## DRAFT

## FOR DISCUSSION ONLY

## CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT

# NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

MEETING IN ITS ONE-HUNDRED-AND-TWENTY-FIFTH YEAR STOWE, VERMONT JULY 8 - JULY 14, 2016

## CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT

Copyright © 2016 By NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

The ideas and conclusions set forth in this draft, including the proposed statutory language and any comments or reporter's notes, have not been passed upon by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws or the Drafting Committee. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Conference and its Commissioners and the Drafting Committee and its Members and Reporter. Proposed statutory language may not be used to ascertain the intent or meaning of any promulgated final statutory proposal.

## DRAFTING COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT

The Committee appointed by and representing the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in preparing this Act consists of the following individuals:

ROBERT J. TENNESSEN, 2522 Thomas Ave. S., Minneapolis, MN 55405, Chair

W. MICHAEL DUNN, 62 Ridge Rd. Concord, NH 03301

MARK F. GLASER, 54 State St., 6th Floor, Albany, NY 12207

ERIC HOUGLAND, Texas Legislative Council, P.O. Box 12128, Austin, TX 78711-2128

JAMES C. KENNEDY, Massachusetts House of Representatives, State House, Room 139, Boston, MA 02133

THEODORE C. KRAMER, 42 Park Pl., Brattleboro, VT 05301

JOHN J. MCAVOY, 3110 Brandywine St. NW, Washington, DC 20008

LOUISE M. NADEAU, Legislative Commissioners' Office, Connecticut General Assembly, Legislative Office Bldg., Room 5500, Hartford, CT 06106-1591

ANNE H. REIGLE, Court of Common Pleas, Kent County Courthouse, 38 The Green, Dover, DE 19901-3602

JACOB T. RODENBIKER, McKenzie County State's Attorney, 201 5th St. NW, Suite 550, Watford City, ND 58854

MICHAEL S. SCHWOYER, Pennsylvania House of Representatives, Room 423, Main Capitol Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120

BRANDON C. SHAFFER, 1154 Twin Peaks Cir., Longmont, CO 80503

SAMUEL A. THUMMA, Arizona Court of Appeals, State Courts Bldg., 1501 W. Washington St., Phoenix, AZ 85007

RUSSELL G. WALKER, P.O. Box 2422, Jamestown, NC 27282

STEVEN L. CHANENSON, Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law, 299 N. Spring Mill Rd., Villanova, PA 19085, *Reporter* 

JORDAN M. HYATT, Drexel University, Department of Criminology & Justice Studies, 3141 Chestnut St., Philadelphia, PA 19104, *Associate Reporter* 

#### **EX OFFICIO**

RICHARD T. CASSIDY, 100 Main St., P.O. Box 1124, Burlington, VT 05402, *President* H. LANE KNEEDLER, Office of the Attorney General of Virginia, 202 N. 9th St., Richmond, VA 23219, *Division Chair* 

## AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ADVISORS

STEVE SALTZBURG, George Washington University Law School, 2000 H St. NW, Washington, DC 20052-0026, *ABA Advisor* 

MICHAEL AISENBERG, 7515 Colshire Dr., MS T320, McLean, VA 22102-7538, ABA Section Advisor

#### **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

LIZA KARSAI, 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010, Chicago, IL 60602, Executive Director

## Copies of this Act may be obtained from:

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010 Chicago, Illinois 60602 312/450-6600 www.uniformlaws.org

## CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE	
SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS	1
SECTION 3. APPLICABILITY	6
SECTION 4. SCOPE	6
SECTION 5. DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE AGENC	Y FOR
CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD INFORMATION	7
SECTION 6. DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF CENTRAL REPOSITORY	12
SECTION 7. MISTAKEN IDENTITY PREVENTION REGISTRY	18
SECTION 8. SECURITY REQUIREMENTS FOR CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE AGE	NCIES
AND CENTRAL REPOSITORY.	
SECTION 9. SUBJECT'S RIGHT OF CORRECTION	21
SECTION 10. MANDATORY INDEPENDENT ANNUAL AUDIT	24
SECTION 11. SANCTIONS AND REMEDIES	26
SECTION 12. DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF [RESPONSIBLE AGENCY/	
INDIVIDUAL].	
SECTION 13. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION	29
SECTION 14. REPEALS; CONFORMING AMENDMENTS	29
SECTION 15. EFFECTIVE DATE	29

1	CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT
2	SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. This [act] may be cited as the Criminal Records
3	Accuracy Act.
4	<b>SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS.</b> In this [act]:
5	(1) "Administration of criminal justice" means: detection, apprehension, detention,
6	pretrial release, post-trial release, prosecution, adjudication, correctional supervision, or
7	rehabilitation of an accused person or criminal offender. The term includes criminal
8	identification activities and the collection, storage, and dissemination of criminal history record
9	information.
10	(2) "Audit" means the process of reviewing compliance with state and federal law related
11	to the accuracy, security measures, and privacy of criminal history record information.
12	(3) "Biometric identifying information" means unique attributes of an individual,
13	including fingerprints, used for identification.
14	(4) "Central repository" means the single, centralized entity for collection, compilation,
15	storage, maintenance, and dissemination of criminal history record information operated by the
16	[office, department, or State Police].
17	(5) "Contributing justice agency" means a court, political subdivision or agent of a
18	political subdivision, or governing entity of this state authorized to engage in the administration
19	of criminal justice. The term does not include the central repository. [The [state Supreme Court],
20	or any judicial entity authorized to act on its behalf, may remove the courts of this state from this
21	definition to the extent constitutionally required.]
22	(6) "Criminal history record information" means information collected by a contributing
23	justice agency or the central repository consisting of identifiable descriptions of a subject,

including biometric identifying information, and notations of reportable events. The term does
 not include intelligence information or investigative information.

