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Prefatory Note

“Strategic lawsuit against public participation,” or SLAPP, is a term used to describe a specific kind of civil action brought by a plaintiff whose real aim is to silence or intimidate the defendant, or punish the defendant by subjecting it to costly and lengthy litigation. SLAPPs defy simple definition. They can be brought by and against individuals, corporate entities, or government officials across all points of the political or social spectrum. They can address a wide variety of issues from zoning to the environment to politics to education. They are often cloaked as otherwise standard claims of defamation, civil conspiracy, tortious interference, nuisance, and invasion of privacy, just to name a few. But for all the ways in which SLAPPs may clothe themselves, their unifying features make them a dangerous force: They are brought not to remedy civil wrongs, but rather to ensnare their targets in costly litigation that will deter them and others from engaging in constitutionally protected activity such as free speech and petition.

To limit the detrimental effects these lawsuits can have, 31 states, as well as the District of Columbia and the Territory of Guam, have enacted laws that establish special and expedited procedures to aid defendants in seeking early dismissal of SLAPPs. Though grouped under the “anti-SLAPP” moniker, these statutes vary widely in scope, form, and procedure. For example, some anti-SLAPP laws are triggered by any claim that implicates free speech on a public issue, while others apply only to speech in specific settings or concerning specific subjects. Some statutes provide for special motions to dismiss, while others employ traditional summary judgment procedures. Some stay the discovery process and provide for attorney’s fees and sanctions, while others do not. Two state supreme courts have struck down their states’ laws over concerns that they infringe upon the right to a civil jury trial.

This degree of variance from state to state—and an absence of protection in at least 19 states—leads to confusion and disorder among plaintiffs, defendants, and courts. It also contributes to what can be labeled as “litigation tourism;” that is, a type of forum shopping by which a plaintiff who has choices among the states in which to bring a lawsuit will do so in a state that lacks strong and clear anti-SLAPP protections.

The Public Participation Protection Act seeks to harmonize these varying approaches by enunciating a clear process through which SLAPPs can be challenged and their merits fairly evaluated in an expedited manner. In doing so, the Act serves the dual purposes of protecting individuals’ rights to petition and speak freely on issues of public interest while, at the same time, protecting the rights of people and entities to file meritorious lawsuits for real injuries.
PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROTECTION ACT

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. This [act] may be cited as the Public Participation Protection Act.

SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS. In this [act]:

(1) “Motion” means any written request to dismiss, for summary judgment, for judgment on the pleadings, to strike, demurrer, or any other judicial pleading filed to dispose of a cause of action.

(2) “Moving party” means a person that files a motion under Section 5.

(3) “Person” means an individual, estate, business or nonprofit entity, or other legal entity. The term does not include a public corporation or government or governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality.

(4) “Responding party” means a person against which a motion is filed under Section 5.

SECTION 3. SUBSTANTIVE NATURE OF [ACT]. The rights protected and remedies provided by this [act] are substantive in nature.

SECTION 4. APPLICABILITY.

(a) In this section:

(1) “Goods or services” does not include the creation, dissemination, or exhibition, or advertisement or other similar promotion, of a dramatic, literary, musical, political, or artistic work, including a motion picture, television program, or matter published on a website or other electronic medium or in a newspaper or magazine of general circulation.

(2) “Official proceeding” means a legislative, executive, judicial, administrative, or other governmental proceeding.

(b) This [act] applies to a civil cause of action:
(1) filed on or after [the effective date of this [act]]; and

(2) brought against a person based on the person’s conduct or communication:

(A) in an official proceeding;

(B) on an issue under consideration or review in an official proceeding; or

(C) exercising the right of free speech, free association, or petition, guaranteed by the United States Constitution or the [state] Constitution, on a matter of public concern.

(c) This [act] does not apply to a cause of action brought:

(1) by a governmental entity to enforce a law or regulation to protect against an imminent threat to public health or safety; or

(2) by a person primarily engaged in the business of selling or leasing goods or services against a person primarily engaged in the business of selling or leasing goods or services, if the conduct or communication on which the cause of action is based arises out of the sale or lease of goods or services.

SECTION 5. MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT.

(a) Not later than [60] days after being served with a [complaint] [petition], crossclaim, counterclaim, or third-party claim that asserts a cause of action to which this [act] applies, or at a later time on a showing of good cause, the person served may file a motion to dispose of the cause of action.

(b) Any motion filed under this Section shall be treated as one for summary judgment.

