8	rights or distributions.
9	(16) "Organic law" means the statute providing for the creation of an entity or
10	principally governing its internal affairs.
11	(17) "Organic rules" means the articles of organization and the bylaws of the
12	cooperative.
13	(18) "Patron" means a person or entity that conducts activity with the
14	cooperative.
15	(19) "Patronage" means business, transactions, or services done for or with the
16	cooperative as defined by the cooperative which entitles the person conducting the business
17	transactions or services with the cooperative to receive financial rights, distributions, or payment
18	from the cooperative based on the value of such business, transactions or services and the
19	financial performance of the cooperative or the value added to the cooperative by such business,
20	transactions or services. It includes, but is not limited to, any allocation to patronage members
21	based on a patronage membership as well as participation in nonmember patron pools.
22	(20) "Patron member" means a member holding a patron membership interest.

1	(21) "Patron membership interest" means the membership interest requiring the
2	holder to conduct patronage activity for or with the cooperative, as specified by the cooperative
3	to receive financial rights or distributions.
4	(22) "Person" means an individual, corporation, business trust, estate, trust,
5	partnership, limited partnership, limited liability company, association, joint venture,
6	government; governmental subdivision, agency or instrumentality; public corporation, or any
7	other legal or commercial entity.
8	(23) "Principal Office" means the office, whether or not in this State, where the
9	principal executive office of a domestic or foreign cooperative is located.
10	(24) "Record" means information that is inscribed on a tangible medium or that is
11	stored in an electronic or other medium and is retrievable in perceivable form.
12	(25) "Required information" means the information that a cooperative is required
13	to maintain under this [Act].
14	(26) "Sign" means:
15	(A) to execute or adopt a tangible symbol with the present intent to
16	authenticate a record; or
17	(B) to attach or logically associate an electronic symbol, sound, or
18	process to or with a record with the present intent to authenticate the record.
19	(27) "State" means a State of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto
20	Rico, the United States Virgin Islands, or any territory or insular possession subject to the
21	jurisdiction of the United States.
22	(28) "Transfer" includes an assignment, conveyance, deed, bill of sale, lease,

mortgage, security interest, encumbrance, gift, and transfer by operation of law.

(29) "Voting member" means a member that under organic law or organic rules has a right to vote on matters subject to vote by members.

Reporter's Note

The April 2005 draft reflects several technical drafting suggestions made at the 2004 Annual Meeting. One suggested change not made concerned the definition of "person." It was suggested that the NCCUSL definition of person has changed but this definition appears in

Annual Meeting. One suggested change not made concerned the definition of "person." It was suggested that the NCCUSL definition of person has changed but this definition appears in ULPA 2001. Likewise it was suggested that the terms "record" and "sign" are unnecessary because of UENTA. Nonetheless ULPA (2001) contains these definitions.

As discussed in greater detail in the Reporter's Note to Section 404, the definitions need tuning. In particular, "patron", "patron member" and "nonpatron member" are currently under reconsideration by the Drafting Committee. "Financial Interest" is used extensively in Article 4 but not defined. The term "financial interest", as noted in the Reporter's Note, is also being reconsidered. It performs the same function as "transferable interest" in ULLCA, ULPA (2001), and UPA (1997). Below are two rough definitional suggestions from the Reporter for discussion purposes:

• "Patron membership interest" means the membership interest providing a patron rights in governance and a transferable interest [financial interest] of the cooperative as a member as established by the [Act]; and

"Transferable interest" means the right to receive distributions to members but does not include the right to receive payments based on a separate marketing contract, if any, between the member and the cooperative.

Note that distributions are distinct from allocations in organizational statutes. Distributions are actual payments of money or money's worthwhile allocations are accounting concepts, e.g., the capital accounts of partners in a partnership.

The most significant changes are the deletion of any reference to cooperative plan and the definition of Agricultural or Agricultural related in its entirety. The Drafting Committee has discussed the latter at length. It is a central issue that needs resolution. The balance of this Note is retained from the prior Draft for discussion purposes. *See* Section 105.

"Agricultural and Agricultural Related" is informed by several sources including federal law. It is intended to be as broad as reasonably practicable and still retain some definitional meaning. It is intended to include functions served by several industry categories of cooperatives including service, supply purchasing, marketing, and processing cooperatives. Tough

definitional issues include whether this language includes such activities as farmers organizing to pool farmland for carbon sequestration programs and "wind" harvesting on the marketing side or health insurance purchasing groups on the supply side. Possible uses of cooperatives also include private "ditch" companies in some states. This definition needs to be read in the context of the definition of "entity." Another fundamental but not substantive question raised by style is whether this defined term, and the name of the Act, should be modified to "Agricultural and Agriculturally Related."

The definition of "Cooperative" requires activity "pursuant to a cooperative plan." "Cooperative plan" has intentionally been left undefined based on committee discussion. The term "cooperative plan" appears in other cooperative acts without definition but acting in conformity with a cooperative plan is required for definitional purposes in other law. Thus, a plan is a basic cooperative notion that is not defined or described in this draft.

### SECTION 103. KNOWLEDGE AND NOTICE.

- (a) A person knows a fact if the person has actual knowledge of it.
- (b) A person has notice of a fact if the person:
  - (1) knows of it;
  - (2) has received notification of it; or
- (3) has reason to know it exists from all of the facts known to the person at the time in question; or
  - (c) A person notifies or gives a notification to another person by taking steps reasonably required to inform the other person in ordinary course, whether or not the other person learns of it.
    - (d) A person receives a notification when the notification:
      - (1) comes to the person's attention; or
  - (2) is delivered at the person's place of business or at any other place held out by the person as a place for receiving communications.

	(e) A person other than an individual knows, has notice, or receives a notification
(	of a fact for purposes of a particular transaction when the individual conducting the transaction
f	for the person knows, has notice, or receives a notification of the fact, or in any event when the
f	fact would have been brought to the individual's attention if the person had exercised reasonable
C	diligence. A person other than an individual exercised reasonable diligence if it maintains
1	reasonable routines for communicating significant information to the individual conducting the
t	transaction for the person and there is reasonable compliance with the routines. Reasonable
(	diligence does not require an individual acting for the person to communicate information unless
t	the communication is part of the individual's regular duties or the individual has reason to know
(	of the transaction and that the transaction would be materially affected by the information.
	Reporter's Note
]	Source: Derived from ULPA (2001). The LLC Act Drafting Committee has spent much time reworking and redrafting this Section. During that discussion, as in past meetings of this Drafting Committee, the necessity of including this provision was questioned. This section varies from ULPA (2001) because it does not need to deal with the unique statements under limited partnership law. Therefore it is approximately one-third shorter.
	SECTION 104. COOPERATIVE SUBJECT TO AMENDMENT OR REPEAL OF
I	[ACT]. A cooperative governed by this [Act] is subject to any amendment or repeal of this
[	[Act].
	Reporter's Note
	The revised language is taken from UPA (1997).
SECTION 105. NATURE, PURPOSE, AND DURATION OF ENTITY.	
	(a) A cooperative is an entity distinct from its members.

1	(b) A cooperative may be organized under this [act] for any lawful agricultural or
2	agriculturally related purpose.
3	(c) A cooperative has a perpetual duration unless otherwise provided by the
4	Articles of Organization.
5	Reporter's Note
6 7 8 9 10	This is the leverage point for requiring the cooperative to be engaged in agriculture, however defined. Does (b) need to be expanded to include production, supply, service, or the transformation of agricultural goods through manufacturing or other processes?  Subsection (b) states "any lawful purpose" which is consistent with the unincorporated
11 12 13 14 15	acts promulgated by the Conference. It is also consistent with the general laws of cooperatives which in some states reference or are included in not-for-profit acts. Finally, it is consistent with the historical roots of cooperatives as mutual aid societies. Evidence of the ambivalence of the for-profit, not-for-profit distinction can be seen in the federal tax treatment of cooperatives.
16	SECTION 106. POWERS. A cooperative has the powers to do all things necessary or
17	convenient to carry on its activities, including the power to sue, be sued, and defend in its own
18	name and to maintain an action against a member for harm caused to the cooperative by a
19	violation of the organic laws or rules of the cooperative or violation of a duty to the cooperative.
20	Reporter's Note
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	The formulation of powers in this draft is based upon unincorporated law models as opposed to a more detailed listing of powers contained in corporate law. The Committee has discussed this approach for powers only briefly and it is consistent with a general direction to draft as efficiently as possible even though most cooperative acts tend to follow the more detailed (and older) corporate model. The question of the level of detail in this section is probably one that should be informed by givers of legal opinion letters. It is intended to be a broad division.
28	SECTION 107. GOVERNING LAW. The law of this State governs relations among
29	the members of a cooperative and between the members and the cooperative.

1	SECTION 108. SUPPLEMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF LAW; RATE OF
2	INTEREST.
3	(a) Unless displaced by particular provisions of this [act], the principles of law
4	and equity supplement this [act].
5	(b) If an obligation to pay interest arises under this [act] and the rate is not
6	specified, the rate is that specified in [applicable statute].
7	SECTION 109. NAME.
8	(a) The name of a cooperative must contain the word association or its
9	abbreviation and may contain the word "cooperative" or its abbreviation.
10	(b) Except as authorized by subsections (c) and (d), the name of a cooperative
11	must be distinguishable upon the records of the [Secretary of State] from:
12	(1) the name of any corporation, limited partnership, limited liability
13	company, cooperative, or company incorporated, organized or authorized to transact business, in
14	this State;
15	(2) a name reserved or registered under Section 110 or 111;
16	(3) a fictitious name approved under Section 1205 for a foreign
17	cooperative authorized to transact business in this State because its real name is unavailable.
18	(c) A cooperative may apply to the [Secretary of State] for authorization to use a
19	name that is not distinguishable upon the records of the [Secretary of State] from one or more of
20	the names described in subsection (b). The [Secretary of State] shall authorize use of the name
21	applied if:
22	(1) the present user, registrant, or owner of a reserved name consents to

1	the use in a record and submits an undertaking in form satisfactory to the [Secretary of State] to
2	change the name to a name that is distinguishable upon the records of the [Secretary of State]
3	from the name applied for; or
4	(2) the applicant delivers to the [Secretary of State] a certified copy of the
5	final judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction establishing the applicant's right to use the
6	name applied for in this State.
7	(d) A cooperative may use the name, including a fictitious name, of another
8	domestic or foreign cooperative which is used in this State if the other cooperative is organized
9	or authorized to transact business in this State and the cooperative proposing to use the name has
10	(1) merged with the other cooperative;
11	(2) been formed by reorganization with the other cooperative; or
12	(3) acquired substantially all of the assets, including the name, of the other
13	cooperative.
14	Reporter's Note
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The use of the word "cooperative" under this draft is voluntary but may not be used by organizations that are not cooperatives under Section 112 which has been modified. An issue raised by the prior version of this section and its analogues under existing law was that there is no required designation or abbreviation to indicate the entity is a limited liability entity. For this reason the April 2005 draft now requires the use of "association" or its abbreviation. The required use of "association" also distinguishes this unincorporated agricultural cooperative from cooperatives governed by other state law.
23	SECTION 110. RESERVED NAME.
24	(a) A person may reserve the exclusive use of the name of a cooperative,
25	including a fictitious name for a foreign cooperative whose name is not available, by delivering

an application to the [Secretary of State] for filing. The application must set forth the name and address of the applicant and the name proposed to be reserved. If the [Secretary of State] finds that the name applied for is available, it must be reserved for the applicant's exclusive use for a nonrenewable 60 day period.

(b) The owner of a name reserved for a cooperative may transfer the reservation to another person by delivering to the [Secretary of State] a signed notice of the transfer which states the name and address of the transferee.

### SECTION 111. REGISTERED NAME OF FOREIGN COOPERATIVE.

- (a) A foreign cooperative may register its name subject to the requirements of Section 109; if the name is distinguishable upon the records of the [Secretary of State] from names that are not available under Section 109.
- (b) A foreign cooperative registers its name, or its name with any addition required by Section 1205; by delivering to the [Secretary of State] for filing an application:
- (1) setting forth its name, or its name with any addition required by Section 1205; the State or country and date of its organization, and a brief description of the nature of the affairs in which it is engaged; and
- (2) accompanied by a certificate of existence, or a record of similar import, from the State or country of organization.
- (c) A foreign cooperative whose registration is effective may qualify as a foreign cooperative under its name or consent in a record to the use of its name by a cooperative later organized under this [act] or by another foreign cooperative later authorized to transact business in this State. The registered name terminates when the cooperative is organized or the foreign

1	cooperative qualifies or consents to the qualification of another foreign cooperative under the
2	registered name.
3	SECTION 112. USE OF THE TERM "COOPERATIVE".
4	(a) The use of the term cooperative or its abbreviation under this [Act] is not a
5	violation of the provisions restricting the use of the term under [other law of this State].
6	(b) Cooperatives and members of cooperatives under this Act have the power to
7	enforce the restrictions on the use of the term "cooperative" under [other law of this State].
8	Reporter's Note
9 10 11 12 13	Cooperative statutes include name protection provisions unique among organizational law. The prior draft of this Section is typical of those provisions. Many such provisions also contain bond and attorney's fees provisions but those provisions are not typically contained in other organizational law.  The April 2005 draft attempts to coordinate the name restrictions contained in other
15 16 17	cooperative law in the State, if any, with this Act without granting restrictions or rights not found elsewhere in State law.
8	SECTION 113. EFFECT OF ORGANIC RULES.
9	(a) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (b), the organic rules govern
20	relations among the partners and between the members and the cooperative. To the extent the
21	organic rules do not otherwise provide, this [Act] governs relations between the members and
22	board of director members and the cooperative.
23	(b) The organic rules may not:
24	(1) vary a cooperatives power under Section 106 to sue, be sued, and
25	defend in its own name;
26	(2) vary the law applicable to a cooperative under Section 107;

1	(3) vary the requirements of Section 208;
2	(4) vary the information required under Section 111 or unreasonably
3	restrict the right to information under Section or 621, but the organic rules may impose
4	reasonable restrictions on the availability and use of information obtained under those sections
5	and may define appropriate remedies, including liquidated damages, for a breach of any
6	reasonable restriction on use;
7	(5) eliminate the duty of loyalty under Section, but the organic rules
8	may:
9	(A) identify specific types or categories of activities that do not
10	violate the duty of loyalty, if not manifestly unreasonable; and
11	(B) specify the number or percentage of members which may
12	authorize or ratify, after full disclosure to all members of all material facts, a specific act or
13	transaction that otherwise would violate the duty of loyalty;
14	(6) unreasonably reduce the duty of care under Section;
15	(7) eliminate the obligation of good faith and fair dealing under Section
16	and, but the partnership agreement may prescribe the standards by which the
17	performance of the obligation is to be measured, if the standards are not manifestly unreasonable
18	(8) vary the power of a person to dissociate as a member under Section
19	except to require that the notice under Section be in a record;
20	(9) vary the power of a court to decree dissolution in the circumstances
21	specified in Section;
22	(10) vary the requirement to wind up the cooperative's business as

1	specified in Section;
2	(11) unreasonably restrict the right to maintain an action under [Article]
3	10;
4	(12) restrict the right of a member under Section to approve a
5	conversion or merger; or
6	(13) restrict rights under this [Act] of a person other than a partner or a
7	transferee.
8	Reporter's Note
9 10 11 12	Source: ULPA (2001). This section provides a framework in which to place nonwaivable (mandatory provisions) as this draft evolves. Provisions concerning voting and distributions obviously need to be included as nonwaivable.
13	SECTION 114. REQUIRED RECORDS. A cooperative shall maintain at its
14	designated office the following information:
15	(1) a current list showing the full name and last known street and mailing address
16	of each member, separately identifying the patronage members, in alphabetical order, and the
17	nonpatronage members, in alphabetical order;
18	(2) a current list showing the full name and last known street address, mailing
19	address, and term of office of each director and officer;
20	(3) a copy of the initial articles of organization and all amendments to and
21	restatements of the articles, together with signed copies of any powers of attorney under which
22	any articles, amendments, or restatement has been signed;
23	(4) a copy of the initial bylaws and all amendments to and restatements of the

1	bylaws;
2	(5) a copy of any filed articles of consolidation or merger;
3	(6) a copy of the cooperatives federal, state, and local income tax returns and
4	reports, if any, for the three most recent years;
5	(7) a copy of any financial statement of the cooperative for the three most recent
6	years and all other appropriate accounting records;
7	(8) a copy of the three most recent annual reports delivered by the cooperative to
8	the [Secretary of State];
9	(9) a copy of the minutes of members' meetings, and records of all actions taken
10	by members without a meeting for the three most recent years;
11	(10) a copy of the minutes of director's meetings and records of all actions taken
12	by directors without a meeting for the three most recent years;
13	(11) a copy of all communications in a record to members as a group or to any
14	class of members as a group for the three most recent years;
15	(12) a record stating:
16	(A) the amount of cash, and a description and statement of the agreed
17	value of the other benefits, contributed and agreed to be contributed by each member;
18	(B) the times at which, or events on the happening of which, any
19	additional contributions agreed to be made by each member are to be made; and
20	(C) for any person that is both a patronage and nonpatronage member, a
21	specification of the interest the person owns in each capacity.

1	Reporter's Note
2 3 4	The Committee has not yet discussed the appropriate required retention time for the enumerated records.
5	SECTION 115. BUSINESS TRANSACTIONS OF MEMBER WITH
6	COOPERATIVE. A member may lend money to and transact other business with the
7	cooperative and has the same rights and obligations with respect to the loan or other transaction
8	as a person that is not a member.
9	Reporter's Note
10 11 12	This language is consistent with the language used in ULPA (2001). Is it unambiguous in the cooperative context?
13	SECTION 116. DUAL CAPACITY. A person may be both a patron member and a
14	nonpatron member. A person that is both a patron and a nonpatron member has the rights,
15	powers, duties, and obligations provided by this [act] and the organic laws and rules in each of
16	those capacities. When the person acts as a patron member, the person is subject to the
17	obligations, duties and restrictions under this [act] and the organic laws and rules for patron
18	members. When the person acts as a nonpatron member, the person is subject to the obligations,
19	duties and restrictions under this [act] and the organic laws and rules for nonpatron members.
20	SECTION 117. OFFICE AND AGENT FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS.
21	(a) A cooperative shall designate and continuously maintain in this State:
22	(1) an office, which need not be a place of its activity in this State; and
23	(2) an agent for service of process.
24	(b) A foreign cooperative shall designate and continuously maintain in this State

1	an agent for service of process
2	(c) An agent for service of process of a cooperative or foreign cooperative must
3	be an individual who is a resident of this State or other person authorized to do business in this
4	State.
5	SECTION 118. CHANGE OF REGISTERED OFFICE OR REGISTERED
6	AGENT FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS.
7	(a) In order to change its designated office, agent for service of process, or the
8	address of its agent for service of process, a cooperative or a foreign cooperative shall deliver to
9	the [Secretary of State] for filing a statement of change containing:
10	(1) the name of the cooperative or foreign cooperative;
11	(2) the street and mailing address of its current designated office;
12	(3) if the current designated office is to be changed, the street and mailing
13	address of the new designated office;
14	(4) the name and street and mailing address of its current agent for service
15	of process; and
16	(5) if the current agent for service of process or an address of the agent is
17	to be changed, the new information.
18	(b) Subject to Section 210, a statement of change is effective when filed by the
19	[Secretary of State].
20	Reporter's Note
21 22 23	The following comment was made at the annual meeting: "Do you need or desire an electronic mailing address? Some states are moving to electronic filing. Even if not, the email address would save state money by sending routine notices by electronic mail." This is a good

point but probably does not belong in the service of process provision.

1 2

# SECTION 119. RESIGNATION OF REGISTERED AGENT FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS.

- (a) In order to resign as an agent for service of process of a cooperative or foreign cooperative, the agent must deliver to the [Secretary of State] for filing a statement of resignation containing the name of the cooperative or foreign cooperative.
- (b) After receiving a statement of resignation, the [Secretary of State] shall file it and mail a copy to the designated office of the cooperative or foreign cooperative and another copy to the principal office if the address of the office appears in the records of the [Secretary of State] and is different from the address of the designated office.
- (c) An agency for service of process is terminated on the 31st day after the [Secretary of State] files the statement of resignation.

### **SECTION 120. SERVICE OF PROCESS.**

- (a) An agent for service of process appointed by a cooperative or foreign cooperative is an agent of the cooperative or foreign cooperative for service of any process, notice, or demand required or permitted by law to be served upon the cooperative or foreign cooperative.
- (b) If a cooperative or foreign cooperative does not appoint or maintain an agent for service of process in this State or the agent for service of process cannot with reasonable diligence be found at the agent's address, the [Secretary of State] is an agent of the cooperative or foreign cooperative upon whom process, notice, or demand may be served.

