DRAFT

FOR DISCUSSION ONLY

CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAW

March 18-19, 2016 Drafting Committee Meeting

With Discussion Notes

Copyright © 2016 By NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

The ideas and conclusions set forth in this draft, including the proposed statutory language and any comments or reporters' notes, have not been passed upon by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws or the Drafting Committee. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Conference and its Commissioners and the Drafting Committee and its Members and Reporters. Proposed statutory language may not be used to ascertain the intent or meaning of any promulgated final statutory proposal.

DRAFTING COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT

The Committee appointed by and representing the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in preparing this Act consists of the following individuals:

ROBERT J. TENNESSEN, 2522 Thomas Ave. S., Minneapolis, MN 55405, Chair

W. MICHAEL DUNN, 62 Ridge Rd. Concord, NH 03301

MARK F. GLASER, 54 State St., 6th Floor, Albany, NY 12207

ERIC HOUGLAND, Texas Legislative Council, P.O. Box 12128, Austin, TX 78711-2128

JAMES C. KENNEDY, Massachusetts House of Representatives, State House, Room 139, Boston, MA 02133

THEODORE C. KRAMER, 42 Park Pl., Brattleboro, VT 05301

JOHN J. MCAVOY, 3110 Brandywine St. NW, Washington, DC 20008

LOUISE M. NADEAU, Legislative Commissioners' Office, Connecticut General Assembly, Legislative Office Bldg., Room 5500, Hartford, CT 06106-1591

ANNE H. REIGLE, Court of Common Pleas, Kent County Courthouse, 38 The Green, Dover, DE 19901-3602

JACOB T. RODENBIKER, McKenzie County State's Attorney, 201 5th St. NW, Suite 550, Watford City, ND 58854

MICHAEL S. SCHWOYER, Pennsylvania House of Representatives, Room 423, Main Capitol Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120

BRANDON C. SHAFFER, 1154 Twin Peaks Cir., Longmont, CO 80503

SAMUEL A. THUMMA, Arizona Court of Appeals, State Courts Bldg., 1501 W. Washington St., Phoenix, AZ 85007

RUSSELL G. WALKER, P.O. Box 2422, Jamestown, NC 27282

STEVEN L. CHANENSON, Villanova University School of Law, 299 N. Spring Mill Rd., Villanova, PA 19085, *Reporter*

JORDAN M. HYATT, Drexel University, Department of Criminology & Justice Studies, 3141 Chestnut Street, 217 PSA Building, Philadelphia, PA 19104, *Associate Reporter*

EX OFFICIO

RICHARD T. CASSIDY, 100 Main St., P.O. Box 1124, Burlington, VT 05402, *President* H. LANE KNEEDLER, Office of the Attorney General of Virginia, 900 East Main St., Richmond, VA 23219, *Division Chair*

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ADVISORS

STEVE SALTZBURG, George Washington University Law School, 2000 H St. NW, Washington, DC 20052-0026, *ABA Advisor*

MICHAEL AISENBERG, 7515 Colshire Dr., MS T320, McLean, VA 22102-7538, ABA Section Advisor

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

LIZA KARSAI, 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010, Chicago, IL 60602, Executive Director

Copies of this Act may be obtained from:

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010 Chicago, Illinois 60602 312/450-6600 www.uniformlaws.org

CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.	1
SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS	1
SECTION 3. APPLICABILITY	5
SECTION 4. SCOPE.	5
SECTION 5. DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE AGENCY FOR	R
CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD INFORMATION.	5
SECTION 6. DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF CENTRAL REPOSITORY	10
SECTION 7. REGISTRY TO PREVENT FRAUD VICTIMIZATION	14
SECTION 8. SECURITY REQUIREMENTS FOR CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE AGENCIE	
AND CENTRAL REPOSITORY.	18
SECTION 9. SUBJECT'S RIGHT OF ACCESS, REVIEW, CHALLENGE, CORRECTION	
AND APPEAL	19
SECTION 10. MANDATORY INDEPENDENT ANNUAL AUDIT	21
SECTION 11. SANCTIONS AND REMEDIES	23
SECTION 12. DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF [SENIOR ELECTED OR APPOINTED	
EXECUTIVE BRANCH OFFICIAL RESPONSIBLE FOR CRIMINAL JUSTICE	
POLICY]	25
SECTION 13. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION	26
SECTION 14. REPEALS; CONFORMING AMENDMENTS	26
SECTION 15. EFFECTIVE DATE	26

1	CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT
2	SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. This [act] may be cited as the Criminal Records
3	Accuracy Act.
4	SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS. In this [act]:
5	(1) "Administration of criminal justice" means: detection, apprehension, detention,
6	pretrial release, post-trial release, prosecution, adjudication, correctional supervision, or
7	rehabilitation of an accused person or criminal offender. The term includes criminal
8	identification activities and the collection, storage, and dissemination of criminal history record
9	information.
10	(2) "Audit" means the process of reviewing compliance with state and federal law related
11	to the accuracy, security, security measures, and privacy of criminal history record information.
12	(3) "Biometric identifying information" means unique attributes of an individual,
13	including fingerprints, used for identification.
14	(4) "Central repository" means the single, centralized entity for collection, compilation,
15	storage, maintenance, and dissemination of criminal history record information operated by the
16	[office, department, or State Police].
17	(5) "Contributing justice agency" means a political subdivision or agent of a political
18	subdivision or governing entity of this state authorized to engage in the administration of
19	criminal justice. The term includes an organized state or municipal police department, sheriff's
20	department, local detention facility or department, county, regional or state correctional facility
21	or department, probation agency, [district or prosecuting] attorney, court with criminal
22	jurisdiction, parole board, pardon board, juvenile detention facility, and any agency or subunit

designated by the [senior elected or appointed executive branch official responsible for criminal