- (7) "Dissemination" means oral, written, or electronic transmission or other disclosure of criminal history record information to a person other than the central repository or the contributing justice agency that maintains the information.
- (8) "Dissemination log" means a listing of every request for, and dissemination of, criminal history record information, indicating the information requested, information disseminated, the person making the request and their associated address, the person effectuating the dissemination, the date of the request and of the dissemination, and the purpose for which the information was requested or disseminated. The dissemination log is separate and distinct from the criminal history record information, intelligence information, or investigative information itself.
- (9) "Intelligence information" means information about the habits, practices, characteristics, possessions, associations, or financial status of a person collected to anticipate, prevent, monitor, investigate, or prosecute criminal activity.
- (10) "Investigative information" means information collected as a result of an inquiry, formal or informal, into a criminal incident or an allegation of criminal wrongdoing.
- (11) "Person" means an individual, estate, business or nonprofit entity, public corporation, government or governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality, or other legal entity.
- (12) "Reportable event" means any of the following occurrences relating to felony or misdemeanor criminal offenses, [summary offenses that become misdemeanors on a second arrest after conviction of the summary offense], but excluding [noncriminal offenses,] [other

I	summary offenses,] [petty offenses], traffic violations, or offenses under the [Juvenile Court
2	Act]:
3	(A) an arrest and booking into a detention facility or an arrest resulting in the
4	collection of biometric information;
5	(B) a disposition after an arrest without the initiation of a criminal proceeding;
6	(C) an initiation of a criminal proceeding;
7	(D) a disposition of a criminal proceeding, including a diversion, dismissal,
8	indefinite postponement, acquittal, guilty plea, conviction, sentencing, and modification,
9	reversal, or revocation of the disposition;
10	(E) a commitment to or release from a place of detention or custodial supervision;
11	(F) the commencement or conclusion of noncustodial supervision;
12	(G) the completion of sentence;
13	(H) an expungement, sealing or set aside of criminal history record information;
14	(I) a grant of clemency, including pardon or commutation, or restoration of rights;
15	and
16	(J) a finding of mental incompetence by a court of this state at any stage of a
17	criminal proceeding.
18	(13) "Repository" means an entity operated by a contributing justice agency which
19	collects, compiles, maintains, or disseminates criminal history record information.
20	(14) "State" means a state of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the
21	United States Virgin Islands, or any other territory or insular possession subjection to the
22	jurisdiction of the United States. The term includes a federally recognized Indian tribe.
23	(15) "Subject" means the individual to whom the substance of criminal history record

#### information refers.

Discussion Notes

Animating Principles. This act is premised on at least three principles:

1. Society at large has a vital interest in the accuracy of criminal history record information.

 2. Subjects of criminal history record information are entitled to have that information be accurate.

 3. The government has an obligation to collect, maintain, and disseminate accurate criminal history record information.

Defined terms, not substance. The style rules of the Uniform Law Commission call for consolidation of definitions for terms that recur throughout an act into a single section early in the act. A definition for a term that appears in only one section should be located in that section. Provisions that state a definition must be definitional only, with the substance pertaining to the term addressed separately.

Administration of criminal justice. The definition of "administration of criminal justice" is largely based upon the language found in 28 C.F.R. §20.3.

*Biometric identifying information*. The definition of "biometric identifying information" is designed to allow the act to adapt automatically as technology evolves. Right now, fingerprints are the gold standard for ensuring that a particular person is linked to arrest and disposition information. That may change and the definition should be able to accommodate such changes. In this case, we consider DNA information to fall inside the scope of biometric identifiers, though this is not made explicit in the text.

Central repository. The definition of "central repository" leaves to the adopting state the decision whether this is a police function, often through the state police, or an independent function. Given the central repository's coordinating role, the definition does require the central repository to be operationally independent from contributing justice agencies or other repositories, although it can exist within the structure of an agency, such as the state police, that also contains a separate repository.

Responsible agency/individual. The note on contributing justice agency, infra, introduces the concept of a "responsible agency/individual." This draft phrase is used in various places in the notes and in brackets in the text of the act itself. Based on numerous and extensive discussions in the Drafting Committee, we use it to mean the appropriate state agency or individual charged with certain responsibilities under this act. It is most commonly designed to signal a situation when a senior criminal justice policy maker – either in the form of a responsible agency or individual – needs to promulgate a policy or make a decision that is best served by honoring the principle of checks-and-balances. In some states, that will be the Attorney General or the Office of the Attorney General. In other states, it will be a different actor or entity. It need not be filled by the same person or agency each time it is used. That is a decision best left to the adopting state. The phrase "responsible agency/individual," although

perhaps cumbersome, should allow for each state to fill this position appropriately in light of its own constitutional structure and political landscape.

2 3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

1

Contributing justice agency. The definition of "contributing justice agency" is intentionally broad. The goal is to widely distribute the duty to provide information on "reportable events" – such as arrests, charges, and dispositions of all types – to the central repository. This also allows for the collection and inclusion of reportable event and identification data throughout the process of adjudication and punishment, thereby allowing for multiple opportunities to collect data and resolve issues. The term includes an organized state or municipal police department, sheriff's department, local detention facility or department, county, regional or state correctional facility or department, probation agency, [office of Attorney General, [district or prosecuting] attorney, court with criminal jurisdiction, parole board, pardon board, and any agency or sub-unit designated as a contributing justice agency by the [responsible agency/individual].

14 15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

Courts. Courts are included in the presumptive definition of a "contributing justice" agency" because their participation is crucial to the success of any effort to promote the accuracy of criminal records. There are, however, concerns about separation of powers. The presumptive inclusion of courts in Section 1(5) means that, pursuant to Section 5, courts will be required to submit information about reportable events to the central repository as long as the state's highest court, or its designee, does not opt out on constitutional grounds. An alternative would be to create an opt-in approach through language along these lines: "Contributing justice agency" means a court, if so directed by a rule promulgated by the [state Supreme Court], a political subdivision or agent of a political subdivision, or governing entity of this state authorized to engage in the administration of criminal justice. The term does not include the central repository." The Drafting Committee discussed the opt-out vs. opt-in decision extensively. This debate remains active and the current opt-out approach is particularly tentative. The Drafting Committee welcomes input on this topic.

28 29 30

31 32

Reportable event. The definition of a "reportable event" is designed to capture all of the significant moments in the life of a criminal case that future actors in the criminal justice system would want to know about that defendant and that case. Though the nomenclature may vary by jurisdiction, these are almost universal in their presence and importance.

33 34 35

36 37

Several questions and policy choices remain. The Drafting Committee would appreciate guidance on the question of whether we should be more specific with regard to the nature of lower level offenses. For example, should all misdemeanors be considered, or only particularly serious ones? To what extent should the offenses be specifically enumerated in the Act?

38 39 40

41

The Drafting Committee debated whether juvenile matters should be covered by this act. The current draft version excludes juvenile matters. The Drafting Committee welcomes input on this topic.