1	(c) Service of any process, notice, or demand on the [Secretary of State] may be
2	made by delivering to and leaving with the [Secretary of State] duplicate copies of the process,
3	notice, or demand. If a process, notice, or demand is served on the [Secretary of State], the
4	[Secretary of State] shall forward one of the copies by registered or certified mail, return receipt
5	requested, to the cooperative or foreign cooperative at its designated office.
6	(d) Service is effected under subsection (c) at the earliest of:
7	(1) the date the cooperative or foreign cooperative receives the process,
8	notice, or demand;
9	(2) the date shown on the return receipt, if signed on behalf of the
10	cooperative or foreign cooperative; or
11	(3) five days after the process, notice, or demand is deposited in the mail,
12	if mailed postpaid and correctly addressed.
13	(e) The [Secretary of State] shall keep a record of each process, notice, and
14	demand served pursuant to this section and record the time of, and the action taken regarding, the
15	service.
16	(f) This section does not affect the right to serve process, notice, or demand in
17	any other manner provided by law.
18	Reporter's Note
19	Source: ULPA (2001). Is the term "mail" in section 119 (c) ambiguous?

#### 1 **ARTICLE 2** 2 FORMATION; ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION AND OTHER FILINGS 3 4 **SECTION 201. ORGANIZATION.** 5 (a) A cooperative may be organized by three or more organizers who are individuals. 6 7 (b) Each organizer under subsection (a) must in good faith: 8 (1) intend to become a member of the cooperative; or 9 (2) who represent entities which intend to become members of the 10 cooperative. 11 Reporter's Note 12 The issues raised in Section 201 have been discussed at length by the Committee but 13 consensus has not been reached on resolution of all the issues. 14 15 The *first* issue raised in conjunction with this Section is whether the formation of "shelf" cooperatives should be allowed. "Shelf" entities are those entities formed by promoters, or 16 17 others for possible future use without a specific current need for the entity. The tentative conclusion of the Committee was not to allow for shelf cooperatives because they are 18 inconsistent with the member focus of cooperatives. For the same reason, multiple organizers 19 20 are required under this draft. 21 22 The Committee recognizes that the execution of that tentative conclusion is difficult and 23 raises other issues including the number of members necessary to avoid dissolution. This draft 24 requires only a single member for the latter purposes, in part, because of the current use of 25 wholly owned subsidiaries of cooperatives which are themselves cooperatives and because requiring more than a single member increases the risk of inadvertent dissolution. On the other 26 27 hand, like under partnership law, it is difficult to conceive of a "cooperative" without more than 28 one member. 29 30 The use of "good faith" as a standard raises practical concerns for opinions concerning 31 "valid organization." Some standard, however, is necessary to avoid using straw persons as organizers as became the practice under corporate law when more than one incorporator was 32

required. 1 2 3 This draft raises those, and no doubt other, fundamental issues. The Minnesota Cooperative Associations Act allows for "one or more organizers... [who] need not be members." 4 The Colorado Cooperative Act too, allows for one or more "incorporators." 5 6 7 SECTION 202. FORMATION OF COOPERATIVE; ARTICLES OF 8 ORGANIZATION. 9 (a) In order for a cooperative to be formed, articles of organization must be 10 delivered to the [Secretary of State] for filing. The articles must state: 11 (1) the name of the cooperative; 12 (2) the purposes for which the cooperative was formed; 13 (3) the street and mailing address of the initial designated office and the 14 name, street and mailing address of the agent for service of process; 15 (4) the name and the street and mailing address of each organizer; 16 (5) the term for which the cooperative is to exist if other than perpetual; 17 (6) the number and terms of directors; and 18 (7) any additional information required by [Article] 14 [Merger and 19 Consolidation]. 20 (b) Articles of organization may also contain any other matters. 21 (c) If there has been substantial compliance with subsection (a) and a cooperative 22 is formed when the [Secretary of State] files the articles of organization, unless the articles state a 23 delayed effective date. If the articles state a delayed effective date, a cooperative will not be

formed if, before the articles take effect, one or more organizers sign and deliver to the [Secretary

24

SECTION 203. AMENDMENT OR RESTATEMENT OF ARTICLES OF 2 3 ORGANIZATION. 4 (a) In order to amend its articles of organization, a cooperative must deliver to the 5 [Secretary of State] for filing an amendment or, pursuant to [Article] 14, articles of merger 6 stating: 7 (1) the name of the cooperative; 8 (2) the date of filing of its initial articles; and 9 (3) the changes the amendment makes to the articles as most recently 10 amended or restated. 11 (b) A cooperative shall promptly deliver to the [Secretary of State] for filing an 12 amendment to the articles of organization to reflect the appointment of a person to wind up the 13 cooperative's activities under Section 1006. 14 (c) An organizer that knows that any information in a filed articles of 15 organization was false when the articles were filed or has become false due to changed 16 circumstances shall promptly: 17 (1) cause the articles to be amended; or 18 (2) if appropriate, deliver to the [Secretary of State] for filing an 19 amendment pursuant to Section 203 or a statement of correction pursuant to Section 211. 20 (d) Articles of organization may be amended at any time for any other proper 21 purpose as determined by the cooperative. 22 (e) Restated articles of organization may be delivered to the [Secretary of State]

1

of State] for filing a statement of cancellation.

1	for filing in the same manner as an amendment.
2	(f) Subject to Section 210, an amendment or restated article is effective when
3	filed by the [Secretary of State].
4	SECTION 204. ORGANIZATION OF COOPERATIVE. After the effective date of
5	the filing of the articles of organization:
6	(1) if initial directors are named in the articles of organization, the initial directors
7	shall hold an organizational meeting to appoint officers, adopt initial bylaws, and carry on any
8	other business brought before the meeting;
9	(2) if initial directors are not named in the articles, the organizers shall call a
10	meeting of initial members to adopt initial bylaws and elect directors.
11	Reporter's Note
12	The locus of authority of this draft are the members of the cooperative. Thus, it varies
13 14 15 16 17 18	from corporate theory reflected in most existing cooperative laws which give directors and incorporators broad discretion. This locus of authority is also reflected in this draft by requiring members to amend bylaws. This requirement causes dissonance in the formation stage of the cooperative but is consistent with industry practice under which the bylaws are the primary governance document.
13 14 15 16 17	from corporate theory reflected in most existing cooperative laws which give directors and incorporators broad discretion. This locus of authority is also reflected in this draft by requiring members to amend bylaws. This requirement causes dissonance in the formation stage of the cooperative but is consistent with industry practice under which the bylaws are the primary
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	from corporate theory reflected in most existing cooperative laws which give directors and incorporators broad discretion. This locus of authority is also reflected in this draft by requiring members to amend bylaws. This requirement causes dissonance in the formation stage of the cooperative but is consistent with industry practice under which the bylaws are the primary governance document.  Formation under this draft presents a classic circularity problem concerning which comes first: members or the cooperative. This same issue has been discussed in the context of limited liability companies. There (probably) is no nice theoretical solution to this very practical
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	from corporate theory reflected in most existing cooperative laws which give directors and incorporators broad discretion. This locus of authority is also reflected in this draft by requiring members to amend bylaws. This requirement causes dissonance in the formation stage of the cooperative but is consistent with industry practice under which the bylaws are the primary governance document.  Formation under this draft presents a classic circularity problem concerning which comes first: members or the cooperative. This same issue has been discussed in the context of limited liability companies. There (probably) is no nice theoretical solution to this very practical problem.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	from corporate theory reflected in most existing cooperative laws which give directors and incorporators broad discretion. This locus of authority is also reflected in this draft by requiring members to amend bylaws. This requirement causes dissonance in the formation stage of the cooperative but is consistent with industry practice under which the bylaws are the primary governance document.  Formation under this draft presents a classic circularity problem concerning which comes first: members or the cooperative. This same issue has been discussed in the context of limited liability companies. There (probably) is no nice theoretical solution to this very practical problem.  SECTION 205. BYLAWS.

1	statement of the classes and relative rights, preferences, and restrictions granted to or imposed
2	upon each group, class or other type of member interests, the rights to share in profits or
3	distributions of the cooperative, and the authority to admit members, which may be designated to
4	be determined by the board of directors;
5	(2) a statement designating the voting and governance rights, including
6	which members have voting power and any limitations or restrictions on the voting power
7	pursuant to Section 312;
8	(3) a statement that membership interests held by a member are
9	transferable only with the approval of the board of directors or as otherwise provided in the
10	organic rules; and
11	(4) if nonpatron members are authorized a statement as to how profits and
12	losses will be apportioned and how distributions will be made as between patron members and
13	nonpatron members; and
14	(b) The bylaws of the cooperative may contain any provision for managing and
15	regulating the affairs of the cooperative that is not inconsistent with organic law or the articles of
16	organization.
17	Reporter's Note
18 19 20 21 22	Section 205(a)(1) goes beyond what is typically considered capital structure in the corporate setting. The Drafting Committee considered alternatives but because this Act is membership based; because the articles and bylaws together constitute the agreement in other unincorporated entities; and, on the other hand, because it desired the greater formality typical in cooperatives, this draft includes greater detail. <i>See</i> Article 13.
23	SECTION 206. EMERGENCY BYLAWS.
24	(a) Unless the articles of organization provide otherwise, the board of directors

1	may adopt bylaws to be effective only in an emergency defined in subsection (d). The emergency
2	bylaws, which are subject to amendment or repeal by the members, may make all provisions
3	necessary for managing the corporation during the emergency, including:
4	(1) procedures for calling a meeting of the board of directors;
5	(2) quorum requirements for the meeting; and
6	(3) designation of additional or substitute directors.
7	(b) All provisions of the regular bylaws consistent with the emergency bylaws
8	remain effective during the emergency. The emergency bylaws are not effective after the
9	emergency ends.
10	(c) Action taken by the cooperative in good faith in accordance with the
11	emergency bylaws:
12	(1) binds the cooperative; and
13	(2) may not be used to impose liability on a director, officer, employee, or
14	agent of the cooperative.
15	(d) An emergency exists for purposes of this section if a quorum of the
16	cooperative's board of directors cannot readily be assembled because of some catastrophic event.
17	Reporter's Note
18 19 20 21 22	Emergency bylaw provisions are common in cooperative law. Similar provisions are not typically found in unincorporated entity law. The Committee thought it important, therefore, to mirror existing cooperative law. Section 206(d) needs to be revisited by the Committee. This provision might be better placed in Article 13. "Amendment to Organic Rules".
23	SECTION 207. STATEMENT OF DISSOLUTION.
24	(a) A dissolved cooperative that has completed winding up may deliver to the

1	[Secretary of State] for filing a statement of dissolution that states:
2	(1) the name of the cooperative;
3	(2) the date of filing of its initial articles of organization; and
4	(3) any other information as determined by the officer filing the statement
5	or by a person appointed pursuant to Section 1006.
6	(b) The existence of a cooperative is not terminated by the filing of a statement of
7	dissolution but the statement may specify a termination date.
8	Reporter's Note
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	There was discussion at the 2004 annual meeting suggesting that the statement of termination was a throwback to older versions of the MBCA and that this Act should follow the current MBCA provisions for filing the articles of dissolution. Because this is an unincorporated entity, however, it (now at least) follows ULPA (2001). No filing is required under this provision nor in Article 10 requiring a filing for dissolution or winding-up. This statement is simply an elective statement that may be filed. The November 2004 draft more closely followed ULLCA (1996).  The statement of dissolution simply indicates that the cooperative has entered winding-up and this provision could be moved to Article 10. ULPA (2001) does not do so but ULLCA (1996) does place it there.  Termination is a very different creature than dissolution. Upon termination the entity, and its liability shield, ends.
24	SECTION 208. SIGNING OF RECORDS.
25	(a) Each record delivered to the [Secretary of State] for filing pursuant to this
26	[act] must be signed in the following manner:
27	(1) The initial articles of organization or statement of cancellation must be
28	signed by the organizers listed in the articles.
29	(2) An amendment required by Section 1006 following the appointment

I	of a person to wind up the dissolved cooperative's activities must be signed by that person.
2	(3) Any other amendment must be signed by the person or officer
3	designated for that purpose by the cooperative.
4	(b) Any person except an organizer may sign by an attorney in fact any record to
5	be filed pursuant to this [act].
6	SECTION 209. SIGNING AND FILING PURSUANT TO JUDICIAL ORDER.
7	(a) If a person required by this [act] to sign or deliver a record to the [Secretary of
8	State] for filing does not do so, any other person that is aggrieved may petition the [appropriate
9	court] to order:
10	(1) the person to sign the record;
11	(2) deliver the record to the [Secretary of State] for filing; or
12	(3) the [Secretary of State] to file the record unsigned.
13	(b) If the person aggrieved under subsection (a) is not the cooperative or foreign
14	cooperative to which the record pertains, the aggrieved person shall make the cooperative or
15	foreign cooperative a party to the action. A person aggrieved under subsection (a) may seek the
16	remedies provided in subsection (a) in the same action in combination or in the alternative.
17	(c) A record filed unsigned pursuant to this section is effective without being
18	signed.
19	SECTION 210. DELIVERY TO AND FILING OF RECORDS BY [SECRETARY
20	OF STATE]; EFFECTIVE TIME AND DATE.
21	(a) A record authorized to be delivered to the [Secretary of State] for filing under
22	this [act] must be captioned to describe the record's purpose, be in a medium permitted by the

1	[Secretary of State] and be derivered to the [Secretary of State]. Onless the [Secretary of State]
2	determines that a record does not comply with the filing requirements of this [act], and if all
3	filing fees have been paid, the [Secretary of State] shall file the record and send a copy of the
4	filed record and a receipt for the fees to the person on whose behalf the record was filed.
5	(b) Upon request and payment of a fee, the [Secretary of State] shall send to the
6	requester a certified copy of the requested record.
7	(c) Except as otherwise provided in Sections 118 and 211, a record delivered to
8	the [Secretary of State] for filing under this [act] may specify an effective time and a delayed
9	effective date. Except as otherwise provided in this [act], a record filed by the [Secretary of
10	State] is effective:
11	(1) if the record does not specify an effective time and does not specify a
12	delayed effective date, on the date and at the time the record is filed as evidenced by the
13	[Secretary of State's] endorsement of the date and time on the record;
14	(2) if the record specifies an effective time but not a delayed effective
15	date, on the date the record is filed at the time specified in the record;
16	(3) if the record specifies an effective time but not a delayed effective
17	date, on the date the record is filed at the time specified in the record;
18	(A) the specified date; or
19	(B) the 90th day after the record is filed; or
20	(4) if the record specifies an effective time and a delayed effective date, at
21	the specified time on the earlier of:
22	(A) the specified date; or

2	SECTION 211. CORRECTING FILED RECORD.
3	(a) A cooperative or foreign cooperative may deliver to the [Secretary of State]
4	for filing a statement of correction to correct a record previously delivered by the cooperative or
5	foreign cooperative to the [Secretary of State] and filed by the [Secretary of State], if at the time
6	of filing the record contained false or erroneous information or was defectively signed.
7	(b) A statement of correction may not state a delayed effective date and must:
8	(1) describe the record to be corrected, including its filing date, or attach a
9	copy of the record as filed;
10	(2) specify the incorrect information and the reason it is incorrect or the
11	manner in which the signing was defective; and
12	(3) correct the incorrect information or defective signature.
13	(c) When filed by the [Secretary of State], a statement of correction is effective
14	retroactively as of the effective date of the record the statement corrects, but the statement is
15	effective when filed as to persons relying on the uncorrected record and adversely affected by the
16	correction.
17	SECTION 212. LIABILITY FOR FALSE INFORMATION IN FILED RECORD.
18	(a) If a record delivered to the [Secretary of State] for filing under this [act] and
19	filed by the [Secretary of State] contains false information, a person that suffers loss by reliance
20	on the information may recover damages for the loss from:
21	(1) a person that signed the record, or caused another to sign it on the
22	person's behalf, and knew the information to be false at the time the record was signed; and

(B) the 90th day after the record is filed.

1	(2) an organizer or director that has notice that the information was false
2	when the record was filed or has become false because of changed circumstances, if the organizer
3	or director has notice for a reasonably sufficient time before the information is relied upon to
4	enable the organizer or director to effect an amendment under Section 203, file a petition
5	pursuant to Section 209, or deliver to the [Secretary of State] for filing a statement of change
6	pursuant to Section 117 or a statement of correction pursuant to Section 211.
7	(b) Signing a record authorized or required to be filed under this [act] constitutes
8	an affirmation under the penalties of perjury that the facts stated in the record are true.
9	Reporter's Note
10 11 12 13 14	The choice of organizer in subsection (a)(2) is undoubtedly appropriate. The Committee has not yet discussed whether it is appropriate. The Committee has not yet discussed whether it is appropriate to add director. An addition or an alternative to director might be officer though it may be difficult at times to demarcate between officers and mere agents.
15	SECTION 213. CERTIFICATE OF EXISTENCE OR AUTHORIZATION.
16	(a) The [Secretary of State], upon request and payment of the requisite fee, shall
17	furnish a certificate of existence for a cooperative if the records filed in the [office of the
18	Secretary of State] show that the [Secretary of State] has filed articles of organization and has
19	not filed a statement of termination. A certificate of existence must state:
20	(1) the cooperative's name;
21	(2) that it was duly formed under the laws of this State and the date of
22	formation;
23	(3) whether all fees, taxes, and penalties due to the [Secretary of State]
24	under this [act] or other law have been paid;

1	(4) whether the cooperative's most recent annual report required by
2	Section 214 has been filed by the [Secretary of State];
3	(5) whether the [Secretary of State] has administratively dissolved the
4	cooperative;
5	(6) whether the cooperative's articles of organization have been amended
6	to state that the cooperative is dissolved;
7	(7) that a statement of termination has not been filed by the [Secretary of
8	State]; and
9	(8) other facts of record in the [office of the Secretary of State] which may
10	be requested by the applicant.
11	(b) The [Secretary of State], upon request and payment of the requisite fee, shall
12	furnish a certificate of authorization for a foreign cooperative if the records filed in the [office of
13	the Secretary of State] show that the [Secretary of State] has filed a certificate of authority, has
14	not revoked the certificate of authority, and has not filed a notice of cancellation. A certificate of
15	authorization must state:
16	(1) the foreign cooperative's name and any alternate name adopted under
17	Section 1205 for use in this State;
18	(2) that it is authorized to transact business in this State;
19	(3) whether all fees, taxes, and penalties due to the [Secretary of State]
20	under this [act] or other law have been paid;
21	(4) whether the foreign cooperative's most recent annual report required
22	by Section 214 has been filed by the [Secretary of State];

1	(5) that the [Secretary of State] has not revoked its certificate of authority
2	and has not filed a notice of cancellation; and
3	(6) other facts of record in the [Office of the Secretary of State] which
4	may be requested by the applicant.
5	(c) Subject to any qualification stated in the certificate, a certificate of existence
6	or authorization issued by the [Secretary of State] may be relied upon as conclusive evidence that
7	the cooperative or foreign cooperative is in existence or is authorized to transact business in this
8	State.
9	SECTION 214. ANNUAL REPORT FOR [SECRETARY OF STATE].
10	(a) A domestic cooperative or a foreign cooperative authorized to transact
11	business in this State shall deliver to the [Secretary of State] for filing an annual report that
12	states:
13	(1) the name of the domestic cooperative or foreign cooperative;
14	(2) the street and mailing address of its designated office and the name
15	and street and mailing address of its agent for service of process in this State;
16	(3) in the case of a domestic cooperative, the street and mailing address of
17	its principal office if different than its designated office; and
18	(4) in the case of a foreign cooperative the State or other jurisdiction
19	under whose law the foreign cooperative is formed and any alternative name adopted under
20	Section [1205].
21	(b) Information in an annual report must be current as of the date the annual
22	report is delivered to the [Secretary of State].

(c) The first annual report must be delivered to the [Secretary of State] between
[January 1 and April 1] of the year following the calendar year in which a domestic cooperative
was formed or a foreign cooperative was authorized to transact business. An annual report must
be delivered to the [Secretary of State] between [January 1 and April 1] of each subsequent
calendar year.

- (d) If an annual report does not contain the information required in subsection (a), the [Secretary of State] shall promptly notify the reporting domestic cooperative or foreign cooperative and return the report to it for correction. If the report is corrected to contain the information required in subsection (a) and delivered to the [Secretary of State] within 30 days after the effective date of the notice, it is timely delivered.
- (e) If a filed annual report contains an address of a designated office or the name or address of an agent for service of process which differs from the information shown in the records of [Secretary of State] immediately before the filing, the differing information in the annual report is considered a statement of change under Section 117.
- (f) A cooperative that has failed to file a registration under the requirements of this section must be dissolved by the secretary of state under Section 1009.

SECTION 215. FILING FEES; RULES AND REGULATIONS; ANNUAL REPORTS AND LICENSE TAXES. Unless otherwise provided, the filing fee for documents filed under this article with the [Secretary of State] shall be subject to the provisions of [the general business corporation law of this state]. The [Secretary of State] shall promulgate rules and regulations necessary to implement the provisions of this article.

