DRAFT

FOR APPROVAL DISCUSSION

UNIFORM CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

MEETING IN ITS ONE-HUNDRED-AND-TWENTY-SIXTH YEAR SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA JULY 14 – JULY 20, 2017

UNIFORM CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT

February 16-17, 2018 Drafting Committee Meeting

Copyright © 20172018

By

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS

ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

The ideas and conclusions set forth in this draft, including the proposed statutory language and any comments or reporter's notes, have not been passed upon by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws or the Drafting Committee. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Conference and its Commissioners and the Drafting Committee and its Members and Reporter. Proposed statutory language may not be used to ascertain the intent or meaning of any promulgated final statutory proposal.

DRAFTING COMMITTEE ON UNIFORM CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT

The Committee appointed by and representing the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in preparing this Act consists of the following individuals:

ROBERT J. TENNESSEN, 2522 Thomas Ave. S., Minneapolis, MN 55405, Chair

W. MICHAEL DUNN, 62 Ridge Rd. Concord, NH 03301

MARK F. GLASER, 54 State St., 6th Floor, Albany, NY 12207

ERIC HOUGLAND, Texas Legislative Council, P.O. Box 12128, Austin, TX 78711-2128

JAMES C. KENNEDY, Massachusetts House of Representatives, State House, Room 139, Boston, MA 02133

H. LANE KNEEDLER, Office of the Attorney General of Virginia, 202 N. 9th St., Richmond, VA 23219

THEODORE C. KRAMER, 42 Park Pl., Brattleboro, VT 05301

JOHN J. MCAVOY, 3110 Brandywine St. NW, Washington, DC 20008

LOUISE M. NADEAU, Connecticut General Assembly, Legislative Office Bldg., Room 5500, Hartford, CT 06106-1591

ANNE H. REIGLE, Court of Common Pleas, Kent County Courthouse, 38 The Green, Dover, DE 19901-3602

JACOB T. RODENBIKER, 2037 Rose Creek Blvd S., Fargo, ND 58104-6878

MICHAEL S. SCHWOYER, Pennsylvania House of Representatives, Room 423, Main Capitol Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120

BRANDON C. SHAFFER, 1154 Twin Peaks Cir., Longmont, CO 80503

SAMUEL A. THUMMA, Arizona Court of Appeals, State Courts Bldg., 1501 W. Washington St., Phoenix, AZ 85007

RUSSELL G. WALKER, P.O. Box 2422, Jamestown, NC 27282

STEVEN L. CHANENSON, Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law, 299 N. Spring Mill Rd., Villanova, PA 19085, *Reporter*

JORDAN M. HYATT, Drexel University, Department of Criminology & Justice Studies, 3141 Chestnut St., Philadelphia, PA 19104, *Associate Reporter*

EX OFFICIO

RICHARD T. CASSIDY, 1233 Shelburne Rd., Suite D5, South Burlington, VT 05403-7753,
——President

ANITA RAMASASTRY, University of Washington School of Law, William H. Gates Hall, Box 353020, Seattle, WA 98195-3020, *President*

<u>CAM WARD, 124 Newgate Rd., Alabaster, AL 35007H. LANE KNEEDLER, Office of the Attorney General of Virginia, 202 N. 9th St., Richmond, VA 23219, Division Chair</u>

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ADVISORS

STEVE SALTZBURG, George Washington University Law School, 2000 H St. NW, Washington, DC 20052-0026, *ABA Advisor*

MICHAEL AISENBERG, 7515 Colshire Dr., MS T320, McLean, VA 22102-7538, ABA Section Advisor

STEPHANIE DOMITROVICH, <u>Erie County Courthouse</u>, 140 W. 6th St., Room 223, Erie, PA 16501-1030, *ABA Section Advisor*

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

LIZA KARSAI, 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010, Chicago, IL 60602, *Executive Director* Copies of this Act may be obtained from:

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010 Chicago, Illinois 60602 312/450-6600 www.uniformlaws.org

UNIFORM CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[ARTICLE] 1

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SECTION 101.	SHORT TITLE.	1
SECTION 102.	DEFINITIONS.	1
SECTION 103.	APPLICABILITY	7
SECTION 104.	PUBLIC RECORD.	7
SECTION 105.	DISSEMINATION LOG.	7
	[ARTICLE] 2	
	CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE AGENCY	
	COLLECTION AND SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION TO CENTRAL	_
	TORY	
	COLLECTION AND SUBMISSION OF BIOMETRIC INFORMATION 9 CORRECTION OF INACCURATE INFORMATION BY CONTRIBUTING	J
	E AGENCY	7
	DISSEMINATION OF CRIMINAL-HISTORY-RECORD INFORMATION. 13	
	DISSEMINATION OF CRIMINAL INSTORT RECORD BY ORGANITION 12	
	[ARTICLE] 3	
	CENTRAL REPOSITORY	
SECTION 301.	DUTY OF CENTRAL REPOSITORY	4
SECTION 302.	DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION TO PERSON OTHER THAN	
SUBJEC	T	5
SECTION 303.	DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION TO SUBJECT 16	5
	DISSEMINATION LOG OF CENTRAL REPOSITORY 16	_
	CORRECTION OF INACCURATE INFORMATION 16	-
	ADOPTION OF RULES	7
	DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION FOR STATISTICAL OR	_
	RCH PURPOSE	
	PUBLIC INFORMATION	
SECTION 309.	TRAINING	J

[ARTICLE] 4

MISTAKEN-IDENTITY-PREVENTION REGISTRY

SECTION 401.	CREATION AND MAINTENANCE OF REGISTRY	21
SECTION 402.	REQUIREMENTS FOR REGISTRY.	22
SECTION 403.	CERTIFICATION.	22
	DISSEMINATION OF REGISTRY INFORMATION	
	VERIFICATION OF IDENTITY	
SECTION 406.	LIMITATION ON USE OF REGISTRY INFORMATION.	23
SECTION 407.	REMOVAL OF INFORMATION FROM REGISTRY	24
	[ARTICLE] 5	
CORI	RECTION OF CRIMINAL-HISTORY-RECORD INFORMATION	
SECTION 501	ACCESS AND REVIEW.	25
	TICCLES THE TIE VIEW	
	REVIEW OF REQUEST.	
SECTION 504.	CORRECTION OF RECORDS	26
	[ARTICLE] 6	
	SYSTEMS SECURITY AND AUDITS	
SECTION 601.	SECURITY REQUIREMENTS.	28
SECTION 602.	AUDIT.	29
	[ARTICLE] 7	
	ENFORCEMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION	
SECTION 701	SANCTIONS AND REMEDIES	32
	DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF [RESPONSIBLE AGENCY OR	
	DUAL]	33
	[ARTICLE] 8	
	MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS	
	UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION	
	. SEVERABILITY.]	
	REPEALS; CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.	
SECTION 804.	EFFECTIVE DATE.	36

1	UNIFORM CRIMINAL RECORDS ACCURACY ACT
2	[ARTICLE] 1
3	GENERAL PROVISIONS
4	SECTION 101. SHORT TITLE. This [act] may be cited as the Uniform Criminal
5	Records Accuracy Act.
6 7	<u>Comment</u>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Principles. This [act] is premised on three principles: Society at large has a vital interest in the accuracy of criminal history record information. Subjects are entitled to have the information kept about them under this [act] be accurate criminal history record information. The government has an obligation to collect, maintain, store, and disseminate accurate criminal history record information.
16	SECTION 102. DEFINITIONS. In this [act]:
17	(1) "Accurate criminal_history_record information" means criminal_history_record
18	information that correctly reflects all reportable events relating to a subject.
19	(2) "Administration of criminal justice" means detection, apprehension, detention,
20	pretrial release, post-trial release, prosecution, adjudication, correctional supervision, or
21	rehabilitation of an accused individual or criminal offender.a subject. The term includes
22	criminal_identification activities and the collection, storage, maintenance, storage, and
23	dissemination of criminal_history_record information.
24	(3) "Biometric information" means <u>fingerprints as</u> a unique <u>attribute biological or physical</u>
25	characteristic of an individual used for identification, including fingerprints.
26	(4) "Central repository" means the single, coordinating entity operated byof this state
27	with the [applicable entity]duty to collect, store, maintain, store, and disseminate criminal_
28	history-record information.