- 1 justice policy] as a contributing justice agency. The term does not include the central repository.
- 2 (6) "Criminal history record information" means information collected by a contributing
- 3 justice agency or the central repository consisting of identifiable descriptions of a subject,
- 4 including biometric identifying information, and notations of reportable events. The term does
- 5 not include intelligence information or investigative information.
- 6 (7) "Dissemination" means oral, written, or electronic transmission or other disclosure of
- 7 criminal history record information to a person other than the central repository or the
- 8 contributing justice agency that maintains the information.
- 9 (8) "Dissemination log" means a listing of every request for, and dissemination of,
- 10 criminal history record information or intelligence information, indicating the information
- requested, information disseminated, the person making the request and their associated address,
- 12 the person effectuating the dissemination, the date of the request and of the dissemination, and
- 13 the purpose for which the information was requested or disseminated. The dissemination log is
 - separate and distinct from the criminal history record information, intelligence information, or
- 15 investigative information itself.
- 16 (9) "Intelligence information" means information about the habits, practices,
- 17 characteristics, possessions, associations, or financial status of a person collected to anticipate,
- prevent, monitor, investigate, or prosecute criminal activity.
- 19 (10) "Investigative information" means information collected as a result of an inquiry,
- 20 formal or informal, into a criminal incident or an allegation of criminal wrongdoing.
- 21 (11) "Person" means an individual, estate, business or nonprofit entity, public
- 22 corporation, government or governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality, or other legal
- 23 entity.

1	(12) "Reportable event" means any of the following occurrences concerning a person
2	suspected of, arrested for, or charged with a criminal offense, including a felony or misdemeanor
3	but excluding a [noncriminal or summary] petty offense, traffic violation, or offense adjudicated
4	under the [Juvenile Court Act]:
5	(A) an arrest;
6	(B) a disposition after an arrest without the initiation of a formal criminal
7	proceedings;
8	(C) the initiation of a formal criminal proceeding;
9	(D) the disposition of a formal criminal proceeding, including a diversion,
10	dismissal, indefinite postponement, acquittal, guilty plea, conviction, sentence imposed, and
11	modification, reversal, or revocation of the disposition;
12	(E) commitment after conviction to or release from a place of detention or
13	custodial supervision;
14	(F) commencement or conclusion of noncustodial supervision by a contributing
15	justice agency;
16	(G) completion of sentence;
17	(H) an expungement or sealing of criminal history record information;
18	(I) a grant of clemency; and
19	(J) a finding of mental incompetence by a court of this state at any stage of a
20	criminal judicial proceeding.
21	(13) "Repository" means an entity operated by a contributing justice agency which
22	collects, compiles, maintains, or disseminates criminal history record information.
23	(14) "State" means a state of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the

- 1 United States Virgin Islands, or any other territory or insular possession subjection to the
- 2 jurisdiction of the United States. The term includes a federally recognized Indian tribe.
- 3 (15) "Subject" means the individual to whom the substance of criminal history record information refers.

Discussion Notes

Defined terms, not substance. The style rules of the Uniform Law Commission call for consolidation of definitions for terms that recur throughout an act into a single section early in the act. A definition for a term that appears in only one section should be located in that section. Provisions that state a definition must be definitional only, with the substance pertaining to the term addressed separately.

Administration of criminal justice. The definition of "administration of criminal justice" tracks the language found in C.F.R. §20.3.

 Biometric identifying information. The definition of "biometric identifying information" is designed to allow the act to adapt automatically as technology evolves. Right now, fingerprints are the gold standard for ensuring that a particular person is linked to arrest and disposition information. That may change and the definition should be able to accommodate such changes. In this case, we consider DNA information to fall inside the scope of biometric identifiers, though this is not made explicit in the text.

Central repository. The definition of "central repository" leaves to the adopting state whether this is a police function, often through the state police, or an independent function. Given the central repository's coordinating role, the definition does require the central repository to be independent from contributing justice agencies or other repositories.

Contributing justice agency. The definition of "contributing justice agency" is intentionally broad. The goal is to widely distribute the duty to provide information on "reportable events" – such as arrests, charges, and dispositions of all types – to the central repository. This also allows for the collection and inclusion of reportable event and identification data throughout the process of adjudication and punishment, thereby allowing for multiple instances to collect data and resolve issues.

Reportable event. The definition of a "reportable event" is designed to capture all of the significant moments in the life of a criminal case that future actors in the criminal justice system would want to know about that defendant and that case. Though the nomenclature may vary by jurisdiction, these are almost universal in their presence and importance.

One question for on which we would appreciate guidance is if we should be more specific with regard to the nature of lower level offenses. For example, should all misdemeanors be considered, or only particularly serious ones?