1	Reporter's Note
2	Consideration might be given to bracketing this Section. The obvious idea is to use the
3	same fee schedule as used for similar filings.
4	
5	The base source for much of this Article is ULPA (2001) which is the latest
6	pronouncement of the Conference on these matters.

1	ARTICLE 3
2	MEMBERS
3	
4	<b>SECTION 301. MEMBERS.</b> A cooperative shall have [one] or more members.
5	Reporter's Note
6 7 8	Section 301 is inconsistent with the Section 201 which requires three organizers. <i>See</i> Reporter's Note Section 201. This is an important theoretical as well as practical issue.
9	SECTION 302. BECOMING MEMBER. A person becomes a member:
10	(1) as provided in the organic rules;
11	(2) under Section 1002 following the dissociation of the cooperative's last
12	member;
13	(3) as the result of merger or consolidation under [Article] 14; or
14	(4) with the consent of all the members.
15	SECTION 303. NO RIGHT OR POWER AS MEMBER TO BIND
16	COOPERATIVE. A member does not have the right or power as a member to act for or bind
17	the cooperative.
18	Reporter's Note
19 20	Source: ULPA (2001).
21	SECTION 304. NO LIABILITY AS MEMBER FOR COOPERATIVE
22	<b>OBLIGATIONS.</b> Unless otherwise provided by the articles of organization, an obligation of a
23	cooperative whether arising in contract, tort, or otherwise, is not the obligation of a member. A

1 member is not personally liable, directly or indirectly, by way of contribution or otherwise, for an 2 obligation of the cooperative solely by reason of being a member. 3 Reporter's Note 4 Source: ULPA (2001). There has been some discussion about modifying the ULPA (2001) language to include the word "personal" in an attempt to make the provision clearer but it 5 is not certain it does so and there is a cost associated with changing the language from one Act to 6 7 another if the intent is the same. 8 9 SECTION 305. RIGHT OF MEMBER AND FORMER MEMBER TO 10 INFORMATION. 11 (a) On 10 days' demand, made in a record received by the cooperative, a member 12 may inspect and copy required information during regular business hours in the cooperative's 13 designated office. The member need not have any particular purpose for seeking the information. 14 (b) During regular business hours and at a reasonable location specified by the 15 cooperative, a member may obtain from the cooperative and inspect and copy true and full 16 information regarding the state of the activities and financial condition of the cooperative and 17 other information regarding the activities of the cooperative as is just and reasonable if: 18 (1) the member seeks the information for a purpose reasonably related to 19 the member's interest as a member; 20 (2) the member makes a demand in a record received by the cooperative, 21 describing with reasonable particularity the information sought and the purpose for seeking the 22 information: and 23 (3) the information sought is directly connected to the member's purpose. 24 (c) Within 10 days after receiving a demand pursuant to subsection (b), the

1	cooperative shall inform the member in a record that made the demand:
2	(1) what information the cooperative will provide in response to the
3	demand;
4	(2) when and where the cooperative will provide the information; and
5	(3) if the cooperative declines to provide any demanded information, the
6	cooperative's reasons for declining.
7	(d) Subject to subsection (f), a person dissociated as a member may inspect and
8	copy required information during regular business hours in the cooperative's designated office if:
9	(1) the information pertains to the period during which the person was a
10	member;
11	(2) the person seeks the information in good faith; and
12	(3) the person meets the requirements of subsection (b).
13	(e) The cooperative shall respond to a demand made pursuant to subsection (d) in
14	the same manner as provided in subsection (c).
15	(f) If a member dies, Section 903 applies.
16	(g) The cooperative may impose reasonable restrictions on the use of information
17	obtained under this Section. In a dispute concerning the reasonableness of a restriction under this
18	subsection, the cooperative has the burden of proving reasonableness.
19	(h) A cooperative may charge a person that makes a demand under this Section
20	reasonable costs of copying, limited to the costs of labor and material.
21	(i) Whenever this [act] or the organic rules provide for a member to give or
22	withhold consent to a matter, before the consent is given or withheld, the cooperative shall,

1 without demand, provide the member with all information material to the member's decision that 2 the cooperative knows. (j) A member or person dissociated as a member may exercise the rights under 3 4 this section through an attorney or other agent. Any restriction imposed under subsection (g) or 5 by the organic rules member or person dissociated as a member applies both to the attorney or 6 other agent and to the member or person dissociated as a member. 7 (k) The rights stated in this section do not extend to a person as transferee, but 8 may be exercised by the legal representative of an individual under legal disability who is a 9 member or person dissociated as a member. 10 Reporter's Note 11 This Section generally tracks the rights given limited partners under ULPA (2001) and is 12 similar to the formulation under modern cooperative and corporate acts. In addition to the 13 information in the "required records" under this draft, the Minnesota Cooperative Associations 14 Act mandates each member is entitled a "Statement of Membership Interest." For purposes of 15 this draft it is intended that the information contained in the Minnesota "Statement" is available through the required records. Nonetheless the relevant portion of the Minnesota Act is set forth 16 below for discussion purposes: 17 18 19 308B.611. Nature of a membership interest and statement of 20 interest owned 21 22 Subd. 2. Statement of membership interest. At the request of any member, the cooperative shall state in writing the particular 23 24 membership interest owned by that member as of the date the 25 cooperative makes the statement. The statement must describe the 26 member's rights to vote, if any, to share in profits and losses, and to share in distributions, restrictions on assignments of financial 27 28 rights under section 308B.605, subdivision 3, or voting rights 29 under section 308B.555 then in effect, as well as any assignment of member's rights then in effect other than a security interest. 30 31

noting legislative experience on traditional cooperatives in Washington and Oregon. Would

Since the last drafting meeting an advisor has suggested revisiting subsections (c) and (e)

32

1 2	including a "statement of interest" obviate the need for many rules on information rights?
3	The interrelationship between this Section of the draft and the rights of dissociated
4	members and transferees has not yet been fully discussed.
5	
6	SECTION 306. ANNUAL MEMBERS' MEETINGS.
7	(a) The members of the cooperative shall meet annually as provided in the
8	organic rules or at the direction of the board of directors not inconsistent with the organic rules.
9	(b) Annual members meetings may be held in or out of this state at the place
10	stated in the organic rules or by the board of directors in accordance with the organic rules.
11	(c) Unless otherwise provided by the organic rules, the presiding officer of the
12	annual members' meeting shall be designated by the board of directors.
13	(d) The board of directors shall report, or cause to be reported, the business and
14	financial condition as of the close of the fiscal year at the annual members' meeting first
15	preceding the date of the meeting.
16	Reporter's Note
17	This section expands the MBCA provision to address issues, e.g. meeting chair and
18	financial reports, typically addressed in general cooperative law. Note that there is no time
19	period following the close of the fiscal year in which the meeting must necessarily be held.
20 21	Annual meetings are not generally required under general partnership law (e.g. UPA (1997)), limited partnership law (e.g. ULPA (2001)) or limited liability company law (e.g. ULLCA). Best
22	practice would be to coordinate the dates of the meetings in the organic rules.
23	processes would be to coordinate the dates of the incestings in the organic rates.
24	Although the MBCA, could subsection (a) be deleted without harm?
25	
26	SECTION 307. SPECIAL MEMBER'S MEETINGS.
27	(a) Special members' meetings shall be called
28	(1) as provided in the organic rules;

1	(2) by a majority vote of the board of directors;
2	(3) by demand in a record signed by members holding at least 10 percent
3	of the votes of any class or group entitled to cast on the matter that is the purpose of the meeting;
4	or
5	(4) by demand in a record signed by the members holding at least 10
6	percent of all votes entitled to be cast on the matter that is the purpose of the meeting.
7	(b) Any voting member may withdraw its demand under subsection (a)(3) and
8	(a)(4) prior to the receipt by the cooperative of demands sufficient to require a special members
9	meeting.
10	(c) Special members' meetings may be held in or out of this state at the place
11	stated in the organic rules or by the board of directors in accordance with the organic rules.
12	(d) Only affairs within the purpose or purposes stated pursuant to Section 309(c)
13	may be conducted at a special members meeting.
14	(e) Unless otherwise provided by the organic rules, the presiding officer of the
15	meeting shall be designated by the board of directors.
16	Reporter's Note
17 18 19 20	Subsection (a)(3) and (a)(4) generally follows the Minnesota Cooperative Associations Act. Those subsections do not seem to be mutually exclusive and query whether there are any circumstances where subsection (a)(4) would apply without subsection (a)(3) also applying.
21 22 23 24	The MBCA allows the 10 percent minimum for demand to be varied upward to 25 percent if provided in the articles of incorporation. As drafted, the 10 percent requirement here is probably mandatory. Should it be?
25	SECTION 308. EXPRESS AUTHORITY FOR DISTRICT MEETINGS. The

1 articles of organization of a cooperative may provide that district members may elect delegates at 2 district member meetings who shall represent the district in annual and special members 3 meetings. 4 Reporter's Note 5 Query whether this section is (1) necessary or (2) should be limited to patronage 6 members. 7 8 Neither this draft nor the general cooperative statutes consulted provide for any type of "fiduciary duties" for representatives of districts even though agency principles could apply. The 9 10 Committee has not yet discussed this issue though it has discussed whether members, generally, have fiduciary duties. There exists strong sentiment on the Committee that members, solely by 11 12 reason of being members, should not have fiduciary duties. A finer issue is whether members owe (or should owe) the cooperative or other members a duty of good faith or fair dealing. 13 14 15 For the notice required of district meetings see Section 309(d). 16 17 SECTION 309. NOTICE OF MEMBERS MEETINGS. 18 (a) The cooperative shall notify each member of the time, date and place of any 19 annual or special member meeting not less than [fifteen] days before the meeting. 20 (b) Unless [this act] or the articles of organization otherwise provide, notice of an 21 annual members meeting need not include [a description of] the purpose or purposes of the 22 meeting. 23 (c) Notice of a special members meeting must include [a description of] the 24 purpose or purposes of the meeting as contained in the demand under Sections 307 (a)(3) and (a)(4) or as voted upon by the board of directors under Section 307 (a)(2). 25 26 (d) Notice of district meetings under Section 308 shall be given to members of

the district in the same manner as provided in subsections (a) through (c).

1	Reporter's Note
2 3 4 5	Query whether the members of a district, having elected a delegate, need to be given notice of the meeting of delegates or whether those members may take part in the meeting. <i>See</i> Reporter's Note, Section 308.
6	SECTION 310. WAIVER OF MEETING NOTICE.
7	(a) A member may waive notice of any meeting of the members either before,
8	during, or after the meeting.
9	(b) A member's participation in a meeting is waiver of notice of that meeting
10	unless the member objects to the meeting at the beginning of the meeting or promptly upon its
11	arrival at the meeting and does not thereafter vote for or assent to action taken at the meeting.
12	SECTION 311. QUORUM OF MEMBERS. Unless the articles of organization
13	provide otherwise, the voting power of those members present at an annual or special members
14	meeting shall constitute a quorum.
15	Reporter's Note
16 17 18 19 20	The interaction of Sections 310 and 311 means that a member objecting to a meeting under Section 310 is present for purposes of the quorum under 311. The quorum is low. The quorum requirement could, of course, be bifurcated by the number of the cooperative's members. Is "voting power" a confusing term? Could it be replaced with "votes"?
21	SECTION 312. VOTING BY PATRONAGE MEMBERS.
22	(a) Each patron member has one vote except the organic rules may provide
23	additional voting power to members on the basis of patronage under Section 314(a) and may
24	provide for voting by district, group, or class under subsection (b) of this section.
25	(b) The organic rules may provide:

1	(1) for the formation of districts and the conduct of member meetings by
2	districts and that elections of directors may be held at district meetings; or
3	(2) that districts may elect district delegates to represent and vote for the
4	district in annual and special meetings of the members.
5	(c) Delegates selected under subsection (b) shall have one vote subject to Section
6	314(b).
7	(d) If a cooperative has both patron and nonpatron members:
8	(1) the aggregate voting power of all patron members shall be not less
9	than [two-thirds] [three quarters] of the entire voting power entitled to vote [but the organic rules
10	may reduce the collective voting power of patronage members to not less than a majority of the
11	entire voting power entitled to vote]; and
12	(2) the entire aggregate voting power of patron members shall be voted as
13	determined by the majority vote of patronage members voting at the members meeting.
14	Reporter's Note
15 16 17	Subsection (b) has been reformulated and redrafted. The general meeting notice provisions should be equally applicable to (b)(2).
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The quantum of voting reserved to patron members under Section 312(d) is controversial because it is a departure from the general law of cooperatives. It has been controversial in Committee discussion. It is also one of the primary changes that allows for greater flexibility for capital formation. Other "new generation" cooperative laws are far less restrictive than this draft For example, Minnesota substitutes fifteen (15) percent for the two bracketed alternatives and the "majority" floor.
25	SECTION 313. ACTION WITHOUT A MEETING.
26	(a) Unless otherwise provided by the organic rules, any action that may be taken

I	by the members may be taken without a meeting if each member entitled to vote on such action
2	consents to the action in a record.
3	(b) Consent under subsection (a) may be withdrawn by the member in a record at
4	any time before the cooperative receives a consent from each member entitled to vote.
5	(c) The consent record of any action may specify the effective date or time of the
6	action.
7	Reporter's Note
8 9 10 11 12	What about the possibility of nonvoting members? This Section states the general rule of unincorporated law and at least some traditional co-op statutes. Under unincorporated law this provision is a default rule. Should it be mandatory in this organization. <i>See</i> Or. Rev. Stat. §62.305.
13	SECTION 314. DETERMINATION OF VOTING POWER OF PATRONAGE
14	MEMBER OR DELEGATE.
15	(a) The organic rules may provide additional voting power be allocated for each
16	patron member for:
17	(1) actual, estimated, or potential patronage or any combination thereof;
18	(2) equity allocated or held by a patron member in the cooperative;
19	[(3) if the patronage member is a cooperative, the number of patron
20	members of the member cooperative]; or
21	(4) any combination of clauses (a)(1), (a)(2) and (a)(3) of this subsection.
22	(b) The organic rules may provide additional voting power be allocated to each
23	district group or class or delegate for the aggregate of the number of patron members in each
24	such district, group or class or as provided under subsection (a).

1	SECTION 315. VOTING BY NONPATRONAGE MEMBERS.
2	(a) If the organic rules provide for nonpatron members, each nonpatron member
3	has one vote except as otherwise provided by the organic rules.
4	(b) The collective voting power of nonpatron members is subject to Section
5	312(c).
6	SECTION 316. MANNER OF VOTING.
7	(a) Proxy voting by members is prohibited except the organic rules may provide
8	for member voting by secret mail ballot.
9	(b) Delegate voting based on geographical district, group, or class is not voting by
10	proxy under this [Section].
11	Reporter's Note
12 13 14 15	In some states proxy voting is not available and in others it is allowed. Perhaps most traditionally, cooperative law often provides for mail ballots. For example, the Oregon general cooperative statute, in part, states:
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	(2) Members as such shall not vote by proxy; but a member that is a corporation, association or partnership may designate a representative to cast its vote. In the absence of written notice that some person has been designated to represent a member which is other than a natural person, such member may be represented by any of its principal officers. If the bylaws of a cooperative provide for the formation of districts and the election of delegates at district meetings to represent their districts in member meetings, such representation is not considered voting by proxy, and the delegates so elected shall cast the votes to which members represented by them are entitled on such matters as are not covered by mail ballots submitted to all members.
28 29 30 31 32	(3) If the bylaws so provide, the board may cause to be submitted by mail ballot any question to be voted on at any member meeting, including the election of directors. In such event the secretary shall mail to each member along with the notice of the meeting, the

ballot on each such question and a voting envelope. The ballot may be cast only in a sealed envelope which is authenticated by the member's signature. A vote so cast shall be counted as if the member were present and voting in person.

2 3

Query whether member proxy voting should be allowed if the organic rules so state? As a matter of analysis, member proxies are distinguishable from any sort of board member proxy. Corporate law generally provides for the former but not the latter. The Uniform Limited Partnership Act (2001) provides for proxy voting (section 118). Any voting by proxy, however, seems to dilute the deliberative function of a required meeting and is at odds with traditional coop values even though currently allowed by a significant number of states.

1	ARTICLE 4
2	MEMBERSHIP INTERESTS
3	
4	SECTION 401. MEMBERS INTEREST. A members' interest in the cooperative is its
5	membership interest. A membership interest:
6	(1) consists of participation in governance under [Article] 3 and participation in
7	allocation and distributions under [Article 8]; and
8	(2) is personal property; and
9	(3) may be in certificated or uncertificated form.
10	SECTION 402. TERMS OF MEMBERSHIP INTERESTS.
11	(a) Subject to subsection (b), membership interests shall be patron membership
12	interests with equal rights unless the organic rules establish patron membership groups, districts,
13	or classes with differing rights or authorize the board of directors to establish such patron
14	membership groups, districts, or classes.
15	(b) The organic rules may establish nonpatron membership interests and all
16	nonpatron membership interests shall have equal rights with all other nonpatron membership
17	interests unless the organic rules:
18	(1) establish different classes of nonpatron membership interests; or
19	(2) authorize the board of directors to establish different classes of
20	membership interests.
21	Reporter's Note
22	The draft of this section is conceptually consistent with the Minnesota Cooperative

Associations Act. It differs, however, in that the Minnesota Act contains subsections governing the form of the board of resolution and a subsection detailing, without limitation, the kinds of rights and preferences difference classes might possess (*e.g.* cumulative distributions, distribution preferences, and voting rights).

1 2

If an agricultural cooperative governed by this draft had not provided for nonpatron interests, but after formation decided to do so, it would be required to amend either its articles or bylaws to so provide. This draft requires a two-thirds member vote for bylaw amendments dealing with members' relative rights and preferences and all article amendments require two-thirds vote (of those votes present at the members meeting).

In order to understand Article 4 it is necessary to reference Article 8 ("Contributions, Allocations and Distributions"). It may be necessary to add a definition (Section 102) for financial rights to clarify the intent of Articles 4 and 8. *See*, Section 404, Reporter's Note.

#### **SECTION 403. TRANSFERABILITY OF MEMBERSHIP INTERESTS.** Unless

otherwise provided in the organic rules and subject to Section 404 [Transfer of Financial Interests] membership interests shall be nontransferable. The terms of the restriction on transferability shall be set forth in the cooperative's organic rules, the interest transfer records of the cooperative, and shall be conspicuously noted on certificates evidencing a member's interest if certificates, if any.

### SECTION 404. TRANSFER OF FINANCIAL INTEREST.

- (a) A member may transfer its financial interests in the cooperative unless the transfer is restricted by the organic rules. Any restriction on the members' right to transfer its financial interest shall not be manifestly unreasonable.
- (b) The transferee of a member's financial interest has, to the extent transferred, the right to share in the allocation of surplus, profits or losses and to receive the distributions to the member transferring the interest.
  - (c) The transferee shall not become a member upon transfer of a member's

2 (d) Subject to Section 901, the member transferring the interest shall continue to have the power to exercise its governance rights in the cooperative unless otherwise provided in 3 4 the organic rules. 5 (e) A cooperative need not give effect to a transfer under this Section until the cooperative has notice of the transfer. 6 (f) A transfer of a members financial interest in violation of a restriction on 7 8 transfer contained in the organic rules is ineffective as to a person having notice of the restriction 9 at the time of transfer. 10 (g) Unless otherwise provided by the organic rules, the granting of a security 11 interest in the financial interest by a member shall not be considered a transfer for purposes of 12 this Section. 13 Reporter's Note 14 This Section (and article) is based on unincorporated organizational law. For purposes of 15 the 2005 Annual Meeting it remains unchanged; however, that should not be interpreted as a Drafting Committee decision to confirm this language. There was much concern expressed 16 about the intent, operation, and drafting of this Article. As stated in the Reporter's Notes to other 17 sections the confusion is definitionally rooted. At least to some extent, any ambiguity in the 18 19 current draft reflects overlapping use of the terms in industry. 20 21 Most broadly the solution rests in the following concepts: value given, allocated, or paid 22 based on: 23 24 (i) the use of the cooperative (e.g., in a supply co-op the amount paid by a person 25 for petroleum products during the year) relative to the financial performance of the cooperative; 26 27 (ii) the delivery of products sold to (e.g., marketing cooperatives) or services 28 rendered (e.g., worker cooperatives) to or on behalf of the cooperative; 29 30 (iii) an allocation and/or distribution based on membership or investment in the

financial rights unless it is admitted as a member by the cooperative.

cooperative.

