DRAFT

FOR DISCUSSION ONLY

IMPLEMENTATION OF HAGUE CONVENTION ON PROTECTION OF CHILDREN

(Revisions to Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act)

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS

ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

For February 1-3, 2013 Drafting Committee Meeting

With Prefatory Notes and Comments

Copyright ©2011
By
NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS
ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

DRAFTING COMMITTEE ON IMPLEMENTATION OF HAGUE CONVENTION ON PROTECTION OF CHILDREN

The Committee appointed by and representing the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in preparing this draft consists of the following individuals:

BATTLE R. ROBINSON, 104 W. Market St., Georgetown, DE 19947, Chair

STEVEN G. FROST, 111 W. Monroe St., Chicago, IL 60603-4080

JESS O. HALE, General Assembly of Tennessee, Office of Legal Services, G-18 War Memorial Bldg., Nashville, TN 37243-0059

THOMAS S. HEMMENDINGER, 362 Broadway, Providence, RI 02909-1434

LYLE W. HILLYARD, 595 S. Riverwoods Pkwy., Suite 100, Logan, UT 84321

H. KATHLEEN PATCHEL, Indiana University School of Law-Indianapolis, 5715 E. 56th St., Indianapolis, IN 46226

KAREN E. POWELL, Montana Tax Appeal Board, P.O. Box 200138, MT 59620

SUZANNE REYNOLDS, Wake Forest University School of Law, Campus Box 7206, 1834 Wake Forest Rd., Winston-Salem, NC 27109

HARRY L. TINDALL, 1300 Post Oak Blvd., Suite 1550, Houston, TX 77056-3081

ROBERT G. SPECTOR, University of Oklahoma College of Law, 300 Timberdell Rd., Norman, OK 73019, *Reporter*

EX OFFICIO

MICHAEL HOUGHTON, P.O. Box 1347, 1201 N. Market St., 18th Floor, Wilmington, DE 19899, *President*

PAMELA WINSTON BERTANI, 832 Texas St., Fairfield, CA 94533, Division Chair

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ADVISOR

STEPHANIE DOMITROVICH, Erie County Courthouse, 140 W. 6th St., Room 223, Erie, PA 16501-1030, *ABA Advisor*

RONALD W. NELSON, 11900 W. 87th St. Pkwy, Suite 117, Lenexa, KS 66215-4517, ABA Section Advisor

DAVID B. STARKS, 425 Pike St., Suite 500, Seattle, WA 98101-2334, ABA Section Advisor

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

JOHN A. SEBERT, 111 N. Wabash, Suite 1010, Chicago, IL 60602, Executive Director

Copies of this Act may be obtained from:

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010 Chicago, IL 60602 312/450-6600 www.uniformlaws.org

IMPLEMENTATION OF HAGUE CONVENTION ON PROTECTION OF CHILDREN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Prefatory Note.		. 1
	[ARTICLE] 1. GENERAL PROVISIONS	
GT GT*03.7.4.04		_
	SHORT TITLE	
SECTION 102.	DEFINITIONS PROCEEDINGS GOVERNED BY OTHER LAW	. 6
	APPLICATION TO INDIAN TRIBES	
	INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION OF [ACT]	
	EFFECT OF CHILD-CUSTODY DETERMINATION	
	PRIORITY	
	NOTICE TO PERSONS OUTSIDE STATE	
	APPEARANCE AND LIMITED IMMUNITY	
	COMMUNICATION BETWEEN COURTS	
	TAKING TESTIMONY IN ANOTHER STATE	
	COOPERATION BETWEEN COURTS; PRESERVATION OF	
	DS	15
	[ARTICLE] 2.	
	JURISDICTION	
SECTION 201	INITIAL CHILD-CUSTODY JURISDICTION	16
	EXCLUSIVE, CONTINUING JURISDICTION	
	JURISDICTION TO MODIFY DETERMINATION	
	TEMPORARY EMERGENCY JURISDICTION	
SECTION 205.	NOTICE; OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD; JOINDER	19
SECTION 206.	SIMULTANEOUS PROCEEDINGS	20
	INCONVENIENT FORUM	
SECTION 208.	JURISDICTION DECLINED BY REASON OF CONDUCT	22
	INFORMATION TO BE SUBMITTED TO COURT	
	APPEARANCE OF PARTIES AND CHILD	
SECTION 211.	FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS	25
	[ARTICLE] 3.	
	ENFORCEMENT	
SECTION 301.	DEFINITIONS	27
	ENFORCEMENT UNDER HAGUE <u>ABDUCTION</u> CONVENTION	
	DUTY TO ENFORCE	
	TEMPORARY VISITATION	
	REGISTRATION OF CHILD-CUSTODY DETERMINATION	
	ENFORCEMENT OF REGISTERED DETERMINATION	
SECTION 307.	SIMULTANEOUS PROCEEDINGS	31

	RPEDITED ENFORCEMENT OF CHILD-CUSTODY
	NATION
	ERVICE OF PETITION AND ORDER
	EARING AND ORDER
	ARRANT TO TAKE PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF CHILD
	OSTS, FEES, AND EXPENSES
	ECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT
	PPEALS
	DLE OF [LAW ENFORCEMENT]
	OSTS AND EXPENSES
SECTION 317. CO	STS AND EXPENSES
	[ARTICLE] 4.
	PROCEEDINGS UNDER CONVENTION
	PART 1: GENERAL PRINCIPLES
SECTION 401. SC	COPE
SECTION 402. MI	EASURE OF PROTECTION
SECTION 403. EF	FFECT OF MEASURE OF PROTECTION40
	RIORITY40
	OTICE TO PERSON OUTSIDE STATE40
	PPEARANCE AND LIMITED IMMUNITY41
	DMMUNICATION BETWEEN COURTS AND AUTHORITIES41
	AKING TESTIMONY IN A CONVENTION COUNTRY42
	DOPERATION BETWEEN COURTS AND AUTHORITIES;
PRESERVA	ATION OF RECORDS
	PART 2: JURISDICTION
SECTION 410. HA	ABITUAL RESIDENCE44
	EASURE OF PROTECTION: JURISDICTION
	MULTANEOUS PROCEEDINGS46
SECTION 413. JU	RISDICTION IN CASE OF WRONGFUL REMOVAL OR RETENTION
OF CHILD.	
SECTION 414. TR	RANSFER OF JURISDICTION48
SECTION 415. TE	EMPORARY URGENT JURISDICTION51
	OTICE; OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD; JOINDER52
SECTION 417. IN	FORMATION TO BE SUBMITTED TO COURT52
	PPEARANCE OF PARTIES AND CHILD54
SECTION 419. DU	URATION OF MEASURE
	PART 3: CONFLICT OF LAWS
SECTION 420. CC	ONFLICT OF LAWS55

IMPLEMENTATION OF HAGUE CONVENTION ON PROTECTION OF CHILDREN

Prefatory Note

I. FROM THE UCCJA TO THE UCCJEA

In 1997 the Uniform Law Commission revisited the problem of the interstate child when it promulgated the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (UCCJEA) as a replacement for the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act (UCCJA). The UCCJA was adopted as law in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Virgin Islands. A number of adoptions, however, significantly departed from the original text. In addition, almost thirty years of litigation since the promulgation of the UCCJA produced substantial inconsistency in interpretation by state courts. As a result, the goals of the UCCJA were rendered unobtainable in many cases.

In 1980, the federal government enacted the Parental Kidnaping Prevention Act (PKPA) to address the interstate custody jurisdiction and enforcement problems that continued to exist after the adoption of the UCCJA. The PKPA mandates that state authorities give full faith and credit to other states' custody determinations, so long as those determinations were made in conformity with the provisions of the PKPA. The PKPA provisions regarding bases for jurisdiction, restrictions on modifications, preclusion of simultaneous proceedings, and notice requirements were similar to those in the UCCJA. There were, however, some significant differences.

As documented in an extensive study by the American Bar Association's Center on Children and the Law, Obstacles to the Recovery and Return of Parentally Abducted Children (1993) (Obstacles Study), inconsistency of interpretation of the UCCJA and the technicalities of applying the PKPA, resulted in a loss of uniformity among the states. The Obstacles Study suggested a number of amendments which would eliminate the inconsistent state interpretations and harmonize the UCCJA with the PKPA.

The UCCJEA revisions of the jurisdictional provisions of the UCCJA eliminated the inconsistent state interpretations and can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Home state priority. Rather than four concurrent bases of jurisdiction, the UCCJEA prioritized home state jurisdiction over all other bases thereby conforming the UCCJEA to the PKPA.
- 2. Clarification of emergency jurisdiction. This jurisdictional basis was clarified to make it clear that it provided jurisdiction only on a temporary basis and was specifically made applicable to state domestic violence protective order cases.
- 3. Exclusive continuing jurisdiction for the state that entered the decree. The UCCJEA made it explicit that the state that made the original custody determination retained exclusive continuing jurisdiction over the custody determination so long as that state remained the residence of a parent, the child, or a person acting as a parent.

- 4. Specification of what custody proceedings are covered. These provisions extended the coverage of the UCCJEA to all cases, except adoptions, where a child custody determination was made. This eliminated the substantial ambiguity of the UCCJA concerning which proceeding were covered.
- 5. Role of "Best Interests." The UCCJEA eliminated the term "best interests" in order to clearly distinguish between the jurisdictional standards and the substantive standards relating to custody of and visitation with children.

The UCCJEA also enacted specific provisions on the enforcement of custody determinations for interstate cases. First, there is a simple procedure for registering a custody determination in another state. This allows a party to know in advance whether that state will recognize the party's custody determination. This is extremely important in estimating the risk of the child's non-return when the child is sent on visitation to another state.

Second, the Act provides a swift remedy along the lines of habeas corpus. Time is extremely important in visitation and custody cases. If visitation rights cannot be enforced quickly, they often cannot be enforced at all. This is particularly true if there is a limited time within which visitation can be exercised such as may be the case when one parent has been granted visitation during the winter or spring holiday period. Without speedy consideration and resolution of the enforcement of such visitation rights, the ability to visit may be lost entirely. Similarly, a custodial parent must be able to obtain prompt enforcement when the noncustodial parent refuses to return a child at the end of authorized visitation, particularly when a summer visitation extension will infringe on the school year. A swift enforcement mechanism is desirable for violations of both custody and visitation provisions.

Third, the enforcing court will be able to utilize an extraordinary remedy. If the enforcing court is concerned that the parent, who has physical custody of the child, will flee or harm the child, a warrant to take physical possession of the child is available.

Finally, there is a role for public authorities, such as prosecutors, in the enforcement process. Their involvement will encourage the parties to abide by the terms of the custody determination. If the parties know that public authorities and law enforcement officers are available to help in securing compliance with custody determinations, the parties may be deterred from interfering with the exercise of rights established by court order.

II.

THE 1996 HAGUE CONVENTION ON JURISDICTION, APPLICABLE LAW, RECOGNITION, ENFORCEMENT AND CO-OPERATION IN RESPECT OF PARENTAL RESPONSIBILITY AND MEASURES FOR THE PROTECTION OF CHILDREN

At the same time that the Uniform Law Commission was revising the UCCJA, the Hague Conference on Private International law was revising the 1961 Convention on the Protection of Minors. That Convention was adopted by a number of European States and was utilized to recognize custody determinations. However, no common law country ratified the convention. The Hague Conference decided that a revised convention on jurisdiction and judgments with

regard to minors might attract more countries as signatories. This resulted in the 1996 Convention which established international standards for jurisdiction, choice of law, and enforcement of judgments in cases regarding measures taken for the protection of minors.

There are significant differences between the UCCJEA and the 1996 Convention. However, the purposes of the two are very similar. They are both designed to allocate judicial competence to decide cases involving child custody and visitation. Both documents provide for enforcement of custody and visitation determinations of other states or countries. The differences are in the details of how this is to be accomplished.

There is a large part of the 1996 Convention that is devoted to country to country cooperation. There is a small role for a national central authority in carrying out the cooperation provisions of the Convention. Most of the cooperation provisions are ultimately directed to the "competent authority" which would be the appropriate entity under local law for carrying out the particular function referred to in the 1996 Convention. This means that the central authority in the United States will delegate these functions to the local authority. These cooperation problems will be addressed in the federal implementing legislation. Therefore it is not necessary to address the particular cooperation aspects contained in Chapter V of the 1996 Convention in this revision to the UCCJEA.

III. THE INTERNATIONAL CUSTODY CASE

The international child custody case, like the international child support case, has always been the marginal case in the multi-state system. However, with increasing globalization, the international case has been assuming more importance. The international case was dealt with in both the UCCJA and the UCCJEA.

A. THE UCCJA

Section 23 of the UCCJA provided that the general policies of that Act applied to foreign country custody determinations. Foreign custody determinations were to be recognized and enforced if they were made consistently with the UCCJA and there was reasonable notice and opportunity to be heard. There were two types of issues that arose under this section. The first was whether a United States court would defer to a foreign tribunal when that tribunal would have jurisdiction under the UCCJA and the case was filed first in that tribunal. The second issue was whether a state of the United States would recognize, under this section, a custody determination made by a foreign tribunal.