1 2 3 4 5	Another question is if it is desirable to impose a duty on the Contributing Justice Agency to report (as a reportable event in itself) to the Central Repository that 18 months has lapsed and no prosecutorial action has been taken? If so, this may eliminate a delay in obtaining the information when time is critical such as obtaining housing or a job.
6	SECTION 3. APPLICABILITY. This [act] applies to persons within this state and to
7	each contributing justice agency within [this state] that collects, maintains, modifies,
8	disseminates, or receives criminal history record information
9	SECTION 4. SCOPE.
10	(a) Except as otherwise provided in sections 5, 7 and 8, this [act] does not apply to:
11	(1) a document or record prepared by, maintained by, or filed in a court of this
12	state; or
13	(2) an announcement of clemency made by the [Governor] or the [Governor's]
14	designee.
15	(b) For purposes of this [act] a court docket, court file, and information contained in a
16	docket or file, is not a public record unless otherwise ordered by a court of competent
17	jurisdiction.
18	Discussion Notes
19 20 21 22 23 24	<i>Public records</i> . This section is designed to ensure that information in court dockets and files not under seal remain public records. Through the exemptions in paragraph (a), however, it does so while still requiring that courts submit information about reportable events to the central repository. We have some concerns about separation of powers, but believe that existing legislation in certain jurisdictions requires judicial participation.
25	SECTION 5. DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE
26	AGENCY FOR CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD INFORMATION.
27	(a) A contributing justice agency may disseminate criminal history record information
28	only as provided in this [act].
29	(b) The following provisions govern the collection of fingerprints and other biometric

identifying information:

(1) A contributing justice agency shall collect biometric identifying information in the manner and form directed by the central repository, from an individual arrested by the agency for a felony or misdemeanor [, or summary offense that becomes a misdemeanor on a second arrest after conviction of the summary offense,] and forward the information to the central repository, in the manner and form directed by the central repository, not later than 48 hours after the arrest.

(2) If a defendant charged by complaint, information, or indictment with a felony or misdemeanor [or summary offense that becomes a misdemeanor on a second arrest after conviction of the summary offense] is not arrested and instead appears in court pursuant to summons, the court shall order the defendant to report to the [local municipal police department] or [designated contributing justice agency] not later than seven business days after the order and permit the police to collect biometric identifying information in the manner and form directed by the central repository. The [department] or [agency] shall forward the information to the central repository, in the manner and form directed by the central repository, not later than 48 hours after collection.

(3) During an investigation, adjudication or correctional process, the head of each contributing justice agency responsible for the arrest, prosecution, adjudication, sentencing, supervision, or custody of a person for a felony or misdemeanor [, or summary offense that becomes a misdemeanor on a second arrest after conviction of the summary offense,] shall determine whether biometric identifying information in the manner and form directed by the central repository, has previously been collected for that person in the manner and form directed by the central repository and forwarded to the central repository in the manner and form directed

by the central repository.

1

- 2 (4) If the head of a contributing justice agency responsible for the arrest,
- 3 prosecution, adjudication, sentencing, supervision, or custody of a person for a felony or
- 4 misdemeanor [, or summary offense which becomes a misdemeanor on a second arrest after
- 5 conviction of that summary offense,] determines, pursuant to paragraph 3 above, that biometric
- 6 identifying information has not been collected or forwarded to the central repository, the agency
- shall collect biometric identifying information as prescribed by the central repository not later
 - than 72 hours after making that determination and forward the information to the central
- 9 repository not later than 48 hours after collection.
- 10 (c) A contributing justice agency shall collect, in the manner and form directed by the
- central repository, information on reportable events with which the contributing justice agency is
- 12 involved. The agency shall submit the information, in the manner and form directed by the
- central repository, to the central repository not later than 72 hours after the reportable event.
- 14 (d) A contributing justice agency shall maintain complete and accurate criminal history
- record information and report the information as required by this [act] or law of this state other
- than this [act]. A repository shall establish effective procedures, in compliance with regulations
- adopted by the [senior elected or appointed executive branch official responsible for criminal
- 18 justice policy], to create and store complete and accurate criminal history record information.
- 19 (e) Regardless of the manner of discovery or the identity of the person who discovered it,
- 20 not later than 15 days after that reported inaccurate criminal history record information, the
- 21 agency shall:
- 22 (1) correct its own records; and
- 23 (2) disseminate a notice about the inaccuracy and the required correction to the

- central repository and all other persons that received the inaccurate information as reflected in
 its dissemination logs.
- (f) A contributing justice agency may disseminate criminal history record information to
 another contributing justice agency on request in connection with the requesting agency's duties.
 A contributing justice agency shall create and maintain a dissemination log listing disseminations

of criminal history record information to another contributing justice agency.

- (g) A contributing justice agency shall disseminate criminal history record information to the subject of the information on request of the subject or the subject's designee, at a reasonable cost consistent with regulations adopted by the [senior elected or appointed executive branch official responsible for criminal justice policy]. The regulations shall include a fee waiver for indigent subjects. If criminal history record information sought by the subject is maintained by an agency in a record that also contains investigative information, intelligence information, or other nonpublic information, the agency may remove that information and disseminate only the criminal history record information. If the agency identifies no criminal history record information, it shall indicate to the subject or the subject's designee that no relevant records were identified and the date of the search.
- (h) A contributing justice agency shall create and maintain a dissemination log listing disseminations of criminal history record information to a subject. All disseminations shall be entered into the relevant dissemination log not later than 10 business days after criminal history record information is disseminated. The agency shall maintain entries in a dissemination log for at least one year.

22 Discussion Notes

Mandatory duty to collect biometric identifying information. We believe that Section 5(b) is one of the most important requirements in the act. Biometric identifying information, in

the form of fingerprints, is the gold standard for positive identification for law enforcement purposes. If this information is not used to link an arrest to a charge to a disposition, significant inaccuracies may and do result. In most cases, close matches using name and date of birth are the alternative. This is a clear and common source of inaccuracies – both in the failure to link related reportable events and in the misidentification of the subject. Section 5(b) puts the primary responsibility for this task on the arresting contributing justice agency, which will typically be the police. In our preliminary explorations, the lack of collection at the "front line" of processing was a significant impediment. In some jurisdictions, this appears to be unrelated to funding for equipment, and instead turns on the enforcement of mandatory collection procedures. *See, e.g.*, Jeffrey Benzing, *Fingerprint Hearing: Best and Worst Pa. Counties Have Same Equipment*, available at http://www.pennlive.com/midstate/index.ssf/2014/07/fingerprint hearing best and w.html (July 23, 2014).