Even under existing traditional law there is a great deal of flexibility given cooperatives to fashion these payments. For example: entering into a marketing contract (direct payment) with a producer might require that producer to be a member of the cooperative (and membership may require an investment – nominal or otherwise) and that any member may receive a year-end allocation based on the value of product delivered under the contract (and any other additional product accepted outside the contract) relative to the performance of the cooperative. Further, under current corporate based statutes, "investors" might purchase preferred stock and, subject to legal capital constraints, be guaranteed a return.

On the other hand, the cooperative may not require membership for entering to a marketing contract but under its contractual terms promise participation in a defined financial pool based on the value of the product at time of delivery.

Given these two scenarios a reasonable interpretation is that there can be *patron members* (the producer with the marketing contract requiring membership); *nonpatron members* (analogous to the preferred shareholder); and, *nonmember patrons* (the producer with the marketing contract that does not require membership but whom receives a *contractual* payment based on "business done".

Under the current draft "membership" is not transferable. Thus the member cannot transfer her voting rights. If a marketing contract *requires* membership as a condition precedent then, as a practical matter the contract could not be assigned. (Note, however, that payments *on account* of the contract would be subject to other law). If, however, the marketing contract does *not* require membership; the assignability of the contract or the delegation of its performance would be governed by contract law outside this draft (personal contract?, anti-assignment clauses?, *etc.*).

Of course, the contract itself could state it is assignable with or without consent of the cooperative. Likewise, the articles could allow transfer of the membership interest with or without consent of the cooperative.

So the financial interest of the membership is highly contextual on the organic documents and the "deal". Nonetheless, there is a dichotomy between the membership interest and the marketing contract and it seems in the typical the financial interest of the member would *not* include right to payment under the marketing contract because that would be governed by contract law.

The right *of a member as a member* to receive an allocation based on patronage (or otherwise) under the default rule, however, would be a financial right.

Other uniform unincorporated acts use the term "transferable interest" which might cause

less confusion.

1 2

### SECTION 405. RIGHTS OF CREDITOR OF MEMBER OR TRANSFEREE.

- (a) On application to a court of competent jurisdiction by any judgment creditor of a member or transferee, the court may charge the transferable interest of the judgment debtor with payment of the unsatisfied amount of the judgment with interest. To the extent so charged, the judgment creditor has only the rights of a transferee. The court may appoint a receiver of the share of the distributions due or to become due to the judgment debtor in respect of the cooperative and make all other orders, directors, accounts, and inquiries the judgment debtor might have made or which the circumstances of the case may require to give effect to the charged order.
- (b) A charging order constitutes a lien on the judgment debtor's financial interest.The court may order a foreclosure upon the interest subject to the charging order at any time.The purchaser at the foreclosure sale becomes a transferee.
  - (c) At any time before foreclosure, an interest charged may be redeemed:
    - (1) by the judgment debtor;
- (2) with property other than cooperative property, or by one or more of the other members; or
- (3) with members property, by the cooperative with the consent of all partners whose interests are not so charged.
- (d) This [act] does not deprive any member or transferee of the benefit of any exemption laws applicable to the member's or transferee's financial interest.

(e) This section provides the exclusive remedy by which a judgment creditor of a

member or transferee may satisfy a judgment out of the judgment debtor's financial interest.

# Reporter's Note

This Section is derived with minor modification from ULPA (2001). The charging order provision has been the subject of much discussion in conjunction with the Conference's current LLC drafting project. Because of the significant work being done on this issue in the LLC Committee, this Section is not yet ripe for discussion beyond inclusion of the charging order concept in the context of the agriculture or agricultural cooperative. Minnesota does have an analogue to the charging order provision. *See*, Section 404, Reporter's Note.

Many cooperative acts address set-off by the cooperative of obligations owed it by the members and establish priority in the cooperative for such set-off. This draft leaves set-offs to other law. Several advisors remain uncomfortable with this decision but it has not been revisited by the Drafting Committee. The Committee will do so sometime in 2005-2006. The issue interrelates with UCC Art. 9, and other state creditor rights statutes. Set-off is expressly provided under some banking law statutes. For purposes of uniformity, a more extensive search of "modern" corporate-based cooperative statutes will be undertaken by the Reporter.

The same distinction between *member's* financial interest and contractual rights under a marketing contract (in those marketing cooperatives which choose to have marketing contracts) discussed in the Reporter's Note to Section 404 are applicable to this Section and is extended below.

The case where membership is required in order to enter into a marketing contract is probably the most difficult case. If the cooperative chooses to make membership transferable (a derivation from the default rule) it needs to carefully define the "entitlement". For example, it might desire a consent right for the transfer of the membership interest based on proven ability to produce its articles might more clearly delimit that membership is a necessary but not sufficient precondition for actually entering the contract.

Nonetheless: If the membership interest *entitles* the member to enter into a contract and the membership interest and the underlying contract are freely transferable; THEN those rights and the value of those rights would be subject to sale at foreclosure. Payments made under an existing contract, however, would be contract rights not financial rights not subject to this Section and would be governed by that law.

Any other amounts allocated to a member *as a member* or any return of contributed capital would also be subject to this Section (when paid in a charging order without foreclosure).

The assumption in the foregoing illustration:

1	(i) will occur only in marketing cooperatives that enter into marketing contracts;
2	and
3	
4	(ii) will occur only where the cooperative has made a decision to deviate from the
5	default rule of nontransferability of membership interests (caveat: the bankruptcy courts are
6	currently struggling with this issue as a matter of LLC law).
7	
8	In sum, it is highly contextual and most confusion will not be cause by the act under the
9	default rules because the cooperative has the ability to formulate the rules that frame the context.

1	ARTICLE 5
2	MARKETING CONTRACTS
3	
4	SECTION 501. AUTHORITY. Unless otherwise provided by organic rules, a
5	cooperative may contract with another party, who need not be a patron member, requiring the
6	other party to:
7	(1) sell, or deliver for sale or marketing on the person's behalf, a specified portion
8	of the other party's agricultural product or specified commodity exclusively to, or through, the
9	cooperative or any facilities furnished by the cooperative or authorize the cooperative to act for
10	the party in any manner with respect to the product;
11	(2) buy or procure from or through the cooperative or any facilities furnished by
12	it, all or a specified part of the goods or services to be bought or procured by the party or
13	authorize the cooperative to act for the party in any manner in the procurement of goods or the
14	performance of services.
15	Reporter's Note
16 17 18	This language is adapted from <i>Or. Rev. Stat.</i> § 62.355. <i>See, West's Ann. Cal. Food &amp; Agric. Code</i> §§ 54261-266.
19	SECTION 502. MARKETING CONTRACTS.
20	(a) The contract may provide for sale of the product or commodity to the
21	cooperative, and, if so, the sale shall transfer title absolutely to the cooperative except for security
22	interests properly perfected under other law, upon delivery or at any other specific time expressly
23	provided by the contract.

1	(b) The contract may authorize the cooperative to grant a security interest in the
2	product or commodity delivered, and may provide that the cooperative may sell the product or
3	commodity delivered, and pay or distribute the sales price on a pooled or other basis to the other
4	party after deducting the following:
5	(1) selling, processing, overhead, and other costs and expenses; and
6	(2) reserves for the purposes set forth under Section 805.
7	Reporter's Note
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The topics covered in this Section is common to all statutes but the language is novel based upon discussion at the last Committee meeting. It is important because cooperatives need to clearly ascertain whether the contract is a "buy-sell" or "agency" contract not only as a matter of state law but because of issues raised by pending federal income taxation litigation under the taxation of cooperatives. The tax issues become more complex if a cooperative under this draft is taxed as a partnership. Moreover, there is at least one financial accounting issue which turns on the type of contract.  Many of the current statutes stress "title" which in other contexts has been ceded to UCC law so, at least arguably, language in the older statutes may be anachronistic though Committee discussion observed the importance of "insurable title" to the cooperative. The Committee has not vetted this particular language and the reporter has little confidence that this language is yet "dialed-in" appropriately.
22	SECTION 503. TERM OF CONTRACT. A single term of a contract shall not exceed
23	ten years but may be renewable for additional periods not exceeding five years each subject to the
24	right of either party not to renew by giving record notice during a period of the current term as
25	specified in the contract.
26	Reporter's Note
27 28	The substance of this section is common to many cooperative statutes.
29	

1	SECTION 504. REMEDIES FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT.
2	(a) The contract or organic rules may establish a specific sum of money as
3	liquidated damages to be paid by a patron member to the cooperative. The damages may be a
4	percentage of the value of a specific amount per unit of the product, goods or services involved
5	by the breach or a fixed sum of money.
6	(b) If there is a breach or threatened breach of a contract the cooperative is
7	entitled to an injunction to prevent the breach and to a judgment of specific performance.
8	Pending the adjudication of the action, and upon filing sufficient bond, the cooperative is entitled
9	to a temporary restraining order and a preliminary injunction.
10	Reporter's Note
11	Source: See generally Minnesota Cooperatives Associations Act, Oregon Cooperative
12	Corporations Act. Former section 505 was entitled "Contract Interference and False Reports". A
13	version of section 505 now appears at section 1703 for ease of its discussion with related
14 15	provisions. Dependent on the resolution of the policy (and legislative enactment) discussion the Committee is invited to decide where those provisions should appear in the act.
	Total and the west of the state

## 1 [ARTICLE] 6 2 **DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS** 3 4 SECTION 601. EXISTENCE AND POWERS OF BOARD OF DIRECTORS. 5 (a) A cooperative must have a board of directors consisting of three or more 6 directors as set forth in the organic rules unless the number of members is less than three. If 7 there are fewer than three members, the number of directors shall not be less than the number of 8 members in the cooperative as set forth in the organic rules. 9 (b) The affairs of the cooperative must be managed by, or under the direction of, 10 the board of directors. 11 (c) A director does not have agency authority on behalf of the cooperative solely 12 by being a director. 13 Reporter's Note 14 The language used in subsection 601(a) is modeled on section 62.280(2) of the Oregon Cooperative Corporation Act. Some statutes, for example, the California Nonprofit Association 15 Act require a minimum of three directors. This subsection allows the articles to establish the 16 number of directors at a number greater than three in all cases. The subsection does not limit the 17 number of directors to the number of members where there are fewer than three members. 18 19 20 The flexibility afforded to deviate below three directors recognizes the industry practice 21 of having wholly owned cooperative subsidiaries of a cooperative. In those circumstances the 22 Committee saw little necessity of having more than one director. Further, if there are two 23 members the Committee decided that it would be ill-advised to require a minimum of three directors. Thus, subsection 601(a) provides the members great flexibility, but not unfettered 24 25 flexibility, in organizing their own board governance structure. 26 27 The word "may" in subsection (a) following "the number of directors" replaces the word 28 "shall" as a matter of style. The Committee may want to discuss this change. 29

1	SECTION 602. NO LIABILITY AS DIRECTOR FOR COOPERATIVE'S
2	<b>OBLIGATIONS.</b> An obligation of a cooperative, whether arising in contract, tort, or otherwise
3	is not the obligation of a director. A director is not personally liable, directly or indirectly, by
4	way of contribution or otherwise, for an obligation of the cooperative solely by reason of being a
5	director.
6	Reporter's Note
7 8 9	Source: Derived from ULPA (2001). "New" to the law of cooperatives.
10	SECTION 603. QUALIFICATIONS OF DIRECTORS AND COMPOSITION OF
11	BOARD.
12	(a) A director must be an individual.
13	(b) The organic rules may provide for qualification of directors subject to this
14	Section.
15	(c) Unless otherwise provided in the organic rules a director may be an officer or
16	employee of the cooperative.
17	(d) Except as otherwise provided in the organic rules and subject to subsection
18	(e), each director must be a member of the cooperative or a designate of a member that is not an
19	individual.
20	(e) The number of nonmember directors elected or appointed under subsection
21	(d) may not exceed:
22	(1) one director if there are two, three, or four directors; and
23	(2) one-fifth of the total number of directors if there are five or more

2 Reporter's Note 3 Subsections (d) and (e) reflect the consensus of the Committee. The word "representative" in a prior draft has been replaced by the word "designate" in an attempt to cause 4 less confusion concerning to whom the director owes allegiance under this Act. There was no 5 prohibition that officers may not serve as directors and subject to discussion at the November 6 2004 meeting subsection (c) has been added. Note the importance of the word "appointed". 7 8 Also note that the number of nonmember directors is severely restricted and reflects a 9 cooperative policy that is different than corporate policy and at odds with the general thrust of federal securities laws for publicly traded corporations. 10 11 12 SECTION 604. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS. 13 (a) At least two-thirds of the board of directors of a cooperative must be elected 14 exclusively by patron members. 15 (b) The articles of organization may provide for the election of all or a specified 16 number of directors by the holders of one or more groups or classes of member's interest. 17 (c) The organic rules may provide for the nomination or election of directors by 18 geographic district directly or by district delegates. 19 (d) Cumulative voting is prohibited unless otherwise provided in the articles of 20 organization. 21 (e) Except as otherwise provided in Section 609, member directors must be 22 elected at an annual members' meeting. 23 (f) Nonmember directors must be elected in the same manner as member 24 directors unless the organic rules provide for a different manner of selection. 25 Reporter's Note 26 Subsection (c) was new in the April 2005 draft and has been revised pursuant to

1

directors.

discussion at that meeting. Corporate statutes typically no longer define "cumulative voting". 1 2 3 Subsection (e) is new. The advisors to the drafting committee suggested that the act specifically acknowledge the use of an appointment process for nonmember directors. These 4 5 directors are used to provide special expertise on cooperative boards. 6 7 SECTION 605. TERMS OF DIRECTORS. 8 (a) Directors' terms expire at the annual members' meeting following their 9 election unless otherwise provided in the articles of organization. In no event may the stated 10 term of any director exceed three years. 11 (b) Unless otherwise provided in the organic rules, directors may be reelected for 12 subsequent terms. 13 (c) A director continues to serve as director until a successor director is elected 14 and qualified or until the director is removed, resigns, or dies. 15 Reporter's Note 16 17 If a successor is not elected the director previously in the position would continue to serve under the operation of this section. This section coordinates with section 608 ("Board Vacancy") 18 19 Is "may" the correct choice in subsection (a) second sentence (again a matter of style more than 20 substance). 21 22 SECTION 606. RESIGNATION OF DIRECTORS. 23 (a) A director may resign at any time by giving notice in a record to the 24 cooperative. (b) A resignation is effective when notice is received by the cooperative unless 25 the notice states a later effective date. 26

1	Reporter's Note
2 3 4 5 6	Subsection (a) states a "director has the power to resign". The use of the power language probably implies the director does not necessarily have the right to resign. The language is consistent with ULLCA but the power-right dichotomy may cause more substantive confusion than is necessary. The quoted phrase could, consistent with style be replaced by "may".
7	SECTION 607. REMOVAL OF DIRECTORS BY MEMBERS.
8	(a) The members may remove a director only for cause unless the organic rules
9	provide for removal without cause.
10	(b) A member or members holding an aggregate of 10 percent of the voting
11	power of the cooperative may petition the board of directors for the removal of a director by
12	submitting a signed record to the officer of the cooperative charged with keeping its records,
13	stating the alleged causes for removing the director, unless the organic rules provide for removal
14	without cause.
15	(c) Upon receipt of the petition for removal, the board of directors shall call a
16	special board meeting to determine whether the director should be removed.
17	(d) The director against whom a petition has been submitted:
18	(1) must be informed in a record of the petition before prior to the board
19	meeting at which the board considered the petition; and
20	(2) is entitled to an opportunity at the meeting to be heard in person or by
21	representation and to present witnesses.
22	(e) The person or persons signing the petition are entitled to the same opportunity
23	to be heard as provided the director in subsection (d)(2).
24	(f) A director may be removed by a majority vote of the directors not subject to

I	removal. If all directors are subject to removal, then removal for cause must be determined:
2	(1) by a nonmember director appointed pursuant to the organic rules; or
3	(2) if the organic rules do not provide for the appointment of a
4	nonmember director, by appointment of a committee composed of individuals who are not
5	directors under Section 617 or by independent legal counsel retained by the cooperative for that
6	purpose.
7	(g) The director removed for cause under subsection (f) may require a special
8	members' meeting to determine removal by submitting a signed record to the cooperative. The
9	procedure of the special members' meeting must comply with subsections (d) and (e). The
10	director may be removed by the same affirmative vote and in the same manner as the director's
11	election.
12	Reporter's Note
13 14 15 16 17 18	Subsections (a) through (f) have been revised. They generally follow the procedure established in West's California Code Annot. section 54150 (it is unclear whether California requires "for cause" removal only because its statute uses the term "charge" rather than petition) and Colorado Revised Statute section 7-56-404 (Colorado includes that the meeting must be held within 90 days of receipt of the petition). Should "cause" removal be modifiable by organic rule?
20 21	Subsection (g) should probably be revisited. The quantum of vote it requires is a vestige
22 23 24	from a prior version of this section that provided for both "cause" and "no cause" removal. The Committee has not yet fully discussed the quantum requirement. Is "may" the correct word choice in the last sentence of (g)?
22 23	Committee has not yet fully discussed the quantum requirement. Is "may" the correct word
22 23 24	Committee has not yet fully discussed the quantum requirement. Is "may" the correct word choice in the last sentence of (g)?

1	necessary for the best interests of the cooperative and the director is engaged in:
2	(1) fraudulent conduct with respect to the cooperative or its members;
3	(2) gross abuse of the position of the director; or
4	(3) intentional infliction of harm on the cooperative.
5	(b) After suspension, a director may be removed pursuant to Section 607.
6	Reporter's Note
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The Reporter was requested at the November 2004 meeting to draft different judicial removal of director alternative that would be the equivalent of "changing the locks" on cooperative management was instructed at the April 2005 meeting to delete judicial removal. The absence of judicial removal is inconsistent with other cooperative statutes, ULLCA, and RULPA. The reason for the deletion is to avoid the time and expense of going to court which is consistent with the <i>values</i> of cooperatives but not necessarily the cooperative statutes. Below is an example of a very short judicial removal proceeding provision.  REMOVAL OF DIRECTORS BY JUDICIAL PROCEEDING.  (a) On application by the cooperative the [appropriate court] may remove a director if considering the director's course of conduct and the inadequacy of other available remedies removal is in the best interest of the cooperative and the director engaged in:  (1) fraudulent conduct with respect to the cooperative or its members;  (2) gross abuse of the position of director; or  (3) intentional infliction of harm on the cooperative.  (b) This section does not limit the equitable powers of the court to order other relief.
25	SECTION 609. VACANCY ON BOARD.
26	(a) Unless the organic rules otherwise provide, a vacancy on the board of
27	directors must be filled:
28	(1) by majority vote of the remaining directors until the next annual
29	members' meeting or special meeting held for that purpose; and
30	(2) for the unexpired term by members at the next annual members'

1	meeting or special members' meeting called for that purpose.
2	(b) If the vacating director was elected by a group or class of members' interest or
3	by district:
4	(1) the appointed director must be of that group, class, or district; and
5	(2) the election of the director for the unexpired term must be conducted
6	in the same manner as would the election for that position without a vacancy.
7	SECTION 610. COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS. Unless the organic rules
8	otherwise provide, the board of directors may fix the remuneration of directors and non-director
9	committee members appointed under Section 617(b).
10	Reporter's Note
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Source: MBCA section 8.11. One question concerns whether the term "remuneration" is the best word choice. It is intended to be a broad term including both director's fees and expenses. Obviously this has become an important topic in publicly traded corporations. The fiduciary duties applicable to other board decisions are generally applicable here, too. Unlike many corporate acts this act does not give express power to make loans to insiders. An example of an alternative provision discussed by the Committee is found in Or. Rev. Stat. Section 62.300 and is set forth below:
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	62.300 Compensation and benefits to directors, officers and employees. (1) Unless the bylaws provide otherwise, only the members of the cooperative may establish compensation or other benefits for a director, not available generally to officers and employees, for services as a director.  (2) Unless the bylaws provide otherwise, no director shall hold during the term as director any position in the cooperative on regular salary.  (3) Unless the bylaws provide otherwise, the board may provide, for prior or future services of any officer or employee, reasonable compensation, pension or other benefits to such officer or employee and pension or other benefits to a member of the family of the officer or employee. No officer or employee who is a director may take part in any vote on the compensation of the officer or employee for
33	services rendered or to be rendered the cooperative.