1	(5) Contributing justice agency means a court, pontical subdivision of agent of a
2	political subdivision, or governing entity of thethis state which is authorized to engage in the
3	administration of criminal justice. The term does not include the central repository.
4	(6) "Criminal_history record information" means information collected, maintained,
5	stored, or disseminated by a contributing justice agency, a repository, or the central repository,
6	consisting of <u>an</u> identifiable <u>descriptions</u> of a subject, including biometric
7	information, and notationsnotation of a reportable event. The term does not include non-criminal
8	noncriminal-history-record information.
9	(7) "Dissemination" means oral, written, or electronic transmission or other disclosure of
10	criminal_history_record information to a person other than the central repository.
11	(8) "Non-criminal Noncriminal-history-record information" means information collected:
12	(A) as a result of an inquiry about an activity, habit, practice, possession,
13	association, or financial status of an individual, collected; and
14	(B) to anticipate, prevent, monitor, investigate, or prosecute criminal activity.
15	(9) "Person" means an individual, estate, business or nonprofit entity, public corporation,
16	government or governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality, or other legal entity.
17	(10) "Reportable event" means any of the following relating to a felony or misdemeanor
18	criminal offense, other than a [noncriminal offense,] [summary offense,] [petty offense,] traffic
19	violation, or offense under [insert citation to juvenile law of this state]:
20	(10) "Reportable event" means any of the following occurrences relating to a felony,
21	gross misdemeanor, or misdemeanor criminal offense, but not a [noncriminal offense,] [summary
22	offense,] [petty offense,] traffic violation[, or offense under the [juvenile law]]:
23	(A) arrest resulting in booking into a detention facility or collection of biometric

1	information;
2	(B) disposition after an arrest without initiation of a criminal proceeding;
3	(C) initiation of a criminal proceeding;
4	(D) disposition of a criminal proceeding, including diversion, dismissal, indefinite
5	postponement, acquittal, guilty plea, conviction, sentencing, and modification, reversal, and
6	revocation of the disposition;
7	(E) commitment to or release from a place of detention or custodial supervision;
8	(F) commencement or conclusion of noncustodial supervision;
9	(G) completion of a sentence;
10	(H) expungement, sealing, or setting -aside of criminal_history_record
11	information;
12	(I) grant of clemency, including pardon or commutation, or restoration of rights;
13	and
14	(J) finding of mental incompetence by a court of this state at any stage of a
15	criminal proceeding.
16	(11) "Repository" means an entity operated by a contributing justice agency which
17	collects, stores, maintains, stores, or disseminates criminal_history_record information.
18	(12) "State" means a state of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the
19	United States Virgin Islands, or any other territory or insular possession subject to the
20	jurisdiction of the United States. The term includes a federally recognized Indian tribe.
21	(13) "Subject" means the individual toabout whom the substance of criminal_history_
22	record information refers is collected, stored, maintained, or disseminated in this state.
23	SECTION 103. APPLICABILITY. This [act] applies to the central repository, each

1	repository and contributing justice agency in this state, and each subject about whom criminal
2	history record information is collected, maintained, stored, or disseminated in this state.
3	SECTION 104. PUBLIC RECORD. In this [act], a court docket, court file, and
4	information contained in a docket or file, are public records unless otherwise provided by law or
5	ordered by a court.
6	SECTION 105. DISSEMINATION LOG. A dissemination log shall list each request
7	for, and dissemination of, criminal history record information. The log shall be separate from
8	non-criminal history record information and criminal history record information itself, and shall
9	include the:
10	(1) information requested;
11	(2)(1)_information disseminated;
12	(3)(1) person making the request and its associated address;
13	(4)(1) person-effectuating the dissemination;
14	(5)(1)_date of the request and of the dissemination; and
15	(6)(1) purpose for which the information was requested or disseminated.
16	Legislative Note: Role of courts. Courts are included in the definition of a "contributing justice"
17	agency" in Section 102(5) because their participation is crucial to the success of any effort to
18	promote the accuracy of criminal records. Concerns have been raised, however, about
19	separation of powers principles. The inclusion of courts in this definition means that, pursuant
20	to later provisions of the act, courts will be required to submit information about
21	reportable Reportable events to the central repository. If an relate to offenses that are typically
22	categorized as felonies and misdemeanors. Some jurisdictions have other categories of offenses
23	that merit inclusion, such as "gross misdemeanors" This is a decision best left to the adopting
24	state is concerned about including courts, it is possible to add language either exempting the
25 26	courts or allowing the courts themselves to opt-out later. Statutory language authorizing a judicial opt-out could take this form: "The [state Supreme Court], or a judicial entity authorized
20 27	to act on its behalf, may remove the courts of this state from this sub-section under its
28	rulemaking authority." The drafters, however, strongly urge that courts remain within the ambit
29	of the contributing justice agency definition to the extent constitutionally permissible. Including
30	courts as contributing justice agencies will materially enhance the accuracy of criminal history
31	record information.
32 33	Pasnonsible agancy or individual. The discussion note on contributing justice agancy infra
34	Responsible agency or individual. The discussion note on contributing justice agency, infra, introduces the concept of a The phrase "responsible agency or individual." This phrase "is phrase" is
) 	initional state of the time of the principle of the sports

used in various places in the comments this section and in brackets in the text of the act itself. The drafters intend it Sections 205, 301, 601, 701, and 702 to mean the appropriate state agency or individual charged with certain responsibilities under this act. It is most commonly designed to signal a situation when a senior criminal justice policy maker—either in the form of a The responsible agency or individual — needs to adopt a regulation or make a decision that is best served by honoring the principle of checks-and-balances. That is, the regulations should be adopted by an entity or individual outside of the day-to-day operation of the criminal history system. The central repository is a perfectly appropriate entity to create general operational rules and no one is impugning the integrity of the individuals within the criminal history system. This is simply a structural point.need not be the same agency or individual each time the phrase <u>is used.</u> In some states, the "responsible agency or individual" may be the Attorney General or the Office of the Attorney General. In other states, it will be a different actor or entity. It need not be filled by the same person or agency each time it is used. That is a decision best left to the adopting state. The phrase "responsible agency or individual," allows for each state to fill this position appropriately A state should designate the appropriate agency or individual in light of its own constitutional structure and political landscape. Ideally, in the states that use the Attorney General position as the chief law enforcement officer and "minister of justice," the Attorney General is best suited to fulfill these duties.

Public records. Section 104 is designed to ensure that this act is not interpreted as limiting access to court records. It provides that information in court dockets and files not under seal, etc. remain public records to the extent provided by existing law. The drafters encourage adopting states to examine their public records acts to determine whether conforming revisions are required.

Comment

Principles. This [act] is premised on three principles:

- (1) Society at large has a vital interest in the accuracy of criminal history record information.
- (2)(1) Subjects are entitled to have the information kept about them under this [act] be accurate criminal history record information.
- (3)(1) The government has an obligation to collect, maintain, store, and disseminate accurate criminal history record information.

Comment

Administration of criminal justice. The definition of "administration of criminal justice" is largely based upon the language found in 28 C.F.R. §20.3.

Biometric information. The definition of "biometric information" is designed limited to

allow the act to adapt automatically as technology evolves. Right now, fingerprints, which are the gold standard for ensuring that a particular personsubject is linked to the correct and complete arrest and disposition information. That may change and The use of the definition should be able to accommodate such changes. In light of its characteristics, DNA information falls within the scope of term "biometric information, though" emphasizes the importance of using something beyond an exact or approximate name match to ensure the accuracy of criminal-history record information during the record location, linking and retrieval processes. Using the term "biometric information" also allows a legislature to retain this is not made explicit term if, at some point in the textfuture, it decides that technology has evolved to the point where an equally reliable biometric identification technique is appropriate to include. Biometric information is available from all subjects because the criminal-history-record information maintained pursuant to this act relates exclusively to individuals. This is consistent with current practice.

1 2

Central repository. The definition of "central repository" leaves to the adopting state the decision whether this is a police function, often through the state police, or an independent function. Given the central repository's coordinating role, the definition does require the central repository to be operationally independent from contributing justice agencies or other repositories, although it can exist within the structure of an agency, such as the state police, that also contains a separate repository.