Duty of other contributing justice agencies. If the arresting agency fails to obtain fingerprints, the act provides a backstop by requiring other, functionally downstream, actors in the contributing justice system to fingerprint the subject. We believe that this "belt-and-suspenders approach" is warranted given the wide variation of fingerprint compliance rates between and within states. For example, we were told that in one large state, there are tens, if not hundreds, of thousands of essentially orphaned files in the central repository because disposition information cannot be definitively linked by fingerprint to a person. Furthermore, in that state, the state prison receiving center felt the need to install a livescan machine (a common biometric data collection station) because of the number of sentenced offenders arriving without fingerprints in the system. At the same time, this jurisdiction has a nearly uniform collection provision for fingerprint information, highlighting the creation and reinforcement of need for multiple opportunities to obtain these key data.

Duty to report reportable events. Section 5(c) requires contributing justice agencies to submit information on reportable events – such as arrests, charges, convictions, sentences, commitments, etc. – with which they were involved to the central repository. If followed, this mandate should go a long way towards solving the problem of missing dispositions. We again took a "belt-and-suspenders approach" by putting this responsibility broadly on multiple actors, even if that means some duplicative reporting to the central repository. Given the large and growing use of electronic records, this should not be burdensome on the contributing justice agencies and may help to reduce inaccuracies.

Duty to correct inaccuracies. Section 5(f), which has a corollary for the central repository as well, requires the contributing justice agency that learns of an inaccuracy – regardless of how it learns of it – to fix it in its own records and to pass along the corrected information to whomever it has provided the inaccurate information. That latter process is facilitated by the maintenance of dissemination logs. Thoroughly tracking the information will allow for more effective correction, as well as providing essential process data for the audit, discussed in Section 11.

Authority to disseminate. These provisions allow contributing justice agencies to disseminate criminal history record information to other contributing justice agencies and to the

subject of the information upon request. The central repository, as part of its duties, will set reasonable standards and procedures for this process, ensuring a degree of uniformity in the requesting and dissemination processes.

These are some of the several provisions that call for implementing regulations. We value the idea of checks and balances and thus want these regulations to be controlled by an entity outside of the day-to-day operation of the criminal history system. The central repository is a perfectly appropriate entity to create operation rules, as in Section 5(b)(1) and Section 6(h), and we certainly are not impugning the integrity of the individuals within the criminal history system. This is simply a structural point. We have provided a generic description of a senior criminal justice policy person in order to accommodate the disparate state practices. Ideally, in the states that use the Attorney General position as the chief law enforcement officer and "minister of justice," we believe that the Attorney General is best suited for fulfilling these duties.

Fees. We include a provision to allow to fee waivers for indigent subjects. It remains a matter of discussion about how to address, if at all, setting amounts or other costs associated with the other information in this section.

SECTION 6. DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF CENTRAL REPOSITORY.

- (a) The central repository shall collect and maintain the criminal history record information reported to it under this [act]. The central repository may only disseminate criminal history record information as provided in this [act].
- (b) The central repository may request, access, store and disseminate records relating to an offense committed in another jurisdiction. The records may include federal summary criminal history information provided by the United States Department of Justice and other information that would qualify as criminal history record information if submitted by a contributing justice agency. For purposes of maintenance and dissemination, the central repository shall treat information from another jurisdiction as if it were from this state.
- (c) The central repository shall facilitate the creation and maintenance of complete and accurate criminal history record information by:
- (1) establishing practices and procedures necessary to as efficiently and automatically as possible resolve conflicts and discover missing data on the same incident or

1	subject; and
2	(2) expunging criminal history record information pursuant to law or court order.
3	(d) The central repository may not collect, maintain, or disseminate intelligence
4	information or investigative information. The central repository may collect a name, word,
5	number, phrase, or similar index key to serve as an index to investigative reports.
6	(e) The central repository shall:
7	(1) disclose criminal history record information to a contributing justice agency
8	on request by the agency for information sought in connection with the agency's duties;
9	(2) disclose criminal history record information to the Governor to aid in a
10	decision concerning exercise of the power of pardon, reprieve, commutation or reduction of
11	sentence, executive clemency, or interstate extradition or rendition;
12	(3) disclose criminal history record information as constitutionally required or as
13	expressly required by a statute of [this state] or the United States;
14	(4) disclose criminal history record information to a contributing justice agency of
15	another state on request for the information by the agency in connection with the agency's
16	duties; and
17	(5) disseminate criminal history record information to the Federal Bureau of
18	Investigation and United States Department of Justice as part of the state's participation in the
19	Interstate Identification Index System, National Fingerprint File, National Identification Index,
20	National Instant Criminal Background Check System Index, and related programs.
21	(f) When authorized by law of the state other than this [act] or the United States, the
22	central repository shall disseminate criminal history record information, including federal

summary criminal history information provided by the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the

- United States Department of Justice, to a person for employment, licensing, or certification
 purposes, subject to the following rules:
- 1 (1) Before the central repository disseminates the information, the central repository shall determine whether the information contains a disposition after an arrest without the filing of a formal criminal charge or a disposition of a formal criminal charge for every arrest or charge. If disposition information is missing, the central repository shall make a good faith effort to determine the active status of the disposition of the arrest or charge, and if the central repository discovers the active status, add that information to:
- 9 (A) the relevant records maintained by the central repository; and
- 12 (2) Before the central repository disseminates the information, the central 12 repository shall remove from the report or summary to be disseminated the notation of an arrest,

(B) the report or summary to be disseminated.