1	SECTION 611. MEETINGS.
2	(a) The board of directors shall meet at least annually and may hold meetings in
3	or out of [this state].
4	(b) Unless otherwise provided in the organic rules, the board may permit
5	directors to attend board of directors meetings or conduct board meetings through the use of any
6	means of communication if all directors attending the meeting can simultaneously communicate
7	with each other during the meeting.
8	Reporter's Note
9 10	The purpose of this section is to provide maximum meeting flexibility.
11	SECTION 612. ACTION WITHOUT MEETING.
12	(a) Unless prohibited by the organic rules, any action that may be taken by the
13	board of directors may be taken without a meeting if each director consents to action in a record.
14	(b) Consent under subsection (a) may be withdrawn by a director in a record at
15	any time before the cooperative receives a record of consent from each director.
16	(c) The record of consent for any action may specify the effective date or time of
17	the action.
18 19 20 21	Reporter's Note  The definition of record is in Section 102 and includes electronic medium.
22	SECTION 613. MEETINGS AND NOTICE.
23	(a) Unless otherwise provided by the organic rules, the board of directors may
24	establish a time and place for regular board meetings and notice of the time, place, or purpose of

1 those meetings is not required. 2 (b) Unless otherwise provided by the organic rules, special meetings of the board of directors must be preceded by at least three days' notice of the time, date, and place of the 3 4 meeting. The notice must contain a statement of the purpose of the special meeting and the 5 meeting must be limited to the matters contained in the statement. 6 Reporter's Note Subsection (b) was more closely conformed to RMBCA Section 8.22 (b). At its April 7 8 (2005) drafting meeting, however, the Committee decided to require the notice to state the purpose of the meeting. 9 10 11 Best practices might suggest that at least some reminder of the meeting and a proposed 12 agenda be given directors prior to the meeting. This draft does not require any such notice 13 because (1) any additional requirements subvert certainty of action taken at meetings; and, (2) if conforms to the purpose of this act to provide a flexible entity to meet the unique needs of 14 15 different groups organized under it. 16 17 Subsection (a) requires the waiver to be in a record. This is new following the April 18 (2005) drafting meeting. How well does this work if the meeting is by telephone or other 19 nontraditional means? 20 21 The reporter was directed at the February 2004 Committee meeting to move the following subsection to a Reporter's Note as a matter of economy and for further discussion of its 22 23 necessity. 24 25 (d) A director who is present at a meeting of the board of directors when action is taken shall be 26 27 deemed to have assented to the action taken unless: 28 (1) the director objects at the beginning of 29 the meeting or promptly upon the directors arrival at 30 the meeting and does not thereafter vote for or assent to action taken at the meeting; 31 32 (2) the directors assent or abstention from the action is made in a record 33 (A) in the minutes of the meeting; or 34 35 (B) the director 36 (i) does not vote for or assent to the action taken at the meeting; and 37

1 2 3 4 5	(ii) delivers notice in a record to the presiding officer of the meeting before adjournment or to the cooperative immediately after adjournment of the meeting.
6	SECTION 614. WAIVER OF NOTICE OF MEETING.
7	(a) Unless otherwise provided in the organic rules, a director may waive any
8	required notice of a meeting of the board of directors in a record before, during, or after the
9	meeting.
10	(b) Unless otherwise provided in the organic rules, a director's participation in a
11	meeting is waiver of notice of that meeting unless the director objects to the meeting at the
12	beginning of the meeting or promptly upon the director's arrival at the meeting and does not
13	thereafter vote for or assent to action taken at the meeting.
14	Reporter's Note
15 16 17	This Section is typical of corporate-like statutes. There has been strong minority dissent in the Committee concerning "and does not thereafter vote for or".
18	SECTION 615. QUORUM.
19	(a) Unless otherwise provided in the articles of organization, a majority of the
20	fixed number of directors on the board of directors constitutes a quorum for the management of
21	the affairs of the cooperative.
22	(b) If a quorum is in attendance at the beginning of the meeting, any action taken
23	by the board of directors present is valid even if the withdrawal of directors originally present
24	results in the number of directors being less than the number required for a quorum.

1	Reporter's Note
2 3 4 5	The Committee is concerned that "attendance" in subsection (b) may not be the correct word choice. Given the waiver provisions of section 614 the term "presence" seems even less satisfying. As a point of reference, "attendance" is used in the RMBCA.
6	SECTION 616. VOTING. Each director has one vote for purposes of decisions made
7	by the board of directors.
8	Reporter's Note
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The sense of the drafting committee is that one-director/one-vote as mandatory and cannot be varied by the organic rules. A prior draft allowed weighted voting and would have moved a cooperative under this act closer to a manager-managed LLC in form. Such flexibility, however, creates both drafting and conceptual operational concerns concerning the voting restrictions protecting patron members. It is also inconsistent with traditional cooperative law and may be seen as a tool to abuse traditional cooperative values.
16	SECTION 617. COMMITTEES.
17	(a) Unless otherwise provided by the organic rules, a board of directors may
18	create one or more committees and appoint one or more individuals to serve on a committee.
19	(b) Unless otherwise provided by the organic rules, individuals appointed to serve
20	on committees need not be directors or members of the cooperative. Individuals serving on
21	committees have the same rights, duties, and obligations as do directors serving on committees.
22	(c) Unless otherwise provided by the organic rules, each committee may exercise
23	the powers as delegated by the board of directors except that no committee may:
24	(1) approve allocations or distributions except according to a formula or
25	method prescribed by the board of directors;
26	(2) approve or propose to members action requiring approval of members:

# 1 or 2 3 4 5 member

(3) fill vacancies on the board of directors or any of its committees.

# Reporter's Note

Special litigation committee, audit committee; Minnesota allows non-directors to be members of a committee. This draft allows non-members to serve on committees. *See* section 607(f). This is an important policy decision.

This draft does not expressly allow executive committees but many cooperative statutes do so. Nothing herein intentionally prohibits establishing an executive committee. Because this draft does not expressly contain reference to an executive committee it does not put a prohibition on nondirectors serving thereon.

Subsection (c)(1): The Reporter was directed by the Committee to replace the word "distribution" with "allocation". For discussion purposes both terms remain in this draft. It seems the approval of distributions would be the kind of decision that should be made by the entire board just as the allocation is such a decision.

There was an interesting discussion concerning cooperative practice and tradition as it relates to nondirector members observing board meetings. The comments to this section will reference that issue. In part it appears both the historical roots of some cooperatives in the nonprofit sector and, perhaps, other regulatory law for cooperatives performing regulated functions might be the source of this tradition. This draft implicitly allows the board to "close" board meetings and other law (*e.g.* employment law) might, in effect, require the board to do so. Speculatively, the presence of nondirectors *may* help explain the relatively broad use of executive committees by cooperatives.

#### SECTION 618. STANDARDS OF CONDUCT AND LIABILITY.

- (a) Except as provided in Sections 620 and 622, the discharge of the duties of a director or a member of a committee of the board of directors is governed by [the State Cooperative Corporation Act] [the State Nonprofit Cooperative Act] [the General Business Corporation Act of this State] [as amended].
- (b) Except as otherwise provided in Sections 620 and 622, the liability of a director or a member of a committee of the board of directors is governed by [the State

- 1 Cooperative Corporation Act] [the State Nonprofit Cooperative Act] [the General Business
- Corporation Act of this State] [as amended]. 2

#### 3 Reporter's Note

The substance of sections 618 ("Standards of Conduct and Liability"), 619 ("Conflict of Interest"), 620 ("Limitations of Directors' Duties"), and 622 ("Other Considerations of Directors") have been discussed extensively by the Committee. Together these sections form the core of fiduciary duties in this entity.

The approach taken to sections 618 and 619 recognizes that (1) states take fundamentally different approaches to fiduciary duties within unincorporated organizations of the same kind; (2) there is variety among the states in their approach within corporate statutes; and (3) there is variety among the states in their approach in cooperative laws. The existing cooperative statutes appear to follow corporate fiduciary duty formulations. The enactment dates of exiting traditional cooperative statutes, however, result in very little uniformity in either detail or language.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

4

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

The Minnesota Cooperatives Associations Act (a non-corporate cooperative act) cleaves closely to the corporate model. This draft act, too, establishes an unincorporated cooperative. Although an unincorporated entity, the board of directors function more analogously to the corporate board than the managers in a manager-managed LLC or general partners in a limited partnership (and, indeed, the flexibility of the LLC allows the operating agreement to establish a corporate-like board). Finally, the Committee considered the traditional operation of a cooperative, member expectation, and advice that the insurance industry was comfortable with the standards, liability and indemnification provided by the more corporate formulation.

24 25 26

27

28

Unfortunately, the wide variety among the states makes uniformity difficult to achieve and creates adoption difficulty. For these reasons the Committee has adopted a "junction box" approach similar to META. These sections need a legislative note but that note has not yet been drafted pending further discussion on the approach taken.

29 30 31

32

This approach makes the draft significantly shorter than including detailed provisions. Moreover, it allows the fiduciary duty of cooperatives to keep pace with statutory changes made in corporation law.

33 34 35

36

The Minnesota Act's "conduct" section uses the phrase, "ordinarily prudent person in a like position would exercise under similar circumstances" without including the MBCA's modification "would reasonably believe appropriate."

37 38 39

40

The phrase "as amended" has been placed in brackets in this Section and throughout the 2005 Annual Meeting Draft for the first time because the Style Committee saliently pointed-out

2 3	vetted by the Drafting Committee.
4	SECTION 619. CONFLICT OF INTEREST. Except as otherwise provided in
5	Section 620, [the State Cooperative Corporation Act] [the State Nonprofit Corporation Act of
6	this State] [the General Business Corporation Act of this State] [as amended] govern conflicts of
7	interests between a director or member of a committee of the board of directors and the
8	cooperative.
9	Reporter's Note
10 11	See the Reporter's Note to Section 618.
12	SECTION 620. LIMITATION OF DIRECTOR'S DUTIES. The articles of
13	organization may vary the standards under Sections 618 and 619 except that the articles may not
14	(1) eliminate the provisions concerning conflict of interest under Section 619, but
15	may:
16	(A) identify specific types of categories or activities that are not conflicts
17	of interest, if not manifestly unreasonable; and
18	(B) specify the number or percentage of voting power which may
19	authorize or ratify, after disclosure, a specific act or transaction that would otherwise be a
20	conflict of interest;
21	(2) unreasonably reduce the standard of conduct under Section 618; or
22	(3) eliminate any obligation of good faith under Section 618, but the articles it
23	may prescribe the standards by which the performance of the obligation is to be measured, if the

standards are not manifestly unreasonable.

2 Reporter's Note

This Section, but for a couple style changes, mirrors the provisions found in the other uniform unincorporated acts and is somewhat similar to Minnesota's provision on limitation of director liability. It allows greater flexibility than corporate law consistent with the uniqueness of an unincorporated cooperative association.

**SECTION 621. RIGHT OF DIRECTOR TO INFORMATION.** A director may obtain, inspect, and copy all information regarding the state of activities and financial condition of the cooperative and other information regarding the activities of the cooperative reasonably related to the performance of the director's duties as director but not for any other purpose or in any manner that would violate any duty to the cooperative.

Reporter's Note

Similar provisions are found in most entity laws. It limits the use of the information, as well as a directors access, to the director acting as director. Duties would include confidentiality, professional privilege, etc.

**SECTION 622. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS OF DIRECTORS.** Unless otherwise provided in the organic rules, a director, in determining the best interests of the cooperative, may consider the interests of employees, customers, and suppliers of the cooperative and of the communities in which the cooperative operates, and the long-term and short-term interests of the cooperative and its members.

#### Reporter's Note

The Minnesota Cooperative Associations Act, like this draft, does not limit this provision to mergers; but Oregon's Cooperative Corporation Act does. The language suggests that the original source of this provision is from corporate "anti-takeover acts" in various states (*e.g.* Pennsylvania). The Committee noted that this is consistent with traditional cooperative values.

It may be another, though incomplete, way of communicating the idea of a "cooperative plan" 1 2 which is used in state law without definition (the term "cooperative plan" is not used in this 3 draft). 4 5 SECTION 623. APPOINTMENT AND AUTHORITY OF OFFICERS. 6 (a) A cooperative has the offices provided in its organic rules or established by 7 the board of directors consistent with the organic rules. (b) The organic rules or the board of directors must designate one of the officers 8 9 for preparing all records required by Section 114 and for the authentication of records. 10 (c) Officers have such authority and perform such duties as the organic rules 11 prescribe or as the board of directors may determine is consistent with the organic rules. 12 (d) The election or appointment of an officer does not of itself create a contract with the officer. 13 14 (e) Unless otherwise provided in the organic rules, an individual may 15 simultaneously hold more than one office in the cooperative. 16 Reporter's Note 17 Almost all current cooperative acts follow pre-1984 business corporation law either requiring or expressly permitting named offices. This draft does not do so. Rather, it is 18 19 consistent with the flexibility of the law of unincorporated organizations and provides the flexibility present in many cooperative statutes in a more (word) efficient way. Thus it which is 20 closer to post-1984 business corporation law rather than the existing cooperative statutes based 21 22 on pre-1984 corporate law. Thus, it also follows unincorporated law in the flexibility it provides. 23 Nonetheless, because directors are not agents because of director status, the cooperative (through its board) will be required to have agents. The language of this draft requires at least one of these 24 agents to be designated an officer under subsection (b). 25 26 27 SECTION 624. RESIGNATION AND REMOVAL OF OFFICERS. 28 (a) The board of directors may remove an officer at any time with or with out

1	cause.	
2		(b) An officer has the power to resign at any time by giving notice to the
3	cooperative.	The resignation is effective when the notice is given unless the notice specifies a
4	later time.	

## Reporter's Note

Note that this draft contains no provision directly addressing the standard of conduct of officers. This is, at the least, not unusual in the world of general cooperative statutes. Thus, this draft leaves much of the law governing officers to contract and agency principles.

There is a distinction between the power to remove an officer and the right to do so. This section is intended to give complete discretion to the board of directors to remove officers (the power). The exercise of that power; however, may very well lead to a damage claim by the officer if, for example, the officer has a separate employment contract The exercise of the power could also violate other law (*e.g.* Title VII of the Civil Rights Act).

The "power" language in Subsection (a) raises a power-right dichotomy similar to the one raised in Section 606. As a matter of style, it has been urged to delete such language and replace it with the word "may".

1	[ARTICLE] 7
2	INDEMNIFICATION
3	
4	SECTION 701. INDEMNIFICATION. Indemnification of any individual who has
5	incurred liability, is a party, or is threatened to be made a party because of the performance of
6	duties to, or activity on behalf of, the cooperative is governed by [the State Cooperative
7	Corporation Act] [the State Nonprofit Cooperative Act] [the General Business Corporation of
8	this State] [as amended].
9	Reporter's Note
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The topic of indemnification has been discussed at length by the Committee and it compared corporate, unincorporated, and cooperative statutes as well as agency law. It concluded that any formulation not referencing other law in adopting states would lead to lack of uniformity not only in substance but also as a matter of style. Moreover, because state's have an existing body of law reflecting unique policy decisions there was strong opinion that any other formulation might inhibit enactability. Finally, every other alternative added <i>pages</i> to the text of the Draft.

#### 1 [ARTICLE] 8 2 CONTRIBUTIONS, ALLOCATIONS AND DISTRIBUTIONS 3 4 SECTION 801. MEMBERSHIP CONTRIBUTIONS. The organic rules may 5 establish the amount, manner, or method of determining any membership contribution 6 requirements for members or may authorize the board of directors to establish the manner and 7 terms of any contributions for members 8 Reporter's Note 9 Source: Derived from the Oregon Cooperative Corporation Act and ULPA (2001). The 10 Committee has not discussed a suggested change from "shall" to "may". This Draft continues 11 the use of "shall" because there are no default rules. The intent of the Reporter is to aggregate all these "shall" requirements in Article 2 after the substance of the provisions is fully discussed. 12 13 14 A prior draft expressly contained a provision requiring the organic rules to set forth 15 "accounting procedures". The Committee directed it be taken out (and therefore made 16 permissive) because of possible confusion. The comment to this section needs to point out that using a corporate-like structure without "checking-the-box" to be taxed as a corporation under 17 the current tax scheme may cause unintended consequences and is a relatively sophisticated 18 technique that is already bedeviling under LLC law. 19 20 21 This draft contemplates but does not mandate capital accounts based on decisions made 22 by the Conference and individual estates in other unincorporated acts. 23 24 This draft does not expressly provide for stock or use the corporate capital accounting 25 model which allows the board of directors, for example, to establish par value. This draft 26 follows unincorporated law which is far more general, and less detailed than corporate law. The draft does contemplate that the organic rules may establish a more corporate-like capital 27 28 structure. See Section 205(a)(1). Although it does not expressly address certificated ownership 29 interests is that enough? In that regard, is capital formation inhibited by "lack of stock like a corporation" and, if so, is there any way around the problem? Thus, this draft more closely 30 31 follows the unincorporated organizational model and is, therefore, arguably more contractually or agreement based. Paradoxically, the entity contemplated by this draft is more flexible upon 32 33 formation but gives the board of directors less power to establish new classes or voting interests 34 than in a business corporation. This mix is consistent with stronger member control.

At the direction of the Committee the word "fees" has been deleted. Will the deletion cause problems in service cooperatives? How are such fees usually accounted in fee-based cooperatives?

1 2

Does a comment to this section need to discuss equity certificates and, if so, suggestions?

#### SECTION 802. FORMS OF CONTRIBUTION AND VALUATION.

- (a) Unless otherwise provided in the organic rules, the contributions of a member may consist of tangible or intangible property or other benefit to the cooperative, including money, services performed or to be performed, promissory notes, other agreements to contribute cash or property, and contracts to be performed.
- (b) The receipt and acceptance of contributions and the valuation of contributions must be reflected in the cooperative's required records pursuant to Section 114.
- (c) Unless otherwise provided in the organic rules, the board of directors shall value the contributions received or to be received. The determination by the board of directors on valuation is conclusive for purposes of determining whether the member's contribution obligation has been fully paid.

### Reporter's Note

The Minnesota Cooperative Associations Act contains detailed provisions requiring the restatement of the value of contributions under certain circumstances. Those provisions effect both liquidating distributions and federal partnership income tax consequences (the so-called "book-up"). This draft follows the Conference's general treatment of such matters in its other unincorporated entity acts by leaving them to agreement among the members in an organic rule. Even a default rule could cause unintended consequences though a book-up would *generally* seem admissible given the purpose of the draft.

The Comment (or is it more appropriate in a legislative note) needs to note that some state constitutions may place restrictions on the types of property that may be contributed.

1	SECTION 803. CONTRIBUTION AGREEMENTS.
2	(a) A contribution agreement entered into before formation of the cooperative is
3	irrevocable for six months unless:
4	(1) otherwise provided by the agreement; or
5	(2) all parties to the agreement consent to the revocation.
6	(b) Upon default by a party to a contribution agreement entered into before
7	formation, the cooperative, once formed, may:
8	(1) collect the amount owed as any other debt; or
9	(2) unless otherwise provided in the agreement, rescind the agreement if
10	the debt remains unpaid more than 20 days after the cooperative demands payment from the party
11	in a record.
12 13 14 15 16	Reporter's Note  Source: Oregon Cooperative Corporation Act; conceptually similar to the Minnesota Cooperative Associations Act, the MBCA and ULPA (2001).
17	SECTION 804. ALLOCATIONS OF NET PROCEEDS, MARGINS, SAVINGS,
18	PROFITS, AND LOSSES.
19	(a) Subject to subsection (b), the organic rules must provide for the allocation of
20	net proceeds, savings, margins, profits or losses between classes or groups of members.
21	(b) Unless the articles of organization otherwise provide, patron members must
22	be allocated not less than 50 percent of the net proceeds, savings, margins, profits, or losses in
23	any fiscal year. The articles may not reduce the percentage allocated to patron members to less
24	than 30 percent.

1	(c) Unless otherwise provided in the organic rules, the board of directors may
2	retain or set aside a portion of net proceeds, savings, margins or profits for purposes of:
3	(1) creating or accumulating a capital reserve; and
4	(2) creating or accumulating reserves for specific purposes, including
5	expansion and replacement of capital assets.
6	(d) Subject to subsection (e) and the organic rules, the board of directors shall
7	allocate the amount in excess of the uses under subsection (a) to patron members annually in
8	accordance with the ratio of each member's patronage during the period to total patronage of all
9	members during the period.
10	(e) For purposes of allocation of net proceeds, savings, margins, or profits to
11	patron members the organic rules may establish allocation units based on function, division,
12	district, department, allocation units, pooling arrangements, members' contributions, or other
13	methods.
14	Reporter's Note
15 16	Subsections (c), (d) and (e) are carried over from a separately numbered section in a

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

Subsections (c), (d) and (e) are carried over from a separately numbered section in a previous draft. Patron members' allocations under the default rule are based on patronage business done with the cooperative. The alternative default is to allocate based on member's contribution and carve out a patronage pool that is shared by all patrons of the cooperative whether patron members or nonmember patrons ("participating patrons"). This draft certainly allows the organic rules to accomplish the foregoing (subsection (e)), but the default is patronage business. This is true to the fundamental cooperative principles but may differ from industry practice in at least larger corporate cooperatives. It also differs from the Minnesota model and needs to be revisited by the Committee.

24 25 26

27

28

The organization contemplated by this draft is flexible enough to allow a patronage member to also own nonpatronage membership interests just as a general partner may also own limited partnership interests. Under this draft it is the nonpatronage members whom receive a return on "invested capital".

