

**UNIFORM FOREIGN-COUNTRY MONEY
JUDGMENTS RECOGNITION ACT (2005) ***

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS
ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

MEETING IN ITS ONE-HUNDRED-AND-FOURTEENTH YEAR
PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA

JULY 22 - 29, 2005

**UNIFORM FOREIGN-COUNTRY MONEY
JUDGMENTS RECOGNITION ACT (2005)**

WITHOUT PREFATORY NOTE OR COMMENTS

Copyright © 2005
By
National Conference of Commissioners
on Uniform State Laws

* The following text is subject to revision by the Committee on Style of the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws.

ABOUT NCCUSL

The **National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws** (NCCUSL), now in its 114th year, provides states with non-partisan, well-conceived and well-drafted legislation that brings clarity and stability to critical areas of state statutory law.

Conference members must be lawyers, qualified to practice law. They are practicing lawyers, judges, legislators and legislative staff and law professors, who have been appointed by state governments as well as the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands to research, draft and promote enactment of uniform state laws in areas of state law where uniformity is desirable and practical.

- NCCUSL strengthens the federal system by providing rules and procedures that are consistent from state to state but that also reflect the diverse experience of the states.
- NCCUSL statutes are representative of state experience, because the organization is made up of representatives from each state, appointed by state government.
- NCCUSL keeps state law up-to-date by addressing important and timely legal issues.
- NCCUSL's efforts reduce the need for individuals and businesses to deal with different laws as they move and do business in different states.
- NCCUSL's work facilitates economic development and provides a legal platform for foreign entities to deal with U.S. citizens and businesses.
- NCCUSL Commissioners donate thousands of hours of their time and legal and drafting expertise every year as a public service, and receive no salary or compensation for their work.
- NCCUSL's deliberative and uniquely open drafting process draws on the expertise of commissioners, but also utilizes input from legal experts, and advisors and observers representing the views of other legal organizations or interests that will be subject to the proposed laws.
- NCCUSL is a state-supported organization that represents true value for the states, providing services that most states could not otherwise afford or duplicate.

UNIFORM FOREIGN-COUNTRY MONEY JUDGMENTS RECOGNITION ACT (2005)

The Committee acting for the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in preparing the Uniform Foreign-Country Money Judgments Recognition Act (2005) is as follows:

ROBERT H. CORNELL, 573 Arkansas, San Francisco, CA 94107, *Chair*
K. KING BURNETT, P.O. Box 910, Salisbury, MD 21803-0910
JOHN P. BURTON, P.O. Box 1357, 315 Paseo de Peralta, Santa Fe, NM 87501
JOHN A. CHANIN, 5901 Mount Eagle Dr., Apt. 1115, Alexandria, VA 22303, *Enactment Plan Coordinator*
FRANK W. DAYKIN, 2180 Thomas Jefferson Dr., Reno, NV 89509
W. MICHAEL DUNN, P.O. Box 3701, 1000 Elm St., Manchester, NH 03105
HENRY DEEB GABRIEL, JR., Loyola University School of Law, 526 Pine St., New Orleans, LA 70118
CURTIS R. REITZ, University of Pennsylvania School of Law, 3400 Chestnut St., Philadelphia, PA 19104
H. KATHLEEN PATCHEL, Indiana University, School of Law, 530 W. New York St., Indianapolis, IN 46202-3225, *National Conference Reporter*

EX OFFICIO

FRED H. MILLER, University of Oklahoma, College of Law, 300 Timberdell Rd., Room 3056, Norman, OK 73019, *President*
REX BLACKBURN, 1673 W. Shoreline Dr., Suite 200, P.O. Box 7808, Boise, ID 83707, *Division Chair*

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ADVISOR

ELIZABETH M. BOHN, 777 Brickell Ave., Ste. 500, Miami, FL 33131-2803, *American Bar Association Advisor*