On the first issue, the UCCJA was ambiguous and only required application of the "general policies" of the Act. Frequently courts in the United States would apply the same jurisdictional principles to international cases that they would apply in interstate cases. For example, in Superior Court v. Plas, 202 Cal.Rptr. 490 (Cal. Ct. App. 1984), the mother filed for custody when she had only been in California with her child for four months. The child was born in France and was raised and lived there with his family until shortly before the California hearing. The court determined that California lacked jurisdiction to hear the case and, even if it

had jurisdiction, it should have deferred to France as the most convenient forum. However, not all states followed the same practice. For example, the Oregon Court of Appeals in Horiba v. Horiba, 950 P.2d 340 (Or. Ct. App. 1997), refused to defer to a pending Japanese proceeding since Japan was not a "state" under the definition of "state" in the UCCJA.

With respect to the second issue, most American states enforced foreign custody orders if made consistently with the jurisdictional standards of the UCCJA and reasonable notice and opportunity to be heard were afforded all participants. However, Missouri, New Mexico and Ohio refused to enact §23 of the UCCJA. Indiana formerly had a provision which seemed to affirmatively require the state to not recognize and enforce a foreign custody order. These provisions undermined the UCCJA principles of recognition and enforcement of custody determinations by countries with appropriate jurisdiction under the UCCJA and created obstacles to the return of children that were illegally abducted.

B. THE UCCJEA

Section 105(a) of the UCCJEA provides that a foreign country will be treated as if it is a state of the United States for the purposes of applying Articles I and II of the UCCJEA. This means that the scope and cooperation principles of Article I as well as the jurisdiction provisions of Article II apply to foreign countries in the same way that they apply to states of United States. Thus communication between a tribunal of the United States and a tribunal in a foreign country is mandatory in cases concerning emergency jurisdiction under Section 204 and simultaneous proceedings under Section 206. Otherwise tribunals in the United States may communicate with tribunals in foreign countries whenever it would be appropriate to communicate with tribunals in the United States under Section 110.

Section 105(b) requires tribunals in the United States to recognize foreign custody determinations if the facts and circumstances of the case indicate that the foreign custody determination was made in substantial conformity with the jurisdictional provisions of the UCCJEA. However, as indicated in Section105(c) a United States court is given the discretion not to apply the UCCJEA if the child custody law of a foreign country violates fundamental principles of human rights. The language of the section was taken from the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction. The drafting committee of the UCCJEA did not attempt to define what aspects of a foreign custody law would violate fundamental principles of human rights. The committee considered a hypothetical case where the foreign custody law awarded custody of children automatically to the father. When asked to decide whether such a provision violated fundamental principles of human rights, the committee, along with the advisors and observers, could not agree. Therefore the application of that provision was left to the courts to determine on a case by case basis.

Application of Section105 does not seem to have presented much of a problem for courts since the enactment of the UCCJEA. In particular it does not appear that enforcement has been denied on the basis of a violation of fundamental principles of human rights. The effect of Section 105 is to ensure that all foreign custody determinations that a made in conformity with UCCJEA jurisdictional standards are enforced in the United States. Ratification of 1996

Convention is not necessary for enforcement of foreign custody decrees; ratification it is necessary in order for United States custody determinations to be enforced in other countries.

IV. THIS REVISION

The purpose of this revision to the UCCJEA is to amend the act to incorporate the 1996 Hague Convention on the Protection of Minors. The United States has signed the Convention and the revision of this Act will constitute part of the implementing legislation. The rest of the Convention will be implemented at the federal level.

This version makes minimal changes to Articles 1 and 2, thereby basically keeping those article as originally written. Every section which could possibly apply to proceeding under the Convention is placed in Article 4 and rewritten with appropriate terminology, except for the recognition and enforcement provisions of Article 3. While it is possible to set out in Article 4 the Article 3 registration and enforcement sections, they would have to be extensively rewritten. Rather than attempt that task Article 3 remains pretty much as originally written with a reference in Article 4 to recognize and enforce a measure of protection as a child custody determination.

The remainder of this draft incorporates the suggestions and comments from the meeting of the drafting committee on November 1-4, 2012

1	[ARTICLE] 1.
2	GENERAL PROVISIONS
3	SECTION 101. SHORT TITLE. This [act] may be cited as the Uniform
4	Child-Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act.
5	SECTION 102. DEFINITIONS. In this [Act]:
6	(1) "Abandoned" means left without provision for reasonable and necessary care or
7	supervision.
8	(2) "Authority" means the judicial or administrative entity authorized by a foreign
9	country to order a measure of protection with regard to a child.
10	(2)(3) "Child" means an individual who has not attained 18 years of age.
11	(3)(4) "Child-custody determination" means a judgment, decree, or other order of a cour
12	providing for the legal custody, physical custody, or visitation with respect to a child. The term
13	includes a permanent, temporary, or initial, order and a modification order. The term does not
14	include an order relating to child support or other monetary obligation of an individual.
15	(4)(5) "Child-custody proceeding" means a proceeding in which legal custody, physical
16	custody, or visitation with respect to a child is an issue. The term includes a proceeding for
17	divorce, separation, neglect, abuse, dependency, guardianship, paternity, termination of parental
18	rights, and protection from domestic violence, in which the issue may appear. The term does not
19	include a proceeding involving juvenile delinquency, contractual emancipation, or enforcement
20	under [Article] 3.
21	(5)(6) "Commencement" means the filing of the first pleading in a proceeding.
22	(7) "Convention" means the Convention on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law, Recognition,
23	Enforcement and Co-operation in Respect of Parental Responsibility and Measures for the

1	Protection of Children, concluded at The Hague on 19 October, 1996.
2	(8) "Convention country" means a country, including a political subdivision thereof,
3	other than the United States, in which the convention is in force with respect to the United States.
4	(6)(9) "Court" means an entity authorized under the law of a State state or nonconvention
5	country to establish, enforce, or modify a child-custody determination.
6	(10) "Foreign country" means a country, including a political subdivision thereof, other
7	than the United States.
8	(7)(11) "Home State state" means the State state in which a child lived with a parent or a
9	person acting as a parent for at least six consecutive months immediately before the
10	commencement of a child-custody proceeding. In the case of a child less than six months of age,
11	the term means the State in which the child lived from birth with any of the persons mentioned.
12	A period of temporary absence of any of the mentioned persons is part of the period.
13	(8)(12) "Initial determination" means the first child-custody determination concerning a
14	particular child.
15	(9)(13) "Issuing court" means the court that makes a child-custody determination for
16	which enforcement is sought under this [Act].
17	(10)(14) "Issuing State state" means the State state in which a child-custody
18	determination is made.
19	(15) "Measure of protection" means a decision on a matter covered by [Article] 4 made
20	by an authority in a foreign country or a court in this state with regard to a child.
21	(11)(16) "Modification" means a child-custody determination or measure of protection
22	that changes, replaces, supersedes, or is otherwise made after a previous determination or
23	measure concerning the same child, whether or not it is made by the court or authority that made

1	the previous determination <u>or measure</u> .
2	(17) "Nonconvention country" means a country, including a political subdivision thereof,
3	other than the United States, in which the convention is not in force with respect to the United
4	States.
5	(18) "Parental responsibility" means the rights, powers and obligations of a parent,
6	guardian, or other person with similar authority in relation to the child.
7	(12)(19) "Person" means an individual, corporation, business trust, estate, trust,
8	partnership, limited liability company, association, joint venture, <u>public corporation</u> , government
9	or governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality, public corporation; or any other legal or
10	commercial entity.
11	(13)(20) "Person acting as a parent" means a person, other than a parent, who:
12	(A) has physical custody of the child or has had physical custody for a
13	period of six consecutive months, including any temporary absence, within one year immediately
14	before the commencement of a child-custody proceeding; and
15	(B) has been awarded legal custody by a court or claims a right to legal
16	custody under the law of this State.
17	(14)(21) "Physical custody" means the physical care and supervision of a child.
18	(15)(22) "State" means a State state of the United States, the District of Columbia,
19	Puerto Rico, the United States Virgin Islands, or any territory or insular possession subject to the
20	jurisdiction of the United States.
21	[(16)(23) "Tribe" means an Indian tribe or band, or Alaskan Native village, which is
22	recognized by federal law or formally acknowledged by a State state.]
23	(17)(24) "Warrant" means an order issued by a court authorizing law enforcement

2 Comment 3 Related to the convention: Art. 1(2). 4 5 The term "authority" is used in connection with cases arising under the convention. Just as it is a "court" that makes a child custody determination under Articles 1-3, so it is an 6 "authority" that makes a "measure" under the convention. The term is broader than "court" in 7 8 that it includes administrative authorities that, under foreign law, may take a measure of protection with regard to a child. 9 10 11 Subsection (15) defines the term "measure of protection," or "measure." The term is used most often in Article 4, but does arise in the other articles. The term "custody determination" 12 which is used with regard to United States orders in the first three articles is inappropriate in 13 14 relationship to a discussion of the 1996 Convention because the convention covers much more than custody determinations. The convention does not itself provide a definition of the term. 15 16 The term "measure of protection" is defined functionally as a decision regarding a matter covered 17 by Article 4. That means that it is within the scope of Article 4 in Section 402. 18 19 The term "parental responsibility" is taken fairly directly from Article 1(2) of the 20 convention. The term is purposely broad in the convention and therefore questions regarding whether a particular issue is to be interpreted as coming within the concept of parental 21 responsibility ought to be resolved in favor of inclusion. See the Explanatory Report at ¶18. 22 23 24 **SECTION 103. PROCEEDINGS GOVERNED BY OTHER LAW.** This [Act] [act] 25 does not govern an adoption proceeding or, except as provided in Section 415, a proceeding 26 pertaining to the authorization of emergency medical care for a child. 27 Comment 28 Proceedings pertaining to emergency medical care are not governed by Articles 1-3, but a proceeding pertaining to emergency medical care for a child is a measure within the scope of 29 Article 4. 30 31 32 SECTION 104. APPLICATION TO INDIAN TRIBES. 33 (a) A child-custody proceeding that pertains to an Indian child as defined in the Indian Child Welfare Act, 25 U.S.C. § 1901 et seq., is not subject to this [Act] to the extent that it is 34 35 governed by the Indian Child Welfare Act.

1

36

officers to take physical custody of a child.

(b) A court of this State state shall treat a tribe as if it were a State state of the United

1	States for the purpose of applying [Articles] 1 and 2.]
2	[(c) A child-custody determination made by a tribe under factual circumstances in
3	substantial conformity with the jurisdictional standards of this [Act] must be recognized and
4	enforced under [Article] 3.]
5	SECTION 105. INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION OF [ACT].
6	(a) Except as provided in Sections 414 and 415, a A court of this State shall treat a
7	foreign nonconvention country as if it were a State state of the United States for the purpose of
8	applying [Articles] 1 and 2.
9	(b) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (c)(d), a child-custody determination
10	made in a foreign nonconvention country under factual circumstances in substantial conformity
11	with the jurisdictional standards of this [Act] [Article] 2 must be recognized and enforced under
12	[Article] 3.
13	(c) A court of this state shall apply [Article] 4 in proceedings involving the convention.
14	[(c)(d) A court of this State state need not apply this [Act] [act] if the child custody law
15	of a foreign country violates fundamental principles of human rights.]
16	[(d) A court of this state may refuse to apply this [act], only if the court finds, after taking
17	into account the best interests of the child, the child custody law of a foreign country is
18	manifestly contrary to the public policy of this state.]
19	[(d) A court of this state my refuse to apply this [act] only if the court finds, after taking
20	into account the best interest of the child, that recognition and enforcement of the foreign child
21	custody determination is manifestly incompatible with public policy, including the failure of the
22	of the issuing court to observe minimum standards of due process, which include notice and an

opportunity to be heard.]

1 Comment

In this version of the act, Section 105 remains. It now distinguishes between convention countries which are covered in Article 4 and nonconvention countries, that are covered under Articles 2 and 3.

The original comments to this section noted that the term "child custody determination" should be interpreted to cover custody as well analogous decisions made under the 1996 Convention.

The exceptions of Section 414 and 415 relate to urgency cases and the procedure involving transfer of jurisdiction under the convention. The urgency section requires convention countries to distinguish between convention and nonconvention countries when recognizing measure taken by those countries that purport to deal with the urgent situation. Section 414 allows a transfer of jurisdiction only to convention countries, not nonconvention countries.

Subparagraph (c) then directs an attorney to apply article 4 to cases involving convention countries. This seems an appropriate place to put a directional text since attorneys will probably look at this section whenever they have a case with international connections.

Subsection (d) comes in three versions. One restates the provision currently in the UCCJEA. It applies to "foreign countries" which includes both convention and nonconvention countries. It provides an escape hatch for convention and non-convention countries when the child custody law of the country violates fundamental principles of human rights. Alternative to the current subsection (d) are proposed. One adopts some language from the convention and provides an escape hatch from applying the act when the child custody law of the foreign country manifestly violates the public policy of this state, after taking into account the best interests of the child. The third variation is in UIFSA and is the version that was hammered out on the floor of the Conference during the second reading of UIFSA 2008.