The comment to this section needs to provide examples and illustrations of subsection (b) including a calculation where you might have "agency" cooperative arrangements but no sales. The 50/30 "solution" been questioned and subject to much discussion. Legislation introduced in Wisconsin is consistent. The existing state statute at play in Minnesota is 50/15. Consider a comment noting that, perhaps, debt will be replaced by equity such that the fixed return otherwise going to debt will need to pay for the use of equity money. In the latter regard the general purpose of this act mirrors the original historical purpose of limited partnership law. The language used to express this decision in subsection (b) still seems somewhat inartful

1 2

"Allocated" is a term of art in both cooperative and unincorporated law. "Net proceeds" is a term of art in cooperative law. The comment to this section will need to address those terms.

#### **SECTION 805. DISTRIBUTIONS.**

- (a) Unless otherwise provided by the organic rules and subject to Section 807, the board of directors may authorize, and the cooperative may make, distributions to members.
- (b) Unless otherwise provided by the organic rules, distributions to members may be made in the form of cash, capital credits, allocated patronage equities, revolving fund certificates, its own or other securities, or in any other manner.

# Reporter's Note

This draft does not provide a default for annual patronage dividends. Thus, it differs from current cooperative law but is consistent with making the cooperative a flexible entity that has the ability to accumulate capital and it recognizes that each cooperative formed will have unique needs. This distribution default rule is consistent with both corporate and unincorporated entity law and, consistent with unincorporated law practice, it is anticipated that both distributions and allocations will be the subject of the organic rules.

**SECTION 806. REDEMPTION OF EQUITY.** Subject to Section 807 and unless the articles of organization otherwise provide, a cooperative may redeem a patron member's equity at any time.

# Reporter's Note Does the addition of "at any time" give the cooperative the right to call the equity in such a manner to capture good will and the value of appreciating assets? As a result, is a valuation procedure advisable? Is equity too broad a term? Would it be better to add according "to a plan" and have the comment specifically address revolving equity? This Section may be needless repetition of other authority for distributions under this draft but, on the other hand, it may make the draft more user-friendly for those cooperatives which contemplate "stock" or certificated interests. It is important to note that this Section is permissive at the discretion of the cooperative and does not give any member a put right. SECTION 807. LIMITATIONS ON DISTRIBUTIONS. (a) A cooperative may not make a distribution if, after the distribution: (1) the cooperative would not be able to pay its debts as they become due in the ordinary course of the cooperative's activities; or (2) the cooperative's assets would be less than the sum of its total liabilities. (b) A cooperative may base a determination that a distribution is not prohibited under subsection (a) on financial statements prepared on the basis of accounting practices and principles that are reasonable in the circumstances or on a fair valuation or other method that is reasonable in the circumstances. (c) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (f), the effect of a distribution under subsection (b) is measured: (1) in the case of distribution by purchase, redemption, or other

1

2

3 4

5 6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

acquisitions of a transferable interest in the cooperative, as of the date money or other property is

transferred or debt incurred by the cooperative; and

1	(2) in all other cases, as of the date:
2	(A) the distribution is authorized, if the payment occurs within
3	120 days after that date; or
4	(B) the payment is made, if payment occurs more than 120 days
5	after the distribution is authorized.
6	(d) If indebtedness is issued as a distribution, each payment of principal or
7	interest on the indebtedness is treated as a distribution, the effect of which is measured on the
8	date the payment is made.
9	Reporter's Note
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	This limiting language is based on ULPA (2001) and, generally, cooperative acts do not deal with this issue with this level of detail. Nonetheless, it seems the same policy and governance issues are raised in cooperatives, limited partnerships, and corporations. The language of this section is difficult to read but it is consistent with ULPA (2001). Query the cost benefit in attempting to redraft it.  This Section also raises another issue specific to this draft: Who is liable? Under typical unincorporated law it is possible to require members to return a proportionate amount of an unlawful distribution. It is one of the few bright-line areas for director liability under corporate law.
21	[SECTION 808. ALTERNATIVE DISTRIBUTION OF UNCLAIMED
22	PROPERTY, DISTRIBUTIONS, REDEMPTIONS, OR PAYMENTS. A cooperative may
23	distribute unclaimed property, distributions, redemptions or payments under [citation to the
24	applicable provision in the law governing cooperatives not formed under this [act] in this state].
25	Reporter's Note
26 27 28 29	The Reporter's Note formerly included the text of the Oregon Statute (§ 62.425). The Committee determined this is an important substantive provision for states which already include it in their cooperative statutes and many of the leading cooperative states have a provision

- dealing with a cooperative's unclaimed property. On the other hand it is unique to cooperative law and the provision could be a major adoption stumbling block in those states which do not already have existing cooperative law.]

1	[ARTICLE] 9
2	DISSOCIATION
3	
4	SECTION 901. MEMBER'S DISSOCIATION.
5	(a) Unless otherwise provided by the organic rules, a member does not have a
6	right to dissociate as a member of a cooperative but does have the power to dissociate.
7	(b) A member is dissociated from a cooperative upon the occurrence of any of the
8	following events:
9	(1) the cooperative's having notice in a record of the person's express will
10	to withdraw as a member or to withdraw on a later date specified by the person;
11	(2) an event provided in the organic rules as causing the person's
12	dissociation as a member;
13	(3) the person's expulsion as a member pursuant to the organic rules;
14	(4) the person's expulsion as a member by the board of directors if:
15	(A) it is unlawful to carry on the cooperative's activities with the
16	person as a member;
17	(B) subject to Section 404(g), there has been a transfer of all of the
18	person's financial interest in the cooperative;
19	(C) the person is a corporation or a cooperative and, within 90
20	days after the cooperative notifies the person that it will be expelled as a member because it has
21	filed a public document of dissolution, it has been administratively or judicially dissolved, its
22	charter has been revoked, or its right to conduct business has been suspended by the jurisdiction

1	of its incorporation, there is no revocation of the certificate of dissolution or no reinstatement of
2	its charter or its right to conduct business; or
3	(D) the person is a limited liability company, cooperative, or
4	partnership that has been dissolved and whose business is being wound up;
5	(5) in the case of a person who is an individual, the person's death;
6	(6) in the case of a person that is a trust, distribution of the trust's entire
7	financial interest in the cooperative, but not merely by the substitution of a successor trustee;
8	(7) in the case of a person that is an estate, distribution of the estate's
9	entire financial interest in the cooperative, but not merely by the substitution of a successor
10	personal representative;
11	(8) termination of a member that is not an individual, partnership, limited
12	liability company, cooperative, corporation, trust, or estate;
13	(9) the cooperative's participation in a consolidation or merger, if under
14	the plan of merger as approved under [Article] 14, the person ceases to be a member.
15	Reporter's Note
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Source: Closely derived from ULPA (2001) § 601. Subsection (b)(5) follows ULPA in that it does not state incompetency as an event of dissociation but see Section 903 which can be read inconsistently. The Comments to this Section need to explain the difference between subsection (b)(5) and (b)(7). An individual is dissociated upon death under (b)(5) and her estate has the powers conferred by Section 903. Subsection (b)(7) applies where the (an) estate is carrying on business and becomes a member by admission. Example: An individual whom was not a member of the cooperative dies. Her estate anticipates carrying on farming business for three years before it closes. The estate could become a member of the cooperative pursuant to the organic rules of the cooperative for admission of members. The issue raised by
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	incompetency needs yet to be vetted. See section 903 which as currently drafted is inconsistent with subsection (b)(8). Subsection (b)(4)(C) has been revised and the language is now different

than ULPA (2001).

Subsection 901(b)(4)(B) states "subject to Section 405" and it is now corrected to reflect 1 2 it is subsection 404 (g) which is the security interest exception for transfers. 3 4 Section 901(b) contemplates expulsion by the organic rules but there is no default rule for 5 expulsion. Former subsection (b)(5) read: 6 7 (5) on application by the cooperative, the person's expulsion as a member by judicial order because: 8 9 (A) the person engaged in wrongful conduct that adversely and materially affected the cooperative's activities; 10 (B) the person willfully or persistently committed a material breach 11 of the organic rules or [this act]; or 12 (C) the person engaged in conduct relating to the cooperative's 13 14 activities which makes it not reasonably practicable to carry on the activities with the person as member. 15 16 17 SECTION 902. EFFECT OF DISSOCIATION AS MEMBER. 18 (a) Upon a person's dissociation as a member: 19 (1) subject to Section 903, the person does not have further rights as a 20 member; and 21 (2) subject to Section 903 and [Article] 14, any financial interest owned 22 by the person in the person's capacity as a member immediately before dissociation is owned by 23 the person as a transferee. 24 (b) A person's dissociation as a member does not of itself discharge the person 25 from any obligation to the cooperative which the person incurred while a member. 26 Reporter's Note Source: ULPA (2001) § 602. The ULPA (2001) counterpart includes a subsection that 27 refers only to specifically cross-referenced obligations of good faith and fair dealing and that 28 subsection has been deleted under this draft. "[O]r other members" was also deleted in (b), 29 which is consistent, because under this act there is no specific member to member duty (similar 30 31 to the basic resolution of duties to limited partners but in ULPA there is a sliding scale where a limited partner undertakes management obligations). The Comment to this section will include 32

both reference and discussion of the four possible sources of financial return of a member: (1) under a production (or other) contract; (2) patronage distributions; (3) patronage retains; (4) return on invested capital. Subsection (b) is important in the context of obligations under a marketing contract.

4 5

1 2

**SECTION 903. POWER OF ESTATE OF MEMBER.** If a member dies or is adjudged incompetent, the member's personal representative or other legal representative may exercise the rights of a transferee and the member's financial interest as provided in Section 405 and, for the purposes of settling the estate of a deceased member, may exercise the informational rights of a current member under Section 305.

Reporter's Note

Source: ULPA (2001) § 704. See Reporter's Note to section 901 concerning the absence of incompetency as a cause of dissociation by a member. The Committee suggests that the guardian of an incompetent will be treated for all purposes the same as an estate through the law of guardianship but that issue should be left to other law. Other law will also channel obligations between those that must be personally performed and those that may be "assigned". It might be advisable for the Comment to suggest this issue (and a related one concerning nonadjudicated durable powers) be contemplated by the organic rules and the terms of the marketing contract, if any. Whether incompetency effects the contract will depend, in some instances, on the classification of the contractual duty as delegable.

1	[ARTICLE] 10
2	DISSOLUTION
3	
4	SECTION 1001. DISSOLUTION. A cooperative may be dissolved:
5	(1) nonjudicially under Section 1002;
6	(2) judicially under Section 1003; or
7	(3) administratively under Section 1010.
8	SECTION 1002. NONJUDICIAL DISSOLUTION. Except as otherwise provided in
9	Section 1003, a cooperative is dissolved and its activities must be wound up, only upon the
10	occurrence of any of the following:
11	(1) the happening of an event or the coming of a time specified in the articles of
12	organization;
13	(2) the vote of the organizers, board of directors, or members under Section 1004
14	and 1005;
15	(3) the passage of 90 days after the dissociation of the last member, unless before
16	the end of the period the cooperative admits at least one member in accordance with its organic
17	rules; or
18	(4) the filing of a determination by the [Secretary of State] under Section 1010.
19	Reporter's Note
20 21 22 23 24	Source: ULPA (2001) § 801. It has been modified because cooperatives do not bifurcate membership between general and limited partners. Subsection (3) of this Section again begs the fundamental question of how many members are required for the existence of a cooperative. This Section errs on the side of continuity of life though it is inconsistent with matters of formation. It is anticipated the Committee will revisit the formation issue at its Fall 2005

1 2 3 4 5 6	drafting meeting. The "tough nut" scenario seems to be where all the board members are also members (perhaps the prototypical arrangement contemplated by this act). Who would be in charge and admit? While a nice technical issue; the same issue arises under unincorporated law where this provision is regarded as providing both flexibility and key in maintaining perpetuity of life.
7	SECTION 1003. JUDICIAL DISSOLUTION. The [appropriate court] may dissolve a
8	cooperative or order any action which under the circumstances is appropriate and equitable:
9	(1) in a proceeding by the [attorney general], if it is established that:
10	(A) the cooperative obtained its articles of organization through fraud; or
11	(B) the cooperative has continued to exceed or abuse the authority
12	conferred upon it by law;
13	(2) in a proceeding by a member, if it established that:
14	(A) the directors are deadlocked in the management of the cooperative
15	affairs, the members are unable to break the deadlock, and irreparable injury to the cooperative is
16	occurring or is threatened because of the deadlock;
17	(B) the directors or those in control of the cooperative have acted, are
18	acting, or will act in a manner that is illegal, oppressive, or fraudulent;
19	(C) the members are deadlocked in voting power and have failed, for a
20	period that includes at least two consecutive annual members meeting dates, to elect successors
21	to directors whose terms have expired; or
22	(D) the assets of the cooperative are being misapplied or wasted;
23	(3) in a proceeding by the cooperative to have its voluntary dissolution continued
24	under court supervision.

#### Reporter's Note

This section on judicial dissolution is derived from the MBCA but conceptually tracks the current LLC draft being considered by the Conference. Substantively, note: (1) Subsection 2 no longer authorizes transferees to bring an action to dissolve the cooperative (in addition to members) under certain circumstances; (2) Subsection 2(A) does not include the MBCA phrase, "or the business and affairs of the [cooperative] can no longer be conducted to the advantage of the ... [members] generally" (but is consistent with the directors ability to consider other constituencies under Article 6); and, (3) the MBCA provides for an action for dissolution by a creditor of the corporation (here the cooperative) if the claim has been reduced to judgment and the entity is insolvent.

After discussion at the April 2005 Committee meeting "or a transferee of a member" was deleted from Section 1003(2). It was pointed out that it gave transferees greater power than they have under almost all unincorporated law, that there was no similar provision in traditional cooperative law, and that it gave transferees the power to unreasonably interfere with the operation of the cooperative by filing suit.

 Thus broadest provision for transferee rights in the entire draft in the former draft subsections 2(B) and 2(D). The Committee discussed these provisions but they need to be discussed further. The language has the same effect as provided by Section 801(6) (ii) of UPA (1997) for at-will partnerships. ULPA Section 802 is much shorter and more restrictive:

On application by a partner the [appropriate court] may order a dissolution of a limited partnership if it is not reasonably practicable to carry on the activities of the limited partnership in conformity with the partnership agreement.

This section also adds the phrase "or order any action which under the circumstances is appropriate and equitable" to the flush language thereby expressly authorizing the court to, illustratively, appoint provisional directors or force a buy-out of interests. This follows what appears to be a trend in both statutory and case law of corporations.

Subsection (2)(B) states a different (and lower) standard for judicial dissolution than for the removal of a director under Section 607 which includes "grossly abusive" and "intentionally harmful."

Finally, though it is included in the MBCA, the committee has not addressed Subsection (2)(C) does not require any showing of damage to the cooperative following corporate law. Finally, most corporate statutes allow creditors to move for dissolution if a corporation is insolvent. This act does not do so.

1	SECTION 1004. VOLUNTARY DISSOLUTION BEFORE COMMENCEMENT
2	<b>OF ACTIVITY.</b> A majority of the organizers or initial directors of a cooperative that has not
3	yet begun activity or the conduct of its affairs may dissolve the cooperative.
4	SECTION 1005. VOLUNTARY DISSOLUTION BY THE BOARD AND
5	MEMBERS.
6	[RESERVED]
7	Reporter's Note
8 9 10 11 12	This Section is reserved simply because it is anticipated it will mirror the language and procedure utilized for both amendment of the articles of organization (Article 13) and, to a lesser extent, the consolidation or merger and sale of assets provisions (Article 14 and 15). Thus, its drafting awaits further discussion of those articles.
13	SECTION 1006. WINDING UP.
14	(a) A cooperative continues after dissolution only for purposes of winding up its
15	activities.
16	(b) In winding up its activities, the cooperative:
17	(1) shall discharge its liabilities, settle and close its activities, and marshal
18	and distribute its assets; and
19	(2) file a statement of dissolution indicating it is winding-up pursuant to
20	Section 203, preserve the cooperative or its property as a going concern for a reasonable time,
21	prosecute and defend actions and proceedings, whether civil, criminal, or administrative, transfer
22	cooperative property, settle disputes by mediation or arbitration, and perform other necessary
23	acts.
24	(c) On the application of the cooperative, any member, or a transferee the

1	[appropriate court] may order judicial supervision of the winding up, including the appointment
2	of a person to wind up the dissolved cooperative's activities, if:
3	(1) after a reasonable time, the cooperative has not executed winding up
4	under subsection (b); or
5	(2) the applicant establishes other good cause.
6	Reporter's Note
7 8 9	Subsection (b)(2) is conformed with comments to Section 203.
10	SECTION 1007. DISTRIBUTION OF ASSETS IN WINDING UP
11	COOPERATIVE.
12	(a) In winding up a cooperatives business, the assets of the cooperative must be
13	applied to discharge its obligations to creditors, including members who are creditors. Any
14	remaining assets must be applied to pay in money the net amount distributable to members in
15	accordance with their right to distributions under subsection (b).
16	(b) Unless otherwise provided in the organic rules, each member is entitled to a
17	distribution from the cooperative of any remaining assets in the proportion of the member's
18	financial interests to the total financial interests of members of the cooperative after all other
19	obligations are satisfied.
20	Reporter's Note
21 22 23 24 25	Best practice would provide detail in the organic rules. The Reporter was directed by the Committee at its April 2005 meeting to compare this provision with the Colorado Cooperative Act (corporate) and the Minnesota Cooperative Association Act and report it at its Fall 2005 meeting.

1	SECTION 1008. KNOWN CLAIMS AGAINST DISSOLVED COOPERATIVE.
2	(a) A dissolved cooperative may dispose of the known claims against it by
3	following the procedure described in subsection (b).
4	(b) A dissolved cooperative may notify its known claimants of the dissolution in
5	a record. The notice must:
6	(1) specify the information required to be included in a claim;
7	(2) provide a mailing address to which the claim is to be sent;
8	(3) state the deadline for receipt of the claim, which may not be less than
9	120 days after the date the notice is received by the claimant; and
10	(4) state that the claim will be barred if not received by the deadline.
11	(c) A claim against a dissolved cooperative is barred if the requirements of
12	subsection (b) are met and:
13	(1) the claim is not received by the specified deadline; or
14	(2) in the case of a claim that is timely received but rejected by the
15	dissolved cooperative, the claimant does not commence an action to enforce the claim against the
16	cooperative within 90 days after the receipt of the notice of the rejection.
17	(d) This section does not apply to a claim based on an event occurring after the
18	date of dissolution or a liability that is contingent on that date.
19	Reporter's Note
20 21 22	The substance of this section and that of the remainder of this article is contained in both corporate and LLC law. The base model for the drafting of these provisions was ULLCA.
23	

1	SECTION 1009. OTHER CLAIMS AGAINST DISSOLVED COOPERATIVE.
2	(a) A dissolved cooperative may publish notice of its dissolution and request
3	persons having claims against the cooperative to present them in accordance with the notice.
4	(b) The notice must:
5	(1) be published at least once in a newspaper of general circulation in the
6	[county] in which the dissolved cooperative's principal office is located or, if it has none in this
7	state, in the [county] in which the cooperative's designated office is or was last located;
8	(2) describe the information required to be contained in a claim and
9	provide a mailing address to which the claim is to be sent; and
10	(3) state that a claim against the cooperative is barred unless an action to
11	enforce the claim is commenced within three years after publication of the notice.
12	(c) If a dissolved cooperative publishes a notice in accordance with subsection
13	(b), the claim of each of the following claimants is barred unless the claimant commences an
14	action to enforce the claim against the dissolved cooperative within five years after the
15	publication date of the notice:
16	(1) a claimant that did not receive notice in a record under Section 1007
17	(2) a claimant whose claim was timely sent to the dissolved cooperative
18	but not acted on; and
19	(3) a claimant whose claim is contingent or based on an event occurring
20	after the effective date of dissolution.
21	(d) A claim not barred under this section may be enforced:
22	(1) against the dissolved cooperative, to the extent of its undistributed

1 assets; or

(2) if the assets have been distributed in liquidation, against a member or transferee to the extent of that person's proportionate share of the claim or the cooperative's assets distributed to the member or transferee in liquidation, whichever is less, but a person's total liability for all claims under this paragraph does not exceed the total amount of assets

distributed to the person as part of the winding up of the dissolved cooperative.