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

WILLIAM H. HENNING, University of Alabama School of Law, Box 870382, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0382, *Executive Director*

Copies of this Act may be obtained from:

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS
ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS
211 E. Ontario Street, Suite 1300
Chicago, Illinois 60611
312/915-0195
www.nccusl.org

UNIFORM FOREIGN-COUNTRY MONEY JUDGMENTS RECOGNITION ACT (2005)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE	5
SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS	5
SECTION 3. APPLICATION	5
SECTION 4. STANDARDS FOR RECOGNITION OF FOREIGN-COUNTRY JUDGMENT	6
SECTION 5. PERSONAL JURISDICTION	7
SECTION 6. PROCEDURE FOR RECOGNITION OF FOREIGN-COUNTRY JUDGMENT	8
SECTION 7. EFFECT OF RECOGNITION OF FOREIGN-COUNTRY JUDGMENT UNDER THIS [ACT]	9
SECTION 8. STAY OF PROCEEDINGS PENDING APPEAL OF FOREIGN-COUNTRY JUDGMENT	9
SECTION 9. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS	9
SECTION 10. SAVING CLAUSE	10
SECTION 11. UNIFORMITY OF INTERPRETATION	10
SECTION 12. REPEAL	10
SECTION 13. EFFECTIVE DATE	10

UNIFORM FOREIGN-COUNTRY MONEY JUDGMENTS RECOGNITION ACT (2005)

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. This [act] may be cited as the [Uniform Foreign-Country Money Judgments Recognition Act of 2005].

SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS. In this [act]:

(a) “Foreign country” means a government other than

(i) the United States;

(ii) a state, district, commonwealth, territory or insular possession of the United States; or

(iii) any other government with regard to which the decision in this state as to whether to recognize the judgments of that government’s courts is initially subject to determination under the Full Faith and Credit Clause of the United States Constitution.

(b) “Foreign-country judgment” means a judgment of a court of a foreign country.

SECTION 3. APPLICATION.

(a) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (b), this [act] applies to a foreign-country judgment to the extent that the foreign-country judgment

(1) grants or denies recovery of a sum of money; and

(2) under the law of the foreign country where rendered, is final, conclusive, and enforceable.

(b) This [act] does not apply to a foreign-country judgment, even if the foreign-country judgment grants or denies recovery of a sum of money, to the extent that the foreign-country judgment is

- (1) a judgment for taxes;
- (2) a fine or other penalty; or
- (3) a judgment for divorce, support, or maintenance, or other judgment

rendered in connection with domestic relations.

(c) The party seeking recognition of a foreign-country judgment has the burden of establishing that this [act] applies to the foreign-country judgment.

SECTION 4. STANDARDS FOR RECOGNITION OF FOREIGN-COUNTRY JUDGMENT.

(a) Except as otherwise provided in subsections (b) and (c), a court of this state shall recognize a foreign-country judgment to which this [act] applies.

(b) A court of this state may not recognize a foreign-country judgment if:

(1) the foreign-country judgment was rendered under a judicial system that does not provide impartial tribunals or procedures compatible with the requirements of due process of law;

(2) the foreign court did not have personal jurisdiction over the defendant;

or

(3) the foreign court did not have jurisdiction over the subject matter.

(c) A court of this state need not recognize a foreign-country judgment if:

(1) the defendant in the proceeding in the foreign court did not receive notice of the proceeding in sufficient time to enable the defendant to defend;

(2) the foreign-country judgment was obtained by fraud that deprived the losing party of an adequate opportunity to present its case;

(3) the foreign-country judgment or the [cause of action] [claim for relief] on which the foreign-country judgment is based is repugnant to the public policy of this state or of the United States;

(4) the foreign-country judgment conflicts with another final and conclusive judgment;

(5) the proceeding in the foreign court was contrary to an agreement between the parties under which the dispute in question was to be determined otherwise than by proceedings in that foreign court;

(6) in the case of jurisdiction based only on personal service, the foreign court was a seriously inconvenient forum for the trial of the action;

(7) the foreign-country judgment was rendered in circumstances that raise substantial doubt about the integrity of the rendering court with respect to the foreign-country judgment; or

(8) the specific proceeding in the foreign court leading to the foreign-country judgment was not compatible with the requirements of due process of law.