An issue not discussed at the last drafting committee meeting is whether all three formulations are too broad, in that it allows the court not to apply this act. In actuality with regard to convention countries the rules on jurisdiction have to apply to convention countries even if we believe that the child custody law of the foreign country is manifestly contrary to the public policy of this state. This is because the convention contains no public policy defense to the jurisdiction provisions of articles 5-10. For this reason is might be better to include our version of the public policy defense for either convention, non convention or foreign countries in the appropriate sections in articles 3-4 and eliminate subsection (d).

custody determination made by a court of this State state that had jurisdiction under this [Act]

[act] binds all persons who have a person that has been served in accordance with the laws law of this State state, or has been notified in accordance with Section 108 or who have has submitted to

SECTION 106. EFFECT OF CHILD-CUSTODY DETERMINATION. A child-

the jurisdiction of the court, and who have has been given an opportunity to be heard. As to

those persons, the The determination is conclusive as to all decided issues of law and fact except

to the extent the determination is modified.

4 Comment

In this section, as well as some of the later sections of Article 2 and 3, word changes are the product of the Style Committee and are not intended to have any substantive effect.

SECTION 107. PRIORITY. If a question of existence or exercise of jurisdiction under this [act] is raised in a child-custody proceeding, the question, upon request of a party, must be given priority on the calendar and handled expeditiously.

SECTION 108. NOTICE TO PERSONS OUTSIDE STATE.

- (a) Notice required for the exercise of jurisdiction when a person is outside this State state may be given in a manner prescribed by the law of this State state for service of process or by the law of the State state or in which the service is made. Notice must be given in a manner reasonably calculated to give actual notice but may be by publication if other means are not effective.
- (b) Proof of service may be made in the manner prescribed by the law of this State state or by the law of the State state in which the service is made.
- (c) Notice is not required for the exercise of jurisdiction with respect to a person who submits to the jurisdiction of the court.

21 Comment

 When the original UCCJEA was drafted there was no requirement that international service of process comply, when required, with the Hague Convention on Service of Process Abroad or other treaties concerning the service of process, although most courts have added that requirement. Rather the section merely indicated that service was valid if accomplished according to the law of this state or the law of the state where service took place. In those cases where the Service Convention is applicable it is the law of both this state and the foreign country and therefore must be complied with.

1 2	SECTION 109. APPEARANCE AND LIMITED IMMUNITY.
3	(a) A party to a child-custody proceeding, including a modification proceeding, or a
4	petitioner or respondent in a proceeding to enforce or register a child-custody determination, is
5	not subject to personal jurisdiction in this State state for another proceeding or purpose solely by
6	reason of having participated, or of having been physically present for the purpose of
7	participating, in the proceeding.
8	(b) A person who is subject to personal jurisdiction in this State state on a basis other
9	than physical presence is not immune from service of process in this State state. A party present
10	in this State state who is subject to the jurisdiction of another State is not immune from
11	service of process allowable under the laws of that State state.
12	(c) The immunity granted by subsection (a) does not extend to civil litigation based on
13	acts unrelated to the participation in a proceeding under this [Act] [act] committed by an
14	individual while present in this State state.
15	SECTION 110. COMMUNICATION BETWEEN COURTS.
16	(a) For the purposes of this section, "record" means information that is inscribed on a
17	tangible medium or that is stored in an electronic or other medium and is retrievable in
18	perceivable form.
19	(a)(b) A court of this State state may communicate with a court in another State state
20	concerning a proceeding arising under this [Act] [act].
21	(b)(c) The court may allow the parties to participate in the communication. If the parties
22	are not able to participate in the communication, they must be given the opportunity to present
23	facts and legal arguments before a decision on jurisdiction is made.

 $\underline{\text{(c)}}\underline{\text{(d)}}$ Communication between courts on schedules, calendars, court records, and similar

matters may occur without informing the parties. A record need not be made of the communication.

- (d)(e) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (c)(d), a record must be made of a communication under this section. The parties must be informed promptly of the communication and granted access to the record.
- (e) For the purposes of this section, "record" means information that is inscribed on a tangible medium or that is stored in an electronic or other medium and is retrievable in perceivable form.

SECTION 111. TAKING TESTIMONY IN ANOTHER STATE.

- (a) In addition to other procedures available to a party, a party to a child-custody proceeding may offer testimony of witnesses who are located in another State state, including testimony of the parties and the child, by deposition or other means allowable in this State state for testimony taken in another State state. The court on its own motion may order that the testimony of a person be taken in another State state and may prescribe the manner in which and the terms upon which the testimony is taken.
- (b) A court of this State state may permit an individual residing in another State state to be deposed or to testify by telephone, audiovisual means, or other electronic means before a designated court or at another location in that State state. A court of this State state shall cooperate with courts of other States in designating an appropriate location for the deposition or testimony.
- (c) Documentary evidence transmitted from another <u>State</u> to a court of this <u>State</u> <u>state</u> by technological means that do not produce an original writing may not be excluded from evidence on an objection based on the means of transmission.

1	SECTION 112. COOPERATION BETWEEN COURTS; PRESERVATION OF
2	RECORDS.
3	(a) A court of this State state may request the appropriate court of another State to:
4	(1) hold an evidentiary hearing;
5	(2) order a person to produce or give evidence pursuant to procedures of that State
6	state;
7	(3) order that an evaluation be made with respect to the custody of a child
8	involved in a pending proceeding;
9	(4) forward to the court of this State state a certified copy of the transcript of the
10	record of the hearing, the evidence otherwise presented, and any evaluation prepared in
11	compliance with the request; and
12	(5) order a party to a child-custody proceeding or any person having physical
13	custody of the child to appear in the proceeding with or without the child.
14	(b) Upon On request of a court of another State state, a court of this State may hold
15	a hearing or enter an order described in subsection (a).
16	(c) Travel and other necessary and reasonable expenses incurred under subsections (a)
17	and (b) may be assessed against the parties according to the law of this State state.
18	(d) A court of this State state shall preserve the pleadings, orders, decrees, records of
19	hearings, evaluations, and other pertinent records with respect to a child-custody proceeding until
20	the child attains 18 years of age. Upon On appropriate request by a court or law enforcement
21	official of another State state, the court shall forward a certified copy of those records.

1	[ARTICLE] 2.
2	JURISDICTION
3	SECTION 201. INITIAL CHILD-CUSTODY JURISDICTION.
4	(a) Except as otherwise provided in Section 204, a court of this State state has
5	jurisdiction to make an initial child-custody determination only if:
6	(1) this State state is the home State state of the child on the date of the
7	commencement of the proceeding, or was the home State state of the child within six months
8	before the commencement of the proceeding and the child is absent from this State state but a
9	parent or person acting as a parent continues to live in this State state;
10	(2) a court of another State state does not have jurisdiction under paragraph (1), or
11	a court of the home State state of the child has declined to exercise jurisdiction on the ground that
12	this State state is the more appropriate forum under Section 207 or 208, and:
13	(A) the child and the child's parents, or the child and at least one parent or
14	a person acting as a parent, have a significant connection with this State state other than mere
15	physical presence; and
16	(B) substantial evidence is available in this State state concerning the
17	child's care, protection, training, and personal relationships;
18	(3) all courts having jurisdiction under paragraph (1) or (2) have declined to
19	exercise jurisdiction on the ground that a court of this State state is the more appropriate forum to
20	determine the custody of the child under Section 207 or 208; or
21	(4) no court of any other State state would have jurisdiction under the criteria
22	specified in paragraph (1), (2), or (3).
23	(b) Subsection (a) is the exclusive jurisdictional basis for making a child-custody

1	determination by a court of this state state.
2	(c) Physical presence of, or personal jurisdiction over, a party or a child is not necessary
3	or sufficient to make a child-custody determination.
4	SECTION 202. EXCLUSIVE, CONTINUING JURISDICTION.
5	(a) Except as otherwise provided in Section 204, a court of this State state which has
6	made a child-custody determination consistent with Section 201 or 203 has exclusive, continuing
7	jurisdiction over the determination until:
8	(1) a court of this State state determines that neither the child, nor the child and
9	one parent, nor the child and a person acting as a parent have a significant connection with this
10	State state and that substantial evidence is no longer available in this State state concerning the
11	child's care, protection, training, and personal relationships; or
12	(2) a court of this State state or a court of another State state determines that the
13	child, the child's parents, and any person acting as a parent do not presently reside in this State
14	state.
15	(b) A court of this State state which has made a child-custody determination and
16	does not have exclusive, continuing jurisdiction under this section may modify that determination
17	only if it has jurisdiction to make an initial determination under Section 201.
18	Comment
19	
20	Cases involving convention countries are covered in Article 4. It should be noted that
21	there is no continuing jurisdiction in cases that are covered by the convention.
22	CECTION AND HUDIODICTION TO MODIEV DETERMINATION E
23	SECTION 203. JURISDICTION TO MODIFY DETERMINATION. Except as
24	otherwise provided in Section 204, a court of this State state may not modify a child-custody
25	determination made by a court of another State state unless a court of this State has
26	jurisdiction to make an initial determination under Section 201(a)(1) or (2) and:

(1) the court of the other <u>State</u> <u>state</u> determines it no longer has exclusive, continuing jurisdiction under Section 202 or that a court of this <u>State</u> <u>state</u> would be a more convenient forum under Section 207; or

(2) a court of this <u>State</u> or a court of the other <u>State</u> determines that the child, the child's parents, and any person acting as a parent do not presently reside in the other <u>State</u> state.

SECTION 204. TEMPORARY EMERGENCY JURISDICTION.

- (a) A court of this State state has temporary emergency jurisdiction if the child is present in this State state and the child has been abandoned or it is necessary in an emergency to protect the child because the child, or a sibling or parent of the child, is subjected to or threatened with mistreatment or abuse.
- (b) If there is no previous child-custody determination that is entitled to be enforced under this [Act] [act] and a child-custody proceeding has not been commenced in a court of a State state having jurisdiction under Sections 201 through 203, a child-custody determination made under this section remains in effect until an order is obtained from a court of a State state having jurisdiction under Sections 201 through 203. If a child-custody proceeding has not been or is not commenced in a court of a State state having jurisdiction under Sections 201 through 203, a child-custody determination made under this section becomes a final determination, if it so provides and this State state becomes the home State state of the child.
- (c) <u>Fi</u>f there is a previous child-custody determination that is entitled to be enforced under this <u>[Act]</u> <u>[act]</u>, or a child-custody proceeding has been commenced in a court of a <u>State state</u> having jurisdiction under Sections 201 through 203, any order issued by a court of this <u>State state</u> under this section must specify in the order a period that the court considers adequate to allow the

- person seeking an order to obtain an order from the State state having jurisdiction under Sections 201 through 203. Except as provided in Section 415(c), Tthe order issued in this State state remains in effect until an order is obtained from the other State state within the period specified or the period expires.
- (d) A court of this State state which has been asked to make a child-custody determination under this section, upon on being informed that a child-custody proceeding has been commenced in, or a child-custody determination has been made by, a court of a State state having jurisdiction under Sections 201 through 203, shall immediately communicate with the other court. A court of this State state which is exercising jurisdiction pursuant to Sections 201 through 203, upon on being informed that a child-custody proceeding has been commenced in, or a child-custody determination has been made by, a court of another State state under a statute similar to this section shall immediately communicate with the court of that State state to resolve the emergency, protect the safety of the parties and the child, and determine a period for the duration of the temporary order.

15 Comment

The convention provides a special rule for when an emergency order of the forum is replaced by a decision from the nonconvention country of the habitual residence of the child. The introductory clause to last sentence of subsection (c) contains the reference to that Section.

SECTION 205. NOTICE; OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD; JOINDER.

(a) Before a child-custody determination is made under this [Act] [act], notice and an opportunity to be heard in accordance with the standards of Section 108 must be given to all persons entitled to notice under the law of this State state as in child-custody proceedings between residents of this State state, any parent whose parental rights have not been previously terminated, and any person having physical custody of the child.

- (b) This [Act] [act] does not govern the enforceability of a child-custody determination made without notice or an opportunity to be heard.
- (c) The obligation to join a party and the right to intervene as a party in a child-custody proceeding under this [Act] [act] are governed by the law of this State as in child-custody proceedings between residents of this State state.

SECTION 206. SIMULTANEOUS PROCEEDINGS.