Reporter's Note

The Committee discussed the possibility of broadening the notice requirements in subsection (b)(1). The Reporter is to prepare a comparative and substantive preliminary comment on (b)(3) for the Fall 2005 meeting. This provision is very similar to provisions in corporate and unincorporated law although there is some variation in the number of years identified in subsection (b)(3). Corporate law provides an additional step that the Committee desires to consider. The RMBCA version of the addition follows:

# § 14.08. Court Proceedings

- (a) A dissolved corporation that has published a notice under section 14.07 may file an application with the [name or describe] court of the county where the dissolved corporation's principal office (or, if none in this state, its registered office) is located or a determination of the amount and form of security to be provided for payment of claims that are contingent or have not been made known to the dissolved corporation or that are based on an event occurring after the effective date of dissolution but that, based on the facts known to the dissolved corporation, are reasonably estimated to arise after the effective date of dissolution. Provision need not be made for any claim that is or is reasonably anticipated to be barred under section 14.07(c).
- (b) Within 10 days after the filing of the application, notice of the proceeding shall be given by the dissolved corporation to each claimant holding a contingent claim whose contingent claim is shown on the records of the dissolved corporation.
- (c) The court may appoint a guardian ad litem to represent all claimants whose identities are unknown in any proceeding brought under this section. The reasonable fees and expenses of such guardian, including all reasonable expert witness fees, shall be paid by the dissolved corporation.

(d) Provision by the dissolved corporation for security in the 1 2 amount and the form ordered by the court under section 14.08(a) 3 shall satisfy the dissolved corporation's obligations with respect to claims that are contingent, have not been made known to the 4 5 dissolved corporation or are based on an event occurring after the effective date of dissolution, and such claims may not be enforced 6 against a shareholder who received assets in liquidation. 7 8 9 SECTION 1010. ADMINISTRATIVE DISSOLUTION. 10 (a) The [Secretary of State] may dissolve a cooperative administratively if the 11 cooperative does not, within 60 days after the due date: 12 (1) pay any fee, tax, or penalty due to the [Secretary of State] under this 13 [act] or other law; or 14 (2) deliver its annual report to the [Secretary of State]. 15 (b) If the [Secretary of State] determines that a ground exists for administratively 16 dissolving a cooperative, the [Secretary of State] shall file a record of the determination and serve 17 the cooperative with a copy of the filed record. 18 (c) If, within 60 days after service of the copy, the cooperative does not correct 19 each ground for dissolution or demonstrate to the reasonable satisfaction of the [Secretary of 20 State] that each uncorrected ground determined by the [Secretary of State] does not exist, the 21 [Secretary of State] shall administratively dissolve the cooperative by preparing, signing, and 22 filing a declaration of dissolution that states the grounds for dissolution. The [Secretary of State] 23 shall serve the cooperative with a copy of the filed declaration.

carry on only activities necessary to wind up its activities and liquidate its assets under Section

(d) A cooperative administratively dissolved continues its existence but may

24

1	1000 and to notify claimants under Sections 1007 and 1008.
2	(e) The administrative dissolution of a cooperative does not terminate the
3	authority of its agent for service of process.
4	Reporter's Note
5 6 7 8 9	An issue that needs to be discussed by the Committee is whether the number of days are appropriate. The choice in the April 2005 draft conforms to ULPA (2001) and is not changed from the 2004 annual meeting draft. The 60 day period also mirrors RMBCA section 14.20. This section combines ULPA (2001) sections 809 and 810.
10	SECTION 1011. REINSTATEMENT FOLLOWING ADMINISTRATIVE
11	DISSOLUTION.
12	(a) A cooperative that has been administratively dissolved may apply to the
13	[Secretary of State] for reinstatement within two years after the effective date of dissolution. The
14	application must be delivered to the [Secretary of State] for filing and state:
15	(1) the name of the cooperative and the effective date of its administrative
16	dissolution;
17	(2) that the grounds for dissolution either did not exist or have been
18	eliminated; and
19	(3) that the cooperative's name satisfies the requirements of Section 109.
20	(b) If the [Secretary of State] determines that an application contains the
21	information required by subsection (a) and that the information is correct, the [Secretary of State]
22	shall prepare a declaration of reinstatement that states this determination, sign, and file the
23	original of the declaration of reinstatement, and serve the cooperative with a copy.
24	(c) When reinstatement becomes effective, it relates back to and takes effect as of

1 the effective date of the administrative dissolution and the cooperative may resume or continue 2 its activities as if the administrative dissolution had never occurred. 3 Reporter's Note Source: ULPA, ULLCA, generally follows the MBCA. At the Committee's direction the 4 phrase "or continue" was added to subsection (c). The Comments need to explain the effect on 5 third parties. It is unintended, in that regard, to be completely consistent with corporate and 6 7 unincorporated law. 8 9 SECTION 1012. APPEAL FROM DENIAL OF REINSTATEMENT. 10 (a) If the [Secretary of State] denies a cooperative's application for reinstatement 11 following administrative dissolution, the [Secretary of State] shall prepare, sign, and file a notice 12 that explains the reason or reasons for denial and serve the cooperative with a copy of the notice. 13 (b) Within 30 days after service of the notice of denial, the cooperative may 14 appeal from the denial of restatement by petitioning the [appropriate court] to set aside the 15 dissolution. The petition must be served on the [Secretary of State] and contain a copy of the [Secretary of State's] declaration of dissolution, the cooperative's application for reinstatement, 16 17 and the [Secretary of State's] notice of denial. 18 (c) The court may summarily order the [Secretary of State] to reinstate the 19 dissolved cooperative or may take other action the court considers appropriate. 20 Reporter's Note 21 Source: ULPA § 811. This article is also conceptually consistent with existing cooperative law. It is also a point where the "unclaimed and abandoned property" provision 22 23 might apply. The Reporter has been directed by the Drafting Committee to determine if it is

appropriate and consistent with other conference products to include the language for a filing and

2425

fees section.

1	[ARTICLE] 11
2	ACTIONS BY MEMBERS
3	
4	SECTION 1101. DIRECT ACTION BY MEMBER.
5	(a) Subject to subsection (b), a member may maintain a direct action against the
6	cooperative, an officer, or a director for legal or equitable relief, to enforce the rights and
7	otherwise protect the interests of the member, including rights and interests under the organic
8	rules or organic law.
9	(b) A member commencing a direct action under this Section is required to plead
10	and prove an actual or threatened injury that is not solely the result of an injury suffered or
11	threatened to be suffered by the cooperative.
12	(c) The accrual of, and any time limitation on, a right of action for a remedy
13	under this section is governed by other law. Any right to an accounting upon a dissolution and
14	winding up does not revive a claim barred by law.
15	Reporter's Note
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Source: § 1001 ULPA (2001) (modified). A prior draft included a direct right to sue another member based on unincorporated entity law (in former section 1101). Directors are included to raise the issue of "primary" shareholder litigation in the corporate context and to better reflect the operation of the provision under ULPA. Query whether this merely reflects current law; or causes or alleviates confusion. Finally, query whether the provision on direct action is necessary. Current corporate and cooperative acts do not make this statutory distinction. Unincorporated laws, however, include this because, historically, the individual partner could not sue directly outside an accounting action. The direct-derivative distinction is currently in the ULLCA draft being discussed by another committee of the Conference. A Comment needs to be included explaining subsection (c).
27	SECTION 1102. DERIVATIVE ACTION. A member may maintain a derivative

I	action to enforce a right of a cooperative if the member adequately represents the interests of the
2	cooperative and if:
3	(1) the member first makes a demand on the cooperative, requesting that it bring
4	an action to enforce the right, and the cooperative does not bring the action within a reasonable
5	time; and
6	(2) 90 days have expired from the date the demand was made unless the member
7	has earlier been notified that the demand has been rejected by the cooperative or unless
8	irreparable injury to the cooperative would result by waiting for the expiration of the time period.
9	Reporter's Note
10 11 12 13 14	Source: § 1002 ULPA (2001). Is 90 days too long, <i>but see</i> the Reporter's Note following section 1104. Oregon uses 20 days. <i>See</i> section 1104. This draft does not contain a futility exception. Subsection (1) formerly required a writing, the Committee discussed replacing it with record, this draft goes back to the language in ULPA (2001).
15	SECTION 1103. PROPER PLAINTIFF. A derivative action may be maintained only
16	by a person that is a member at the time the action is commenced and:
17	(1) that was a member when the conduct giving rise to the action occurred; or
18	(2) whose status as a member devolved upon the person by operation of law from
19	a person that was a member at the time of the conduct.
20	Reporter's Note
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Source: § 1003 ULPA (2001). Query whether the requirement that the person bringing a suit be a member at the time of commencement is advisable or necessary. Most corporate statutes so provide. It is consistent with other conference products. A Comment or Legislative Note should direct states to determine the placement of derivative actions within their won codes. South Dakota's derivative procedures, for example, appear in it's code of civil procedure. The South Dakota provision and, some other corporate codes, require that the plaintiff "fairly represents" the interest of the corporation. This draft does as well. See § 1002.

1	SECTION 1104. PLEADING. In a derivative action, the complaint must state with
2	particularity:
3	(1) the date and content of plaintiff's demand and the cooperative's response to
4	the demand; and
5	(2) if 90 days has not expired under Section 1102 (2), that irreparable injury to
6	the cooperative would result by waiting for the expiration of the time.
7	SECTION 1105. PROCEEDS AND EXPENSES.
8	(a) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (b):
9	(1) any proceeds or other benefits of a derivative action, whether by
0	judgment, compromise, or settlement, belong to the cooperative and not to the derivative
1	plaintiff;
2	(2) if the derivative plaintiff receives any proceeds, the derivative plaintiff
3	shall immediately remit them to the cooperative.
4	(b) If a derivative action is successful in whole or in part, the court may award the
5	plaintiff reasonable expenses, including reasonable attorney's fees, from the recovery of the
6	cooperative.
7	Reporter's Note
8	Source: § 1005 ULPA (2001).
19 20	Most cooperative statutes do not expressly provide for derivative actions. This draft closely follows ULPA (2001) in providing for such actions.
21 22 23 24	Section 1102 modifies the ULPA (2001) formulation by adding the requirement that the member adequately represents the interests of the cooperative; by adding a 90 day time period after demand before suit may be commenced; and by deleting excused demand because of futility. The 90 day period may be excused if the waiting period would result in irreparable harm

- to the cooperative under subsection 1102(2). These modifications generally follow the law of the
- 2 Model Business Corporations Act. This draft does not include the MBCA provision concerning
- 3 litigation committees and the authority of the committee to have the suit dismissed if the
- 4 committee exercises good faith. One reason for not providing for such committees is because of
- 5 the limited availability of outside or independent directors under this draft. Nonetheless the
- addition of the requirement that the member bringing the derivative suit "adequately represent"
- 7 the cooperative should provide a framework for the evolution of such concepts under the
- 8 common law.

1	[ARTICLE] 12
2	FOREIGN COOPERATIVES
3	
4	SECTION 1201. GOVERNING LAW.
5	(a) The laws of the state or other jurisdiction under which a foreign cooperative is
6	organized govern relations among the members of the foreign cooperative and between the
7	members and the foreign cooperative.
8	(b) A foreign cooperative may not be denied a certificate of authority by reason of
9	any difference between the laws of the jurisdiction under which the foreign cooperative is
10	organized and the laws of this state.
11	(c) A certificate of authority does not authorize a foreign cooperative to engage in
12	any activity or exercise any power that an agricultural or agricultural related cooperative may not
13	engage in or exercise in this state.
14	Reporter's Note
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"Cooperative" is defined in this draft as a cooperative organized under this Act which Section 105 states is "any lawful agricultural or agricultural related purpose". This is supported by sections 1204 and 1205. Therefore all references to "agricultural or agricultural related" have been deleted. Any change in the scope of this act would require conforming language. The "agricultural or agricultural related" in subsection (c) is ambiguous but it is a matter of definition broader than its mere use in this subsection. It may, however, set up slight inconsistency with subsection (b) of this section; though the purposes of the two subsections are clearly different.
23	SECTION 1202. APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORITY.
24	(a) A foreign cooperative may apply for a certificate of authority to transact
25	business in this state by delivering an application to the [Secretary of State] for filing. The

1	application must state:
2	(1) the name of the foreign cooperative and, if the name does not comply
3	with Section 109, an alternate name adopted pursuant to Section 1205.
4	(2) the name of the state or other jurisdiction under whose law the foreign
5	cooperative is organized;
6	(3) the street and mailing address of the foreign cooperative's designated
7	office and, if the laws of the jurisdiction under which the foreign cooperative is organized require
8	the foreign cooperative to maintain an office in that jurisdiction, the street and mailing address of
9	the required office;
10	(4) the name and street and mailing address of the foreign cooperative's
11	agent for service of process in this state;
12	(5) the name and street and mailing address of each of the foreign
13	cooperative's current directors and officers.
14	(b) A foreign cooperative shall deliver with the completed application a
15	certificate of existence or a record of similar import signed by the [Secretary of State] or other
16	official having custody of the foreign cooperative's publicly filed records in the state or other
17	jurisdiction under whose law the foreign cooperative is organized.
18	SECTION 1203. ACTIVITIES NOT CONSTITUTING TRANSACTING
19	BUSINESS.
20	(a) Activities of a foreign cooperative which do not constitute transacting
21	business in this state within the meaning of this [article] include:
22	(1) maintaining, defending, and settling an action or proceeding;

1	(2) holding meetings of its members or carrying on any other activity
2	concerning its internal affairs;
3	(3) maintaining accounts in financial institutions;
4	(4) maintaining offices or agencies for the transfer, exchange, and
5	registration of the foreign cooperative's own securities or maintaining trustees or depositories
6	with respect to those securities;
7	(5) selling through independent contractors;
8	(6) soliciting or obtaining orders, whether by mail or electronic means or
9	through employees or agents or otherwise, if the orders require acceptance outside this state
10	before they become contracts;
11	(7) creating or acquiring indebtedness, mortgages, or security interests in
12	real or personal property;
13	(8) securing or collecting debts or enforcing mortgages or other security
14	interests in property securing the debts, and holding, protecting, and maintaining property so
15	acquired;
16	(9) conducting an isolated transaction that is completed within 30 days
17	and is not one in the course of similar transactions of a like manner; and
18	(10) transacting business in interstate commerce.
19	(b) For purposes of this [article], the ownership in this state of income-producing
20	real property or tangible personal property, other than property excluded under subsection (a),
21	constitutes transacting business in this state.
22	(c) This section does not apply in determining the contacts or activities that may

subject a foreign cooperative to service of process, taxation, or regulation under any other law of this state.

3 Reporter's Note

4 5

Source: ULPA (2001) § 903.

SECTION 1204. FILING OF CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORITY. Unless the [Secretary of State] determines that an application for a certificate of authority does not comply with the filing requirements of this [act], the [Secretary of State], upon payment of all filing fees, shall file the application, prepare, sign and file a certificate of authority to transact business in this state, and send a copy of the filed certificate, together with a receipt for the fees, to the foreign cooperative or its representative.

13 Reporter's Note

Source: ULPA (2001) § 904.

### SECTION 1205. NONCOMPLYING NAME OF FOREIGN COOPERATIVE.

(a) A foreign cooperative whose name does not comply with Section 109 may not obtain a certificate of authority until it adopts, for the purpose of transacting business in this state, an alternative name that complies with Section 111. A foreign cooperative that adopts an alternative name under this subsection and then obtains a certificate of authority with the name need not comply with [fictitious name statute]. After obtaining a certificate of authority with an alternative name, a foreign cooperative shall transact business in this state under the name unless the foreign cooperative is authorized under [fictitious name statute] to transact business in this state under another name.

1	(b) If a foreign cooperative authorized to transact business in this state changes its
2	name to one that does not comply with Section 109, it may not thereafter transact business in this
3	state until it complies with subsection (a) and obtains an amended certificate of authority.
4 5 6 7	Reporter's Note Source: ULPA (2001) § 905.
8	SECTION 1206. REVOCATION OF CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORITY.
9	(a) A certificate of authority of a foreign cooperative to transact business in this
10	state may be revoked by the [Secretary of State] in the manner provided in subsections (b) and (c)
11	if the foreign cooperative does not:
12	(1) pay, within 60 days after the due date, any fee, tax, or penalty due to
13	the [Secretary of State] under this [act] or other law;
14	(2) deliver, within 60 days after the due date, its annual report required
15	under Section 214;
16	(3) appoint and maintain an agent for service of process as required by
17	Section 116; or
18	(4) deliver for filing a statement of a change under Section 117 within 30
19	days after a change has occurred in the name or address of the agent.
20	(b) In order to revoke a certificate of authority, the [Secretary of State] must
21	prepare, sign, and file a notice of revocation and send a copy to the foreign cooperative's
22	registered agent for service of process in this state, or if the foreign cooperative does not appoint
23	and maintain a proper agent in this state, to the foreign cooperative's designated office. The

1	notice must state:
2	(1) the revocation's effective date, which must be at least 60 days after the
3	date the [Secretary of State] sends the copy; and
4	(2) the foreign cooperative's failures to comply with subsection (a) which
5	are the reason for the revocation.
6	(c) The authority of the foreign cooperative to transact business in this state
7	ceases on the effective date of the notice of revocation unless before that date the foreign
8	cooperative cures each failure to comply with subsection (a) stated in the notice. If the foreign
9	cooperative cures the failures, the [Secretary of State] shall so indicate on the filed notice.
10	Reporter's Note
11 12 13	Source: ULPA (2001) § 906.
14	SECTION 1207. CANCELLATION OF CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORITY;
15	EFFECT OF FAILURE TO HAVE CERTIFICATE.
16	(a) In order to cancel its certificate of authority to transact business in this state, a
17	foreign cooperative must deliver to the [Secretary of State] for filing a notice of cancellation.
18	The certificate is canceled when the notice becomes effective under Section 210.
19	(b) A foreign cooperative transacting business in this state may not maintain an
20	action or proceeding in this state unless it has a certificate of authority to transact business in this
21	state.
22	(c) The failure of a foreign cooperative to have a certificate of authority to
23	transact business in this state does not impair the validity of a contract or act of the foreign

I	cooperative or prevent the foreign cooperative from defending an action or proceeding in this
2	state.
3	(d) A member of a foreign cooperative is not liable for the obligations of the
4	foreign cooperative solely by reason of the foreign cooperative's having transacted business in
5	this state without a certificate of authority.
6	(e) If a foreign cooperative transacts business in this state without a certificate of
7	authority or cancels its certificate of authority, it appoints the [Secretary of State] as its agent for
8	service of process for rights of action arising out of the transaction of business in this state.
9	Reporter's Note
10 11 12	Source: ULPA (2001) § 907.
13	SECTION 1208. ACTION BY [ATTORNEY GENERAL]. The [Attorney General]
14	may maintain an action to restrain a foreign cooperative from transacting business in this state in
15	violation of this [article].
16	Reporter's Note
17 18	

1	[ARTICLE] 13
2	AMENDMENT OF ORGANIC RULES
3	
4	SECTION 1301. AUTHORITY TO AMEND ORGANIC RULES.
5	(a) A cooperative may amend its organic rules under this [article].
6	(b) A member of a cooperative does not have vested rights in any provision in the
7	organic rules.
8	Reporter's Note
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	This article attempts to consolidate the amendment and restatement procedures for both the articles of organization and bylaws. This section simply grants a general authority to amend. Subsection (b) is in the MBCA and is the analogue of the effect of a change or amendment of underlying law provided in Section 104. The Committee has yet to address whether this is a default or mandatory provision. This issue is an important one because under the corporate law of most states the directors alone may amend the by-laws. This draft more closely follows LLC law. It is also consistent with the Oregon Cooperative Act (§ 62.135).
17	SECTION 1302. NOTICE AND ACTION ON AMENDMENT OF ARTICLES OF
18	ORGANIZATION OR BY-LAWS. In order to amend its organic rules:
19	(1) a proposed amendment must be approved by a majority vote of the board of
20	directors unless a greater vote is required by the organic rules;
21	(2) the board of directors shall mail or otherwise transmit or deliver in a record to
22	each member:
23	(A) the proposed amendment:
24	(B) a recommendation that the members approve the amendment unless
25	the board determines because of conflict of interest or other special circumstances it should not

1	make such a recommendation;
2	(C) if the board makes no recommendation, the basis of that decision;
3	(D) any condition of its submission of the amendment to the members;
4	and
5	(E) give notice of the meeting in the same manner as an annual or special
6	members meeting.
7	SECTION 1303. METHOD OF VOTING. Members may vote in person, by mail, by
8	proxy, or as provided in the organic rules.
9	Reporter's Note
10 11 12	This section is derived from Colorado section 7-55-110.
13	SECTION 1304. AMENDMENT TO AMENDMENT AT MEETING.
14	(a) No substantive amendment of the proposed amendment of the organic rules
15	may be made at the members' meeting at which the vote occurs.
16	(b) Subject to subsection (a) any amendment of the amendment need not be
17	separately voted upon by the board of directors.
18	(c) The vote to adopt an amendment to the amendment is the same as that
19	required to pass the proposed amendment.
20	Reporter's Note
21 22 23	At the November 2004 meeting the term "germane" was suggested. This draft uses the term "substantive".
24	

# 1 SECTION 1305. APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT. 2 (a) Subject to Sections 312 and 1306, an amendment to the articles of organization must be approved by at least a two-thirds vote of members voting at the meeting. 3 4 (b) Subject to Sections 312 and 1306, an amendment to the bylaws must be 5 approved by at least a majority vote of members voting at the meeting except that a two-thirds 6 vote of members is required for any amendment modifying: 7 (1) the capital structure of the cooperative, including the relative rights, 8 preferences, and restrictions granted or imposed upon any group or class of members, and the 9 rights of the cooperative members to share in profits, surplus, or distributions; 10 (2) the terms for admission of new members; 11 (3) quorum for a meeting and rights of voting and governance; 12 (4) the transferability of members' interests; or 13 (5) the manner or method of allocation of net proceeds, savings, margins, 14 profits, or losses among members. 15 Reporter's Note 16 The Reporter was requested to consider several suggested revisions at the November 17 reasons such revisions are not included in this draft which was discussed at the April 2005 18 19

The Reporter was requested to consider several suggested revisions at the November 2004 meeting included separate voting by patronage and nonpatronage members. There are two reasons such revisions are not included in this draft which was discussed at the April 2005 Committee meeting: (1) In many instances the desired result would be obtained by operation of section 1305; (2) The operation of section 312 was not fully considered. SECTION 312 CONFOUNDS THE OPERATION OF THIS SECTION because, in effect, it gives a majority of patron members absolute control over the ultimate outcome of the vote. THIS MUST BE DISCUSSED and provides a very strong argument section 312 should be reduced to majority. On the other hand, providing for separate patronage/non-patronage voting in any meaningful way gives non-patronage members, who are likely to be few in number, blocking power.

