DRAFT

FOR DISCUSSION ONLY

ELECTRONIC RECORDATION OF CUSTODIAL INTERROGATIONS ACT

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

Draft for March 2009 Committee Meeting

WITHOUT PREFATORY NOTE OR COMMENTS

Copyright © 2009 By NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

The ideas and conclusions set forth in this draft, including the proposed statutory language and any comments or reporter's notes, have not been passed upon by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Conference and its Commissioners and the Drafting Committee and its Members and Reporter. Proposed statutory language may not be used to ascertain the intent or meaning of any promulgated final statutory proposal.

DRAFTING COMMITTEE ON ELECTRONIC RECORDATION OF CUSTODIAL INTERROGATIONS ACT

The Committee appointed by and representing the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in drafting this Act consists of the following individuals:

DAVID A. GIBSON, P.O. Box 1767, Brattleboro, VT 05302, Chair

RHODA B. BILLINGS, 5525 Williams Rd., Lewisville, NC 27023

W. GRANT CALLOW, 425 G St., Suite 610, Anchorage, AK 99501

W. MICHAEL DUNN, P.O. Box 3701, 1000 Elm St., Manchester, NH 03105

NORMAN L. GREENE, 60 E. 42nd St., 39th Floor, New York, NY 10165-0006

JOHN L. KELLAM, 30 S. Meridian St., Suite 500, Indianapolis, IN 46204

THEODORE C. KRAMER, 42 Park Place, Brattleboro, VT 05301

STEVEN N. LEITESS, One Corporate Center, 10451 Mill Run Circle, Suite 1000, Baltimore, MD 21117

GENIE OHRENSCHALL, 1124 S. 15th St., Las Vegas, NV 89104-1740

J. SAMUEL TENENBAUM, 357 East Chicago Ave., Chicago, IL 60611

RUSSELL G. WALKER, JR., P.O. Box 1285, Asheboro, NC 27204

ANDREW TASITZ, 2900 Van Ness St. NW, Washington, DC 20008, Reporter

EX OFFICIO

MARTHA LEE WALTERS, Oregon Supreme Court, 1163 State St., Salem, OR 97301-2563, President

JACK DAVIES, 1201 Yale Place, Unit #2004, Minneapolis, MN 55403-1961, Division Chair

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ADVISOR

PAUL C. GIANNELLI, Case Western Reserve University School of Law, 11075 East Blvd., Cleveland, OH 44106, ABA Advisor

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

JOHN A. SEBERT, 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010, Chicago, IL 60602, Executive Director

Copies of this Act may be obtained from: NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010 Chicago, Illinois 60602 312/450-6600 www.nccusl.org

ELECTRONIC RECORDATION OF CUSTODIAL INTERROGATIONS ACT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE	1
SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS	1
SECTION 3. ELECTRONIC RECORDING REQUIREMENTS	1
SECTION 4. EXCEPTIONS	3
SECTION 5. REMEDIES	6
SECTION 6. MONITORING REQUIREMENT	9
SECTION 7. HANDLING AND PRESERVATION OF ELECTRONIC RECORDINGS	
SECTION 8. TRAINING	10
[SECTION 9. IMPLEMENTING REGULATIONS OR GENERAL ORDERS]	10
SECTION 10. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION	10
SECTION 11. RELATION TO ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES IN GLOBAL AND	
NATIONAL COMMERCE ACT	11
SECTION 12. REPEALS	
SECTION 13. EFFECTIVE DATE	11

1	ELECTRONIC RECORDATION OF CUSTODIAL INTERROGATIONS ACT
2	
3	SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. This Act may be cited as the Electronic Recordation of
4	Custodial Interrogations Act.
5	SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS.
6	(A) "Place of detention" means a jail, police or sheriff's station, holding cell, correctional
7	or detention facility, or other fixed location where persons may be questioned in connection with
8	criminal charges or juvenile delinquency proceedings.
9	(B) "Custodial interrogation" means any questioning or other conduct by a law
10	enforcement officer that is reasonably likely to elicit incriminating responses and in which a
11	reasonable person in the subject's position would consider himself to be in custody, beginning
12	when a person should have been advised of his Miranda rights and ending when the questioning
13	has completely finished.
14	(C) "Electronic recording" or "electronically recorded" means an audio or audio and
15	visual recording that is an authentic, accurate, unaltered record of a custodial interrogation.
16	(D) "Statement" means an oral, written, sign language, or nonverbal communication.
17	(E) "Law enforcement agency" means any governmental entity whose responsibilities
18	include enforcement of any criminal laws, the investigation of suspected criminal activity, or
19	both.
20	SECTION 3. ELECTRONIC RECORDING REQUIREMENTS.
21	(A) (1) Absent application of one of the exceptions described in section 3 of this Act,
22	all statements made by a person during a custodial interrogation conducted at a place of
23	detention and relating to a felony described in the following sections of the [jurisdiction's name]