- (a) Except as otherwise provided in Section 204, a court of this State state may not exercise its jurisdiction under this [article] if, at the time of the commencement of the proceeding, a proceeding concerning the custody of the child has been commenced in a court of another State state having jurisdiction substantially in conformity with this [Act] [article], unless the proceeding has been terminated or is stayed by the court of the other State state because a court of this State state is a more convenient forum under Section 207.
- (b) Except as otherwise provided in Section 204, a court of this State state, before hearing a child-custody proceeding, shall examine the court documents and other information supplied by the parties pursuant to Section 209. If the court determines that a child-custody proceeding has been commenced in a court in another State state having jurisdiction substantially in accordance with this [Act] [article], the court of this State state shall stay its proceeding and communicate with the court of the other State state. If the court of the State state having jurisdiction substantially in accordance with this [Act] [article] does not determine that the court of this State state is a more appropriate forum, the court of this State state shall dismiss the proceeding.
- (c) In a proceeding to modify a child-custody determination, a court of this State state shall determine whether a proceeding to enforce the determination has been commenced in

1	another State state. If a proceeding to enforce a child-custody determination has been
2	commenced in another State state, the court may:
3	(1) stay the proceeding for modification pending the entry of an order of a court of
4	the other State state enforcing, staying, denying, or dismissing the proceeding for enforcement;
5	(2) enjoin the parties from continuing with the proceeding for enforcement; or
6	(3) proceed with the modification under conditions it considers appropriate.
7	SECTION 207. INCONVENIENT FORUM.
8	(a) A court of this State state which has jurisdiction under this [Act] [article] to make a
9	child-custody determination may decline to exercise its jurisdiction at any time if it determines
10	that it is an inconvenient forum under the circumstances and that a court of another State state is
11	a more appropriate forum. The issue of inconvenient forum may be raised upon motion of a
12	party, the court's own motion, or request of another court.
13	(b) Before determining whether it is an inconvenient forum, a court of this State state
14	shall consider whether it is appropriate for a court of another State state to exercise jurisdiction.
15	For this purpose, the court shall allow the parties to submit information and shall consider all
16	relevant factors, including:
17	(1) whether domestic violence has occurred and is likely to continue in the future
18	and which State state could best protect the parties and the child;
19	(2) the length of time the child has resided outside this State state;
20	(3) the distance between the court in this State state and the court in the State state
21	that would assume jurisdiction;
22	(4) the relative financial circumstances of the parties;
23	(5) any agreement of the parties as to which State state should assume jurisdiction;

1	(6) the nature and location of the evidence required to resolve the pending
2	litigation, including testimony of the child;
3	(7) the ability of the court of each State state to decide the issue expeditiously and
4	the procedures necessary to present the evidence; and
5	(8) the familiarity of the court of each State state with the facts and issues in the
6	pending litigation.
7	(c) If a court of this State state determines that it is an inconvenient forum and that a
8	court of another State state is a more appropriate forum, it shall stay the proceedings upon
9	condition that a child-custody proceeding be promptly commenced in another designated State
10	state and may impose any other condition the court considers just and proper.
11	(d) A court of this State state may decline to exercise its jurisdiction under this [Act]
12	[act] if a child-custody determination is incidental to an action for divorce or another proceeding
13	while still retaining jurisdiction over the divorce or other proceeding
14	. Comment
15 16 17 18	Other than the limited circumstances of Section 412, there is no forum non conveniens between countries parties to the 1996 Convention. There is only the transfer of jurisdiction proceeding of Section 414.
19	SECTION 208. JURISDICTION DECLINED BY REASON OF CONDUCT.
20	(a) Except as otherwise provided in Section 204 [or by other law of this State state], if a
21	court of this State state has jurisdiction under this [Act] [act] because a person seeking to invoke
22	its jurisdiction has engaged in unjustifiable conduct, the court shall decline to exercise its
23	jurisdiction unless:
24	(1) the parents and all persons acting as parents have acquiesced in the exercise of
25	jurisdiction;

(2) a court of the <u>State state</u> otherwise having jurisdiction under Sections 201 through 203 determines that this <u>State state</u> is a more appropriate forum under Section 207; or

- (3) no court of any other <u>State</u> would have jurisdiction under the criteria specified in Sections 201 through 203.
- (b) If a court of this State state declines to exercise its jurisdiction pursuant to subsection (a), it may fashion an appropriate remedy to ensure the safety of the child and prevent a repetition of the unjustifiable conduct, including staying the proceeding until a child-custody proceeding is commenced in a court having jurisdiction under Sections 201 through 203.
- (c) If a court dismisses a petition or stays a proceeding because it declines to exercise its jurisdiction pursuant to subsection (a), it shall assess against the party seeking to invoke its jurisdiction necessary and reasonable expenses including costs, communication expenses, attorney's fees, investigative fees, expenses for witnesses, travel expenses, and child care during the course of the proceedings, unless the party from whom which fees are sought establishes that the assessment would be clearly inappropriate. The court may not assess fees, costs, or expenses against this State state unless authorized by law other than this [Act] [act].

SECTION 209. INFORMATION TO BE SUBMITTED TO COURT.

(a) [Subject to [local law providing for the confidentiality of procedures, addresses, and other identifying information], in] [In] a child-custody proceeding, each party, in its first pleading or in an attached affidavit, shall give information, if reasonably ascertainable, under oath as to the child's present address or whereabouts, the country where the child habitually resides, the places where the child has lived during the last five years, and the names and present addresses of the persons name and present address of each person having physical custody with whom the child has lived during that period. The pleading or affidavit must state whether the party:

(1) has participated, as a party or witness or in any other capacity, in any other proceeding concerning the custody of or visitation with the child and, if so, identify the court, the case number, and the date of the child-custody determination, if any;

- (2) knows of any proceeding that could affect the current proceeding, including proceedings for enforcement and proceedings relating to domestic violence, protective orders, termination of parental rights, and adoptions and, if so, identify the court, the case number, and the nature of the proceeding; and
- (3) knows the names and addresses of any person not a party to the proceeding who has having physical custody of the child or claims rights of legal custody or physical custody of, or visitation with, the child and, if so, the names and addresses of those persons.
- (b) If the information required by subsection (a) is not furnished, the court, upon on motion of a party or its own motion, may stay the proceeding until the information is furnished.
- (c) If the declaration as to any of the items described in subsection (a)(1) through (3) (a) is in the affirmative, the declarant shall give additional information under oath as required by the court. The court may examine the parties under oath as to details of the information furnished and other matters pertinent to the court's jurisdiction and the disposition of the case.
- (d) Each party has a continuing duty to inform the court of any proceeding in this or any other State state that could affect the current proceeding.
- [(e) If a party alleges in an affidavit or a pleading under oath that the health, safety, or liberty of a party or child would be jeopardized by disclosure of identifying information, the information must be sealed and may not be disclosed to the other party or the public unless the court orders the disclosure to be made after a hearing in which the court takes into consideration the health, safety, or liberty of the party or child and determines that the disclosure is in the

interest of justice.]

2 Comment

The amendment to subsection (a) is designed to facilitate Section 211. In those situations where a domestic case becomes a convention case due to the relocation of the parties, the information contained in the pleading can be easily used to supplement the original order.

SECTION 210. APPEARANCE OF PARTIES AND CHILD.

- (a) In a child-custody proceeding in this State state, the court may order a party to the proceeding who that is in this State state to appear before the court in person with or without the child. The court may order any person who that is in this State state and who has physical custody or control of the child to appear in person with the child.
- (b) If a party to a child-custody proceeding whose presence is desired by the court is outside this State state, the court may order that a notice given pursuant to Section 108 include a statement directing the party to appear in person with or without the child and informing the party that failure to appear may result in a decision adverse to the party.
- (c) The court may enter any orders necessary to ensure the safety of the child and of any person ordered to appear under this section.
- (d) If a party to a child-custody proceeding who is outside this <u>State</u> is directed to appear under subsection (b) or desires to appear personally before the court with or without the child, the court may require another party to pay reasonable and necessary travel and other expenses of the party so appearing and of the child.

SECTION 211. FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS.

(a) If requested by a party, a court of this state that makes or modifies a child-custody determination or which orders or modifies a measure of protection under this [act] shall include in the determination or measure the court's findings and conclusions on the following:

1	(1) the basis for the exercise of jurisdiction by the court;
2	(2) the manner in which notice and opportunity to be heard were given to each
3	person entitled to notice of the proceeding;
4	(3) the opportunity for the child to be heard or the reasons why the child was not
5	heard; and
6	(4) the country of the habitual residence of the child.
7	(b) A child-custody determination or measure of protection made under this [act] may be
8	supplemented at any time to include the findings and conclusions described in subsection (a)
9	without being construed as a modification.
10	Comment
11	
12	Related to Convention: Article 25: This section was proposed by the drafting committee.
13	It is meant to help those parents who contemplate foreign enforcement of a United States custody
14	determination or measure of protection. It is important that a court not only make the
15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	conclusions set out in this section, but also the findings of fact underlying those conclusions.
16	This is because Article 25 of the convention requires that the requested state is bound by the
17	findings of fact upon which another convention states based its jurisdiction. These findings and
18	conclusions will be extremely helpful to an American parent seeking to enforce abroad a measure
19	taken by a United States court.
20	·
21	Information on the findings and conclusions should be readily available. Note that
22	Section 209 has been amended to require that the parties in their pleadings submit evidence to the
23	court concerning the child's habitual residence.
24	court concerning the clind's habitual residence.
	Subscation (b) makes it along that a shild avotaday determination on a massure of
25	Subsection (b) makes it clear that a child custody determination or a measure of
26	protection can be amended or supplemented to include the findings and conclusions without the
27	risk of the amendments being called a modification.
28	
29	[ARTICLE] 3.
30	ENFORCEMENT
31	Drafting Note
32	For this draft Article 3 remains as it was in the original UCCJEA with one minor change.
33	In some sections the jurisdictional reference to Article 2 is changed to this Act. This is required
34	because of the addition of Article 4 which contains the rules with regard to cases under the

1 convention. 2 **SECTION 301. DEFINITIONS.** In this [article]: 3 (1) "Petitioner" means a person who that seeks enforcement of an order for return of a 4 child under the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction or a 5 child-custody determination. 6 (2) "Respondent" means a person against whom which a proceeding has been 7 commenced for enforcement of an order for return of a child under the Hague Convention on the 8 Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction or a child-custody determination. 9 SECTION 302. ENFORCEMENT UNDER HAGUE ABDUCTION 10 **CONVENTION.** Under this [article], a court of this State state may enforce an order for the 11 return of the a child made under the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International 12 Child Abduction as if it were a child-custody determination. 13 SECTION 303. DUTY TO ENFORCE. 14 (a) A court of this State state shall recognize and enforce a child-custody determination 15

(a) A court of this State state shall recognize and enforce a child-custody determination of a court of another State state if the latter court exercised jurisdiction in substantial conformity with this [Act] [act] or the determination was made under factual circumstances meeting the jurisdictional standards of this [Act] and the determination has not been modified in accordance with this [Act].

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- (b) A court of this State state may utilize any remedy available under other law of this State state to enforce a child-custody determination made by a court of another State state. The remedies provided in this [article] are cumulative and do not affect the availability of other remedies to enforce a child-custody determination.
 - [(c) A court of this state need not apply this [article] if the child custody law of a

1	nonconvention country violates fundamental principles of human rights.]
2	[(c) A court of this state may refuse to apply this [article], only if the court finds, after
3	taking into account the best interests of the child, the child custody law of a nonconvention
4	country is manifestly contrary to the public policy of this state.]
5	[(c) A court of this state my refuse to apply this article only if the court finds, after taking
6	into account the best interest of the child, that recognition and enforcement of the order is
7	manifestly incompatible with public policy, including the failure of the of the issuing authority or
8	court to observe minimum standards of due process, which include notice and an opportunity to
9	be heard.]
10	Comment
11 12 13 14	Subsection (c) is bracketed. The question was raised under Section 105 if the provisions concerning non-recognition of foreign country orders would be better placed in the recognition sections rather than in Section 105. There is also the continuing question of what the non-recognition standard should be.
15	SECTION 304. TEMPORARY VISITATION.
16	(a) A court of this State state which does not have jurisdiction to modify a child-custody
17	determination, may issue a temporary order enforcing:
18	(1) a visitation schedule made by a court of another State state; or
19	(2) the visitation provisions of a child-custody determination of another State state
20	that does not provide for a specific visitation schedule.
21	(b) If a court of this State state makes an order under subsection (a)(2), it shall specify in
22	the order a period that it considers adequate to allow the petitioner to obtain an order from a cour
23	having jurisdiction under the criteria specified in [Article] 2. The order remains in effect until an
24	order is obtained from the other court or the period expires.

1	SECTION 305. REGISTRATION OF CHILD-CUSTODY DETERMINATION.
2	(a) A child-custody determination issued by a court of another State may be
3	registered in this State state, with or without a simultaneous request for enforcement, by sending
4	to [the appropriate court] in this State state:
5	(1) a letter or other document requesting registration;
6	(2) two copies, including one certified copy, of the determination sought to be
7	registered, and a statement under penalty of perjury that to the best of the knowledge and belief
8	of the person seeking registration the order has not been modified; and
9	(3) except as otherwise provided in Section 209, the name and address of the
10	person seeking registration and any parent or person acting as a parent who has been awarded
11	custody or visitation in the child-custody determination sought to be registered.
12	(b) On receipt of the documents required by subsection (a), the registering court shall:
13	(1) cause the determination to be filed as a foreign judgment, together with one
14	copy of any accompanying documents and information, regardless of their form; and
15	(2) serve notice upon the persons named pursuant to subsection $\frac{(a)(1-(3))}{(a)}$ and
16	provide them with an opportunity to contest the registration in accordance with this section.
17	(c) The notice required by subsection (b)(2) must state that:
18	(1) a registered determination is enforceable as of the date of the registration in
19	the same manner as a determination issued by a court of this State state;
20	(2) a hearing to contest the validity of the registered determination must be
21	requested within not later than 20 days after service of notice; and
22	(3) failure to contest the registration will result in confirmation of the child-
23	custody determination and preclude further contest of that determination with respect to any

matter that could have been asserted.