20

21

2223

24

252627

28

Many cooperative acts allow the board of directors to amend the bylaws, some do not. It is the tentative general sense of the committee to be protective of members and this draft is

consistent with that sense. It would be possible to make (b) a default rule rather than mandatory (*See* Colorado Rev. Stat. § 7-56-208).

The allocation of provisions between the articles of organization and bylaws, even given the foregoing, in a unique feature of cooperatives. In many ways it seems that the bylaws of some cooperative serve an analogous role of the operating agreement under LLC law, albeit far easier to amend. In order to address the real function of the bylaws in a cooperative this Section sets forth several actions that require a higher vote quantum no matter whether they are in the bylaws or articles of organization. Whether the effect of changing of district boundaries is included in subsection (b) as drafted needs to be considered.

## SECTION 1306. VOTING BY GROUP, CLASS, OR DISTRICT MEMBERS.

- (a) If a proposed amendment affects a group, class, or district of members in one or more of the ways included in Section 1303, those members shall vote as a separate group.
- (b) Unless otherwise provided in the organic rules, if a proposed amendment affects more than one group, class, or district of members in the same or a substantially similar way, the members of those groups, classes, or districts shall vote on the proposed amendment as a single group.
- (c) A group, class, or district of members has the rights provided in this section even if those members are not otherwise entitled to vote under the organic rules.

## Reporter's Note

 Subsection (c) entitles "nonvoting" members the right to vote concerning fundamental changes to the terms of their membership. The interrelationship between subsection (c) and the rights of creditors and transferees of economic rights needs to be explored.

This section should not be interpreted to extend voting rights to transferees.

*-* 1

### SECTION 1307. PROPOSAL OF AMENDMENT BY MEMBERS.

(a) Members may propose amendments to the organic rules to be considered by

1	the board of directors by demand in a record signed by members holding at least 10 percent of all
2	votes entitled to be cast on the matter.
3	(b) The board of directors shall report its action on the proposed amendment at
4	the next annual shareholder's meeting or any special meeting for that purpose held under Section
5	307.
6	Reporter's Note
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Section 307 allows 10 percent of the votes of any class or group to call a special meeting so the board can be forced to report through the special meeting process. Note that the proposal under this section requires 10 percent of all votes (not of a class). Inconsistency in detail is a trap unless there is a substantive reason for doing so.  This section, like corporate law and limited partnership law, provides a central role for the board of directors and does not allow a pure referendum. The relationship between this section and the board of directors removal provision needs to be discussed.
16	SECTION 1308. RESTATED ARTICLES. A cooperative, by action taken under [this
17	article] required for amendment of its organic rules, may adopt restated articles that contain the
18	original articles as currently amended. Restated articles supersede the existing articles and all

amendments upon filing under [Article 2].

# 1 [ARTICLE] 14 CONSOLIDATION AND MERGER 2 3 4 **SECTION 1401. DEFINITIONS.** In this [article]: 5 (1) "Consolidation" means a merger of two or more constituent organizations that 6 results in the creation of a surviving organization. 7 (2) "Constituent cooperative" means a cooperative that is a party to a 8 consolidation or merger. 9 (3) "Constituent organization" means an organization that is party to a 10 consolidation or merger. (4) "Governing statute" of an organization means the statute that governs the 11 12 organization's internal affairs. 13 (5) "Merger" means a combination of two or more constituent organizations that 14 results in the surviving cooperatives having the name of one of the constituent organizations. 15 (6) "Organization" means a cooperative, a cooperative governed by law other 16 than this [act], general partnership, limited liability partnership, limited partnership, limited 17 liability limited partnership, limited liability company, business trust, corporation, or any other 18 person having a governing statute. The term includes domestic and foreign organizations whether 19 or not organized for profit. (7) "Personal liability" means personal liability for a debt, liability, or other 20 21 obligation of an organization which is imposed on a person that co-owns, has an interest in, or is 22 a member of the organization:

1	(A) by the organization's governing statute solely by reason of co-owning,
2	having an interest in, or being a member of the organization; or
3	(B) by the organization's organizational documents under a provision of
4	the organization's governing statute authorizing those documents to make one or more specified
5	persons liable for all or specified debts, liabilities, and other obligations of the organization
6	solely by reason of co-owning, having an interest in, or being a member of
7	the organization.
8	(8) "Surviving organization" means an organization into which one or more other
9	organizations are merged. A surviving organization may exist before the merger or be created by
10	the merger.
11 12	Reporter's Note
13 14 15 16	For a discussion of the term "consolidation" see the Note following section 1409. Defining "consolidation" as a merger attempts to avoid complicating drafting. The term is used only as a matter of labeling in the substantive provisions and in the headings of the balance of this Article. <i>See</i> generally the Note following 1409.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	As a preliminary matter this Article allows a cooperative formed under this draft flexibility to combine with the full panoply of other organizations whether domestic or foreign. It does not include conversions but META would allow such transactions. Neither does it allow "share exchanges." A separate Article (15) exists for the sale of assets but its drafting awaits action on Article 14. This section is based on ULPA (2001) section 1101. The terms "co-owns" and "co-owning" appear in ULPA.
25	SECTION 1402. CONSOLIDATION OR MERGER OR CONSOLIDATION.
26	(a) A cooperative may merge with one or more other constituent organizations
27	pursuant to this [article] and a plan of consolidation or merger, if:
28	(1) the governing statute of each the other organizations authorizes the

1	merger;
2	(2) the merger is not prohibited by the law of a jurisdiction that enacted
3	any of those governing statutes; and
4	(3) each of the other organizations complies with its governing statute in
5	effecting the merger.
6	(b) A plan of consolidation or merger must be in a record and must include:
7	(1) the name and form of each constituent organization;
8	(2) the name and form of the surviving organization and, if the surviving
9	organization is to be created by the merger, a statement to that effect;
10	(3) the terms and conditions of the merger, including the manner and basis
11	for converting the interests in each constituent organization into any combination of money,
12	interests in the surviving organization, and other consideration;
13	(4) if the surviving organization is to be created by the consolidation or
14	merger, the surviving organization's organizational documents;
15	(5) if the surviving organization is not to be created by the consolidation
16	or merger, any amendments to be made by the merger to the surviving organization's
17	organizational documents; and
18	(6) if a member of a constituent cooperative will have personal liability
19	with respect to a surviving organization, the identity by descriptive class or other reasonable
20	manner of the member.
21	Reporter's Note
22	Subsection (6) is derived from section 1406 in the November draft which was based on

1 2	ULPA (2001).
3	SECTION 1403. NOTICE AND ACTION ON PLAN OF CONSOLIDATION OR
4	MERGER BY CONSTITUENT COOPERATIVE.
5	(a) Unless otherwise provided in the organic rules, the plan of consolidation or
6	merger must be approved by a majority vote of the board of directors.
7	(b) The board of directors shall mail or otherwise transmit or deliver in a record
8	to each member:
9	(1) the plan;
10	(2) a recommendation that the members approve the plan unless the board
11	makes a determination because of conflicts of interest or other special circumstances that it
12	should not make such a recommendation;
13	(3) if the board makes no recommendation, the basis for that decision;
14	(4) any condition of its submission of the plan to the members; and
15	(5) notice of the meeting in the same manner as an annual or special
16	members' meeting.
17	SECTION 1404. APPROVAL AND ABANDONMENT OF CONSOLIDATION OR
18	MERGER BY MEMBERS OF CONSTITUENT COOPERATIVE.
19	(a) Unless the organic rules provide for a greater quantum and subject to Section
20	312, a plan of consolidation or merger must be approved by at least a two-thirds vote of members
21	voting under Section 311 and, if as a result of the merger any member of the cooperative has
22	personal liability as a result of the merger, consent in a record of that member.

1	(b) Subject to any contractual rights, after a consolidation or merger is approved,
2	and at any time before a filing is made under Section 1407, a constituent cooperative may amend
3	the plan or abandon the planned merger:
4	(1) as provided in the plan; and
5	(2) except as prohibited by the plan, with the same consent as was
6	required to approve the plan.
7	SECTION 1405. CONSOLIDATION OR MERGER OF SUBSIDIARY.
8	(a) Unless the organic rules of the cooperative or the organic law or organic rules
9	of the other organization otherwise provide, a cooperative that owns 90 percent of each class of
10	the voting power of a subsidiary organization may consolidate or merge the subsidiary into itself
11	or into another such subsidiary.
12	(b) The cooperative owning at least 90 percent of the subsidiary organization
13	before the consolidation or merger shall notify each other owner of the subsidiary, if any, of the
14	consolidation or merger within 10 days after the effective date of the consolidation or merger.
15	SECTION 1406. FILINGS REQUIRED FOR MERGER; EFFECTIVE DATE.
16	(a) After each constituent organization has approved a consolidation or merger,
17	articles of consolidation or merger must be signed on behalf of each other preexisting constituent
18	organization, by an authorized representative.
19	(b) The Articles of consolidation or merger must include:
20	(1) the name and form of each constituent organization and the
21	jurisdiction of its governing statute;
22	(2) the name and form of the surviving organization, the jurisdiction of its

1	governing statute, and, if the surviving organization is created by the consolidation or merger, a
2	statement to that effect;
3	(3) the date the merger is effective under the governing statute of the
4	surviving organization;
5	(4) if the surviving organization is to be created by the consolidation or
6	merger:
7	(A) if it will be a cooperative, the cooperative's articles of
8	organization; or
9	(B) if it will be an organization other than a cooperative, the
10	organizational document that creates the organization;
11	(5) if the surviving organization preexists the merger, any amendments
12	provided for in the plan of merger for the organizational document that created the organization;
13	(6) a statement as to each constituent organization that the merger was
14	approved as required by the organization's governing statute;
15	(7) if the surviving organization is a foreign organization not authorized to
16	transact business in this state, the street and mailing address of an office which the [Secretary of
17	State] may use for the purposes of Section [service of process]; and
18	(8) any additional information required by the governing statute of any
19	constituent organization.
20	(c) Each constituent cooperative shall deliver the articles of consolidation or
21	merger for filing in the [office of the Secretary of State].
22	(d) A merger becomes effective under this [article]:

1	(1) if the surviving organization is a cooperative, upon the later of:
2	(A) compliance with subsection (c); or
3	(B) subject to Section [210], as specified in the articles of
4	consolidation or merger; or
5	(2) if the surviving organization is not a cooperative, as provided by the
6	governing statute of the surviving organization.
7	SECTION 1407. EFFECT OF CONSOLIDATION OR MERGER.
8	(a) When a merger becomes effective:
9	(1) the surviving organization continues or comes into existence;
10	(2) each constituent organization that consolidates or merges into the
11	surviving organization ceases to exist as a separate entity;
12	(3) all property owned by each constituent organization that ceases to
13	exist vests in the surviving organization;
14	(4) all debts, liabilities, and other obligations of each constituent
15	organization that ceases to exist continue as obligations of the surviving organization;
16	(5) an action or proceeding pending by or against any constituent
17	organization that ceases to exist may be continued as if the merger had not occurred;
18	(6) except as prohibited by other law, all of the rights, privileges,
19	immunities, powers, and purposes of each constituent organization that ceases to exist vest in the
20	surviving organization;
21	(7) except as otherwise provided in the plan of consolidation or merger,
22	the terms and conditions of the plan take effect; and

1	(8) except as otherwise agreed, if a constituent cooperative ceases to exist
2	the merger does not dissolve the cooperative for the purposes of [Article 10];
3	(9) if the surviving organization is created by the consolidation or merger
4	(A) if it is a cooperative, the articles of organization become
5	effective; or
6	(B) if it is an organization other than a cooperative, the
7	organizational document that creates the organization becomes effective; and
8	(10) if the surviving organization exists before the consolidation or
9	merger, any amendments provided for in the articles of merger for the organizational document
10	that created the organization become effective.
11	Reporter's Note
12 13 14	<b>Source:</b> ULPA (2001). The plan will by necessity address the pre-merger terms of the directors and board officers.
15	SECTION 1408. METHOD OF VOTING. Members may vote in person or, as
16	provided by the organic rules, by mail or proxy.
17	SECTION 1409. [ARTICLE] NOT EXCLUSIVE. This [article] does not preclude a
18	cooperative from being converted or merged under other law.
19	Reporter's Note
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Most of this article is based on the merger provisions found in Article 11, ULPA (2001). There are two major differences with the ULPA formulation. <i>First</i> , this draft does not provide for conversions although it provides for the same result through merger of a cooperative <i>into</i> another type of entity. <i>Second</i> , it allows for short-form merger where cooperative owns at least 90 percent of the voting power of the subsidiary. The first difference is a matter of degree depending on decisions made in the Model Entity Transactions Act. It may be important to discuss the advisability of conversion-like processes here, however, squarely within the context

of cooperatives to identify any specific concerns caused by META.

One change incorporated in this draft is the use of both the terms "merger" and "consolidation". The advisors to this act have urged that the term "consolidation" be used where the surviving entity is a new organization. The term "new", unfortunately, is ambiguous and the term has been deleted from the MBCA but remains in many state cooperative acts. The return of the term consolidation should not raise substantive concerns beyond being somewhat inconsistent with the laws of other organization.

The new definitions of consolidation and merger attempt to make the distinction clerical, nonsubstantive, and bright-lined to avoid transaction and opinion letter complications.

1	[ARTICLE] 15
2	SALE OF SUBSTANTIALLY ALL ASSETS
3	
4	[RESERVED]
5	Reporter's Note
6 7	This Article will closely follow the language and format of the mergers article. Thus, it awaits further discussion of the provisions concerning consolidation or merger.

### 1 [DELETED: ARTICLE 16 2 **DISSENTERS RIGHTS** 3 Reporter's Note 4 Dissenters' appraisal rights are a traditional corporate remedy. Some traditional 5 cooperative statutes have adopted them (see Colo. Rev. Stat. § 7-56-608) others have not (Cf., Or. Rev. Stat. § 62.613(2)). 6 7 8 The April 2005 draft included a fully drafted article on dissenters' rights that has been deleted at the direction of the Committee. This is consistent with unincorporated law but the 9 voting quantum defaults in unincorporated law are generally higher and, therefore, unless altered 10 11 default voting may provide back-door protection. 12 13 The probable effect of the deletion of dissenters rights (sometimes known as "appraisal 14 rights") is to strengthen governance by majority, particularly in fundamental change transactions 15 (Consolidations, Mergers, Sale of Assets). It probably also enhances centralized management in 16 the board of directors because it lessens flight-of-capital concerns in those kinds of decisions that corporate law would provide such rights. Arguably this result is consistent with the historical

19 20 root values of cooperatives.

17 18

21

22

23

The organic rules, of course, may provide for such rights and in capital intensive cooperatives with nonpatron members it is probable those members will demand the inclusion of such rights to avoid capital lock-in. In any event, the directors are subject to duties of care and loyalty and could be held responsible under those duties.

1	[ARTICLE] 17
2 3	MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS
4	WIISCELE/ACCOUNTS TO VISIONS
5	
6	SECTION 1701. EXEMPTION FROM SECURITIES LAWS. Membership interests
7	issued or sold by a cooperative as an investment in the cooperative are exempt from the securities
8	laws of this [state] under [citation to the provision applicable to other existing forms of
9	cooperatives.]
10	Reporter's Note
11	
12	The language of the statutes vary greatly by state. Many state laws contain exemptions
13	from securities regulation either in the law governing cooperatives or in their securities acts. To
14 15	avoid the necessity of each state renegotiating both the policy and nonuniform statutory language during the adoption of this Act this draft simply applies those existing exemptions by reference.
16	See generally, Reporters' Note to Section 809 of this draft.
17	see generally, Reporters Trote to Section 60% of this draft.
18	The Uniform Securities Act (2002) contains a limited exemption at USA § 201(8). It is
19	limited to "nonprofit membership cooperatives" and, even there, does <i>not</i> apply to "a member's
20	or owner's interest, retention certificate, or like security sold to persons other than bona fide
21	members of the cooperative." Comment 8 to Section 201 states:
22	
23	"The 1956 Act had instead provided: 'insert any desired
24	exemption for cooperatives'. The Reporter for the 1956 Act had
25	found such sharp variation among the 18 states that then had
26	adopted a cooperative exemption that 'no common patter can be
27	found.' Louis Loss, Commentary on the Uniform Securities Act
28	118 (1976).
29	The Committee and the 111-1-1-1-1 first configuration of the 11-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
30 31	The Committee suggests it unlikely to achieve further uniformity than that proposed by the USA (2002) and that states have already made policy decisions that are unlikely to change
32	based upon anything stated in this limited purpose unincorporated cooperative act. A strong
33	legislative not should be drafted.
34	registative not should be dialted.
35	SECTION 1702. EXEMPTION FROM RESTRAINT OF TRADE AND
36	ANTITRUST LAWS. Cooperatives have the same immunities, rights, and privileges provided

1	cooperatives formed under [other law in this state] and are governed by [citation to the applicable
2	restraint of trade and antitrust provisions].
3	Reporter's Note
4 5	See the Note to Section 1701. Section 1703, and to a lesser extent Section 1702, might
6	be better placed in Article 5 ("Marketing Contract") but are in Article 17 of this draft for
7	purposes of discussion.
8 9	These sections will require a strong legislative note. One of the issues that needs to be
10	These sections will require a strong legislative note. One of the issues that needs to be addressed by the legislative note is how to conform the provision to apply to this Act if it is not
11	completely self-executing. E.g., if it simply states that "cooperatives complying with".
12	
13	SECTION 1703. INDUCING BREACH OF MARKETING OR PURCHASE
14	<b>CONTRACTS.</b> The remedies provided by [citation to the applicable statutory provisions] apply
15	to cooperatives.
16	Reporter's Note
17	
18 19	See the Note to Section 1702.
20	SECTION 1704. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION. In
21	applying and construing this uniform act, consideration must be given to the need to promote
22	uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.
23	SECTION 1705. SEVERABILITY. If any provision of this [act] or its application to
24	any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or
25	applications of this [act] which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application,
26	and to this end the provisions of this [act] are severable.
27	Reporter's Note
28	The Committee on Style suggested Section 1705 is unnecessary. It remains in the 2005

Annual Meeting Draft only because a similar provision is included in ULPA (2001) and, 1 2 therefore, it seems it should be briefed by the Reporter and discussed by the Drafting Committee. In doing so, the Reporter fully understands the position of the Committee on Style, and the 3 implicit agreement by the Conference, that a severability clause should be used only where there 4 5 is genuine doubt concerning constitutionality and its inclusion here should not be interpreted as disagreeing with those well-reasoned positions. 6 7 8 SECTION 1706. RELATION TO ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES IN GLOBAL 9 AND NATIONAL COMMERCE ACT. This [act] modifies, limits, or supersedes the federal 10 Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 7001 et seq., but 11 this [act] does not modify, limit, or supersede Section 101(c) of that act (15 U.S.C. Section 12 7001(c)) or authorize electronic delivery of any of the notices described in Section 103(b) of that act (15 U.S.C. Section 7003(b)). 13 **SECTION 1707. EFFECTIVE DATE.** This [act] takes effect [effective date]. 14 15 SECTION 1708. SAVINGS CLAUSE. This [act] does not affect an action or 16 proceeding commenced, or right accrued before [this [act] takes effect].