(d) The party resisting recognition of the foreign-country judgment has the burden of establishing that one of the grounds for non-recognition stated in subsection (b) or (c) exists.

SECTION 5. PERSONAL JURISDICTION.

(a) A foreign-country judgment may not be refused recognition for lack of personal jurisdiction if:

(1) the defendant was served with process personally in the foreign

country;

(2) the defendant voluntarily appeared in the proceeding, other than for the purpose of protecting property seized or threatened with seizure in the proceeding or of contesting the jurisdiction of the court over the defendant;

(3) the defendant, before the commencement of the proceeding, had agreed to submit to the jurisdiction of the foreign court with respect to the subject matter involved;

(4) the defendant was domiciled in the foreign country when the proceeding was instituted or was a corporation or other form of business organization that had its principal place of business in, or was organized under the laws of, the foreign country;

(5) the defendant had a business office in the foreign country and the proceeding in the foreign court involved a [cause of action] [claim for relief] arising out of business done by the defendant through that office in the foreign country; or

(6) the defendant operated a motor vehicle or airplane in the foreign country and the proceeding involved a [cause of action] [claim for relief] arising out of that operation.

(b) The list of bases for personal jurisdiction in subsection (a) is not exclusive, and the courts of this state may recognize other bases of personal jurisdiction as sufficient to support a foreign-country judgment.

SECTION 6. PROCEDURE FOR RECOGNITION OF FOREIGN-COUNTRY JUDGMENT.

(a) If recognition of a foreign-country judgment is sought as an original matter,

the issue of recognition shall be raised by filing an action seeking recognition of the foreign-country judgment.

(b) If recognition of a foreign-country judgment is sought in a pending action, the issue of recognition may be raised by counterclaim, cross-claim or affirmative defense.

SECTION 7. EFFECT OF RECOGNITION OF FOREIGN-COUNTRY

JUDGMENT UNDER THIS [ACT]. If the court in a proceeding under Section 6 finds that the foreign-country judgment is entitled to recognition under this [act] then, to the extent that the foreign-country judgment grants or denies recovery of a sum of money, the foreign-country judgment is:

(a) conclusive between the parties to the same extent as the judgment of a sister state entitled to full faith and credit in this state would be conclusive; and

(b) enforceable in the same manner and to the same extent as a judgment rendered in this state.

SECTION 8. STAY OF PROCEEDINGS PENDING APPEAL OF FOREIGN-

COUNTRY JUDGMENT. If a party establishes that an appeal from a foreign-country judgment is pending or will be taken, the court may stay any proceedings with regard to the foreign-country judgment until the appeal is concluded, the time for appeal expires, or the party appealing has had sufficient time to prosecute the appeal and has failed to do so.

SECTION 9. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS. An action to recognize a foreign-country judgment must be commenced within the earlier of the time during which the foreign-country judgment is effective in the foreign country or 15 years from the date that the foreign-country judgment became effective in the foreign country.

SECTION 10. SAVING CLAUSE. This [act] does not prevent the recognition under principles of comity or otherwise of a foreign-country judgment not within the scope of this [act].

SECTION 11. UNIFORMITY OF INTERPRETATION. In applying and construing this uniform act, consideration must be given to the need to promote uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.

SECTION 12. REPEAL. The following [acts] are repealed:

- (a) Uniform Foreign Money-Judgments Recognition Act of 1962;
- (b) .

SECTION 13. EFFECTIVE DATE.

- (a) This [act] takes effect
- (b) This [act] applies to all actions commenced on or after the effective date of this [act] in which the issue of recognition of a foreign-country judgment is raised.