- (d) A person seeking to contest the validity of a registered order must shall request a hearing within not later than 20 days after service of the notice. At that hearing, the court shall confirm the registered order unless the person contesting registration establishes that:
 - (1) the issuing court did not have jurisdiction under [Article] 2 this [act];
 - (2) the child-custody determination sought to be registered has been vacated, stayed, or modified by a court having jurisdiction to do so under [Article] 2 [this act]; or
 - (3) the person contesting registration was entitled to notice, but notice was not given in accordance with the standards of Section 108, in the proceedings before the court that issued the order for which registration is sought.
 - (e) If a timely request for a hearing to contest the validity of the registration is not made, the registration is confirmed as a matter of law and the person requesting registration and all persons served must be notified of the confirmation.
 - (f) Confirmation of a registered order, whether by operation of law or after notice and hearing, precludes further contest of the order with respect to any matter that could have been asserted at the time of registration.

SECTION 306. ENFORCEMENT OF REGISTERED DETERMINATION.

- (a) A court of this <u>State</u> <u>state</u> may grant any relief normally available under the law of this <u>State</u> <u>state</u> to enforce a registered child-custody determination made by a court of another <u>State</u> <u>state</u>.
- (b) A court of this <u>State</u> shall recognize and enforce, but may not modify, except in accordance with <u>[Article] 2 this [act]</u>, a registered child-custody determination <u>of another State state.</u>

SECTION 307. SIMULTANEOUS PROCEEDINGS. If a proceeding for
enforcement under this [article] is commenced in a court of this State state and the court
determines that a proceeding to modify the determination is pending in a court of another State
state, having jurisdiction to modify the determination under [Article] 2 this [act], the enforcing
court shall immediately communicate with the modifying court, The proceeding for enforcement
continues unless the enforcing court, after consultation with the modifying court stays or
dismisses the proceeding.
SECTION 308. EXPEDITED ENFORCEMENT OF CHILD-CUSTODY
DETERMINATION.
(a) A petition under this [article] must be verified. Certified copies of all orders sought
to be enforced and of any order confirming registration must be attached to the petition. A copy
of a certified copy of an order may be attached instead of the original.
(b) A petition for enforcement of a child-custody determination must state:
(1) whether the court that issued the determination identified the jurisdictional
basis it relied upon in exercising jurisdiction and, if so, what the basis was;
(2) whether the determination for which enforcement is sought has been vacated,
stayed, or modified by a court whose decision must be enforced under this [Act] [act] and, if so,
identify the court, the case number, and the nature of the proceeding;
(3) whether any proceeding has been commenced that could affect the current
proceeding, including proceedings relating to domestic violence, protective orders, termination of
parental rights, and adoptions and, if so, identify the court or authority, the case number, and the
nature of the proceeding;

(4) the present physical address of the child and the respondent, if known;

1	(5) whether relief in addition to the immediate physical custody of the child and
2	attorney's fees is sought, including a request for assistance from [law enforcement officials] and,
3	if so, the relief sought; and
4	(6) if the child-custody determination has been registered and confirmed under
5	Section 305, the date and place of registration.
6	(c) Upon On the filing of a petition, the court shall issue an order directing the
7	respondent to appear in person with or without the child at a hearing and may enter any order
8	necessary to ensure the safety of the parties and the child. The hearing must be held on the next
9	judicial day after service of the order unless that date is impossible. In that event, the court shall
10	hold the hearing on the first judicial day possible. The court may extend the date of hearing at
11	the request of the petitioner.
12	(d) An order issued under subsection (c) must state the time and place of the hearing and
13	advise the respondent that at the hearing the court will order that the petitioner may take
14	immediate physical custody of the child and the payment of fees, costs, and expenses under
15	Section 312, and may schedule a hearing to determine whether further relief is appropriate,
16	unless the respondent appears and establishes that:
17	(1) the child-custody determination has not been registered and confirmed under
18	Section 305 and that:
19	(A) the issuing court did not have jurisdiction under [Article] 2 this [act];
20	(B) the child-custody determination for which enforcement is sought has
21	been vacated, stayed, or modified by a court having jurisdiction to do so under [Article] 2 this
22	[act]; or

1	(C) the respondent was entitled to notice, but notice was not given in
2	accordance with the standards of Section 108, in the proceedings before the court that issued the
3	order for which enforcement is sought; or
4	(2) the child-custody determination for which enforcement is sought was
5	registered and confirmed under Section 304, but has been vacated, stayed, or modified by a court
6	of a State state having jurisdiction to do so under [Article] 2 this [act].
7	SECTION 309. SERVICE OF PETITION AND ORDER. Except as otherwise
8	provided in Section 311, the petition and order must be served, by any method authorized [by the
9	law of this State state], upon respondent and any person who has physical custody of the child.
10	SECTION 310. HEARING AND ORDER.
11	(a) Unless the court issues a temporary emergency order pursuant to Section 204 or 414,
12	upon on a finding that a petitioner is entitled to immediate physical custody of the child, the court
13	shall order that the petitioner may take immediate physical custody of the child unless the
14	respondent establishes that:
15	(1) the child-custody determination has not been registered and confirmed under
16	Section 305 and that:
17	(A) the issuing court did not have jurisdiction under [Article] 2 this [act];
18	(B) the child-custody determination for which enforcement is sought has
19	been vacated, stayed, or modified by a court of a State state having jurisdiction to do so under
20	[Article] 2 this [act]; or
21	(C) the respondent was entitled to notice, but notice was not given in
22	accordance with the standards of Section 108, in the proceedings before the court that issued the
23	order for which enforcement is sought; or

(2) the child-custody determination for which enforcement is sought was registered and confirmed under Section 305 but has been vacated, stayed, or modified by a court of a State state having jurisdiction to do so under [Article] 2 this [act].

- (b) The court shall award the fees, costs, and expenses authorized under Section 312 and may grant additional relief, including a request for the assistance of [law enforcement officials], and set a further hearing to determine whether additional relief is appropriate.
- (c) If a party called to testify refuses to answer on the ground that the testimony may be self-incriminating, the court may draw an adverse inference from the refusal.
- (d) A privilege against disclosure of communications between spouses and a defense of immunity based on the relationship of husband and wife or parent and child may not be invoked in a proceeding under this [article].

SECTION 311. WARRANT TO TAKE PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF CHILD.

- (a) Upon On the filing of a petition seeking enforcement of a child-custody determination the petitioner may file a verified application for the issuance of a warrant to take physical custody of the child if the child is immediately likely to suffer serious physical harm or be removed from this State state.
- (b) If the court, upon the testimony of the petitioner or other witness, finds that the child is imminently likely to suffer serious physical harm or be removed from this State state, it may issue a warrant to take physical custody of the child. The petition must be heard on the next judicial day after the warrant is executed unless that date is impossible. In that event, the court shall hold the hearing on the first judicial day possible. The application for the warrant must include the statements required by Section 308(b).
 - (c) A warrant to take physical custody of a child must:

I	(1) recite the facts upon which a conclusion of imminent serious physical harm or
2	removal from the jurisdiction is based;
3	(2) direct law enforcement officers to take physical custody of the child
4	immediately; and
5	(3) provide for the placement of the child pending final relief.
6	(d) The respondent must be served with the petition, warrant, and order immediately
7	after the child is taken into physical custody.
8	(e) A warrant to take physical custody of a child is enforceable throughout this State
9	state. If the court finds on the basis of the testimony of the petitioner or other witness that a less
10	intrusive remedy is not effective, it may authorize law enforcement officers to enter private
11	property to take physical custody of the child. If required by exigent circumstances of the case,
12	the court may authorize law enforcement officers to make a forcible entry at any hour.
13	(f) The court may impose conditions upon placement of a child to ensure the appearance
14	of the child and the child's custodian.
15	SECTION 312. COSTS, FEES, AND EXPENSES.
16	(a) The court shall award the prevailing party, including a State state necessary and
17	reasonable expenses incurred by or on behalf of the party, including costs, communication
18	expenses, attorney's fees, investigative fees, expenses for witnesses, travel expenses, and child
19	care during the course of the proceedings, unless the party from whom which fees or expenses
20	are sought establishes that the award would be clearly inappropriate.
21	(b) The court may not assess fees, costs, or expenses against a State unless
22	authorized by law other than this [Act] [act].
23	SECTION 313. RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT. A court of this State state

1	shall accord full faith and credit to an order issued by another State state and consistent with this
2	[Act] [act] which enforces a child-custody determination by a court of another State state or
3	unless the order has been vacated, stayed, or modified by a court having jurisdiction to do so
4	under [Article] 2 this [act].
5	SECTION 314. APPEALS. An appeal may be taken from a final order in a proceeding
6	under this [article] in accordance with [expedited appellate procedures in other civil cases].
7	Unless the court enters a temporary emergency order under Section 204 or 414, the enforcing
8	court may not stay an order enforcing a child-custody determination pending appeal.
9	SECTION 315. ROLE OF [PROSECUTOR OR PUBLIC OFFICIAL].
10	(a) In a case arising under this [Act] [act] or involving the Hague Convention on the
11	Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, the [prosecutor or other appropriate public
12	official] may take any lawful action, including resort to a proceeding under this [article] or any
13	other available civil proceeding to locate a child, obtain the return of a child, or enforce a child-
14	custody determination if there is:
15	(1) an existing child-custody determination;
16	(2) a request to do so from a court in a pending child-custody or proceeding;
17	(3) a reasonable belief that a criminal statute has been violated; or
18	(4) a reasonable belief that the child has been wrongfully removed or retained in
19	violation of the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction.
20	(b) A [prosecutor or appropriate public official] acting under this section acts on behalf
21	of the court and may not represent any party.
22	SECTION 316. ROLE OF [LAW ENFORCEMENT]. At the request of a [prosecutor
23	or other appropriate public official] acting under Section 315, a [law enforcement officer] may

1	take any lawful action reasonably necessary to locate a child or a party and assist [a prosecutor or
2	appropriate public official] with responsibilities under Section 315.
3	SECTION 317. COSTS AND EXPENSES. If the respondent is not the prevailing
4	party, the court may assess against the respondent all direct expenses and costs incurred by the
5	[prosecutor or other appropriate public official] and [law enforcement officers] under Section
6	315 or 316.
7	[ARTICLE] 4.
8	PROCEEDINGS UNDER CONVENTION
9	Introductory Comment
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	This Article applies exclusively to cases that fall under the 1996 Hague Convention on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law, Recognition, Enforcement and Co-Operation in Respect of Parental Responsibility and Measures for the Protection of Children. It applies to cases between states of the United States and foreign countries in which the Convention is in force. It also applies to cases between states of the United States and foreign countries in which the convention is not in force to the extent that the convention requires special treatment for such countries. The Article has no application to cases between states of the United States. Under this version of the act, everything that is necessary to decide an article 4 case is in article 4. The only reference back is in the provisions on recognition and enforcement where
19	there is a blanket reference to article 3.
20	PART 1: GENERAL PRINCIPLES
21	SECTION 401. SCOPE.
22	(a) This [article] applies exclusively to proceedings in a court of this state that involve a
23	country where the 1996 Hague Convention on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law, Recognition,
24	Enforcement and Co-operation in Respect of Parental Responsibility and Measures for the
25	Protection of Children is in force between that country and the United States.
26	(b) Except as provided in Sections 414, 415, 420 and 421, this article does not apply to
27	nonconvention countries.