- charge, indictment or other information relating to the initiation of criminal proceedings where:
- 14 (A) eighteen months have elapsed after the date of arrest;
- 15 (B) no conviction has occurred; and

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 16 (C) no proceedings are pending that may result in a conviction.
 - (3) When the central repository disseminates the information, the central repository shall within 24 hours of dissemination send the same information to the subject by United States mail directed to the subject's last known address in the central repository's records and the subject's current address as obtained by, or provided to, the requesting employment or licensing agency.
 - (g) Regardless of the manner of discovery or the identity of the person who discovered it, not later than 15 days after becoming aware that criminal history record information is

1	inaccurate, the central repository shall:
2	(1) correct its own records;
3	(2) notify each contributing justice agency that submitted information about
4	reportable events concerning the subject of the inaccuracy of the information and the required
5	correction; and
6	(3) notify persons that received the inaccurate information, as reflected in the
7	dissemination logs, including the Federal Bureau of Investigation and United States Department
8	of Justice as part of the state's participation in the Interstate Identification Index System,
9	National Fingerprint File, National Identification Index, National Instant Criminal Background
10	Check System Index, and related federal programs.
11	(h) The central repository shall establish effective procedures, in compliance with
12	regulations adopted by the [senior elected or appointed executive branch official responsible for
13	criminal justice policy], to ensure the completeness and accuracy of criminal history record
14	information. The central repository shall:
15	(1) specify the manner and form in which a contributing justice agency shall
16	submit criminal history record information to the central repository concerning a reportable
17	event, including standards for biometric identifying information, to ensure that multiple pieces of
18	criminal history record information for the same subject are appropriately linked;
19	(2) adopt procedures, standards, and forms for reporting and exchanging
20	information under this [act]; and
21	(3) adopt other regulations necessary to carry out its duties under this [act].
22	(i) The central repository shall disseminate criminal history record information for
23	statistical or research purposes, if the identity of the subject of the information is not disclosed

1	directly or indirectly.	Use of the information is subject to procedures established by the co	entral
2	repository designed to	implement this subsection.	

- (j) The central repository shall inform the public about the existence, usage, and accessibility of the criminal history record information maintained by the central repository and other repositories. The central repository also shall provide public notice, updated at least every six months, concerning:
- 7 (1) items of information used to retrieve and link criminal history record 8 information:
 - (2) results of the annual audit required by section 9 and the status of any remediation; and
 - (3) requirements and forms for access and review of criminal history record information by an individual.
 - (k) The central repository shall:

- (1) provide regular training to contributing justice agencies on how to submit information about a reportable event and why the information is important to both the administration of criminal justice and society; and
- (2) identify contributing criminal agencies and repositories that do not meet minimum standards under this [act] and provide them with remedial training.

SECTION 7. REGISTRY TO PREVENT FRAUD VICTIMIZATION.

(a) In this section, "registry" or "registry to prevent fraud victimization" means a database designed to prevent the inaccurate creation or modification of Criminal History Record information and consisting of identifying information voluntarily provided by victims of identity theft or fraud, individuals whose names or other identifying characteristics are similar to those of

- others who have criminal history records, and individuals concerned about potential identity theft or fraud victimization.
 - (b) The central repository shall create and maintain a registry to prevent fraud victimization.

- (c) The central repository shall establish reasonable requirements and procedures for an individual to be included in the registry. The requirements shall include collecting biometric identifying information from an individual seeking to be included in the registry and the submission of a notarized, written request.
- (d) An individual voluntarily may provide information to be considered for inclusion in the registry. If the information meets the requirements established in subsection (b), the individual's name and information shall be entered in the registry. An individual denied inclusion in the registry may seek relief under the state [administrative procedures act] as a contested case.
- (e) Not later than 14 days after entering an individual in the registry, the central repository shall issue a document to the individual establishing that the individual is a victim of identity theft or fraud or that the applicant is not an individual with a similar name or identifying characteristics who has a criminal record. The document may be used to establish, and is prima facie evidence that the individual is a victim of identity theft, fraud or not the individual with a similar name or identifying characteristics.
- (f) The central repository may not disclose information from the registry except as provided in [this act].
- (g) The central repository shall disclose information from the registry to a contributing justice agency to prevent fraud victimization on request of the agency and when there is reason

to believe that identity information concerning a reportable event is may be inaccurate or not associated with the correct individual.

- (h) A contributing justice agency, during the process of identifying an individual who is the subject of a reportable event, shall submit to the central repository biometric identifying information or identification data provided by the individual for comparison with information in the registry. If the information or data provided matches, wholly or in part, information in the registry, the central repository shall notify the contributing justice agency immediately. If there is a potential inaccuracy or fraud, the contributing justice agency shall use biometric identifying information and any other identification methodologies available to ensure the accurate identity of the subject of the reportable event before creating any criminal history record information.
- (i) On receiving information from the registry that identity information may be inaccurate or not associated with the correct individual or when an individual produces a valid document issued by the central repository, a contributing justice agency shall use due diligence to identify accurately the subject in question.
- (j) A contributing justice agency may not use information from the registry for a purpose other than to identify accurately an individual about whom it has requested or received registry information, including the investigation or prosecution of any criminal or civil matter.
- (k) If information from the registry is accessed for a reason other the one expressly permitted under this [act]:
- (1) the information and any information acquired as a result shall be excluded from use in any criminal or civil matter; and
- (2) the central repository shall notify in writing the individual whose information was obtained improperly from the in writing within 2 business days of discovery.