Contributing justice agency. The definition of "contributing justice agency" is intentionally broad. The goal is to widely distribute the duty to provide information on "reportable events" – such as arrests, charges, and dispositions of all types – to the central repository. This also allows for the collection and inclusion of reportable event and biometric information throughout the process of adjudication and punishment, thereby allowing for multiple opportunities to collect data and resolve issues. The term includes an organized state or municipal police department, sheriff's department, local detention facility or department, county, regional or state correctional facility or department, probation agency, office of Attorney General, district or prosecuting attorney, court with criminal jurisdiction, parole board, pardon board, and any agency or sub-unit designated as a contributing justice agency by the responsible agency or individual.

 Courts are included in a "contributing justice agency" because their participation is crucial to the success of the effort to promote the accuracy of criminal records. An adopting state concerned about including courts because of separation of powers concerns may want to add language either exempting courts or allowing courts themselves to opt-out. Statutory language authorizing a judicial opt-out could take this form: "The [state Supreme Court], or a judicial entity authorized to act on its behalf, may remove the courts of this state from this subsection under its rulemaking authority." — Non-The court should be included as a contributing justice agency to the extent constitutionally permissible. Including courts will materially enhance the accuracy of criminal-history-record information.

Noncriminal-history-record information. This definition clarifies that information may be held by a contributing justice agency that is not deemed to be criminal_history_record information.

1 Reportable event. The definition of a "reportable event" is designed to capture all of the 2 significant moments in the life of a criminal case that future actors in the criminal justice system 3 would want to know about that defendant and that case. Though the nomenclature may vary by 4 jurisdiction, these are almost universal in their presence and importance. 5 6 Reportable Event – Disposition. The definition examples of disposition is designed to 7 be inclusive yet manageable. If more detail is desired, adopting states could include the current 8 definition found at 28 CFR § 20.3(i), which provides: 9 Disposition means information disclosing that criminal proceedings have been concluded 10 and the nature of the termination, including information disclosing that the police have elected not to refer a matter to a prosecutor or that a prosecutor has elected not to 11 commence criminal proceedings; or disclosing that proceedings have been indefinitely 12 13 postponed and the reason for such postponement. Dispositions shall include, but shall not 14 be limited to, acquittal, acquittal by reason of insanity, acquittal by reason of mental incompetence, case continued without finding, charge dismissed, charge dismissed due to 15 16 insanity, charge dismissed due to mental incompetency, charge still pending due to 17 insanity, charge still pending due to mental incompetency, guilty plea, nolle prosequi, no paper, nolo contendere plea, convicted, youthful offender determination, deceased, 18 19 deferred disposition, dismissed-civil action, found insane, found mentally incompetent, 20 pardoned, probation before conviction, sentence commuted, adjudication withheld, mistrial-defendant discharged, executive clemency, placed on probation, paroled, or 21 22 released from correction supervision. 23 http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2002-title28-vol1/pdf/CFR-2002-title28-vol1-sec20-3.pdf. 24 25 **SECTION 103. APPLICABILITY.** This [act] applies to the central repository, each 26 repository and contributing justice agency, and each subject in this state. 27 **SECTION 104. PUBLIC RECORD.** In this [act], a court docket, court file, and information contained in a docket or file, are public records unless otherwise provided by law 28 29 other than this [act] or ordered by a court. 30 Legislative Note: This section is designed to ensure that this act is not interpreted as limiting access to court records. It provides that information in court dockets and files not under 31 seal, remain public records to the extent provided by existing law. An adopting state should 32 33 examine its public records act to determine whether conforming revisions are required. 34 35 SECTION 105. DISSEMINATION LOG. A dissemination log under Section 205 or 36 304 must include each request for and dissemination of criminal-history-record information. The log must be separate from noncriminal-history-record information and criminal-history-record 37

38

information, and must include the:

1	(1) information requested;
2	(2) information disseminated;
3	(3) person making the request and its associated address;
4	(4) individual effectuating the dissemination;
5	(5) date of the request and of the dissemination; and
6	(6) purpose for which the information was requested or disseminated.
7 8 9 10 11	Legislative Note: A dissemination log should be maintained as long as the associated criminal-history-record information is maintained. In a jurisdiction in which records retention provisions address this issue, the language specifying duration of record maintenance should be adjusted appropriately.
12	<u>Comment</u>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Dissemination logs. The drafters anticipate that the public will have access to dissemination logs in a fashion consistent with existing public records laws in the jurisdiction. A dissemination log should be maintained as long as the associated criminal history record criminal-history-record information is maintained. In a jurisdiction in which existing records retention provisions already speak to this issue the language specifying duration of record maintenance should be adjusted appropriately.
21	[ARTICLE] 2
22	DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE AGENCY
23	SECTION 201. COLLECTION AND SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION TO
24	CENTRAL REPOSITORY. Each A contributing justice agency that has custody of; or control,
25	authority, or jurisdiction over, an individual for an occurrence that is a reportable event shall
26	collect, maintain, and store in its repository <u>criminal-history-record</u> information on the <u>reportable</u>
27	event, and not later than [five] days after the agency receives the information, submit the
28	information to the central repository.
29	event. Not later than [five] days after the agency collects the information, the agency shall
30	submit the information to the central repository.

SECTION 202. COLLECTION AND SUBMISSION OF BIOMETRIC 1 2 INFORMATION. 3 (a) Each contributing justice agency that has custody of, or control, authority, or 4 jurisdiction over, an individual for an occurrence that is a reportable event shall determine or, 5 under the circumstances, cause to be determined whether biometric information about the 6 individual washas been collected and submitted to the central repository for the occurrence vent. 7 If the contributing justice agency is a court, the contributing justice agency representing thethis 8 state before the court shall make the determination and report the results of its determination to 9 the court. 10 (b) If a contributing justice agency determines under subsection (a) that biometric 11 information washas not previously been submitted to the central repository, the individual shall 12 permit collection of biometric information. The agency shall, using any legal procedure available to it including a court order if authorized, shall collect or, under the circumstances, 13 14 cause to be collected any previously uncollected biometric information, and not. Not later than 15 [five] days after collection, the agency shall submit the information or cause it to be submitted to

SECTION 293.—CORRECTION OF INACCURATE INFORMATION. (a) A contributing justice agency shall maintain accurate criminal history record information in its repository in compliance with rules prescribed by the central repository. (b) A contributing justice agency shall, not later than [14] days after discovery that it possesses inaccurate criminal history record information in its repository: (1) correct its own records;

the central repository.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

(2) notify all persons, including the central repository, that submitted or received

1	the inaccurate information for a criminal justice purpose of the inaccuracy and the required
2	correction; and
3	(3) on request of the subject:
4	(A) disseminate a notice about the inaccuracy and the required correction
5	to any person identified by the subject that received the inaccurate information for a non-criminal
6	justice purpose within the previous five years; and
7	(B) provide to the subject at no cost one official, corrected copy of the
8	accurate information.
9	SECTION 204. DISSEMINATION OF CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD
10	INFORMATION.
11	(a) A contributing justice agency may disseminate criminal history record information
12	only as provided in this [act] or by law other than this [act].
13	(b) A contributing justice agency may disseminate criminal history record information to
14	another contributing justice agency on request of the other agency in connection with the
15	requesting agency's duties.
16	SECTION 205. DISSEMINATION LOG OF CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE
17	AGENCY. A contributing justice agency shall create, maintain, and store a dissemination log,
18	in the manner and form directed by the [responsible agency or individual]. A dissemination of
19	criminal history record information shall be entered in the dissemination log not later than [14]
20	days after the information is disseminated. The agency shall maintain an entry in the log [as long
21	as it maintains the associated information].
22 23 24 25	Legislative Note: Responsible agency or individual. As discussed supra, the phrase "responsible agency or individual" is used in various places in the comments and in brackets in the text of the act itself, including in this article. The drafters intend it to mean the appropriate state agency or individual charged with certain responsibilities under this act. It is most

commonly designed to signal a situation when a senior criminal justice policy maker—either in the form of a responsible agency or individual—needs to adopt a regulation or make a decision that is best served by honoring the principle of checks and balances. That is, the regulations should be adopted by an entity or individual outside of the day to day operation of the criminal history system. The central repository is a perfectly appropriate entity to create general operational rules and no one is impugning the integrity of the individuals within the criminal history system. This is simply a structural point. In some states, the "responsible agency or individual" may be the Attorney General or the Office of the Attorney General. In other states, it will be a different actor or entity. It need not be filled by the same person or agency each time it is used. That is a decision best left to the adopting state. The phrase "responsible agency or individual," allows for each state to fill this position appropriately in light of its own constitutional structure and political landscape. Ideally, in the states that use the Attorney General position as the chief law enforcement officer and "minister of justice," the Attorney General is best suited to fulfill these duties.