1	(c) If a provision of this [article] is inconsistent with [Articles] 1 through 3, this [article]
2	controls.
3	Comment
4 5 6 7 8 9	The term "proceeding in a court of this state" means that this article will apply to courts and not to measures that are governed by the convention that will have to be determined by administrative agencies and other governmental personnel. The federal implementing legislation will have to address those applications. This section also makes it clear that it applies only to proceedings that are governed by the convention. The language follows the comparable section in UIFSA (2008)
10	SECTION 402. MEASURE OF PROTECTION.
11	(a) A measure of protection may include:
12	(1) the attribution, exercise, termination, delegation, or restriction of parental
13	responsibility;
14	(2) rights of custody, including:
15	(A) rights relating to the care of the person of the child and,
16	(B) determining the place of residence of the child;
17	(3) rights of access or visitaton, including the right to take the child for a limited
18	period to a place other than the habitual residence of the child;
19	(4) guardianship of the child and any similar relationship;
20	(5) the designation and function of a person having charge of the person of the
21	child, or representing or assisting the child;
22	(6) governmental supervision of an individual having charge of the child; and
23	(7) placement of the child in foster care, institutional care, or a similar
24	relationship.
25	(b) A measure of protection may not include:
26	(1) establishment or contest of a parent-child relationship;

1		(2) adoption, including preparatory measures, and the annulment or revocation of
2	an adoption;	
3		(3) the name of a child;
4		(4) emancipation of a child;
5		(5) support or maintenance obligations with regard to a child;
6		(6) trusts or succession;
7		(7) public benefits, including social security;
8		(8) general governmental decisions regarding education or health;
9		(9) measures resulting from an offense committed by a child; [or]
10		(10) decisions on rights of asylum and immigration[; or
11		(11) property of a child].
12		Comment
13	Relate	ed to the Convention: Arts. 3,4.
14 15 16 17 18	matters cover article 3, and using the term	article involves measures of protection taken in relation to one of the subject ed in Article 3 of the Convention. As noted in the Explanatory Report, the list in therefore in subsection (a) of this section, is opened-ended which is indicated by a "may include." Unlike subsection (a), subsection (b) concerning exclusions is a the subjects in subsection (b) are covered by law other than this act.
19 20 21 22 23 24	Convention of same definition. Thus, for examinating just as it would	erms "rights of custody" and "rights of access are taken from the 1980 Hague in the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction. They should be given the on in applying this convention as they are given in applying the 1980 convention. In the application of custody under this convention and under the 1980 convention. See Abbott v. Abbott, 130 S.Ct. 1983 (2010). The icularly important in the application of section 413 and are broad enough to include

Subsection (c) is taken from UIFSA 2008, Section 702 and resolves any possibility of a conflict between earlier section of this Act and this article.

most of the contemporary variations on word choice for custody. Thus parenting time, joint

custody, and managing conservator are all terms used in various states to indicate who is entitled

the child, and, in particular, the right to choose the child's residence it becomes a right of custody

to make decisions concerning the child. If those decisions include rights relating to the care of

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

under this convention.

1	SECTION 403. EFFECT OF MEASURE OF PROTECTION. A measure of
2	protection ordered by a court of this state that had jurisdiction under this article binds a person
3	that has been served in accordance with the law of this state, has been notified in accordance with
4	Section 404 or has submitted to the jurisdiction of the court, and has been given an opportunity to
5	be heard. The measure is conclusive as to all decided issues of law and fact except to the extent
6	the measure is modified.
7 8 9 10 11	Comment In this version of the act all the sections from articles 1-2 that are applicable to an article 4 proceeding are set out in full in article 4. I have made appropriate language changes, i.e. changing "child-custody determination" to "measure of protection" and "court' to "authority" where required. This section is comparable to Section 106.
12	SECTION 404. PRIORITY. If a question of existence or exercise of jurisdiction under
13	this article is raised in a proceeding, the question, upon request of a party, must be given priority
14	on the calendar and handled expeditiously.
15	Comment
16	Comparable to Section 107.
17	SECTION 405. NOTICE TO PERSON OUTSIDE STATE.
18	(a) Notice required for the exercise of jurisdiction by a court of this sate when a person is
19	outside this state may be given in a manner prescribed by the law of this state for service of
20	process or by the law of the convention country in which the service is made. Notice must be
21	given in a manner reasonably calculated to give actual notice but may be by publication if other
22	means are not effective.
23	(b) Proof of service may be made in the manner prescribed by the law of this state or by
24	the law of convention country in which the service is made.

1	(c) Notice is not required for the exercise of jurisdiction with respect to a person who
2	submits to the jurisdiction of the court.
3 4	Comment Comparable to Section 108.
5	SECTION 406. APPEARANCE AND LIMITED IMMUNITY.
6	(a) A party to a proceeding in a court of this state under this article, including a
7	modification proceeding, or a petitioner or respondent in a proceeding to enforce or register a
8	measure of protection is not subject to personal jurisdiction in this state for another proceeding or
9	purpose solely by reason of having participated, or of having been physically present for the
10	purpose of participating, in the proceeding.
11	(b) A person who is subject to personal jurisdiction in this state on a basis other than
12	physical presence is not immune from service of process in this state. A party present in this
13	state who is subject to the jurisdiction of another state or convention country is not immune from
14	service of process allowable under the laws of that state or convention country.
15	(c) The immunity granted by subsection (a) does not extend to civil litigation based on
16	acts unrelated to the participation in a proceeding under this article committed by an individual
17	while present in this state.
18	Comment
19	Comparable to Section 109.
20	SECTION 407. COMMUNICATION BETWEEN COURTS AND AUTHORITIES.
21	(a) For the purposes of this section, "record" means information that is inscribed on a
22	tangible medium or that is stored in an electronic or other medium and is retrievable in
23	perceivable form.
24	(b) A court of this state may communicate with an authority in another convention

1	country concerning a proceeding arising under this article.
2	(c) The court may allow the parties to participate in the communication. If the parties are
3	not able to participate in the communication, they must be given the opportunity to present facts
4	and legal arguments before a decision on jurisdiction is made.
5	(d) Communication between courts and authorities on schedules, calendars, court
6	records, and similar matters may occur without informing the parties. A record need not be made
7	of the communication.
8	(e) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (d), a record must be made of a
9	communication under this section. The parties must be informed promptly of the communication
10	and granted access to the record.
11	Comment
12	Comparable to Section 110.
13	SECTION 408. TAKING TESTIMONY IN A CONVENTION COUNTRY.
14	(a) In addition to other procedures available to a party, a party to a proceeding in a court
15	of this state under this article may offer testimony of witnesses who are located in a convention
16	country, including testimony of the parties and the child, by deposition or other means allowable
17	in this state for testimony taken in another state or foreign country. The court on its own motion

(b) A court of this state may permit an individual residing in another convention country to be deposed or to testify by telephone, audiovisual means, or other electronic means before a designated authority or at another location in that country. A court of this state shall cooperate with authorities of other conventon countries in designating an appropriate location for the

may order that the testimony of a person be taken in a convention country and may prescribe the

manner in which and the terms upon which the testimony is taken.

1	deposition or testimony.
2	(c) Documentary evidence transmitted from a convention country to a court of this state
3	by technological means that do not produce an original writing may not be excluded from
4	evidence on an objection based on the means of transmission.
5	Comment
6	Comparable to Section 111.
7	SECTION 409. COOPERATION BETWEEN COURTS AND AUTHORITIES;
8	PRESERVATION OF RECORDS.
9	(a) In a proceeding in this state, a court of this state may request the appropriate authority
10	of another convention country to:
11	(1) hold an evidentiary hearing;
12	(2) order a person to produce or give evidence pursuant to procedures of that
13	country;
14	(3) order that an evaluation be made with respect to the child involved in a
15	pending proceeding;
16	(4) forward to the court of this state a certified copy of the transcript of the record
17	of the hearing, the evidence otherwise presented, and any evaluation prepared in compliance with
18	the request; and
19	(5) order a party to a measure of protection proceeding or any person having
20	physical custody of the child to appear in the proceeding with or without the child.
21	(b) On request of an authority of another convention country, a court of this state may
22	hold a hearing or enter an order described in subsection (a).
23	(c) Travel and other necessary and reasonable expenses incurred under subsections (a)

1	and (b) may be assessed against the parties according to the law of this state.
2	(d) A court of this state shall preserve the pleadings, orders, decrees, records of hearings,
3	evaluations, and other pertinent records with respect to a measure of protection until the child
4	attains 18 years of age. On appropriate request by an authority or law enforcement official of
5	another convention country, the court shall forward a certified copy of those records.
6	Comment
7	Comparable to Section 112.
8	PART 2: JURISDICTION
9	SECTION 410. HABITUAL RESIDENCE. A court of this state shall consider all
10	relevant factors in determining the habitual residence of a child which may include:
11	(1) whether the child has a home state in the United States;
12	(2) extent of a child's ties to a particular country including the child's social interactions,
13	education, family relationships, peer relationships, and language;
14	(3) the age and maturity of the child;
15	(4) whether the presence of the child in the country is time limited or open ended; and
16	(5) the intent of the persons with parental responsibility for the child in determining the
17	habitual residence of the child;
18	(6) the circumstances under which the child is in the country;
19	Comment
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	In accordance with the decision of the drafting committee, this is not a definition but rather a provision designed to give guidance to a court in making the determination of the habitual residence of the child. As determined by the Drafting Committee, the provision is also child centered, in that it focuses on the child and not on the child's parents. The intention of the child's parents is listed as the last of the factors to be considered. No determination is made as to when it would be appropriate to consider the parents' intent, however, it will obviously be more important with extremely young children.

It should be noted that the 1996 Convention uses the term "habitual residence" in a 1 2 different context than the 1980 Abduction Convention. In the 1980 Convention the 3 determination that a child is habitually resident in the requesting country is necessary in order for 4 the remedy of that Convention to be applicable and is part of the larger inquiry as to whether 5 there has been a wrongful removal or retention of a child. The role of habitual residence in the 1996 Convention is to assess which country's authorities have jurisdiction to take measures of 6 7 protection and whether their decisions should be recognized by other contracting countries. 8 Therefore the precedent that has developed under the 1980 Convention is not necessarily 9 applicable to the determination of habitual residence under this article. 10 SECTION 411. MEASURE OF PROTECTION: JURISDICTION. Except as 11 provided in Section 415, a court of this state has jurisdiction to order or modify a measure of 12 protection only if: 13 (1) the court has jurisdiction under Section 201 and the United States is the habitual 14 residence of the child. 15 (2) the child is present in this state and: 16 (A) the habitual residence of the child cannot be determined; 17 (B) the child is a refugee; or 18 (C) the child is internationally displaced due to disturbances in the country of the 19 habitual residence of the child; or 20 (3) an authority of a convention country with jurisdiction substantially in accord with 21 paragraph (1) or (2) has requested to transfer jurisdiction to the court under Section 414 and the 22 court agreed. 23 Comment 24 Related to Convention: Arts. 5,6,8, and 9. This section relates primarily to articles 5 and 25 6 of the Convention. A state can also have jurisdiction, apart from habitual residence, if the child is present in this state and has no habitual residence. However, the Practical Handbook indicates 26 27 that this determination should be avoided if at all possible. Jurisdiction is also proper if the child is present in the state and is a refugee or is internationally displaced. Finally, this state has 28 29 jurisdiction if the convention country that would otherwise have jurisdiction has decided to

transfer jurisdiction to this state and this state has accepted the transfer.

1	SECTION 412. SIMULTANEOUS PROCEEDINGS.
2	(a) Except as provided in Section 415, a court of this state may not exercise its
3	jurisdiction under Sections 411, 413 or 414 if the court determines that at the time the proceeding
4	commenced, a request for a similar measure of protection was made before an authority of a
5	convention country having jurisdiction and that request is still under consideration, unless the
6	authority declines to exercise its jurisdiction in favor of the court.
7	(b) If a court of this state which has jurisdiction under Sections 411, 413, or 414
8	determines that a proceeding has been commenced later in a convention country having
9	jurisdiction concerning a similar measure of protection, the court may decline jurisdiction.
10	Comment
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Related to the Convention, Art 13. Article 13 provides a lis pendens for situations where there is potentially conflicting jurisdiction. Its use was primarily thought to apply between the country of the child's habitual residence and the country where a divorce between the child's parents is pending. However, it has application to all potential jurisdictional conflicts that might arise under Articles 5-10 of the Convention. Therefore, it would apply in those rare situations when the child's habitual residence changes during the middle of a case. Note that under subsection (a) there is a provision for a court of this state to continue the case if the court that first had jurisdiction declines in favor of this state. This declination of jurisdiction is on the basis of forum non conveniens and does not involve the transfer jurisdiction of Article 8-9 of the Convention. I have added a subsection (b) that authorizes a court of this
21	state to decline jurisdiction in favor of the second to file country.
22	SECTION 413. JURISDICTION IN CASE OF WRONGFUL REMOVAL OR
23	RETENTION OF CHILD.
24	(a) A removal or retention of a child is wrongful if:
25	(1) it is in breach of a right of custody attributed to a person, either jointly or
26	solely, under the law of the country of the child's habitual residence immediately before the
27	removal or retention; and
28	(2) at the time of removal or retention, the right of custody was exercised, either

1	jointly or solely, or would have been exercised but for the removal or retention.
2	(b) In this section, a right of custody may arise by operation of law, a judicial or
3	administrative decision, or an agreement having legal effect under the law of the country of the
4	child's habitual residence immediately before a removal or retention.
5	(c) A court of this state which has jurisdiction under Section 411(1) continues to have
6	jurisdiction after a wrongful removal or retention of a child until the child acquires a new
7	habitual residence, and:
8	(1) each person with a right of custody has acquiesced in the removal or retention:
9	<u>or</u>
10	(2) the child resides in the country of the new habitual residence for at least one
11	year after the time that every person with a right of custody knew or should have known of the
12	whereabouts of the child, no request for the return of the child is pending before an authority of
13	the country of the new habitual residence or in a court of this state, and the child is settled in the
14	new environment.
15	(d) Except as provided in Section 415, a court of this state does not have jurisdiction
16	over a child whose habitual residence in this state is the result of a wrongful removal or retention
17	unless:
18	(1) each person with rights of custody has acquiesced in the removal or retention;
19	(2) the child resides in this state for at least one year after the time that every
20	person with a right of custody knew or should have known of the whereabouts of the child, no
21	request for the return of the child is pending in a court of this state or before an authority of the
22	country of the former habitual residence of the child, and the child is settled in the new
23	environment, or

