1 ECONOMIC RIGHTS OF UNMARRIED COHABITANTS ACT 2 ARTICLE 2. EQUITABLE DIVISION OF COHABITANTS' PROPERTY. 3 **SECTION 2-101. DEFINITIONS.** For purposes of this Article: 4 (a) "Cohabitant" means each of two individuals who are not married to each other and 5 who, while functioning as an economic, social, and domestic unit, live together as a couple in an 6 intimate, committed relationship and have done so for a substantially continuous period of at 7 least [three] years commencing after each has reached the age of majority. 8 *Note to Committee:* 9 10 This definition limits the availability of equitable division to those persons specified. 11 12 Consider whether the definition, which is drawn from earlier drafts, should be modified 13 or expanded. For instance, "share a life together as a couple" (drawn from the ALI) could 14 replace "live together as a couple in an intimate, committed relationship." We have included a slightly modified list of the ALI factors used to determine whether persons share a life together 15 16 as a couple in Appendix A for reference. 17 18 *Note that we included a term of years. We did this not so much as a question of policy* 19 but because we thought it would assist with enactability if we could say that individuals had to 20 be in a relationship for a certain period of time in order to bring a claim. The specified term of 21 years begins to run only after each cohabitant has reached the age of majority. The draft does 22 not address whether the court could look back to the period of cohabitation while a cohabitant 23 was a minor: should that period be included within the cohabitation period? 24 25 Earlier drafts precluded a married cohabitant from bringing a claim under this article. 26 Although precluding a claim would serve to punish an adulterous cohabitant, if the cohabitants 27 have lived together for three years and otherwise qualify to bring a claim under this article, it 28 seems inequitable to deprive the married cohabitant of bringing a claim. Indeed, if a married 29 cohabitant cannot bring a claim, that denies the spouse of that cohabitant the benefits of the 30 cohabiting relationship. 31 32 (b) "Property" means anything that may be the subject of ownership, whether real or 33 personal, tangible or intangible, legal or equitable, or any interest therein. The term [[does] or [does not]] include changes in the value of property acquired prior to the cohabitation[if the 34 35 changes are due to the activity of either cohabitant].

1 Note to Committee: 2 3 The first sentence of subsection (b) is identical to the definition of property that we have 4 been using. The second sentence is included only for purposes of this article to ensure that a 5 state considers whether to include the passive or active appreciation of what many states would 6 consider to be separate property if the couples had been married. 7 8 SECTION 2-102. EQUITABLE DIVISION OF PROPERTY. 9 (a) Except as otherwise provided in an agreement in a record between cohabitants, a 10 cohabitant may claim and a court may order equitable division of any property acquired and 11 liabilities incurred as a result of the efforts of either cohabitant during their cohabitation, without regard to a cohabitant's legal title to the property or responsibility for the liability. Property 12 13 acquired by a cohabitant by inheritance or gift shall not be subject to division under this Article 14 unless gifted by the other cohabitant or covered by an agreement in a record. Note to Committee: 15 16 17 The last sentence excludes from division property acquired by gift or inheritance. Is this 18 the correct policy choice? Is it proper to limit the exclusion to gifts received from third parties? 19 20 (b) In deciding how to effectuate an equitable division under subsection (a), the court 21 shall consider the following: 22 (1) the duration and continuity of the cohabitation; 23 (2) each cohabitant's provision of housing, food, clothing, health care, and other 24 daily living expenses of the household, and performance of domestic services; 25 (3) evidence of the cohabitants' intent to share with one another property acquired 26 or liabilities incurred during the cohabitation; (4) whether the property and liabilities being considered for division are subject to 27 28 the rights and interests of a third party, including a cohabitant's spouse or children;