Legislative Note: A state should use the term “complaint”, “petition”, or both, to describe any procedural means through which a cause of action may be brought. A state should title its motion one to “dismiss” or “strike” given its customs and procedures.
The terms “complaint” and “petition” are intended to include any amended pleadings that assert a cause of action for the first time in a case.

SECTION 6. STAY.

(a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, all proceedings in an action, including discovery and pending hearings or motions, are stayed on the filing of a motion under Section 5. The stay remains in effect until entry of an order ruling on the motion and the conclusion of any appeal of the order or expiration of the time to appeal the order.

(b) On a showing by a party that specified information necessary to meet or oppose a burden imposed by Section 9 is not reasonably available without discovery, the court may allow limited discovery for the purpose of obtaining the information.

(c) The court for good cause may entertain a motion unrelated to a motion under Section 5.

(d) A motion for relief under Section 12 or 13 is not subject to the stay under subsection (a).

Comment

This section should not be construed to affect a court’s ability to hear and rule, upon a finding of good cause, on motions for prejudgment remedies.

SECTION 7. EXPEDITED HEARING.

(a) The court shall hear a motion under Section 5 not later than [60] days after service of the motion, unless the court orders a later hearing:

(1) because of the condition of the court’s docket;

(2) by agreement of the parties;

(3) to allow limited discovery under Section 6(b); or
(4) for other good cause.

(b) If the court orders a later hearing under subsection (a)(3), the court shall hear the motion under Section 5 not later than [60] days after the court issues a ruling allowing for the discovery.

SECTION 8. [NONSUIT] [DISMISSAL].

(a) A voluntary [nonsuit] [dismissal] without prejudice of a responding party’s cause of action does not affect a moving party’s right to obtain a ruling on a motion filed under Section 5 before the [nonsuit] [dismissal].

(b) A voluntary [nonsuit] [dismissal] with prejudice of a responding party’s cause of action entitles the moving party to relief under Section 12 on a motion filed under Section 5 before the [nonsuit] [dismissal].

Comment

Once a motion under Section 5 has been filed, a voluntary [nonsuit] [dismissal] of the responding party’s cause of action does not deprive the court of jurisdiction.

SECTION 9. DISMISSAL. If a moving party establishes that this [act] applies to a cause of action, the court shall dismiss the cause of action with prejudice if:

(1) the responding party fails to establish a genuine issue of material fact as to each essential element of the cause of action; or

(2) the moving party establishes that it is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.

SECTION 10. RULING. The court shall decide a motion under Section 5 not later than [60] days after the hearing under Section 7.

SECTION 11. APPEAL.

(a) An order denying, in whole or in part, a motion under Section 5 is immediately appealable [under [the state’s interlocutory-appeal statute]].
(b) An appellate court shall expedite an appeal, whether interlocutory or not, from a trial

court ruling on a motion under Section 5 or [an original action] [a writ] involving the ruling.

Legislative Note: If a state has a statute specifying instances in which an interlocutory appeal is
permitted, it should cite the statute in this section. This section may require amendment of a
state’s interlocutory appeal statute.

Comment

This section should not be construed to foreclose an interlocutory appeal of an order
granting, in whole or in part, a motion under Section 5, if state law would otherwise permit such
an appeal.

SECTION 12. RELIEF FOR SUCCESSFUL MOVING PARTY. If the moving
party prevails on a motion under Section 5, the court shall award the moving party court costs,
reasonable attorney’s fees, and other reasonable expenses related to the motion.

Comment

The relief provided for by this section includes any court costs, reasonable attorney’s
fees, and any other reasonable expenses associated with filing a motion under this section.

SECTION 13. RELIEF FOR SUCCESSFUL RESPONDING PARTY. If the
responding party prevails on a motion under Section 5 and the court finds that the motion was
frivolous or filed solely with the intent to delay the proceedings, the court shall award the
responding party court costs, reasonable attorney’s fees, and any other reasonable expenses
related to the motion.

SECTION 14. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION. In
applying and construing this uniform act, consideration must be given to the need to promote
uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.

[SECTION 15. SEVERABILITY. If any provision of this [act] or its application to
any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or
applications of this [act] which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application,
and to this end the provisions of this [act] are severable.]

Legislative Note: Include this section only if this state lacks a general severability statute or a decision by the highest court of this state stating a general rule of severability.

[SECTION 16. REPEALS; CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.

(a) . . .

(b) . . .

(c) . . .]

SECTION 17. EFFECTIVE DATE. This [act] takes effect . . . .