42 43 44

45

46

Another question is if it is desirable to impose a duty on the contributing justice agency to report (as a reportable event in itself) to the central repository that 18 months have passed without any prosecutorial action being taken? If so, this may eliminate a delay in obtaining the

information when time is critical for housing or job applicants.

 Reportable Event – Disposition. We attempted to provide an inclusive yet manageable definition of disposition. If more detail is desired, we could include the current definition found at 28 CFR § 20.3(i), which provides:

Disposition means information disclosing that criminal proceedings have been concluded and the nature of the termination, including information disclosing that the police have elected not to refer a matter to a prosecutor or that a prosecutor has elected not to commence criminal proceedings; or disclosing that proceedings have been indefinitely postponed and the reason for such postponement. Dispositions shall include, but shall not be limited to, acquittal, acquittal by reason of insanity, acquittal by reason of mental incompetence, case continued without finding, charge dismissed, charge dismissed due to insanity, charge dismissed due to mental incompetency, charge still pending due to insanity, charge still pending due to mental incompetency, guilty plea, nolle prosequi, no paper, nolo contendere plea, convicted, youthful offender determination, deceased, deferred disposition, dismissed-civil action, found insane, found mentally incompetent, pardoned, probation before conviction, sentence commuted, adjudication withheld, mistrial-defendant discharged, executive clemency, placed on probation, paroled, or released from correction supervision.

http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2002-title28-vol1/pdf/CFR-2002-title28-vol1-sec20-3.pdf.

**SECTION 3. APPLICABILITY.** This [act] applies to persons within this state, to the

central repository, and to each contributing justice agency within [this state] that collects,

maintains, modifies, disseminates, or receives criminal history record information

**SECTION 4. SCOPE.** For purposes of this [act], a court docket, court file, and information contained in a docket or file, is a public record unless otherwise ordered by a court of competent jurisdiction, promulgated by court rule, or otherwise provided by law.

**Discussion Notes** 

*Public records.* Section 4 is designed to ensure that information in court dockets and files not under seal, etc. remain public records. It does so without relieving courts of the obligation to provide information to the central repository as a contributing justice agency under Section 5, although the state's highest court, or its designee, may do so by removing the state courts from the definition of contributing justice agency to the extent constitutionally required as set forth in Section 1(5).

## SECTION 5. DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE AGENCY FOR CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD INFORMATION.

- (a) A contributing justice agency may disseminate criminal history record information only as provided in this [act].
- (b) The following provisions govern the collection of fingerprints and other biometric identifying information:
- (1) A contributing justice agency shall collect biometric identifying information in the manner and form directed by the central repository from an individual arrested, as set forth in Section 2(12)(a), by the agency for felony or misdemeanor criminal offenses, [summary offenses that become misdemeanors on a second arrest after conviction of the summary offense], but excluding [noncriminal offenses,] [other summary offenses,] [petty offenses,] traffic violations, or offenses under the [Juvenile Court Act], and forward the information to the central repository, in the manner and form directed by the central repository, not later than 3 business days after the arrest.
- (2) If an individual charged by complaint, information, or indictment with felony or misdemeanor criminal offenses, [summary offenses that become misdemeanors on a second arrest after conviction of the summary offense], but excluding [noncriminal offenses,] [other summary offenses,] [petty offenses,] traffic violations, or offenses under the [Juvenile Court Act] is not arrested and instead appears in court pursuant to summons, the individual shall report to the [local municipal police department] or [designated contributing justice agency] not later than ten business days after the court appearance and permit that [department] or [agency] to collect biometric identifying information in the manner and form directed by the central repository. This obligation may be enforced by court order. The [department] or [agency] shall forward the

- information to the central repository, in the manner and form directed by the central repository, not later than 3 business days after collection.
- (3) During an investigation, adjudication or correctional process, the head of each contributing justice agency responsible for the arrest, prosecution, adjudication, sentencing, supervision, or custody of an individual for felony or misdemeanor criminal offenses, [summary offenses that become misdemeanors on a second arrest after conviction of the summary offense], but excluding [noncriminal offenses,] [other summary offenses,] [petty offenses], traffic violations, or offenses under the [Juvenile Court Act] shall designate an official to promptly determine whether biometric identifying information has previously been collected for that individual in the manner and form directed by the central repository and forwarded to the central repository in the manner and form directed by the central repository.

(4) If the head of a contributing justice agency responsible for the arrest, prosecution, adjudication, sentencing, supervision, or custody of a person for felony or misdemeanor criminal offenses, [summary offenses that become misdemeanors on a second arrest after conviction of the summary offenses], but excluding [noncriminal offenses,] [other summary offenses,] [petty offenses], traffic violations, or offenses under the [Juvenile Court Act] determines, pursuant to paragraph 3 above, that biometric identifying information has not been collected and forwarded to the central repository, the individual shall report to the [local municipal police department] or [designated contributing justice agency] not later than ten business days after that determination and permit that [department] or [agency] to collect biometric identifying information in the manner and form directed by the central repository. This obligation may be enforced by court order. The [department] or [agency] shall forward the information to the central repository, in the manner and form directed by the central repository,

not later than 3 business days after collection.

- (c) A contributing justice agency shall collect, in the manner and form directed by the central repository, information on reportable events with which the contributing justice agency is involved. The agency shall submit the information, in the manner and form directed by the central repository, to the central repository not later than 3 business days after the reportable event.
- (d) A contributing justice agency shall maintain complete and accurate criminal history record information and report the information as required by this [act] or law of this state other than this [act]. An agency shall establish effective procedures, in compliance with regulations adopted by the [responsible agency/individual], to create and store complete and accurate criminal history record information.
- (e) Regardless of the manner of discovering inaccurate, including incomplete, criminal history record information or the identity of the person who discovered it, not later than ten business days after discovery, the agency shall:
  - (1) correct its own records; and
- (2) disseminate a notice about the inaccuracy and the required correction to the central repository and all other persons that received the inaccurate information as reflected in its dissemination logs.
- (f) A contributing justice agency may disseminate criminal history record information to another contributing justice agency on request in connection with the requesting agency's duties.
- (g) A contributing justice agency shall disseminate criminal history record information to the subject of the information on request of the subject or the subject's designee, after positive verification of identity and authorization, if appropriate. Positive identification may include

1 biometric identifying information pursuant to implementing regulations under this [act]. If

2 criminal history record information sought by the subject or the subject's designee is maintained

by an agency in a record that also contains investigative information, intelligence information, or

other nonpublic information, the agency may remove that information and disseminate only the

criminal history record information. If the agency identifies no criminal history record

6 information, it shall indicate to the subject or the subject's designee that no criminal history

record information concerning the subject exists and the date of the search. The agency shall

respond by disseminating the information or indicating that no such information exists no later

than ten business days after the request is properly submitted.