1 2

Maintaining dissemination logs. The drafters prefer that dissemination logs be maintained as long as the associated criminal history record information is maintained. Recognizing, however, that existing records retention provisions in certain jurisdictions may already speak to this general issue, the language specifying duration of record maintenance is placed in brackets.

Comment

Mandatory duty to collect biometric information. Widely distributing a mandatory duty to collect biometric information is vital to the effectiveness of the act. Biometric information, in the form of fingerprints, is currently the gold standard for positive identification for law enforcement purposes. If this information is not used to link an arrest to a charge to a disposition, significant inaccuracies may and do result. In most cases, close matches using name and date of birth are the alternative. This is a clear and common source of inaccuracies — both in the failure to link related reportable events and in the misidentification of the subject. The act puts the primary responsibility for this task on the arresting contributing justice agency, which will typically be a police department. The lack of collection on the "front line" of processing is a significant impediment to the accuracy of criminal records. In some jurisdictions, this appears to be unrelated to funding for equipment, and instead turns on the enforcement of mandatory collection procedures. See, e.g., Jeffrey Benzing, Fingerprint Hearing: Best and Worst Pa. Counties Have Same Equipment, available at http://www.pennlive.com/midstate/index.ssf/2014/07/fingerprint hearing best and w.html (July 23, 2014).

Accuracy Improvement. Nothing in the act is designed to expand the ability of the government to collect information to which contributing justice agencies are not otherwise entitled to collect. The goal of the act is to ensure that the information that jurisdictions do collect is done in a regular and reliable way that improves the accuracy of the criminal-history-record system.

Collection of Biometric Information. Section 202 requires individuals to permit the

collection of their biometric information if it is determined at any point after the occurrence of a reportable event that biometric information for that individual is missing. Not only does this section put the obligation on individuals to provide biometric information, it makes that obligation enforceable by court order. The drafters believe that this approach may avoid potential separation-of-powers issues that could have arisen in some jurisdictions if the act directed courts to obtain this information directly. This section is designed to include, *inter alia*, when an individual, who has not been arrested, is charged by complaint, information, or indictment and appears in court pursuant to summons. The drafters encourage judges in these situations to make providing biometric information a condition of any pretrial release.

Duty of other contributing justice agencies. If the arresting agency fails to obtain fingerprints, the act provides a backstop by requiring other, typically chronologically downstream, actors in the contributing justice system to fingerprint the subject. The drafters believe that this "belt-and-suspenders approach" is warranted given the wide variation of fingerprint compliance rates between and within states. For example, the drafters learned that in one large state, there are tens of thousands – if not hundreds of thousands – of essentially orphaned files in the central repository because disposition information cannot be definitively linked by fingerprint to a subject. Furthermore, in that state, the state prison receiving center felt the need to install a Livescan machine (a common biometric data collection station) because of the number of sentenced offenders arriving without fingerprints in the system.

Duty to report reportable events. The act requires contributing justice agencies to submit information on reportable events – such as arrests, charges, convictions, sentences, commitments, etc. – with which they were involved to the central repository. If followed, this mandate should go a long way towards solving the problem of missing dispositions. The act takes a "belt-and-suspenders approach" by putting this responsibility broadly on multiple actors, even if that means some duplicative reporting to the central repository. Given the large and growing use of electronic records, this should not be burdensome on the contributing justice agencies and may help to reduce inaccuracies.

SECTION 203. CORRECTION OF INACCURATE INFORMATION BY

CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE AGENCY.

- (a) A contributing justice agency shall maintain accurate criminal-history-record
- 33 <u>information in its repository in compliance with rules adopted by the central repository.</u>
- 34 (b) Not later than [14] days after a contributing justice agency discovers that it possesses
- inaccurate criminal-history-record information in its repository, the agency shall:
- (1) correct its own records;
- 37 (2) notify all persons, including the central repository, that submitted or received
- 38 the inaccurate information for a purpose of administration of criminal justice of the inaccuracy

1	and the required correction; and
2	(3) on request of the subject:
3	(A) disseminate notice about the inaccuracy and the required correction to
4	any person identified by the subject which received the inaccurate information for a purpose
5	other than administration of criminal justice within five years before the discovery; and
6	(B) provide to the subject at no cost one official, corrected copy of the
7	accurate information.
8	<u>Comment</u>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Duty to correct. Duty to correct.—The act requires a contributing justice agency (and in a later provision the central repository) that learns of inaccurate criminal-history-record information – regardless of how it learns of it – to fix it in its own records and to pass along the corrected information to whomever it has provided the inaccurate information. That latter process is facilitated by the maintenance of dissemination logs. Thoroughly tracking the information will allow for more effective correction, as well as providing essential process data for the audit, discussed infra. Accuracy remains the overriding goal of the act. history record information—regardless of how it learns of it – to fix it in its own records and to pass along the corrected information to whomever it has provided the inaccurate information. That latter process is facilitated by the maintenance of dissemination logs. Thoroughly tracking the information will allow for more effective correction, as well as providing essential process data for the audit, discussed infra. Accuracy remains the overriding goal of the act. Of course, procedures relating to the mechanics of how criminal-history-record information will be corrected and in what form are left to the state and its central repository. SECTION 204. DISSEMINATION OF CRIMINAL-HISTORY-RECORD
28	INFORMATION.
29	(a) A contributing justice agency may disseminate criminal-history-record information
30	only as provided in this [act] or by law other than this [act].
31	(b) A contributing justice agency may disseminate criminal-history-record information to
32	another contributing justice agency on request of the other agency in connection with the duties

1	of the requesting agency.
2	SECTION 205. DISSEMINATION LOG OF CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE
3	AGENCY. A contributing justice agency shall create, maintain, and store a dissemination log,
4	in the manner and form directed by the [responsible agency or individual]. Not later than [14]
5	days after the agency disseminates criminal-history-record information, the agency shall enter
6	the required information in the dissemination log. The agency shall maintain an entry in the log
7	[as long as it maintains the associated information].
8	<u>Comment</u>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Authority to disseminate and duty to log. The act allows contributing justice agencies to disseminate criminal_history_record information to other contributing justice agencies and to the subject of the information upon request, and requires those agencies to keep track of those disseminations. The central repository, as part of its duties, will set reasonable standards and procedures for this process, ensuring a degree of uniformity in the requesting and dissemination processes. [ARTICLE] 3
17	DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF CENTRAL REPOSITORY
18	SECTION 301. ROLEDUTY OF CENTRAL REPOSITORY.
19	(a) The central repository shall collect, <u>store</u> , maintain, <u>store</u> , and disseminate criminal
20	history_record information reported to it under this [act].
21	(b) The central repository shall collect, <u>store</u> , maintain, store , and disseminate accurate
22	criminal_history_record information in compliance with regulationsrules adopted by the
23	[responsible agency or individual].
24	(c) The central repository shall prescribe adopt rules and procedures to resolve conflicts
25	and discover missing data for accurate criminal_history_record information.
26	(d) The central repository may disseminate criminal_history_record information only as
27	required or permitted by this [act] or by law other law, than this [act].

1	SECTION 302. DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION TO PERSON OTHER
2	THAN SUBJECT. When disseminating
3	(a) Before the central repository disseminates criminal-history-record information for a
4	non-purpose other than administration of criminal justice purpose to a person other than the
5	subject, the central repository shall comply with the following procedures:
6	(1) Before the central repository disseminates the information, it shall determine whether
7	the information contains a disposition after an arrest without the filing of a formal criminal
8	charge, or a disposition of a formal criminal charge for every arrest or charge. If the information
9	does not contain a disposition, the central repository shall make a good-faith effort to determine
10	the disposition of the arrest or charge, and, if itthe central repository determines the disposition,
11	addinclude that disposition to in:
12	(A1) the relevant records maintained by the central repository; and
13	(B2) the report or summary to be disseminated.
14	(2b) After making the good-faith -effort under paragraph (1subsection (a) and before the
15	central repository disseminates the information, it shall remove from the report or summary to be
16	disseminated the notation of an arrest, charge, indictment or other information relating to the
17	initiation of criminal proceedings if:
18	(A) 1) [18] months have elapsed after the date of arrest;

initiation of criminal proceedings if:

(A)-1) [18] months have elapsed after the date of arrest;

(B2) no conviction has occurred or;

(3) no conviction can be identified; and

(C4) no proceedings are proceeding is pending that may result in a conviction.