(5) whether a cohabitant has wasted property or transferred property to a third

29

party in an effort to defeat a right of the other cohabitant; and
(6) other factors the court considers relevant.
Note to Committee:
Are there other factors that might be relevant to division that should be included? For example: the circumstances and factors that contributed to the break-up of the relationship? The age, stage of life, or physical or mental condition of either party? The tax consequences to/for either party? Should legal title be a factor? One possibility is moving down the language from subsection (a) about property acquired by gift or inheritance so that it becomes a factor rather than being treated as an absolute bar to the division of such property. (c) The court may not presume that any particular percentage division of property or
liabilities is equitable, but the rights and remedies under this Article granted to a cohabitant may
not be greater than the rights and remedies the cohabitant would have received on divorce,
dissolution, or death, if the cohabitants had married on the date the cohabitation began and
remained married until a cohabitant died or a cohabitant filed a claim under this Article.
(d) An incestuous relationship cannot be the basis of a cohabitant's claim under this
Article.
(e) A claim under this Article shall be brought in a [[court of general jurisdiction] or
[family law court]].
Note to Committee:
Should we specify or at least recommend the appropriate court? Does it matter whether the claim for an equitable division is an intervivos or probate claim?
SECTION 2-103. UNENFORCEABLE TERMS OF AN AGREEMENT
BETWEEN COHABITANTS.
(a) A court may refuse to enforce an agreement between cohabitants affecting their rights
and obligations under Section 2-102, if, in the context of the agreement taken as a whole[:][,]
[(1)] the term was unconscionable at the time the agreement was made [; or

1	(2) enforcement of the term would result in substantial economic hardship for a
2	cohabitant because of a material change in circumstances arising after the agreement was made].
3	(b) A term in an agreement between cohabitants affecting the rights and obligations under
4	this Article is not enforceable to the extent that it:
5	(1) operates to limit or restrict a remedy available for a cohabitant who, during the
6	relationship, was a victim of domestic violence, sexual assault, or stalking under law of this state
7	other than this [act] as a result of the actions of the other cohabitant; or
8	(2) adversely affects a child's right to support.
9	(c) Questions of unconscionability [or substantial economic hardship] under subsection
10	(a) shall be decided by a court as a matter of law.
11 12	Note to Committee:
13 14 15 16 17	This section tracks the protections accorded to premarital and marital agreements. Should cohabitation agreements be subject to those additional protections as well as to additional requirements with respect to their validity? Could we delete this section and defer to state law to address all of the issues covered?
18 19	Other agreements between cohabitants and between other individuals are covered by state law other than this act.
20 21	SECTION 2-104. LIMITATIONS ON RIGHTS AND REMEDIES. A division of
22	property or liabilities under this Article shall not impair the rights of a good faith purchaser from
23	or secured creditor of, a cohabitant.
24	Note to Committee:
25 26 27 28	The rights of a spouse (and child) are one factor to be considered in the factors for effectuating a division under Section 2-102(b) and are not subject to the same protections as a good faith purchaser or secured creditor. Is that appropriate?

1	Appendix A
2	To make a determination whether the individuals qualify as cohabitants under Section 2-
3	101(a), the following factors, drawn from the American Law Institute's Principles of Family
4	Dissolution, may be relevant:
5	(1) the oral or written statements or promises made to one another, or representations
6	jointly made to third parties, regarding the individuals' relationship;
7	(2) the extent to which the individuals intermingled their finances;
8	(3) the extent to which their relationship fostered the individuals' economic
9	interdependence, or the economic dependence of one individual upon the other;
10	(4) the extent to which the individuals engaged in conduct and assumed specialized or
11	collaborative roles in furtherance of their life together;
12	(5) the extent to which the relationship wrought change in the life of either or both
13	individuals;
14	(6) the extent to which the individuals acknowledged responsibilities to each other, as by
15	naming the other the beneficiary of life insurance or of a testamentary instrument, or as eligible
16	to receive benefits under an employee-benefit plan;
17	(7) the extent to which the individuals' relationship was treated by the parties as
18	qualitatively distinct from the relationship either individual had with any other individual;
19	(8) the emotional or physical intimacy of the individual relationship;
20	(9) the individuals' community reputation as a couple;
21	(10) the individuals' participation in a commitment ceremony or registration as a
22	domestic partnership; and
23	(11) the parties' procreation of, adoption of, or joint assumption of parental functions,
24	toward a child.
25	
26	See American Law Institute, Principles of the Law of Family Dissolution § 6.03
27	(2002).