(h) A contributing justice agency shall create and maintain a dissemination log, in the manner and form directed by the [responsible agency/individual], listing disseminations of criminal history record information. All disseminations shall be entered into the dissemination log not later than ten business days after criminal history record information is disseminated. The agency shall maintain entries in a dissemination log for as long as it maintains the associated criminal history record information.

**Discussion Notes** 

Mandatory duty to collect biometric identifying information. We believe that Section 5(b) is one of the most important requirements in the act. Biometric identifying information, in the form of fingerprints, is currently the gold standard for positive identification for law enforcement purposes. If this information is not used to link an arrest to a charge to a disposition, significant inaccuracies may and do result. In most cases, close matches using name and date of birth are the alternative. This is a clear and common source of inaccuracies – both in the failure to link related reportable events and in the misidentification of the subject. Section 5(b) puts the primary responsibility for this task on the arresting contributing justice agency, which will typically be a police department. In our preliminary explorations, the lack of collection on the "front line" of processing was a significant impediment. In some jurisdictions, this appears to be unrelated to funding for equipment, and instead turns on the enforcement of mandatory collection procedures. See, e.g., Jeffrey Benzing, Fingerprint Hearing: Best and Worst Pa. Counties Have Same Equipment, available at http://www.pennlive.com/midstate/index.ssf/2014/07/fingerprint hearing best and w.html (July

23, 2014).

Duty of other contributing justice agencies. If the arresting agency fails to obtain fingerprints, the act provides a backstop by requiring other, typically chronologically downstream, actors in the contributing justice system to fingerprint the subject. We believe that this "belt-and-suspenders approach" is warranted given the wide variation of fingerprint compliance rates between and within states. For example, we were told that in one large state, there are tens of thousands – if not hundreds of thousands – of essentially orphaned files in the central repository because disposition information cannot be definitively linked by fingerprint to a subject. Furthermore, in that state, the state prison receiving center felt the need to install a Livescan machine (a common biometric data collection station) because of the number of sentenced offenders arriving without fingerprints in the system. At the same time, this jurisdiction has a nearly uniform collection provision for fingerprint information, which highlights the need for multiple opportunities to obtain these key data.

Duty to report reportable events. Section 5(c) requires contributing justice agencies to submit information on reportable events – such as arrests, charges, convictions, sentences, commitments, etc. – with which they were involved to the central repository. If followed, this mandate should go a long way towards solving the problem of missing dispositions. We again took a "belt-and-suspenders approach" by putting this responsibility broadly on multiple actors, even if that means some duplicative reporting to the central repository. Given the large and growing use of electronic records, this should not be burdensome on the contributing justice agencies and may help to reduce inaccuracies.

 Duty to correct inaccuracies. Section 5(e), which has a corollary for the central repository as well, requires a contributing justice agency that learns of an inaccuracy – regardless of how it learns of it – to fix it in its own records and to pass along the corrected information to whomever it has provided the inaccurate information. That latter process is facilitated by the maintenance of dissemination logs. Thoroughly tracking the information will allow for more effective correction, as well as providing essential process data for the audit, discussed in Section 10.

Authority to disseminate and duty to log. These provisions allow contributing justice agencies to disseminate criminal history record information to other contributing justice agencies and to the subject of the information upon request, and require them to keep track of those disseminations. The central repository, as part of its duties, will set reasonable standards and procedures for this process, ensuring a degree of uniformity in the requesting and dissemination processes.

These are some of the several provisions that call for implementing regulations. *See* Section 1, Discussion Notes. We value the idea of checks-and-balances and thus want some of these regulations, such as those governing the nature of the dissemination logs, to be controlled by an entity or individual outside of the day-to-day operation of the criminal history system. The central repository is a perfectly appropriate entity to create general operational rules, as in Section 5(b)(1), and we certainly are not impugning the integrity of the individuals within the criminal history system. This is simply a structural point. As noted earlier, we have provided a

generic description of a "responsible agency/individual" in order to accommodate the disparate state practices. Ideally, in the states that use the Attorney General position as the chief law enforcement officer and "minister of justice," we believe that the Attorney General is best suited to fulfill these duties.

2 3

Fees. Section 5(g) does not address the cost, if any, the government may charge subjects to obtain criminal history record information about themselves. See also Section 6(f). One justification for that choice is the fact that some states may have existing records laws that govern this situation. There was support on the Drafting Committee for the idea that the government should provide this information at no cost to subjects. If, however, the government does charge a fee, there was strong support on the Drafting Committee for language requiring the cost to be reasonable and consistent with regulations adopted by the [responsible agency/individual] that include a no-cost option for indigent subjects. See Section 12(a)(4). The Drafting Committee welcomes input on this topic.

## SECTION 6. DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF CENTRAL REPOSITORY.

- (a) The central repository shall collect and maintain the criminal history record information reported to it under this [act]. The central repository may only disseminate criminal history record information as provided in this [act].
- (b) The central repository may request, access, and disseminate records relating to an offense committed in another jurisdiction. The records may include federal summary criminal history information provided by the United States Department of Justice and other information that would qualify as criminal history record information if submitted by a contributing justice agency. For purposes of dissemination, the central repository shall treat information from another jurisdiction as if it were from this state.
- (c) The central repository shall facilitate the creation and maintenance of complete and accurate criminal history record information by establishing practices and procedures necessary to as efficiently and automatically as possible resolve conflicts and discover missing data on the same incident or subject.
  - (d) The central repository shall:
    - (1) disseminate criminal history record information to a contributing justice

1	. 1	.1	$\mathbf{c}$ · $\mathbf{c}$ · ·	1 . •		41	, 1 ,
	agency on request hy	I the agency	tor intormatic	nn sollight in a	connection with	the agency	n, e quittee.
1	agency on request by	inc agency	101 IIII0IIIIau	m sought in c	connection with	mic agenc	y sauncs,