(*l*) The central repository shall ensure the security of all information in the registry. The protections shall meet, and may exceed, those provided in Section 7.

(m) Information submitted to the registry under subsection (d) expires three years from the date of receipt unless the individual who provided the information submits a notarized written request that the information be maintained for another three-year period. The central repository shall permanently delete all expired information from the registry five days after its expiration. After information in the registry expires, that information may only be restored to the registry pursuant to a new submission.

(n) On receiving a notarized request from the individual who voluntarily submitted information to the registry under subsection (d), the central repository shall permanently remove the information within five business days.

Discussion Notes

Role of the central repository. The central repository is the hub into and out of which criminal history record information will flow. It serves this role, as it does in many jurisdictions, for both intra-state and inter-state purposes. There are duty of accuracy and correction provisions that are similar to the ones provided for contributing justice agencies. The central repository is also the primary contact for other states and the federal system, allowing it to serve as a clearing house for the management of the universe of criminal history record information that may be fed into the databases held within that jurisdiction.

Role in employment checks. The central repository's primary function is to act as the hub for criminal history record information used for contributing justice purposes. There is, of course, a growing use of this information for mandated employment, licensing and certification purposes. Accuracy concerns are heightened in this context. Paralleling efforts considered to be successful by some in California, this section requires the central repository to make a good faith effort to ensure that disposition information is connected to arrests and charges. Senator Grassley and others introduced the Sentencing Reform and Corrections Act of 2015 ("SRCA") on October 1, 2015. Section 213 of the SRCA is entitled "Ensuring Accuracy of Federal Criminal Records;" we will review it more closely and monitor its progress in the Congress before our next meeting. It is worth noting for now, however, that pursuant to the SRCA certain arrests without disposition information that are more than two years old could not be disseminated. It may be worth considering, as a committee, if the SRCA approach more or less desirable.

Outreach to the public and contributing justice agencies. Section 7(j) and 7(k) assign the central repository educative and supportive roles. It will try to raise public awareness about the importance of criminal history record information and how individuals can access their records to check for accuracy. It will also have the responsibility train contributing justice agencies and focus on those agencies that are not reporting as required because those agencies present significant accuracy risks to the entire system. We envision a system of web-based postings, webinars and guidelines, though the central repository has the flexibility to conduct this outreach in the manner determined to be effective in that jurisdiction.

Registry to prevent fraud victimization. Section 6(1), which is modeled in part on a Minnesota provision, is designed to proactively help individuals who are the victim of identity theft or believe that they may, be while also improving the accuracy of the criminal record system more broadly. It allows for these individuals to voluntarily provide information about themselves, including biometric identifying information, to a restricted registry which would be used to verify whether a particular person truly is the subject of a reportable event. We expand this provision, including the provision of a verification letter, to include all individuals who may seek to be proactive about limiting damaging errors in their own criminal record. The documentation envisioned in Section 6(1)(4) should help a person without a particular criminal record from suffering adverse consequences of being confused with the person who actually has that record. At the same time, we sought to balance these considerations with the burdens imposed on law enforcement during the identity verification process(es).

SECTION 8. SECURITY REQUIREMENTS FOR CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE AGENCIES AND CENTRAL REPOSITORY.

- (a) A contributing justice agency that collects, stores, or disseminates criminal history record information, intelligence information, or investigative information, and the central repository shall ensure the confidentiality and security of the information by:
- (1) establishing procedures to reasonably protect locations where information is maintained from theft, fire, sabotage, flood, wind, or other natural or man-made disasters;
- 30 (2) ensuring that only authorized personnel have access to the information;
- 31 (3) selecting, supervising, and training personnel authorized to have access to the
- 32 information;
 - (4) ensuring that, if computerized data processing is employed, the equipment maintaining the information meets computer security standards promulgated by the [senior

elected or appointed executive branch official responsible for criminal justice policy]; and
(5) maintaining an index of all data breaches relating to the information, for use
by the [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, or
integrity] under Section 9.
SECTION 9. SUBJECT'S RIGHT OF ACCESS, REVIEW, CHALLENGE,
CORRECTION, AND APPEAL.
(a) A subject or the subject's designee, after positive verification of identity, may access,
review, challenge, correct, and appeal the accuracy and completeness of the subject's criminal
history record information maintained by a repository or the central repository. Positive
identification may include biometric identifying information pursuant to implementing
regulations under this [act].
(b) A subject or designee, after properly submitting a request, may access and review a
copy of the subject's criminal history record information. Access shall be permitted without
undue delay.
(c) A subject or the subject's designee may challenge the accuracy of the subject's
criminal history record information by sending the repository or central repository maintaining
the information a written challenge specifying the part of the criminal history record information
that is alleged to be inaccurate and providing the correct information. This challenge shall be
made pursuant to the [administrative procedures act.]
(d) A repository or central repository has 45 days from the date of receipt of a challenge
under subsection (c) to the accuracy of information to review and act upon the challenge. If the
repository or central repository does not act within 45 days, the challenge will be deemed valid.