1 (3) jurisdiction is transferred to the court under Section 414. 2 **Comment** 3 Relation to Convention, Art 7. The definition of wrongful removal or retention in this section does not specifically set out "institution or other body" as the term is used in the 4 Convention, That is because the definition of the term "person" in section 102 includes 5 "institution or other body" and therefore the terms would be redundant in this section. 6 7 The term "rights of custody" is also not defined in this section since it takes the definition 8 that is set out in section 401 on scope. The term "rights of custody" does not include access or visitation or access rights as defined in the same section. This section is designed to prevent 9 jurisdiction from transferring following a wrongful removal or retention as set out in the 1980 10 convention. Therefore, the terms of "rights of custody," exercise of custody," acclamitized', etc 11 will take on the same meaning here that they have in the 1980 convention. 12 13 This section presents both sides of Article 7. It confirms that a court of this state does not 14 lose its jurisdiction after a wrongful abduction unless the requirements of Article 7 are met. It also provides that a court of this state does not obtain jurisdiction if the child's habitual residence 15 16 in this state is the result of a wrongful abduction unless the requirements of the article are met. 17 The reference to a petition for the return of the child pending in the court of this state or 18 the new habitual residence is in line with the interpretation of the Permanent Bureau. See 19 Preliminary Document No. 4, Practical Handbook on the Operation of the 1996 Convention, available at http://www.hcch.net/upload/wop/abduct2011pd04e.pdf. It should be noted that a 20 21 custody determination made by the court of the state from which the child has been wrongfully 22 removed or retained while that state still has jurisdiction under this section must be recognized and enforced under the section on recognition and enforcement. 23 24 **SECTION 414. TRANSFER OF JURISDICTION.** 25 (a) If a court of this state has jurisdiction under Section 411(1) or (2) and determines that 26 an authority in another convention country is in a better position to assess the best interests of the 27 child, the court may: 28 (1) request that the authority assume jurisdiction over all or part of the case; or 29 (2) stay the proceeding to allow the parties to request that the authority exercise 30 jurisdiction.

(b) A court of this state may communicate with the authority in the other convention

1	country under Section 407 concerning a request to transfer jurisdiction under subsection (a).
2	(c) Before determining whether jurisdiction should be transferred under subsection (a), a
3	court of this state shall allow the parties to submit information and shall consider all relevant
4	factors, including:
5	(1) whether domestic violence has occurred and is likely to continue in the future
6	and which convention country could best protect the parties and the child;
7	(2) the length of time the child has resided outside the United States;
8	(3) the distance between the court and the authority in the convention country
9	which would assume jurisdiction;
10	(4) the financial circumstances of the parties;
11	(5) any agreement of the parties concerning which convention country should
12	assume jurisdiction;
13	(6) the nature and location of evidence required to resolve the issues in the case,
14	including testimony of the child;
15	(7) the ability of the authorities of each convention country to present evidence
16	and decide the case expeditiously;
17	(8) the procedures available in each convention country necessary to present
18	evidence;
19	(9) the familiarity of the authorities of each convention country with the facts and
20	issues in the proceeding, and
21	(10) whether a defense to the return of the child was sustained under the 1980
22	Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction.
23	(d) Jurisdiction may be transferred under this section only to an authority in a convention

1	<u>country:</u>
2	(1) of which the child is a national;
3	(2) that has jurisdiction over the divorce or annulment of the parents of the child;
4	<u>or</u>
5	(3) that has a substantial connection to the child.
6	(e) A court of this state may request or invite the parties to request the appropriate
7	authority of the convention country of the habitual residence of a child to transfer jurisdiction to
8	the court if:
9	(1) the child is a national of the United States;
10	(2) a divorce or annulment proceeding concerning the parents of the child is
11	pending in this state; or
12	(3) this state has a substantial connection to the child.
13	(f) A court of this state may communicate under Section 407 with the authority of the
14	convention country of the habitual residence of the child with regard to a request under
15	subsection (e).
16	(g) A court of this state may not assume jurisdiction following a request under subsection
17	(e) until the authority of the convention country of the habitual residence of the child decides to
18	transfer jurisdiction to the court.
19	Comment
20	Relation to Convention, Arts 8,9.
21 22 23 24 25	Subsection (c) is material that is contained in Article 207. This section should provide guidance to a court in determining whether it is appropriate to transfer jurisdiction in the same way that 207 provides guidance in terms of whether a court should find that it is an inconvenient forum. The factors of this section can also be used to determine whether another convention country has a significant connection to the child. The convention does not provide a procedure

for determining when a court decides that another state should transfer or receive jurisdiction. Therefore it would not be inconsistent with the Convention to add such a provision.

That part of article 8 of the Convention that requires a court to determine whether it is in the best interests of the child for it to receive jurisdiction is covered in section 411(3).

The transfer of the case under this Section does not effect a permanent transfer of jurisdiction. If the country of the habitual residence of the child transfers the case to another country, modification procedures would take place in the country of the child's habitual residence since there is no continuing jurisdiction under the convention.

SECTION 415. TEMPORARY URGENT JURISDICTION.

- (a) In an urgent situation a court of this state has temporary jurisdiction to order a measure of protection for a child present in this state, including when the child has been abandoned or if it is necessary to protect the child because the child, or a sibling or parent of the child, is subjected to or threatened with mistreatment or abuse.
- (b) A measure of protection ordered under subsection (a) regarding a child habitually resident in a convention country expires when an authority of the country with jurisdiction over the child orders a measure of protection required by the situation.
- (c) An measure of protection ordered under subsection (a) regarding a child habitually resident in a nonconvention country expires when the court recognizes a measure ordered by the authority of the nonconvention country.

20 Comment

1 2

 Related to Convention: Art. 11. This section tracks article 11 of the Convention. The scope of this section is wider than the scope of section 204. The term "urgent" covers more situations than the comparable term "emergency" as found in section 204. According to the Practical Handbook this means that this section can be used to fill in the gaps of the 1980 Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction. Thus if a court of a country that is requested to return the child under the 1980 convention might decide to return the child only if the child is protected from the left behind parent on return. Or, it might decide to return the child only if the left behind parent provides certain undertaking with regard to financial commitments to child and the other parent. These orders are described as "urgent" and since they are properly taken under this section are entitled to enforcement in the country of the habitual residence of the child until modified. See the Practical Handbook, ¶6.11. Although

United States courts have authority to issue provisional orders under the International Child Abductions Remedies Act,42 U.S.C. §11604, there is no basis for enforcement of these orders abroad except by this convention.

The expiration of the emergency order is as set out in Article 11. If the child's habitual residence is in a convention country the order expires when the convention country takes whatever measure is required by the situation. If the child's habitual residence is in a nonconvention country the emergency order expires when it is recognized by a court of this state. I think we need not concern ourselves with the language of Article 10(C) of "each contracting state" and "in the contracting state in question" since we are writing for this state and no other state. The implication of the language of the convention seems to require that the noncontracting state actually take a measure of protection since there must be something to be recognized in this state in order for the temporary order to come to an end.

SECTION 416. NOTICE; OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD; JOINDER.

- (a) Before a measure of protection is ordered by a court of this state under this article, notice and an opportunity to be heard in accordance with the standards of Section 405 must be given to all persons entitled to notice under the law of this state as in child-custody proceedings between residents of this state, any parent whose parental rights have not been previously terminated, and any person having physical custody of the child.
- (b) The obligation to join a party and the right to intervene as a party in a proceeding under this article are governed by the law of this state as in child-custody proceedings between residents of this state.

22 Comment

Comparable to 205

1 2

SECTION 417. INFORMATION TO BE SUBMITTED TO COURT.

(a) [Subject to [local law providing for the confidentiality of procedures, addresses, and other identifying information], in] [In] a measure of protection proceeding, each party, in its first pleading or in an attached affidavit, shall give information, if reasonably ascertainable, under oath as to the child's present address or whereabouts, the country where the child habitually

1	resides, the places where the child has lived during the last five years, and the name and present
2	address of each person having physical custody with whom the child has lived during that period.
3	The pleading or affidavit must state whether the party:
4	(1) has participated, as a party or witness or in any other capacity, in any other
5	proceeding concerning with the child that is within the scope of this article, and, if so, identify
6	the court, the case number, and the date of the proceeding, if any;
7	(2) knows of any proceeding that could affect the current proceeding, including
8	proceedings for enforcement and proceedings relating to domestic violence, protective orders,
9	termination of parental rights, and adoptions and, if so, identify the court, the case number, and
10	the nature of the proceeding; and
11	(3) knows the names and addresses of any person not a party to the proceeding
12	having physical custody of the child or claims rights of legal custody or physical custody of, or
13	visitation with, the child and, if so, the names and addresses of those persons.
14	(b) If the information required by subsection (a) is not furnished, the court, on motion of
15	a party or its own motion, may stay the proceeding until the information is furnished.
16	(c) If the declaration as to any of the items described in subsection (a) is in the
17	affirmative, the declarant shall give additional information under oath as required by the court.
18	The court may examine the parties under oath as to details of the information furnished and other
19	matters pertinent to the court's jurisdiction and the disposition of the case.
20	(d) Each party has a continuing duty to inform the court of any proceeding in this or any
21	other state or foreign country that could affect the current proceeding.
22	[(e) If a party alleges in an affidavit or a pleading under oath that the health, safety, or
23	liberty of a party or child would be jeopardized by disclosure of identifying information, the

information	on must be sealed and may not be disclosed to the other party or the public unless the
court orde	ers the disclosure to be made after a hearing in which the court takes into consideration
the health	, safety, or liberty of the party or child and determines that the disclosure is in the
interest of	f justice.]
SI	ECTION 418. APPEARANCE OF PARTIES AND CHILD.
<u>(a</u>)) In a measure of protection proceeding in this state, the court may order a party to the
proceedin	g that is in this state to appear before the court in person with or without the child. The
court may	order any person that is in this state and who has physical custody or control of the
child to a	ppear in person with the child.
<u>(b</u>) If a party to a measure of protection proceeding whose presence is desired by the
court is or	utside this state, the court may order that a notice given pursuant to Section 405 include
a statemen	nt directing the party to appear in person with or without the child and informing the
party that	failure to appear may result in a decision adverse to the party.
<u>(c)</u>) The court may enter any orders necessary to ensure the safety of the child and of any
person or	dered to appear under this section.
<u>(d</u>) If a party to a measure of protection proceeding who is outside this state is directed to
appear un	der subsection (b) or desires to appear personally before the court with or without the
child, the	court may require another party to pay reasonable and necessary travel and other
expenses	of the party so appearing and of the child.
	Comment
Si	milar to Section 210
SI	ECTION 419. DURATION OF MEASURE. Except as provided in Section 415 the
following	rules annly

1	(1) A measure of protection ordered by a court of this state with jurisdiction under
2	Section 411, 413, or 414 remains in force even if a change of circumstances has eliminated the
3	jurisdictional basis of the measure, until terminated, modified, or replaced by a measure of a
4	court of this state or an authority of a convention country with jurisdiction.
5	(2) A measure of protection ordered by an authority of a convention country with
6	jurisdiction remains in force even if a change of circumstances has eliminated the jurisdictional
7	basis of the measure, until terminated, modified, or replaced by a measure of a court of this state
8	or an authority with jurisdiction.
9	Comment
10	Related to Convention, Art 14, 23(2)(e). This is a familiar principle and is found in both
11	UIFSA and the UCCJEA. An order made with appropriate jurisdiction continues to be
12	enforceable even after the jurisdictional basis of the order disappears. The order is enforceable
13	until modified by a court with appropriate jurisdiction under this article, or until a situation arises
14	whereby another order can be recognized under the recognition sections. This would occur when
15	the original order is modified by either a court with jurisdiction under this article or by an
16	authority of the nonconvention state of the child's habitual residence.
17	PART 3: CONFLICT OF LAWS
18	SECTION 420. CONFLICT OF LAWS.
19	(a) In this section, "law" means the law in a state or foreign country other than its
20	conflict of laws rules.
21	(b) Except as otherwise provided in this section, in a proceeding under this [article], a
22	court of this state shall apply the law of this state.
23	(c) To protect a child, a court of this state may apply or take into consideration the law of

1	another country which has a substantial relationship to the child.
2	(d) If this state becomes the habitual residence of a child, the law of this state governs the
3	application in this state of a measure of protection taken in a convention country of the former
4	habitual residence of child.
5	(e) The law of the habitual residence of a child governs the exercise of parental
6	responsibility.
7	[(f) A court of this state may refuse to apply the law designated by this section only if the
8	court finds, after taking into account the best interests of the child, the law is manifestly contrary
9	to the public policy of this state.]
10	[(f) A court of this state may refuse to apply the law designated by this section if the
11	court find that the designated law violates fundamental principles of human rights.]
12	Comment
13 14 15 16 17 18	Related to Convention, Arts 15, 17, 20, 21(1), 22. These articles introduce into United States cases arising under the convention a new element: the question of the applicable law. In the United States, as well as most other common law countries, allocation of competency between jurisdictions in child custody and visitation cases is handled by rules of jurisdiction and recognition of judgments. Choice of law is not used. A court that has jurisdiction over a custody determination applies its own substantive law of custody, visitation, dependency, neglect, etc.
19 20 21 22	The normal rule, contained in subparagraph (b), is that a court that has jurisdiction under the convention will apply its own law, which, given that the jurisdiction is very likely to be the place of the child's habitual residence, will result in the application of the law of the child's habitual residence.
23 24 25 26 27 28	However, the court in order to protect the child may, in exceptional cases, apply the law of another country which has a substantial connection to the fact pattern. This provision is likely to be little used in the United States. Since there will be no jurisdiction for the divorce court in the United States, the only concurrent jurisdiction will be urgency jurisdiction. It is extremely unlikely that a court asked to decide a case in an emergency will have time to consider the law of

residence, the child, over the course of time, has had more connection with another country and

therefore, although unlikely, the court of the child's new habitual residence may wish to apply

rare, where even though a court has jurisdiction as the place of the child's new habitual

30

31

the law of the child's previous habitual residence.