(3c) Not later than [five] days after the central repository disseminates the information;

under subsection (a), it shall send the same information to the subject, based on the contact

1 information provided by the person requesting the information. 2 SECTION 303. DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION TO SUBJECT. 3 (a) The Not later than [14] days after an individual submits a request to the central 4 repository shall disseminate for the individual's criminal-history-record information to the 5 subject on request of the subject, after verification of the requester's identity and authority. 6 (b) If, the central repository, in response shall: 7 (1) disseminate the individual's criminal-history-record information to a request 8 under subsection (the individual; or 9 (2) if a, reasonably diligent search identifies no criminal-history-record 10 information about the subject, it shall individual, notify the subject that no information 11 concerning the subject exists and the date of its search.individual of that fact. 12 (c) The central repository shall disseminate the criminal history record information or notify the subject that no such information exists not later than [14] days after a request is 13 14 submitted under subsection (a). (d) (b) Criminal-history-record information disseminated under this section shallmust 15 16 include a prominent conspicuous notification that it is provided solely for review by the 17 subjectindividual and may not be reliable or current for another use. SECTION 304. DISSEMINATION LOG. OF CENTRAL REPOSITORY. The 18 19 central repository shall create, maintain, and store a dissemination log. A-The central repository 20 shall enter a dissemination-shall be entered in the log not later than [14] days after information is disseminated. The central repository shall maintain an entry in the log [as long as it maintains the 21

16

SECTION 305. CORRECTION OF INACCURATE INFORMATION. The central

22

23

associated information].

1	repository shall not Not later than [14] days after discovery the central repository discovers that it
2	possesses inaccurate criminal_history_record information, the central repository shall:
3	(1) correct its own records;
4	÷
5	(1) correct its own records;
6	(2) notify all persons that submitted or received the inaccurate information for a a
7	<u>purpose of administration of criminal justice</u> of the inaccuracy and the required
8	correction; and
9	(3) on request of the subject:
10	(A) disseminate a notice about the inaccuracy and the required correction to any
11	person identified by the subject that received the inaccurate information for a non-purpose other
12	than administration of criminal justice purpose within the previous five years before the
13	discovery; and
14	(B) provide to the subject at no cost one official, corrected copy of the accurate
15	information.
16	SECTION 306. DUTIES ADOPTION OF CENTRAL REPOSITORY. RULES. The
17	central repository shall ensure that the collection, maintenance, storage, and dissemination of
18	criminal history record information is accurate. The central repository shall:
19	(1) prescribe adopt rules:
20	(1) for the manner and form in which a contributing justice agency shall collect, store,
21	maintain, store, and submitdisseminate criminal-history-record information to the central
22	repository on a reportable event, including standards for biometric information, and ensure that
23	multiple items of <u>all</u> information for the same subject <u>areis</u> linked appropriately;

1	(2) prescribe rules and forms for reporting, exchanging, and challenging the
2	accuracyseeking correction of criminal-history-record information under this [act];], including
3	forms; and
4	(3) prescribe rules necessary to carry out its powers and duties under this [act].
5	SECTION 307. DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION FOR STATISTICAL
6	ANDOR RESEARCH PURPOSES PURPOSE. The central repository may:
7	(1) disseminate criminal_history_record information for <u>a</u> statistical or research purposes
8	ifpurpose;
9	(2) disseminate personally identifiable information it deems necessary to accomplish the
10	information does not disclosestatistical or research purpose; and
11	(3) limit the identity of any individual directly or indirectly. Subsequentuse and
12	subsequent dissemination and use of the information disseminated under this section is
13	subjectpursuant to rules prescribedadopted by the central repository, consistent with other laws
14	of this state or the United States.
15	<u>Comment</u>
16 17 18 19 20	Statistical and Research Disseminations. Research, whether done by governmental agencies or independent academics, can provide vital insight into how the criminal justice system, including the criminal history record system operates. This section is designed to allow for meaningful research in a way that protects personally identifiable information.
21	SECTION 308. PUBLIC INFORMATION. The central repository shall inform the
22	public about the existence, usage, and accessibility of criminal_history_record information
23	collected, stored, maintained, and disseminated by repositories and the central repository-and
24	other repositories. The central repository shall inform the public, at least annually, concerning
25	<u>the</u> :
26	(1) the quantityextent and general nature of the criminal history record information

1	collected,
2	stored, maintained, and disseminated in thethis state;
3	(2) the number of corrections to criminal_history_record information made by repositories
4	and the central repository;
5	(3) results of the audits under Section 602 and the status of any remediation correction of
6	deficiencies; and
7	(4) requirements and forms for access, review, and correction of criminal_history_record
8	information in repositories and the central repository.
9	SECTION 309. TRAINING.
10	(a) The central repository shall provide regular training to contributing justice agencies
11	about concerning submitting information on a reportable event and the importance of the
12	information to both societysubjects, the public, and the criminal-justice system.
13	(b) The central repository shall identify contributing justice agencies and repositories that
14	do not meet minimum standards underthe requirements of this [act] and provide them remedial
15	training.
16 17	Legislative Note: Responsible agency or individual. As discussed supra, the phrase "responsible agency or individual" is used in various places in the comments and in brackets in
18	the text of the act itself, including in this article. The drafters intend it to mean the appropriate
19	state agency or individual charged with certain responsibilities under this act. It is most
20 21	commonly designed to signal a situation when a senior criminal justice policy maker—either in
22	the form of a responsible agency or individual—needs to adopt a regulation or make a decision that is best served by honoring the principle of checks-and-balances. That is, the regulations
23	should be adopted by an entity or individual outside of the day-to-day operation of the criminal
24	history system. The central repository is a perfectly appropriate entity to create general
25	operational rules and no one is impugning the integrity of the individuals within the criminal
26	history system. This is simply a structural point. In some states, the "responsible agency or
27	individual" may be the Attorney General or the Office of the Attorney General. In other states, it
28	will be a different actor or entity. It need not be filled by the same person or agency each time it
29 30	is used. That is a decision best left to the adopting state. The phrase "responsible agency or individual" allows for each state to fill this position appropriately in light of its own
31	individual," allows for each state to fill this position appropriately in light of its own constitutional structure and political landscape. Ideally, in the states that use the Attorney
J 1	constitutional structure and political infuscape. Ideally, in the states that use th e Mioritey

General position as the chief law enforcement officer and "minister of justice," the Attorney General is best suited to fulfill these duties.

Maintaining dissemination logs. The drafters prefer that dissemination logs be maintained as long as the associated criminal history record information is maintained. Recognizing, however, that existing records retention provisions in certain jurisdictions may already speak to this general issue, the language specifying duration of record maintenance is placed in brackets.

Comment Comment

Role of the central repository. The central repository is the hub into and out of which criminal_history_record information will flow. It serves this role, as it does in many jurisdictions, for both intra-state and inter-state purposes. There are duty of accuracy, logging, and correction provisions that are similar to the ones provided for contributing justice agencies. The central repository is also the primary contact for other states and the federal system, allowing it to serve as a clearing house for the management of the universe of criminal_history_record information that may be fed into the databases held within that jurisdiction.

Verification of identify and authorization. Verification of identity may include biometric information pursuant to implementing regulations under this act.

Role in employment and related checks. The central repository's primary function is to act as the hub for criminal-history-record information used for contributing justice agency purposes. There is, of course, a growing use of this information for purposes of governmentmandated and voluntary employment, licensing, etc. Accuracy concerns are heightened in this context in part because there is no related adversarial proceeding before a neutral magistrate. Inspired in part by efforts in California that many consider successful, this section requires the central repository to make a good faith effort to ensure that disposition information is connected to arrests and charges. See. Cal. Code. Regs. tit. 11, § 720 (2017). Senator Grassley and others introduced the Sentencing Reform and Corrections Act of 2015 ("SRCA") on October 1, 2015. Although Congress did not pass the SRCA, it is worth noting that SRCA's Section 213, which was entitled "Ensuring Accuracy of Federal Criminal Records," provided that certain arrests without disposition information that were more than two years old could not be disseminated. Cf. Idaho Code Ann. § 67-3008(2)(iv)("A record of an arrest that does not contain a disposition after twelve (12) months from the date of arrest may only be disseminated by the department to criminal justice agencies, to the subject of the record, or to a person requesting the criminal history information with a signed release from the subject of the record.").