The repository or central repository has the burden of proving to [an administrative law judge]

- 1 the accuracy of the information by clear and convincing evidence. The [administrative law
- 2 judge's] decision on the challenge shall state all information that formed the basis for the
- 3 decision, including the jurisdiction and docket number of any relevant court decision.
- 4 (e) If the [administrative law judge] determines a challenge under subsection (c) is valid,
- 5 or a challenge is deemed valid by operation of subsection (c), the repository or central repository
- 6 shall:
- 7 (1) correct its own records;
- 8 (2) notify all persons that received the inaccurate information, as reflected in the
- 9 dissemination logs, of the inaccuracy and the required correction;
- 10 (3) notify the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the United States Department
- of Justice of the inaccuracy and the required correction;
- 12 (4) provide five corrected copies of the criminal history record information to the
- subject or the subject's designee at no cost to the subject or designee; and
- 14 (5) provide the subject or the subject's designee the names and addresses of all
- 15 persons which the inaccurate criminal history record information was disseminated within the
- previous five years, and the dates of dissemination.
- 17 (f) If the [administrative law judge] determines a challenge under subsection (c) is not
- valid, the challenge the subject or designee may, not later than 45 days after notification of the
- decision, appeal the decision to the [senior elected or appointed executive branch official
- 20 responsible for criminal justice policy]. The [senior elected or appointed executive branch
- 21 official responsible for criminal justice policy] or that individual's designee shall conduct a
- hearing de novo in accordance with the [administrative procedures act].
- 23 (g) If the [senior elected or appointed executive branch official responsible for criminal

- 1 justice policy] or that individual's designee determines the challenge is not valid, the subject or
- 2 the subject's designee may appeal the decision to the [appellate court] not later than 45 days after
- 3 the [senior elected or appointed executive branch official responsible for criminal justice policy
- 4 or that individual's designee notifies the subject or the subject's designee of the denial. The
- 5 [appellate court] will review the denial by the [senior elected or appointed executive branch
- 6 official responsible for criminal justice policy] or that individual's designee for abuse of
- 7 discretion.

8 Discussion Notes

Access, review, challenge, correction and appeal. This section provides individuals with robust rights to access and challenge the criminal history record information about them for the explicit purpose of ensuring that all of the criminal history record information managed by the state, regardless of its source, is correct and up-to-date. The right to challenge under Section 9(c) is broad, but the state administrative procedures act in place should be able to address abusive filers. Our review indicates that most, if not all, jurisdictions already have procedures in place to addresses matters of this nature. The first review would be resolved by an administrative law judge, either internal to the entity subject to the challenge (e.g., the central repository) or otherwise as set forth in the state administrative procedures act, with the burden on the government given its greater and easier access to the official records. In response to an adverse ruling, the individual may demand a *de novo* appeal to a separate entity. Again, given the potential variation in authority and operational capacity, we propose that this task be assigned to the senior elected or appointed executive branch official responsible for criminal justice policy in brackets. Upon losing this second round, the individual may appeal to, we propose, the state appellate court, which will review the second round denial for abuse of discretion.

Administrative review. In the current draft, we are proscriptive in how a challenge and appeal should be heard. Should we instead defer to the procedures in place under the Administrative Procedures Act (APA) mechanisms already in place? An argument in support of the APA approach might be ease of adoption. However, the current approach ensures standardization and retains a focus on putting procedures in place designed specifically to increase the accuracy- at the individual and systematic levels- of the record information.

SECTION 10. MANDATORY INDEPENDENT ANNUAL AUDIT.

(a) The [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, or integrity] or that individual's designee shall conduct an annual audit of the central repository and a representative sample of all repositories. The audits may be done in conjunction

with other audits required by law.

- (b) An annual audit under subsection (a) shall assess the integrity of each computerized system and database and each physical location storing criminal history record information to ensure this [act] is implemented.
- (c) As part of an annual audits under subsection (a) the [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, or integrity] or that individual's designee shall:
- (1) collect data from a representative sample of all criminal history record information stored at the central repository and the audited repositories to verify its accuracy;
- (2) determine the number of missing reportable events and amount and nature of missing biometric identifying information in the samples, in part by examining public records of the courts of [this state];
 - (3) evaluate data breaches in the central repository and the audited repositories;
- (4) ensure that the audited repositories are representative of the overall status of the system of criminal history record information management and that selection of repositories to be audited is random and in accordance with best practices; and
- (5) review the plans, policies, and procedures of at least five percent of all municipal police departments and ten percent of all [judicial districts] to ensure this [act] is implemented.
- (d) The [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, or integrity] or that individual's designee shall be given access to the government records, reports, listings, and information required to conduct an annual audit. All officers and employees of the state or a political subdivision of the state with relevant information shall

1 cooperate with the [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, 2 audit, or integrity] or designee and provide information requested for an annual audit. 3 (e) The [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, 4 audit, or integrity] or designee shall prepare and make widely available a public report 5 containing the results of the annual audits under subsection (a) and a list of any deficiencies and 6 recommendations for correction of deficiencies. 7 **Discussion Notes** 8 Mandatory audits. Section 9 provides for mandatory and representative audits. Audits 9 are crucial if we are to understand how the system is actually working and to prevent the automatic replication of systematic errors. If we do not understand the nature and prevalence of 10 the inaccuracies, we cannot effectively address them. We again propose an entity outside of the 11 12 day-to-day criminal record system to conduct the audits. Here we provide a broader menu of options as several potential state entities have inspection or auditing functions. Depending on 13 14 existing state structures, the relevant individual might be the Attorney General, the Auditor 15 General, the Ombudsperson or the Inspector General. The auditing process will also facilitate 16 the identification of agencies or departments failing to meet their obligations under Section 5 of the act. While the central repository and other parties have a menu of options to remediate these 17 18 deficiencies, notably under Section 10, the dissemination of the results of the audit alone should 19 serve to increase system-wide compliance, as well as to ensure the central repository is fulfilling 20 the duties set out in Section 6. 21 22 SECTION 11. SANCTIONS AND REMEDIES. 23 (a) A person that violates this [act] or implementing regulations may: 24 (1) be denied access to specified criminal history record information for a time the 25 [senior elected or appointed executive branch official responsible for criminal justice policy] 26 determines is appropriate; 27 (2) be subject to a civil penalty or other remedy under this [act]; and 28 (3) if the violator is a government employee, be administratively disciplined by

discharge, suspension, reduction in grade, transfer, or other formal action as the employer

29

30

determines is appropriate.