1	[Criminal and Juvenile Codes] shall be electronically recorded in their entirety, from the time
2	that interrogation of the subject begins, including the Miranda warning and waiver of the subject,
3	and continues until the time the interrogation ends.: [insert section numbers].
4	(2) [In cities, towns, or villages with a population of over 100,000 residents,] both
5	audio and visual recordings of statements made by a person during a custodial interrogation
6	conducted at a place of detention shall be made.
7	(3) [In cities, towns, or villages with a population under 100,000 residents, audio
8	recording is an acceptable alternative to audio and visual recording.]
9	Alternative A
10	(B) If any part of a custodial interrogation takes place outside of a place of detention,
11	audio recording is an acceptable alternative to audio and visual recording [and shall be done
12	whenever practicable].
13	Alternative B
14	(B) [(1) Law enforcement agencies shall promulgate and enforce regulations
15	governing the manner in which custodial interrogations are to be taken when they occur outside a
16	place of detention.
17	(2) Such regulations shall:
18	(a) encourage law enforcement officers to conduct custodial interrogations
19	only at places of detention absent its being necessary to do otherwise;
20	(b) provide for later electronic recording of the statement; and
21	[(c) further provide that, as soon as practicable, the interrogating officer
22	shall prepare a detailed written account [as well as an electronically recorded one] justifying the
23	decision to interrogate outside a place of detention and summarizing the entire custodial

1	interrogation process.]]
2	End of Alternatives
3	(C) (1) Where electronic recording includes video, the camera shall be simultaneously
4	focused upon both the interrogator and the suspect.
5	(2) The electronic recording must be of sufficient visual quality so that faces,
6	facial expressions, and bodily movements of the suspect and the interrogator(s) are clearly
7	discernible and of sufficient audio clarity so that word content, tone of voice, loudness of speech
8	identity of the speaker, and all other sounds can readily be identified and understood.
9	(D) (1) Law enforcement officers conducting a custodial interrogation at a place of
10	detention are not required to inform a subject that a recording is being made of the custodial
11	interrogation.
12	[(2) Such recordings are exempt from statutory requirements under [insert title
13	and section numbers] that otherwise mandate that a person be informed of, or consent to, his
14	conversations being recorded.]
15	[(3) Such recordings are further exempt from the public records disclosure laws o
16	this state.]
17	SECTION 4. EXCEPTIONS.
18	(A) The requirement of electronic recording imposed by Section 3 does not apply if:
19	(i) A statement made during a custodial interrogation is not recorded because
20	exigent circumstances rendered doing so not feasible and an explanation of the exigent
21	circumstances, where feasible, is electronically recorded before conducting the interrogation and
22	if not feasible, is recorded as soon as practicable thereafter;
23	(ii) A spontaneous statement is made outside the course of a custodial

interrogation;

- 2 (iii) A statement is made in response to questioning that is routinely asked during
- 3 the routine processing of the arrest of the suspect, also known as during the suspect's "booking";
- 4 (iv) A statement is made during a custodial interrogation by a suspect who
- 5 indicated, prior to making the statement, that the suspect would participate in the interrogation
- 6 only if it were not electronically recorded; provided, however, that the agreement to participate
- 7 under that condition is itself electronically recorded;
- 8 (v) A statement is made during a custodial interrogation that is conducted out-of-
- 9 state in compliance with that state's law and without involvement of or connection to an officer
- 10 of this state;
- 11 (vi) A statement is made during a custodial interrogation conducted by federal law
- 12 enforcement in compliance with federal law and without involvement of or connection to an
- officer of this state; [Reporter's note: as an alternative, make exceptions (v) and (vi) into a
- separate, and perhaps more detailed, section on interstate solutions?
- 15 (vii) A statement is given at a time when the subject is not a suspect for the crime
- 16 to which the statement relates while the subject is being interrogated for a different crime that
- does not require electronic recordation;
- 18 (viii) The interrogation during which the statement is given occurs at a time when
- 19 the interrogators have no knowledge that a crime for which electronic recording is required has
- 20 been committed;
- 21 (ix) [The officer conducting the interview or the officer's superior reasonably
- believed that the making of an electronic recording would jeopardize the safety of any officer,
- 23 the suspect being interrogated, or another person, or the identity of a confidential informant, and,