- 2 (2) disseminate criminal history record information to the Governor, or the
- 3 governor's designee, to aid in a decision concerning exercise of the power of pardon, reprieve,
- 4 commutation or reduction of sentence, executive elemency, or interstate extradition or rendition;
- 5 (3) disseminate criminal history record information as constitutionally required or
- 6 as directed by a law of [this state] or the United States;

- (4) disseminate criminal history record information to a contributing justice agency of another state on request for the information by the agency in connection with the agency's duties; and
  - (5) disseminate criminal history record information to the Federal Bureau of Investigation and United States Department of Justice as part of the state's participation in the Interstate Identification Index System, National Fingerprint File, National Identification Index, National Instant Criminal Background Check System Index, and related programs.
  - (e) When directed by a law of the state other than this [act] or the United States, the central repository shall disseminate criminal history record information it maintains to a person for employment, licensing, or certification purposes, subject to the following rules:
  - (1) Before the central repository disseminates the information, the central repository shall determine whether the information contains a disposition after an arrest without the filing of a formal criminal charge or a disposition of a formal criminal charge for every arrest or charge. If disposition information is missing, the central repository shall make a good faith effort to determine the active status of the disposition of the arrest or charge, and if the central repository discovers the active status, add that information to:
    - (A) the relevant records maintained by the central repository; and

(B) the report or summary to be dissented	IIIIIIaiec	J.
---	------------	----

- (2) After engaging in a good faith effort and before the central repository disseminates the information, the central repository shall remove from the report or summary to be disseminated the notation of an arrest, charge, indictment or other information relating to the initiation of criminal proceedings where:
- (A) eighteen months have elapsed after the date of arrest;
- 7 (B) no conviction has occurred; and

- 8 (C) no proceedings are pending that may result in a conviction.
  - (3) No later than 3 business days after the central repository disseminates the information, it shall send the same information to the subject in the form and manner selected by the subject and provided to the requesting entity.
  - (f) The central repository shall disseminate criminal history record information to the subject of the information on request of the subject or the subject's designee, after positive verification of identity and authorization, if appropriate. Positive identification may include biometric identifying information pursuant to implementing regulations under this [act]. If criminal history record information sought by the subject or the subject's designee is maintained by the central repository in a record that also contains investigative information, intelligence information, or other nonpublic information, the central repository may remove that information and disseminate only the criminal history record information. If the central repository identifies no criminal history record information, it shall indicate to the subject or the subject's designee that no criminal history record information concerning the subject exists and the date of the search. The central repository shall respond by disseminating the information or indicating that no such information exists no later than 10 business days after the request is properly submitted.

(g) The central repository shall create and maintain a dissemination log, in the manner
and form directed by the [responsible agency/individual], listing disseminations of criminal
history record information. All disseminations shall be entered into the dissemination log not
later than ten business days after criminal history record information is disseminated. The
central repository shall maintain entries in a dissemination log for as long as it maintains the
associated criminal history record information.

- (h) Not later than 10 business days after discovery, and regardless of the manner of discovery or the identity of the person who discovered it, inaccurate, including incomplete, criminal history record information the central repository shall:
  - (1) correct its own records; and

- (2) disseminate a notice about the inaccuracy and the required correction to each contributing justice agency that submitted information about a reportable event concerning the subject of the inaccuracy of the information and the required correction; and
- (3) notify persons that received the inaccurate information, as reflected in the dissemination logs, including the Federal Bureau of Investigation and United States Department of Justice as part of the state's participation in the Interstate Identification Index System,

  National Fingerprint File, National Identification Index, National Instant Criminal Background

  Check System Index, and related federal programs.
- (i) The central repository shall establish effective procedures, in compliance with any regulations adopted by the [responsible agency/individual], to ensure the completeness and accuracy of criminal history record information. The central repository shall:
- (1) specify the manner and form in which a contributing justice agency shall submit criminal history record information to the central repository concerning a reportable

1	event, including standards for biometric identifying information, to ensure that multiple pieces of
2	criminal history record information for the same subject are appropriately linked;
3	(2) adopt procedures, standards, and forms for reporting and exchanging
4	information under this [act]; and
5	(3) adopt other regulations necessary to carry out its duties under this [act].
6	(j) The central repository may disseminate criminal history record information for
7	statistical or research purposes, if the identity of the subject of the information is not publicly
8	disclosed directly or indirectly. The dissemination and use of the information is subject to
9	procedures established by the central repository designed to implement this subsection and other
10	applicable law.
11	(k) The central repository shall inform the public about the existence, usage, and
12	accessibility of the criminal history record information maintained by the central repository and
13	other repositories. The central repository also shall provide public notice, updated at least
14	annually, concerning:
15	(1) items of information used to retrieve and link criminal history record
16	information;
17	(2) results of the annual audit required by Section 10 and the status of any
18	remediation; and
19	(3) requirements and forms for access and review of criminal history record
20	information by an individual.
21	(l) The central repository shall:
22	(1) provide regular training to contributing justice agencies on how to submit
23	information about a reportable event and why the information is important to both the

- 1 administration of criminal justice and society; and
- 2 (2) identify contributing justice agencies and repositories that do not meet
- 3 minimum standards under this [act] and provide them with remedial training.

#### 4 Discussion Notes

Role of the central repository. The central repository is the hub into and out of which criminal history record information will flow. It serves this role, as it does in many jurisdictions, for both intra-state and inter-state purposes. There are duty of accuracy, logging, and correction provisions that are similar to the ones provided for contributing justice agencies. The central repository is also the primary contact for other states and the federal system, allowing it to serve as a clearing house for the management of the universe of criminal history record information that may be fed into the databases held within that jurisdiction.

Fees. Section 6(f) does not address the cost, if any, the government may charge subjects to obtain criminal history record information about themselves. See also Section 5(g). One justification for that choice is the fact that some states may have existing records laws that govern this situation. There was support on the Drafting Committee for the idea that the government should provide this information at no cost to subjects. If, however, the government does charge a fee, there was strong support on the Drafting Committee for language requiring the cost to be reasonable and consistent with regulations adopted by the [responsible agency/individual] that include a no-cost option for indigent subjects. See Section 12(a)(4). The Drafting Committee welcomes input on this topic.