1	(b) The [senior elected or appointed executive branch official responsible for criminal
2	justice policy]or a subject may bring an action to enjoin the central repository, a repository, a
3	contributing justice agency, or another person from violating this [act] or to compel compliance
4	with this [act] concerning an item of criminal history record information. The court may
5	examine the criminal history record information, intelligence information, or investigative
6	information at issue in camera.
7	(c) In addition to other available remedies, an individual injured by a reckless violation of
8	this [act] or implementing regulations may bring an action for compensatory damages. If the
9	court determines by a preponderance of the evidence that the individual was injured by a reckless
10	violation of this [act] or implementing regulations, the court may award compensatory damages
11	of not less than \$500 for each violation, costs of litigation, and reasonable attorney's fees.
12	(d) An officer or employee of the central repository, a repository, or a contributing justice
13	agency is guilty of a misdemeanor if the officer or employee:
14	(1) knowingly makes a false entry in or false alteration of any criminal history
15	record information;
16	(2) makes, presents, or uses criminal history record information knowing it to be
17	false, and the intent that it be taken as genuine; or
18	(3) purposely and unlawfully destroys, conceals, removes, or otherwise impairs
19	the verity or availability of criminal history record information.
20	Discussion Notes
21 22 23 24 25 26	Individual sanctions. Section 11 provides for an array administrative, civil and criminal sanctions against individuals who violate the act. It also allows both subjects and the relevant government official, again in brackets, to seek injunctive relief. The section affords a subject who is injured by a violation of the act a civil damages remedy with a modest minimum (\$500 per violation) and a fee shifting provision on the theory that the true extent of actual damages may be difficult to prove. The sanctions set out in this section mirror are modeled after some

1 2	existing provisions, and are limited in scope. All of this, of course, is also designed to serve as a clear and enforceable incentive to minimize inaccuracies.
3 4 5 6 7	Criminal sanctions. The limited criminal sanctions available under this Section are largely based on Model Penal Code §241.8 entitled "Tampering with Public Records or Information."
8	SECTION 12. DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF [SENIOR ELECTED OR
9	APPOINTED EXECUTIVE BRANCH OFFICIAL RESPONSIBLE FOR CRIMINAL
10	JUSTICE POLICY].
11	(a) The [senior elected or appointed executive branch official responsible for criminal
12	justice policy] or designee shall adopt implementing regulations under this [act]. The regulations
13	shall include provisions that:
14	(1) govern the security, completeness, accuracy, review of, and individual access
15	to criminal history record information;
16	(2) govern the conduct of an audit under Section 10;
17	(3) ensure that electronic data, including biometric identifying information, are
18	stored in a manner that reflects best practices for personally identifiable information; and
19	(4) set a maximum reasonable fee for the cost of disseminating criminal history
20	record information, and adopt a policy providing free access to criminal history record
21	information for an indigent subject seeking access to the subject's own information.
22	(b) The [senior elected or appointed executive branch official responsible for criminal
23	justice policy] or that individual's designee may investigate all matters relating to the
24	administration and enforcement of this [act].
25	(c) The [senior elected or appointed executive branch official responsible for criminal
26	justice policy] or that individual's designee may bring a civil action for a violation of this [act].
27	(d) [The [senior elected or appointed executive branch official responsible for criminal

1 justice policy] may bring a criminal charge for a violation of this [act].] [The [senior elected or 2 appointed executive branch official responsible for criminal justice policy] shall refer a suspected 3 criminal violation, including any relevant criminal history record information, to the appropriate 4 prosecutorial authority for further review and action.] 5 Legislative Note: This section provides two alternatives depending on the prosecutorial author 6 held by its senior elected or appointed executive branch official responsible for criminal justice 7 policy. 8 9 **Discussion Notes** Duties and Authorities of the Senior Elected or Appointed Executive Branch Official 10 11 Responsible for Criminal Justice Policy. This administrative section authorizes the senior elected or appointed executive branch official responsible for criminal justice policy, in brackets, 12 with various authorities and duties, including adopting regulations and conducting investigations. 13 14 As discussed above, this role can be filled by any agency, as long as it has sufficient autonomy 15 from the central repository and the other enumerated parties in the act to conduct the requisite checks, including the audits discussed in Section 10. If the senior elected or appointed executive 16 17 branch official responsible for criminal justice policy has prosecutorial powers, these authorities 18 and duties may be discharged by one person. If not, this senior elected or appointed executive 19 branch official responsible for criminal justice policy shall refer cases of suspected criminal 20 violations to the appropriate prosecutor. 21 22 SECTION 13. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION. In 23 applying and construing this uniform act, consideration shall be given to the need to promote 24 uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it. 25 SECTION 14. REPEALS; CONFORMING AMENDMENTS. 26 (a) 27 (b) 28 (c) 29 **SECTION 15. EFFECTIVE DATE.** This [act] takes effect