1	if feasible, an explanation for the basis of that belief was electronically recorded at the time of
2	the interview;]
3	(x) [The statement is offered solely to impeach or rebut the defendant's prior
4	[trial] testimony, not as substantive evidence.]
5	(B) Where no such exception applies, electronic recording must occur in the manner
6	described in section 3 of this Act, except that:
7	(i) where audio and video recording are required, audio recording alone is
8	acceptable where technical problems in video recording occur despite adequate maintenance
9	efforts on equipment ordinarily sufficient to make a clear and accurate video and audio recording
10	of the custodial interrogation and where delay to await repair is not feasible.
11	(ii) where either audio and video recording or audio recording alone are required
12	but no recording occurs, or only a portion of the interrogation is recorded, the complete failure to
13	record or the partial failure to record are respectively acceptable only if they occur despite
14	adequate maintenance efforts on equipment ordinarily sufficient to make a clear and accurate
15	recording of whatever nature is ordinarily required by Section 3 of this Act.
16	(iii) [Whenever an interrogating officer conducts a custodial interrogation [at a
17	place of detention]:
18	(a) without electronically recording the interrogation, or
19	(b) only by recording a portion of the interrogation process, or
20	(c) recording only by means of audio when video is also ordinarily
21	required, then
22	the officer shall prepare a detailed written report justifying:
23	(a) the decision not to record, or

1	(b) to record only part of the interrogation process, or
2	(c) to record only via audio.
3	The officer shall prepare that report as soon as reasonably practicable after
4	completing the interrogation and even if the officer has made a contemporaneous electronic
5	account of the justifications.]
6	(C) [The state shall bear the burden of proving by [a preponderance of the evidence][clean
7	and convincing evidence] that one of the exceptions is applicable.]
8	(D) (1) If the state intends to rely on any of the exceptions set forth in subsections A
9	or B of this Section in offering a defendant's statement that does not comply with the electronic
10	recording requirements set forth in Section 3 of this Act, the State shall furnish a written notice
11	of that intent.
12	(2) The notice shall state the specific place and time at which the defendant made
13	the statement and the specific exception or exceptions upon which the state intends to rely.
14	(3) The prosecutor shall, on written demand, furnish the defendant or defendant's
15	attorney with the name and address of the witnesses upon whom the state plans to rely to
16	establish one of the exceptions set forth in subsections (A) or (B) of this Section.
17	(4) The trial court shall then hold a hearing to determine whether one of the
18	exceptions applies.
19	SECTION 5. REMEDIES.
20	(A) The failure to electronically record a custodial interrogation in its entirety shall,
21	absent application of one of the exceptions listed in section 4(A), be a factor for consideration by
22	the trial court in determining the admissibility of a statement on the grounds that it was not
23	voluntarily made or that it was not reliable or both.

(B) In the event the government offers a statement into evidence that does not comply with the requirements set forth in section 2 of this [Act] and the prosecutor has not established by [a preponderance of the evidence][clear and convincing evidence] that an exception listed in section 4 is applicable, the trial judge shall, upon request of the defendant, provide the jury with the following cautionary instructions, with changes that are necessary for consistency with the evidence:

State law required that the interview of the defendant by law enforcement officers which took place on [insert date] at [insert place] was to be electronically recorded, from beginning to end. The purpose of this requirement is to ensure that you jurors will have before you a complete, unaltered, and precise record of the circumstances under which the interview was conducted, and what was said and done by each of the persons present.

In this case, the law enforcement agents did not comply with that law. They did not make an electronic recording of the interview of the defendant. [They made an electronic recording that did not include the entire process of interviewing the defendant, from start to finish.] No justification for not complying with the statute has been presented to the court. Instead of an electronic recording, you have been presented with testimony as to what took place, based upon the recollections of law enforcement personnel [and the defendant]. [Instead of a complete record of the entire process of interviewing the defendant, they have left you with only a partial record of events.]

Therefore, I must give you the following special instructions about your consideration of the evidence concerning that interview.

Because the interview was not electronically recorded as required by our law, you have not been provided the most reliable evidence as to what was said and done by the participants. You cannot hear the exact words used by the participants, or the tone or inflection of their voices. [Because the interview process was not electronically recorded in its entirety as required by law, you

have not been provided with the most reliable and complete evidence of what was said and done by the participants].

Accordingly, as you go about determining what occurred during the interview, you should give special attention to whether you are satisfied that what was said and done has been accurately [and completely] reported by the participants, including testimony as to statements attributed by law enforcement witnesses to the defendant. It is for you, the jury, to decide whether the statement was made and to determine what weight, if any, to give to the statement.