Role in employment and related checks. The central repository's primary function is to act as the hub for criminal history record information used for contributing justice agency purposes. There is, of course, a growing use of this information for mandated employment, licensing and certification purposes. Accuracy concerns are heightened in this context in part because there is no related adversarial proceeding before a neutral magistrate. Paralleling efforts considered to be successful by some in California, this section requires the central repository to make a good faith effort to ensure that disposition information is connected to arrests and charges. Senator Grassley and others introduced the Sentencing Reform and Corrections Act of 2015 ("SRCA") on October 1, 2015. Section 213 of the SRCA is entitled "Ensuring Accuracy of Federal Criminal Records;" we will monitor its progress in the Congress. It is worth noting for now, however, that pursuant to the SRCA certain arrests without disposition information that are more than two years old could not be disseminated. It may be worth considering if the SRCA approach more or less desirable.

Outreach to the public and contributing justice agencies. This section also assigns the central repository educative and supportive roles. It will try to raise public awareness about the importance of criminal history record information and how individuals can access their records to check for accuracy. It will also have the responsibility train contributing justice agencies and focus on those agencies that are not reporting as required because those agencies present significant accuracy risks to the entire system. We envision a system of web-based postings,

1 webinars and guidelines, though the central repository has the flexibility to conduct this outreach in the manner determined to be effective in that jurisdiction. 2 3 4 SECTION 7. MISTAKEN IDENTITY PREVENTION REGISTRY. 5 (a) In this section, "mistaken identity prevention registry" or "registry" means a database 6 designed to: 7 (1) prevent mistaken arrests and confusion of an individual with another when 8 criminal history record information is searched; and 9 (2) prevent the inaccurate creation or modification of criminal history record 10 information. 11 (b) The central repository shall create and maintain a mistaken identity prevention 12 registry consisting of identifying information voluntarily provided by victims of identity theft or 13 fraud, individuals whose names or other identifying characteristics are similar to those of others 14 who have criminal history records, and individuals concerned about potential identity theft or 15 fraud victimization. 16 (c) The central repository shall establish reasonable requirements and procedures for an 17 individual to be included in the registry. The requirements shall include collecting biometric 18 identifying information from an individual seeking to be included in the registry and the 19 submission of a request in a manner determined by the central repository. 20 (d) An individual may voluntarily provide information to be considered for inclusion in 21 the registry. If the information meets the requirements established in subsection (c), the 22 individual's name and information shall be entered in the registry. An individual improperly 23 denied inclusion in the registry may seek relief under the [state administrative procedures act] as 24 a contested case.

(e) Not later than ten business days after entering an individual in the registry, the central

- repository shall issue to the individual a document, which shall be deemed prima facie evidence establishing that the individual is a victim or potential victim of identity theft, fraud, or that the individual is not the individual with a similar name or identifying characteristics who has a particular criminal record.
  - (f) The central repository may not disclose information from the registry except as provided in this [act].

- (g) The central repository shall disclose information from the registry to a contributing justice agency to prevent the victimization of an individual on the registry at the request of the agency and when there is reason to believe that identity information concerning a reportable event may be inaccurate or not associated with the correct individual.
- (h) A contributing justice agency, during the process of identifying an individual who is the subject of a reportable event, shall submit to the central repository biometric identifying information or identification data provided by the individual for comparison with information in the registry. If the information or data provided matches, wholly or in part, information in the registry, the central repository shall notify the contributing justice agency immediately. If there is a potential inaccuracy or fraud, the contributing justice agency shall use biometric identifying information and any other identification methodologies available to ensure the accurate identity of the subject of the reportable event before creating any criminal history record information.
- (i) On receiving information from the registry that identity information may be associated with another individual or when an individual produces a valid document issued by the central repository, a contributing justice agency shall use good faith to identify accurately the subject in question.
  - (j) A contributing justice agency may not use information from the registry for a purpose

- 1 other than (1) to identify accurately an individual about whom it has requested or received
- 2 registry information or (2) to investigate, prosecute or adjudicate an individual for offenses
- 3 relating to participating in the registry.
- 4 (k) If information from the registry is accessed for a reason other the one expressly
- 5 permitted under this [act]:
- 6 (1) the information and any information acquired as a result shall be excluded
- 7 from use in any criminal or civil matter; and
- 8 (2) the central repository shall notify the individual whose information was
- 9 obtained improperly from the registry in writing within three business days of discovery.
- 10 (l) The central repository shall ensure the security of all information in the registry. The
- protections shall meet, and may exceed, those provided in Section 8.
- (m) On receiving a request, pursuant to reasonable requirements established by the
- central repository, from the individual who voluntarily submitted information to the registry
- under subsection (d), the central repository shall permanently remove the information within ten
- 15 business days.

16 **Discussion Note** 

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

2627

28 29

30

31

Minnesota provision, is designed to proactively help individuals who are the victim of identity theft or believe that they may be, while also improving the accuracy of the criminal record system more broadly. It allows for these individuals to voluntarily provide information about themselves, including biometric identifying information, to a restricted registry which would be used to verify whether a particular person truly is the subject of a reportable event. We expand this provision, including the provision of a verification letter, to include all individuals who may seek to be proactive about limiting damaging errors in their own criminal record. The documentation envisioned will help a person without a particular criminal record from suffering adverse consequences of being confused with the person who actually has that record. At the same time, we sought to balance these considerations with the burdens imposed on law enforcement during the identity verification process. It would be up to the individual state to determine requirements and procedures, but Drafting Committee members have suggested consulting with law enforcement and looking to NLETS as a potential partner. See

1 http://www.nlets.org. Additional refinement of this concept may follow from further exploration of the Voluntary Appeals File ("VAF") program associated with the FBI's National Instant 2 3 Criminal Background Check System. See https://www.fbi.gov/about-us/cjis/nics and 4 https://www.fbi.gov/about-us/cjis/nics/appeals/nics\_vaf\_brochure\_eng.pdf. 5 6 SECTION 8. SECURITY REQUIREMENTS FOR CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE 7 AGENCIES AND CENTRAL REPOSITORY. 8 (a) A contributing justice agency that collects, stores, or disseminates criminal history 9 record information and the central repository shall, in compliance with regulations adopted by 10 the [responsible agency/individual], ensure the confidentiality and security of the information by: 11 (1) establishing procedures to reasonably protect locations where information is 12 maintained from theft, fire, sabotage, flood, wind, or other natural or man-made disasters; 13 (2) ensuring that only authorized personnel have access to the information; 14 (3) selecting, supervising, and training personnel authorized to have access to the 15 information; 16 (4) ensuring that, if computerized data processing is employed, the equipment 17 maintaining the information meets computer security standards promulgated by the [responsible 18 agency/individual]; and 19 (5) maintaining an index of all data breaches, for use by the [responsible 20 agency/individual] under Section 10. 21 SECTION 9. SUBJECT'S RIGHT OF CORRECTION. 22 (a) A subject or the subject's designee, after positive verification of identity and 23 authorization, if appropriate, may access, review, challenge, correct, and appeal the accuracy, 24 including completeness, of the subject's criminal history record information maintained by a 25 repository or the central repository. Positive identification may include biometric identifying

information pursuant to implementing regulations under this [act].