Duty to correct. The act requires central repository (and in an earlier provision contributing justice agencies) that learns of inaccurate criminal_history_record information — regardless of how it learns of it — to fix it in its own records and to pass along the corrected information to whomever it has provided the inaccurate information. That latter process is facilitated by the maintenance of dissemination logs. Thoroughly tracking the information will allow for more effective correction, as well as providing essential process data for the audit,

discussed infra. 1 2 3 Accuracy remains the overriding goal of the act. record information - regardless 4 of how it learns of it - to fix it in its own records and to pass along the corrected information to 5 whomever it has provided the inaccurate information. That latter process is facilitated by the 6 maintenance of dissemination logs. Thoroughly tracking the information will allow for more 7 effective correction, as well as providing essential process data for the audit, discussed infra. 8 9 Accuracy remains the overriding goal of the act. Of course, procedures relating to the 10 mechanics of how criminal-history-record information will be corrected and in what form are left 11 to the state and its central repository. 12 13 Outreach to the public and contributing justice agencies. This section also assigns the 14 central repository educative and supportive roles. It will try to raise public awareness about the 15 importance of criminal-history-record information and how individuals can access their records 16 to check for accuracy. It will also have the responsibility to train contributing justice agencies 17 and focus on those agencies that are not reporting as required because those agencies present 18 significant accuracy risks to the entire system. The act envisions a system of web-based 19 postings, webinars and guidelines, though the central repository has the flexibility to conduct this 20 outreach in the manner determined to be effective in that jurisdiction. This same system could be 21 used to update the public on the audit results and subsequent remediation. Existing central 22 repository websites could satisfy these requirements. 23 24 [ARTICLE] 4 25 MISTAKEN-IDENTITY-PREVENTION REGISTRY 26 SECTION 401. CREATION AND MAINTENANCE OF REGISTRY. The central 27 repository shall create and maintain a mistaken-identity-prevention registry: 28 (1) designed to prevent: 29 (A) mistaken arrest and confusion of an individual with another individual when 30 criminal-history-record information is searched; and 31 (B) inaccurate creation or modification of criminal-history-record information; 32 and 33 (2) consisting of information voluntarily provided by: 34 (A) a victim of mistaken identity; or 35 (B) an individual whose name or other identifying characteristic is similar to that

1	of another individual who is the subject of a particular item of criminal_history_record
2	information.
3	SECTION 402. INFORMATION INCLUDED IN REQUIREMENTS FOR
4	REGISTRY.
5	(a) The central repository shall prescribe rules and adopt procedures for entry of an
6	individual to be entered in the mistaken_identity_prevention registry. The rules and procedures
7	shall includemust require:
8	(1) submission by the individual of a request to be entered in the registry; and
9	(2) collection of biometric information from the individual.
10	(b) AnThe central repository shall enter in the mistaken-identity-prevention registry
11	information concerning an individual who satisfies the requirements and procedures under
12	subsection (a) shall be entered in the mistaken identity prevention registry. An). If the central
13	repository denies entry of information concerning an individual improperly denied excluded from
14	entry in the registry, the individual may seek relief under [the state administrative procedure act]
15	as a contested case.
16	SECTION 403. CERTIFICATION. Not later than [14] days after entering information
17	concerning an individual in the mistaken_identity_prevention registry, the central repository shall
18	provide the individual a certification that the individual is not the individual with a similar name
19	or identifying eharacteristicscharacteristic who is the subject of a particular item of criminal_
20	history_record information. The certification is prima facie evidence of the facts certified.
21	SECTION 404. DISCLOSURE DISSEMINATION OF REGISTRY
22	INFORMATION.
23	(a) The central repository may not use or disclosedisseminate information from the

1	mistaken_identity_prevention registry except as provided in this [article].
2	(b) The central repository shall <u>disclosedisseminate</u> information from the mistaken-
3	identity_prevention registry to a contributing justice agency whenif there is reason to believe that
4	identifying information on a reportable event may be inaccurate or not associated with the
5	correctwrong individual.
6	(c) The central repository may disclosedisseminate information from the mistaken-
7	identity_prevention registry to a national mistaken_identity_prevention registry if the national
8	registry is created and maintained by a federal law enforcement agency with a purpose and
9	protections similar to the registry created in this [article] if the national registry is created and
10	maintained by the Federal Bureau of Investigation.].
11 12 13 14 15	Legislative Note: This section is designed to ensure that this information from the Mistaken-Identity-Prevention Registry is used exclusively for its intended purpose. An adopting state should examine its public records act to determine whether conforming revisions are required. SECTION 405. MISTAKEN VERIFICATION OF IDENTITY MATCH. If a
17	contributing justice agency seeks to establish the identity of an individual and the individual
18	presents a certification issued under Section 403, the agency shall accept the certification as
19	prima facie evidence of the individual's identity unless the agency has a reasonable basis to
20	doubt the individual's identity or the authenticity of the certification, in which case the agency
21	shall contact the central repository to verify its authenticity using the rules prescribed procedures
22	adopted by the central repository.
23	SECTION 406. LIMITATION ON USE OF REGISTRY INFORMATION.
24	(a) A contributing justice agency may access or use information from the mistaken-
25	identity_prevention registry only to:
26	(1) identify accurately an individual about whom the agency has requested or

1	received registry information; or
2	(2) investigate, prosecute, or adjudicate an individual for an offense relating to
3	participating in, using, or operating the registry.
4	(b) If information in the <u>mistaken-identity-prevention</u> registry is <u>intentionally negligently</u> ,
5	recklessly, or negligently intentionally accessed or used for a purpose other than permitted under
6	subsection (a):
7	(1) the information and any information acquired as a result of the improper
8	access shall be excluded fromor use is not admissible in any criminal or civil action; and
9	(2) the central repository shall notify the individual whose information was
10	accessed or used improperly not later than [five] days after discovery of it discovers the access or
11	<u>use</u> .
12	SECTION 407. REMOVAL OF INFORMATION FROM REGISTRY.
13	(a) The central repository shall prescribe rules adopt procedures regarding a request to
14	remove information from the mistaken_identity_prevention registry.
15	(b) Not later than [14] days after receiving a request from an individual for removal of
16	information the individual voluntarily submitted under Section 402(ba), the central repository
17	shall remove the information from the <u>mistaken-identity-prevention</u> registry.
18	Discussion Note
19	Comment
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Mistaken_Identity_Prevention Registry. Identification mistakes can lead to inaccurate criminal_history_record information and erroneous arrests. See, e.g., Stephanie Chen, Officer, You've Got the Wrong Person, cnn.com (Feb. 15, 2010), available at http://www.cnn.com/2010/CRIME/02/15/colorado.mistaken.identity.arrest/ ("A mistaken identity arrest occurs almost every day, said policing experts and officials at the National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers."); Christopher N. Osher, Wrongfully Jailed: Records Details More Than 500 Mistaken-Identity Arrests in Denver in Seven Years,
20 27	www.denverpost.com (Jan. 7, 2012), available at

http://www.denverpost.com/2012/01/07/wrongfully-jailed-records-detail-more-than-500-mistaken-identity-arrests-in-denver-in-seven-years/. This article, which is inspired in part by a Minnesota provision, is designed to proactively help individuals who are the victim of identity theft, while also improving the accuracy of the criminal record system more broadly. It allows for these individuals to voluntarily provide information about themselves, including biometric information, to a restricted registry which would be used to verify whether a particular person truly is the subject of a reportable event. There are also analogies to the Voluntary Appeals File ("VAF") program associated with the FBI's National Instant Criminal Background Check System. See https://www.fbi.gov/about-us/cjis/nics and <a href="https://www.fbi.gov/about-us/

This article provides for the creation of certification for relevant individuals who choose to be proactive about limiting damaging errors in their own criminal record. This article envisions that this certification will help a personan individual without a particular criminal record from suffering adverse consequences of being confused with the personindividual who actually has that record. At the same time, the drafters seek to balance these considerations with the burdens imposed on law enforcement during the identity verification process. It is up to the individual state to determine requirements and procedures, but the drafters encourage states to consult with law enforcement and look to NLETS as a potential partner. See http://www.nlets.org.