- (C) [In the absence of electronic recording and of an exception to the electronic recording mandate for custodial interrogations, the court shall, in an appropriate case, permit expert testimony at trial concerning the factors that may affect the voluntariness and reliability of a statement made during a custodial interrogation; the existence of the recording mandate; and how and why recording can raise the probabilities that a statement is both voluntary and reliable and can aid a jury in making its independent assessment of those matters.]
- (D) Any law enforcement agency that has adopted, implemented, and enforced regulations reasonably designed to ensure compliance with the terms of this Act[, and any law enforcement officer of such an agency who has complied with those regulations,] shall have a complete defense to any civil suit for damages allegedly arising from violation of any provision of this Act. Such regulations shall provide for adequate equipment, training, internal discipline, and accountability to promote compliance with the provisions of this Act[, including by specifically addressing the matters identified in section 9 of this Act.]
- (E) Each law enforcement agency within this state shall promulgate and enforce regulations providing for internal discipline of any officer found by a court or by a supervisory official of that agency to have violated any provision of this Act.[Such regulations shall provide a range of disciplinary sanctions, including [insert later]. One relevant consideration in

- determining the appropriate sanction shall be whether the officer's failure to comply with any
- 2 provision of this act was done negligently, recklessly, knowingly, or purposely. The regulations
- 3 may not impose internal discipline for any failure to comply with any provision of this Act that
- 4 was not at least negligent.]
- 5 **SECTION 6. MONITORING REQUIREMENT.** [[Compliance with the electronic
- 6 recording requirement shall be monitored by the Judicial Council [or analogous [State] law
- 7 enforcement practice committee]].

SECTION 7. HANDLING AND PRESERVATION OF ELECTRONIC

RECORDINGS.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- (A) Every electronic recording of a custodial interrogation shall be clearly identified and catalogued by law enforcement personnel.
- (B) If a criminal or juvenile delinquency proceeding is brought against a person who was the subject of an electronically recorded custodial interrogation, the electronic recording shall be preserved by law enforcement personnel until all appeals, post-conviction, and habeas corpus proceedings are final and concluded, or the time within which such proceedings must be brought has expired.
- (C) Upon motion by the defendant, the court may order that a copy of the recording be preserved for any period beyond the expiration of all appeals.
- (D) If no criminal or juvenile delinquency proceeding is brought against a person who has been the subject of an electronically recorded custodial interrogation, the related electronic recording shall be preserved by law enforcement personnel until all applicable state and federal statutes of limitations bar prosecution of the person. [Should we provide times for destruction of such recordings?]

SECTION 8. TRAINING. Each law enforcement agency subject to the provisions of
this Act shall initiate, administer, and conduct training programs for permanent police officers,
part-time police officers, and recruits on the methods and technical aspects of conducting
electronic recordings of custodial interrogations at places of detention consistent with the terms
of this Act and of any internal police regulations on this subject.
[SECTION 9. IMPLEMENTING REGULATIONS OR GENERAL ORDERS.
Each law enforcement agency subject to the provisions of this Act [alternatively, each state
agency charged with statewide and local enforcement of this Act] shall promulgate and enforce a
general order or implementing regulation [consistent with the terms of this Act] [that shall, at a
minimum, include the following matters:
(1) mandates for detailed data collection within, and review by superiors within, each law
enforcement agency;
(2) clear, specific assignments of supervisory responsibilities to specific individuals and a
clear chain of command to promote internal accountability;
(3) a mandated system of explanation for procedural deviations and administrative
sanctions for those that are not justified;
(4) a mandated supervisory system expressly imposing on specific individuals a duty of
ensuring adequate manpower, education, and material resources to do the job; and
(5) a mandated system for monitoring the chain of custody and responding to prosecutor
and defense counsel evidence and informational requests to ensure responsiveness to the needs
of the judicial branch, and to translate police action into reliable evidence ready for efficient use
by the courts and by lawyers in both trial and pre-trial proceedings.]]

SECTION 10. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION. In

- 1 applying and construing this uniform act consideration must be given to the need to promote
- 2 uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.

3 SECTION 11. RELATION TO ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES IN GLOBAL AND

- 4 NATIONAL COMMERCE ACT. This act modifies, limits, and supersedes the federal
- 5 Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 7001, et seq.,
- 6 but does not modify, limit or supersede Section 101(c) of that act, 15 U.S.C. Section 7001(c), or
- 7 authorize electronic delivery of any of the notices described in Section 103(b) of that act, 15
- 8 U.S.C. Section 7003(b).
- 9 **SECTION 12. REPEALS.** The following acts and parts of acts are repealed: [insert
- title and section numbers].
- 11 **SECTION 13. EFFECTIVE DATE.** This Act takes effect on [date].