- (b) A subject or the subject's designee, after properly submitting a request, may access and review a copy of the subject's criminal history record information. Access shall be permitted without undue delay.
- (c) A subject or the subject's designee may challenge the accuracy of the subject's criminal history record information by sending the repository or central repository maintaining the information a challenge specifying the part of the criminal history record information that is alleged to be inaccurate, including incomplete, and providing the correct information.
- (d) A repository or central repository has 40 business days from the date of receipt of a challenge under subsection (c) to the accuracy of information to review and act upon the challenge.
- (e) If the repository or central repository does not act within 40 days, the challenge will be deemed valid.
- (f) If the repository or central repository denies the challenge, the subject or the subject's designee may seek a de novo review before [an administrative law judge]. Before the [administrative law judge], the repository or central repository has the burden of proving the accuracy of the challenged information by a preponderance of the evidence. The [administrative law judge's] decision on the challenge shall state all information that formed the basis for the decision, including the jurisdiction and docket number of any relevant court decision.
- (g) If the [administrative law judge] determines a challenge under subsection (c) is valid, or a challenge is deemed valid by operation of subsection (e), the repository or central repository shall, no later than three business days after the challenge is determined or deemed valid,:
- 22 (1) correct its own records;

(2) notify all persons that received the inaccurate information, as reflected in the

dissemination logs, of the inaccuracy and the required correction;

- 2 (3) notify the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the United States Department
- 3 of Justice, if applicable, of the inaccuracy and the required correction; and
- 4 (4) provide five corrected copies, upon request, of the criminal history record
- 5 information to the subject or the subject's designee at no cost to the subject or designee; and
- 6 (h) If the [administrative law judge] determines a challenge under subsection (c) is valid,
- 7 or a challenge is deemed valid by operation of subsection (e), the repository or central repository
- 8 shall, no sooner than five but no later than ten business days after the challenge is determined or
- 9 deemed valid, provide the subject or the subject's designee the names and addresses of all
- 10 persons which the inaccurate criminal history record information was disseminated within the
- previous five years, and the dates of dissemination.
- 12 (1) However, a law enforcement agency receiving notification under section 9(g)
- shall, not later than three business days after the repository or central repository notified it about
- the valid challenge, notify the repository or central repository if the disclosure in this subsection
- would compromise an ongoing criminal investigation by that law enforcement agency.
- 16 (2) The notification by a law enforcement agency provided for in section 9(h)(1)
- automatically defers the notification to the subject or the subject's designee concerning that law
- 18 enforcement agency for 40 business days.
- 19 (3) The notice and 40-business day deferral procedure set forth in sections 9(h)(1)
- and 9(h)(2) may be repeated as needed if the law enforcement agency again notifies the
- 21 repository or central repository, no sooner than three business days, before the expiration of the
- 22 deferral, that the disclosure in this subsection would compromise an ongoing criminal
- 23 investigation by that law enforcement agency.

- (4) No later than three business days after the expiration of the 40-business day 2 deferral, and any renewals, the repository or central repository shall comply with section 9(h). 3 (i) If the [administrative law judge] determines a challenge under subsection (c) is not valid, the subject or designee may, not later than 40 business days after notification of the
- decision, appeal the decision to the [appropriate court]. Before the [appropriate court], the 6 subject has the burden of proving the accuracy of the challenged information by a preponderance

7 of the evidence.

8 **Discussion Notes** 

Access, review, challenge, correction and appeal. This section provides individuals with rights to access and challenge the criminal history record information about them for the explicit purpose of ensuring that all of the criminal history record information managed by the state, regardless of its source, is correct and up-to-date. Our review indicates that most, if not all, jurisdictions already have procedures in place to addresses matters of this nature. The first review would be resolved internally. In response to an adverse ruling, the individual may demand a de novo appeal before a separate entity. Although we use brackets to account for the potential variation in state practice, we propose that this task be assigned to an administrative law judge. Upon losing this round, the individual may appeal to, we propose, to an appropriate court, which will review the denial for abuse of discretion.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

> Administrative review. In the current draft, we are proscriptive in how a challenge and appeal should be heard. Should we instead defer to the procedures in place under the Administrative Procedures Act (APA) mechanisms already in place? An argument in support of the APA approach might be ease of adoption. However, the current approach ensures standardization and retains a focus on putting procedures in place designed specifically to increase the accuracy – at the individual and systematic levels – of the criminal history record information.

26 27 28

29

30

31

32

33

## SECTION 10. MANDATORY INDEPENDENT ANNUAL AUDIT.

- (a) The [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, or integrity] or that individual's designee shall ensure that annual audits of the central repository and a representative sample of all repositories are conducted. The audits may be done in conjunction with other audits required by law.
  - (b) An annual audit under subsection (a) shall assess the integrity of each computerized

system and database and each physical location storing criminal history record information to
 ensure this [act] is implemented.