[ARTICLE] 5

SUBJECT'S RIGHT TO CORRECT CORRECTION OF CRIMINAL-HISTORY-

RECORD INFORMATION

SECTION 501. RIGHT OF ACCESS AND REVIEW. A subject may access and review the subject's criminal_history_record information collected, maintained, or stored by a repository or the central repository. The repository or central repository shall permit access not later than [five] days after submissionreceipt of a proper request from the subject and, if appropriate, verification of the requester's identity and authority.

SECTION 502. CHALLENGE OF ACCURACY. A REQUEST TO CORRECT.

Not more than once each calendar year, a subject may challenge and seek correction of criminal_

32 history_record information by sending the repository or central repository maintaining the

information a challenge or the central repository a request specifying the item of information

alleged to be inaccurate and providing correct information.

1	SECTION 503. ACTION ON CHALLENGE REVIEW OF REQUEST.
2	(a) Not later than [40] days after receipt of a challengerequest under this [article], a
3	repository or the central repository shall review and act on approve or deny the challenge unless
4	therequest. The director of the repository or central repository may extend the time to review the
5	request for [21] days if the director certifies, and notifies the subject, that there is good cause for
6	a nonrenewable [21]-dayan extension and notifies the subject. The extension may not be
7	renewed.
8	(b) If the repository or central repository does not act within the time provided in
9	subsection (a), the <u>challengerequest</u> is deemed <u>sustaineddenied</u> .
10	(c) [The state administrative procedure act] governs administrative and judicial review of
11	an-action by a repository or the central repository on a challengerequest under this [article].
12	Notwithstanding the provisions of [the state administrative procedure act], if the request is
13	denied as provided in subsection (b), the government has the burden of proof in the immediately
14	subsequent review.
15	SECTION 504. CORRECTION OF RECORDS. If a challenge repository or the
16	central repository approves a request under this [article] is sustained, a], the repository or the
17	central repository, not later than [five] days after the ehallenge is sustained decision becomes
18	final and not subject to appeal, shall:
19	(1) correct its own records;
20	(2) notify all persons that submitted or received the requestor and recipient for each entry
21	in dissemination log in which the inaccurate information was disseminated for a criminal justice
22	purpose of the inaccuracy and the required correction; and
23	(3) notify the contributing justice agency that provided the inaccurate information of the

1	inaccuracy and the required correction; and
2	(4) on request of the subject:
3	(A) disseminate a notice about the inaccuracy and the required correction to any
4	person identified by the subject that received the inaccurate information for a non-purpose other
5	than administration of criminal justice purpose within the previous five years after the receipt;
6	and
7	(B) provide the subject at no cost one official, corrected copy of the accurate
8	criminal_history_record information.
9	Discussion Note
10	<u>Comment</u>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Access, review, challenge, correction and appeal. This article provides subjects rights to access and challenge the criminal_history_record information about them for the explicit purpose of ensuring that all of the criminal_history_record information managed by the state, regardless of its source, is correct and up-to-date. While section 503(c) largely defers to the state's administrative procedure act, the drafters anticipatedraft requires that the government will have the burden of proving the accuracy of the challenged information by a preponderance of the evidence in an administrative review following an automatic denial triggered by governmental unresponsiveness.
20 21 22 23	Accuracy remains the overriding goal of the act. Of course, procedures relating to the mechanics of how criminal_history_record information will be corrected and in what form are left to the state and its central repository.

1 [ARTICLE] 6 2 SYSTEMS SECURITY AND AUDITS 3 SECTION 601. SECURITY REQUIREMENTS FOR CONTRIBUTING JUSTICE 4 **AGENCIES AND CENTRAL REPOSITORY..** A contributing justice agency, a repository, 5 and the central repository, in compliance with regulations rules adopted by the responsible 6 agency or individual], shall ensure the confidentiality and security of the criminal-history-record 7 information it collects, maintains, stores, and disseminates. The agency-or, repository, and 8 central repository shall: 9 (1) prescribe adopt rules and procedures to reasonably protect each location 10 where requiring protection of information that is stored or maintained from loss or damage; 11 (2) ensure that only an authorized persons have person has access to the information; 12 (3) select, supervise, and train persons individuals authorized to have access to the information; 13 14 (4) if computerized data processing is used, ensure that the equipment maintaining the 15 information meets computer security standards promulgated by the [responsible agency 16 or individual]; and 17 (5) maintain an index of alleach data breaches, which shall be made breach and make the 18 index available on request to the [senior elected or appointed official responsible for 19 governmental oversight, audit, or integrity] and the [responsible agency or individual]. 20 Legislative Note: The senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, or integrity should be an individual outside of the day-to-day operation of the 21 criminal-history-record-information system who is qualified to conduct these audits. Depending 22 23 on the existing state structure, potential appropriate individuals to fill this role might be the 24 Attorney General, the Auditor General, the Ombudsperson, the Inspector General, or other 25 officer.

26

1	SECTION 602. WANDATORY REPRESENTATIVE AUDIT.
2	(a) The [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight,
3	audit, or integrity] shall ensure that cause an audit to be conducted at least once every [three]
4	years of the central repository and a representative sample of all repositories is conducted at least
5	every [three] years. The audits may be done in conjunction with another.
6	(b) If the [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight,
7	audit .
8	(b) An audit under, or integrity] certifies that an audit required by an entity of the United
9	States satisfies the requirements of this section shall, no additional audit is required.
10	(c) An audit under this section must assess the integrity of each computerized system and
11	database and each physical location storingwhere criminal_history_record information for
12	compliance with this [act].is stored.
13	(ed) An audit under this section of the central repository, shall must assess:
14	(1) evaluate the operational practices of the central repository to assess for
15	consistency, efficiency, and security; and
16	(2) assess any data breach in the central repository and response to the breach.
17	(e) Audits of repositories under this section must:
18	(1) be representative of the overall status of the system of criminal-history-record
19	information management and ensure that selection of repositories audited is random and in
20	accordance with best practices; and
21	(d) (2) review the plans, policies, and procedures of a representative sample
22	comprising at least [five] percent of all [police and sheriff's] departments and [10] percent of all
23	[judicial districts] for compliance with this [act].

1	(f) An audit under this section of a repository shallmust:
2	(1) collect data from a representative sample of all criminal_history_record
3	information stored at the repository to assess consistency, efficiency, and security;
4	(2) determine the number of missing reportable events and amount and nature of
5	missing biometric information in the samples, in part by examining public records of the courts
6	of this state; and
7	(3) assess any data breach in the repository and response to the breach;
8	(4) be representative of the overall status of the system of criminal history record
9	information management and ensure that selection of repositories audited is random and in
10	accordance with best practices; and
11	(5) review the plans, policies, and procedures of a representative sample
12	comprising at least [five] percent of all police and sheriff's departments and [10] percent of all
13	[judicial districts] for compliance with this [act].
14	(e) (g) The <u>central</u> repository or <u>central</u> repository shall give the [senior elected or
15	appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, or integrity] access to the
16	records, reports, listings, and information required to conduct an audit under this section. All
17	officers, employees, and contractors An officer, employee, or contractor of thethis state or a
18	political subdivision of thethis state with relevant information shall cooperate with the [senior
19	elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, or integrity] and
20	provide information requested for an audit.
21	(fh) The [senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight,
22	audit, or integrity] shall prepare and make available a public report containing the results of the
23	audits under this section and a list of any deficiencies and recommendations for correction of

deficiencies.

2 3

 Legislative Note: Responsible agency or individual. As discussed supra, the phrase "responsible agency or individual" is used in various places in the comments and in brackets in the text of the act itself, including in this article. The drafters intend it to mean the appropriate state agency or individual charged with certain responsibilities under this act. It is most commonly designed to signal a situation when a senior criminal justice policy maker—either in the form of a responsible agency or individual needs to adopt a regulation or make a decision that is best served by honoring the principle of checks and balances. That is, the regulations should be adopted by an entity or individual outside of the day-to-day operation of the criminal history system. The central repository is a perfectly appropriate entity to create general operational rules and no one is impugning the integrity of the individuals within the criminal history system. This is simply a structural point. In some states, the "responsible agency or individual" may be the Attorney General or the Office of the Attorney General. In other states, it will be a different actor or entity. It need not be filled by the same person or agency each time it is used. That is a decision best left to the adopting state. The phrase "responsible agency or individual," allows for each state to fill this position appropriately in light of its own constitutional structure and political landscape. Ideally, in the states that use the Attorney General position as the chief law enforcement officer and "minister of justice," the Attorney General is best suited to fulfill these duties.

Senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, or integrity. The act envisions that the senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, or integrity is an individual outside of the day to day operation of the criminal history record information system who is qualified to conduct these audits. While the "responsible agency or individual" concept can be filled in different ways and need not be the same at all points in this act, the drafters believe that the auditing role in this article deserves different language. Depending on existing state structures, potential appropriate individuals to fill this role might be the Attorney General, the Auditor General, the Ombudsperson, or the Inspector General. Of course, adopting states are free to designate others as they see fit.

Legislative Note: In Section 602(d)(2), the bracketed provision about police and sheriff's is designed to capture the universe of law enforcement agencies that collect, store, maintain and disseminate criminal-history-record information while the bracketed provision about judicial districts is designed to capture the universe of courts.

35 Comment

Data Security. In an effort ensure accuracy, the act requires that basic security measures be in place given the sensitive nature of criminal_history_record information. These provisions of the act should be more than satisfied by compliance with current federal regulations.

Mandatory audits. This article provides for mandatory and representative audits. Audits are essential to understanding how the criminal_history_record information structure is actually working and to prevent the automatic replication of systematic errors. If policy makers do not understand the nature and prevalence of the inaccuracies, they cannot address them effectively. The auditing process will also facilitate the identification of agencies or departments failing to

1 meet their obligations under the act. While the central repository and other parties have a range 2 of formal options to remediate these deficiencies, the public distribution of the results of the 3 audit results alone may serve to increase system-wide compliance. 4 5 [ARTICLE] 7 ENFORCEMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION 6 7 SECTION 701. SANCTIONS AND REMEDIES. 8 (a) An individual or contributing justice agency that violates this [act], in addition to 9 other remedies provided by this [act] or by law other law, than this [act], may be: 10 (1) be denied access, for a time determined by the [responsible agency or 11 individual, to specified criminal-history-record information, specified repositories, and the 12 central repository for a time determined by the [responsible agency or individual]; 13 (2) subject to a civil penalty or other remedy under subsection (c); and 14 (3) consistent with law of this state other than this [act], if the violator is a 15 government employee, be administratively disciplined. (2) be subject to a civil penalty or other remedy under subsection (e); and 16 17 (3) consistent with law of this state other than this [act], if the violator is a 18 government employee, be administratively disciplined. 19 (b) In addition to other available remedies, the The [responsible agency or individual], 20 central repository, or a-subject, may-bring, in addition to other available remedies, commence an 21 action concerning an item of criminal history record information to compel compliance with this 22 [act] or enjoin the central repository, a repository, a contributing justice agency, or another person from violating this [acta violation of this [act]. The court may award costs of litigation 23 24 and reasonable attorney's fees and court costs to a subject who prevails in the action. 25 (c) In addition to other available remedies, aA subject injured by an intentional or

1	reckless violation of this [act] or implementing regulations, may bringrules adopted under this
2	[act] may, in addition to other available remedies, commence an action for actual damages. If
3	the court determines by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject was injured by an
4	intentional or reckless violation, the court shall award the greater of actual damages, or not less
5	than \$[500] per violation up to a maximum of \$[2,000] per action, in addition to the costs of
6	<u>litigation and reasonable attorney's fees.</u>
7	Legislative note: The phrase "responsible agency or individual" is used in this and other places
8	in the act to mean the appropriate state agency or individual charged with responsibilities under
9	this act when regulations should be adopted by an entity or individual outside of the day-to-day
10	operation of the criminal history system. In some states, the "responsible agency or individual"
11	may be the Attorney General or the Office of the Attorney General. In other states, it will be a
12	<u>different actor or entity.</u> If the court determines by a preponderance of the evidence that the
13	subject was injured by an intentional or reckless violation, the court shall award the greater of
14	actual damages, or not less than \$[500] per violation up to a maximum of \$[2,000] per action, in
15	addition to the costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees.
16 17 18 19 20 21	The responsible agency or individual need not be the same agency or individual each time it is used. A state should fill this position appropriately in light of its own constitutional structure and political landscape. In a state that uses the Attorney General position as the chief law enforcement officer and "minister of justice," the Attorney General is best suited to fulfill these duties.
22	SECTION 702. DUTIES AND AUTHORITY OF [RESPONSIBLE AGENCY, OR
23	INDIVIDUAL].
24	(a) The [responsible agency or individual] shall adopt regulations rules necessary to
25	implement this [act]. The regulations shallrules must include a provision that:
26	(1) governs the security, accuracy, dissemination, and review of, and individual

I	access to, criminal_nistory_record information; [and]
2	(2) ensures that electronic data, including biometric information, are stored in a
3	manner that reflects best practices for personally identifiable information; and
4	(2) ensures that electronic data, including biometric information, are stored in a
5	manner that reflects best practices for personally identifiable information; and
6	(3) sets a reasonable maximum fee for the cost of disseminating criminal_history_
7	record information and provides an indigenta subject at least one free annual access to the
8	subject's information at least once each calendar year.
9	(b) The [responsible agency or individual] may designate any governmental agency or
10	sub-unit, other than the central repository or a court, as a contributing justice agency.
11	(c) The [responsible agency or individual] may investigate any matter relating to the
12	administration and enforcement of this [act].
13	
14	(c) The [responsible agency or individual] may investigate any matter relating to the
15	administration and enforcement of this [act].
16	(d) The [responsible agency or individual] may bring a civil action to enforce this [act].
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Legislative Note: Responsible agency or individual. As discussed supra, thenote: The phrase "responsible agency or individual" is used in various this and other places in the comments and in brackets in the text of the act itself, including in this article. The drafters intend itact to mean the appropriate state agency or individual charged with certain responsibilities under this act. It is most commonly designed to signal a situation when a senior criminal justice policy maker—either in the form of a responsible agency or individual—needs to adopt a regulation or make a decision that is best served by honoring the principle of checks—and balances. That is, the when regulations should be adopted by an entity or individual outside
25 26 27 28 29 30	of the day-to-day operation of the criminal history system. In some states, the "responsible agency or individual" may be the Attorney General or the Office of the Attorney General. In other states, it will be a different actor or entity. The central repository is a perfectly appropriate entity to create general operational rules and no one is impugning the integrity of the individuals within the criminal history system. This is simply a structural point. In some states, the "responsible agency or individual" may be the Attorney General or the Office of the

Attorney General. In other states, it will be a different actor or entity. It The responsible agency or individual need not be filled by the same person or agency or individual each time it is used. That is a decision best left to the adopting a state. The phrase "responsible agency or individual," allows for each state to should fill this position appropriately in light of its own constitutional structure and political landscape. Ideally, in the states In a state that useuses the Attorney General position as the chief law enforcement officer and "minister of justice," the Attorney General is best suited to fulfill these duties.

1 2

9 Comment

Individual sanctions. This article provides for an array of sanctions against individuals who violate the act. It also allows both subjects and the relevant government official, again in brackets, to seek injunctive relief. The article affords a subject who is injured by a violation of the act a civil damages remedy with a modest minimum (\$500 per violation up to \$2,000 for related violations) and a fee-shifting provision on the theory that the true extent of actual damages may be difficult to prove. *Cf.* 18 U.S.C. § 2724(b). These sanctions are modeled after some existing provisions, and are limited in scope. All of this, of course, is also designed to serve as a clear and enforceable incentive to minimize inaccuracies.

Criminal sanctions. The drafters do not propose a criminal sanction, in part because they believe that existing criminal statutes cover behavior that warrants a response by the criminal justice system. While anyone can report a suspected criminal violation to the appropriate prosecutorial authorities, the drafters anticipate that the responsible agency or individual and the senior elected or appointed official responsible for governmental oversight, audit, or integrity will be particularly attentive to such circumstances. Of course, the act does not limit the prosecutorial power of any individual or entity to enforce existing law.

[ARTICLE] 8

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

SECTION 801. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION. In

[SECTION 802. SEVERABILITY. If any provision of this [act] or its application to

applying and construing this uniform act, consideration shallmust be given to the need to

promote uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.

any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or

applications of this [act] which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application,

and to this end the provisions of this [act] are severable.]

Legislative Note: Include this section only if this state lacks a general severability statute or a

decision by the highest court of this state stating a general rule of severability.

SECTION 803. REPEALS; CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.

(a)

(b)

(c)

SECTION 804. EFFECTIVE DATE. This [act] takes effect