Subsection (d) draws a distinction between the existence of the measures and the method of application of the measure in a particular country when the child's habitual residence changes as required by Article 15(3). In other words, the distinction is the equivalent of the distinction between the law governing the validity of a contract and the performance of a contract. The substantive law governing, for example, visitation, is that of the forum. However, the conditions for carrying out the visitation arrangements are that of the child's new habitual residence. This is particularly apt, according to the explanatory report in those situations where the original determination was made by the child's habitual residence and then child's habitual residence changes. The explanatory report acknowledges that there is not a clear line between the establishment of a measure and the means of carrying out the measure and suggests that the line will have to be drawn on a case-by-case basis.

Subsection (e) distinguishes between the existence of parental responsibility and the exercise of parental responsibility. The applicable law governing the exercise of parental responsibility is that of the habitual residence of the child.

SECTION 421. CONFLICT OF LAWS RULES REGARDING PARENTAL

RESPONSIBILITY.

- (a) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (b), in this section, "law" means the law in force in a state or foreign country other than its conflict of laws rules.
- (b) If the law made applicable by this section is the law of a nonconvention country and if the conflict of laws rules of the nonconvention country would apply the law of another nonconvention country, the law of the other nonconvention country is applicable. If the other nonconvention country would not apply its own law, the law applicable is as provided in subsection (c).
- (c) In a proceeding under this [article], the following rules apply:
 - (1) Attribution or extinction of parental responsibility of a child by operation of law, without the intervention of an authority, is governed by the law of the country of the habitual residence of the child.
 - (2) Attribution or extinction of parental responsibility of a child by agreement or a

1	unilateral act, without the intervention of an authority, is governed by the law of the country of
2	the habitual residence of the child at the time the agreement or unilateral act takes effect.
3	(3) Attribution of parental responsibility of a child under the law of the country of
4	the habitual residence of the child continues even if the child acquires a new habitual residence.
5	(4) If a child acquires a new habitual residence, the law of the new habitual
6	residence determines the attribution of parental responsibility of the child by operation of law to
7	an individual who at the time of the acquisition of the new habitual residence did not have
8	parental responsibility.
9	(d) Parental responsibility established under subsection (d) may be terminated or
10	modified by a measure of protection ordered in accordance with this [article].
11	[(e) A court of this state may refuse to apply the law designated by this section only if the
12	court finds, after taking into account the best interests of the child, the law is manifestly contrary
13	to the public policy of this state.]
14	[(e) A court of this state may refuse to apply the law designated by this section if the
15	court find that the designated law violates fundamental principles of human rights.]
16	Comment
17 18 19 20 21 22	Related to convention, Arts 16, 18, 21(2), 22. The specific rules referred to in this section are for situations where a country may have rules which provide for rights of custody, or parental responsibility, by operation of law, unilateral act, or agreement. Unlike anything else in the convention, the rules do not concern decisions, but rather relationships created by local rules of law. The convention provides that these issues be determined by the law of the habitual residence of the child.
23 24 25	Subsection (c)(3) provides that the parental responsibility that comes about by operation of law, agreement or unilateral act continues even if the habitual residence of the child changes.
26 27 28 29	Subsection (c)(4) deals with the reverse situation. It provides that if parents who do not have parental responsibility under the law of the child's original habitual residence move to a state where parental responsibility by operation of law is applicable, the law of the new habitual residence applies.

Subsection (d) restates Article 18 that the parental responsibility established by this 1 2 section may be modified by a measure under this article. 3 Subsection (b) deals with the renvoi problem, i.e. whether the reference to the law of a 4 particular State is to that State's local law or whether the reference includes the conflict of law rules of the referred to State. Article 21 (2) contains a exception to the normal rule of referring 5 6 only to local law for fact patterns that fall under Article 16. If the application of that article 7 designates the law of a nonconvention country and if the choice-of-law rules of that state would 8 dictate applying the law of another nonconvention country then the law of the second 9 nonconvention country applies. If the second nonconvention country would not apply its own law then the convention requires that the applicable law be that set forth in the section. 10 11 PART 4: RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT 12 SECTION 422. DUTY TO RECOGNIZE AND ENFORCE MEASURE OF 13 PROTECTION ORDERED IN A CONVENTION COUNTRY. Except as provided in 14 subsection (3), the following rules apply: 15 (1) A court of this state shall recognize and enforce a measure of protection ordered by 16 an authority in convention country if: 17 (A) the convention country exercised jurisdiction in substantial conformity with 18 this [article] or the measure of protection was ordered under factual circumstances meeting the 19 jurisdictional standards of this [article]; and (B) the measure has not been modified in accordance with this [article]. 20 21 (2) Even if a child is not habitually resident in the convention country, the recognition 22 required by subsection (1) applies to a measure of protection ordered by an authority in a 23 convention country that had jurisdiction over the divorce or annulment of the marriage of the 24 parents of the child if: 25 (A) the law of the convention country so provides; 26 (B) one of the parents was habitually resident in the country; 27 (C) at least one of the parents had parental responsibility of the child at the time

1	the proceedings for divorce or annument was commenced; and
2	(D) the jurisdiction of the authority that decided the divorce or annulment was
3	agreed to by the parents or any other person with parental responsibility.
4	(3) A court of this state [shall] [may] not recognize a measure of protection issued by
5	another convention country if:
6	(A) the convention country was not the habitual residence of the child and did not
7	otherwise have jurisdiction under Sections 411(2)(b), 411(2)(c), 413, 414, or 415;
8	(B) except in a case of urgency, the issuing authority did not allow the respondent
9	an opportunity to be heard;
10	(C) the measure is incompatible with a later measure taken by a convention
11	county with jurisdiction or by the nonconvention country of the child's habitual residence;
12	[(D) the measure of protection law of the convention country violates fundamental
13	principles of human rights.]
14	[(D) the court finds, after taking into account the best interests of the child, the
15	measure is manifestly contrary to the public policy of this state.]
16	[D the court finds, after taking into account the best interest of the child, that
17	recognition and enforcement of the measure is manifestly incompatible with public policy,
18	including the failure of the of the issuing authority or court to observe minimum standards of due
19	process, which include notice and an opportunity to be heard.]
20	(E) except in a case of urgency, the issuing authority denied the child the right to
21	be heard and that denial is manifestly contrary to the public policy of this state; or
22	(F) the child has been placed in a foster home or institution in this state without
23	consultation by the authority which issued the measure.

1 Comment

Related to the Convention, Art. 10, 23(1). This section sets out in subsection (a) the basic rule of recognition. It requires recognition of child custody determinations made in another contracting country if the measure was decided in accordance with the jurisdictional provisions of this article. The operative language from Section 303 has been slightly rewritten but the duty to recognize is not lessened in this article.

Subsection (b) is a special case. The convention allows an authority having jurisdiction of the parent's divorce or annulment to also exercise jurisdiction over the child so long as one of the parents is habitually resident in the country, one parent has parental responsibility and the parties, as well as anyone else with parental responsibility, agree. This jurisdictional basis is not required under the convention and will not be a part of United States law. However, the convention does require recognition of custody determinations made in accordance with its jurisdictional standard. Therefore even though the United States does not have this jurisdictional basis to determine custody, the convention does require recognition of measures taken by the country that has jurisdiction over the divorce or annulment of the parents of the child.

The jurisdiction authorized under Article 10 for the authority having jurisdiction of the divorce or annulment ceases when the divorce or annulment proceedings end. There is no continuing jurisdiction under the convention. Therefore proceeding to modify the determination made by the divorce authority are governed by Section 411.

The convention requires recognition as a matter of law without the intervention of a court or other tribunal. Recognition "by operation of law" means that it is not necessary to commence proceedings for the measure to be recognized in the requested Convention state and for it to produce its effects there. An example set out by the Permanent Bureau in the Practical Handbook, and slightly modified, is as follows:

A family are habitually resident in Contracting State A. Following the breakdown of the parents' relationship, the court in Contracting State A, with the agreement of the father, grants the mother sole custody of the child. A year later, the mother lawfully moves with the child to Contracting State B. She wishes to enroll the children in school. Her sole custody of the child which will allow enrollment in school will be recognized by operation of law in Contracting State B without her taking any further action. She will not have to apply to the judicial or administrative authorities in Contracting State B for recognition of the custody order.

I have eliminated the language "by operation of law." The example raised above does not fall within the ambit of court decisions. Since this Act is directed to courts, it seems to follow that it will deal with cases where recognition may be contested. Therefore the operation of law language would not apply and the registration procedure is as set out in Article 3.

1	SECTION 423. REGISTRATION, RECOGNITION, AND ENFORCEMENT OF
2	CONVENTION MEASURE.
3	(a) A measure of protection may be registered using the procedure of Section 305.
4	(b) A measure of protection may be recognized and enforced using the procedure of
5	Sections 308 through Section 312.
6	(c) Registration and recognition of the measure of protection may be denied under
7	Section 422(3).
8	(d) A court of this state is bound by the findings of fact on which the authority of the
9	convention country based its jurisdiction.
10	(e) A court of this state may use any remedy normally available under law of this state to
11	enforce a measure of protection made by an authority of a convention country. The remedies
12	provided in this [act] are cumulative and do not affect the availability of other remedies to
13	enforce a measure.
14	Comment
15	Related to Convention: Arts. 23, 24, 25, 26, 28.
16 17 18 19	It is possible that the entire registration and enforcement process could be set out section by section in this draft. That was done under one of the earlier drafts. However, this article has already become somewhat over long. And it seems easier to just incorporate the remainder of article 3 by reference as opposed to having to redraft them.
20	PART 5: JUDICIAL COOPERATION
21	SECTION 424. COOPERATION WITH ANOTHER CONVENTION COUNTRY.
22	Before placing a child in foster care, institutional care, or a similar situation in a convention
23	country, a court of this state shall:
24	(1) consult with the appropriate authority in the country; and
25	(2) obtain consent to the placement by the appropriate authority in the country.

1	Related to Convention: Art. 33
2	SECTION 425. SUITABILITY TO EXERCISE VISITATION. A parent who is a
3	party to a case in this state who is seeking to obtain or maintain visitation and has asked an
4	authority of the convention country that is the residence of the parent to make a finding on the
5	suitability of the parent to exercise visitation, may request a court to stay the case pending receip
6	of information regarding suitability. The court shall consider the information in making a
7	decision on visitation.
8	Comment
9	Related to the Convention, Art 35.
10	[ARTICLE] 4 <u>5.</u>
11	MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS
12	SECTION 401501. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION.
13	In applying and construing this uniform act, consideration must be given to the need to
14	promote uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.
15	SECTION 502. RELATION TO ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES IN GLOBAL
16	AND NATIONAL COMMERCE ACT. This [act] modifies, limits, and supersedes the federal
17	Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 7001, et seq.,
18	but does not modify, limit, or supersede Section 101(c) of that act,15 U.S.C. Section 7001(c), or
19	authorize electronic delivery of any of the notices described in Section 103(b) of that act, 15
20	<u>U.S.C. Section 7003(b).</u>
21	SECTION 405503. TRANSITIONAL PROVISION. A motion or other request for
22	relief made in a child-custody proceeding or to enforce a child-custody determination which was
23	commenced before the effective date of this [act] is governed by the law in effect at the time the

10	SECTION 403505. EFFECTIVE DATE. This [Act] takes effect
9	severability.
8	statute or a decision by the highest court of this state stating a general rule of
7	Legislative Note: Include this section only if this state lacks a general severability
6	severable.]
5	the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this [act] are
4	affect other provisions or applications of this [act] which can be given effect without
3	application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not
2	[SECTION 402504. SEVERABILITY. If any provision of this [act] or its
1	motion or other request was made.