- (c) As part of an annual audits under subsection (a) the [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, or integrity] or that individual's designee shall ensure that the audits:
- (1) collect data from a representative sample of all criminal history record information stored at the central repository and the audited repositories to assess, at a minimum, consistency, efficiency, and security;
  - (2) determine the number of missing reportable events and amount and nature of missing biometric identifying information in the samples, in part by examining public records of the courts of [this state];
- (3) assess any data breaches and responses to any such breaches in the central repository and the audited repositories;
  - (4) are representative of the overall status of the system of criminal history record information management and that selection of repositories to be audited is random and in accordance with best practices; and
  - (5) review the plans, policies, and procedures of at least five percent of all municipal police departments and ten percent of all [judicial districts] to ensure this [act] is implemented.
  - (d) The [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, or integrity] or that individual's designee shall be given access to the government records, reports, listings, and information required to conduct an annual audit. All officers and employees of the state or a political subdivision of the state with relevant information shall

1 cooperate with the [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, 2 audit, or integrity] or designee and provide information requested for an annual audit. 3 (e) The [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, 4 audit, or integrity] or designee shall prepare and make widely available a public report 5 containing the results of the annual audits under subsection (a) and a list of any deficiencies and 6 recommendations for correction of deficiencies. 7 **Discussion Notes** 8 Mandatory audits. Section 10 provides for mandatory and representative audits. Audits 9 are crucial if we are to understand how the system is actually working and to prevent the automatic replication of systematic errors. If we do not understand the nature and prevalence of 10 the inaccuracies, they cannot be addressed effectively. The auditing process will also facilitate 11 12 the identification of agencies or departments failing to meet their obligations under the act. 13 While the central repository and other parties have a range of formal options to remediate these 14 deficiencies, notably under Section 11, the public distribution of the results of the audit alone 15 should serve to increase system-wide compliance. 16 17 Senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, or 18 integrity. We propose an individual outside of the day-to-day criminal history record system be 19 responsible for the audits. While the "responsible agency/individual" concept introduced in 20 Section 1, Discussion Notes, can be filled in different ways and need not be the same at all points 21 in this act, we think that the auditing role in this section deserves different language. Depending 22 on existing state structures, appropriate individuals to fill this role might be the Attorney 23 General, the Auditor General, the Ombudsperson, or the Inspector General. Of course, adopting 24 states are free to designate others as they see fit. 25 26 SECTION 11. SANCTIONS AND REMEDIES. 27 (a) A person that violates this [act] or implementing regulations may: 28 (1) be denied access to specified criminal history record information for a time the 29 [responsible agency/individual] determines is appropriate; 30 (2) be subject to a civil penalty or other remedy as set forth in subsection (c) 31 under this [act]; and

(3) consistent with existing [state] law, if the violator is a government employee,

- be administratively disciplined by discharge, suspension, reduction in grade, transfer, or other 1 2 formal action as the employer determines is appropriate. 3 (b) The [responsible agency/individual] or a subject may bring an action to enjoin the 4 central repository, a repository, a contributing justice agency, or another person from violating 5 this [act] or to compel compliance with this [act] concerning an item of criminal history record information. 6 7 (c) In addition to other available remedies, an individual injured by a reckless violation of 8 this [act] or implementing regulations may bring an action for compensatory damages. If the 9 court determines by a preponderance of the evidence that the individual was injured by a reckless 10 violation of this [act] or implementing regulations, the court may award compensatory damages 11 of not less than \$500 for each violation, costs of litigation, and reasonable attorney's fees. 12 (d) An officer or employee of the central repository, a repository, or a contributing justice 13 agency is guilty of a misdemeanor if the officer or employee: 14 (1) knowingly makes a false entry in or false alteration of any criminal history 15 record information; 16 (2) makes, presents, or uses criminal history record information knowing it to be 17 false, and the intent that it be taken as genuine; or 18 (3) purposely and unlawfully destroys, conceals, removes, or otherwise impairs
- 20 **Discussion Notes**

the verity or availability of criminal history record information.

19

21

22

2324

25

26

*Individual sanctions.* Section 11 provides for an array administrative, civil, and criminal sanctions against individuals who violate the act. It also allows both subjects and the relevant government official, again in brackets, to seek injunctive relief. The section affords a subject who is injured by a violation of the act a civil damages remedy with a modest minimum (\$500 per violation) and a fee shifting provision on the theory that the true extent of actual damages may be difficult to prove. The sanctions set out in this section are modeled after some existing

provisions, and are limited in scope. All of this, of course, is also designed to serve as a clear 1 2 and enforceable incentive to minimize inaccuracies. 3 4 Criminal sanctions. The limited criminal sanctions available under this Section are 5 largely based on Model Penal Code §241.8 entitled "Tampering with Public Records or 6 Information." 7 8 SECTION 12. DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF [RESPONSIBLE 9 AGENCY/INDIVIDUAL]. 10 (a) The [responsible agency/individual] or designee shall adopt regulations necessary to 11 implement this [act]. At a minimum, the regulations shall include provisions that: 12 (1) govern the security, completeness, accuracy, dissemination, review of, and 13 individual access to criminal history record information; 14 (2) govern the conduct of an audit under Section 10; 15 (3) ensure that electronic data, including biometric identifying information, are 16 stored in a manner that reflects best practices for personally identifiable information; and 17 (4) [set a maximum reasonable fee for the cost of disseminating criminal history record information, and adopt a policy providing free access to criminal history record 18 19 information for an indigent subject seeking access to the subject's own information.] 20 (b) The [responsible agency/individual or that individual's designee] may investigate all 21 matters relating to the administration and enforcement of this [act]. 22 (c) The [responsible agency/individual or that individual's designee] may bring a civil 23 action for a violation of this [act]. 24 (d) [The [responsible agency/individual or that individual's designee] may bring a 25 criminal charge for a violation of this [act].] [The [responsible agency/individual or that 26 individual's designee shall refer a suspected criminal violation, including any relevant criminal 27 history record information, to the appropriate prosecutorial authority for further review and

1	action.]
2 3 4	<b>Legislative Note:</b> This section provides two alternatives depending on the prosecutorial authority held by the responsible agency/individual.
5	Discussion Notes
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Duties and authorities of the responsible agency/individual. This administrative section provides the responsible agency/individual, in brackets, with various authorities and duties, including adopting regulations and conducting investigations. As discussed above, this role can be filled by any agency or individual, as long as there is sufficient autonomy from the central repository and the other enumerated parties in the act to satisfy checks-and-balances concerns. If the responsible agency/individual has prosecutorial powers, these authorities and duties may be discharged by one agency or individual. If not, the responsible agency/individual shall refer cases of suspected criminal violations to the appropriate prosecutor.  SECTION 13. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION. In
16	applying and construing this uniform act, consideration shall be given to the need to promote
17	uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.
18	SECTION 14. REPEALS; CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.
19	(a)
20	(b)
21	(c)
22	SECTION 15. EFFECTIVE DATE. This [act] takes effect